Evolution of life history traits and evolutionary paleoecology

Peter D Smits¹

¹psmits@uchicago.edu, Committee on Evolutionary Biology, University of Chicago

September 10, 2013

1 Theoretical framework

2 1.1 Evolutionary paleoevology

Evolutionary paleoecology has been defined as the study of the effect of ecological characters expressed at any level on the macroevolutionary process [Kitchell, 1985]. While macroevolution

is defined as the long term speciation (p) and extinction (q) dynamics [Jablonski, 2008],

- this does not remain the sole manner of discussing macroevolutionary dynamics [Kitchell, 1990, 1985]. Instead, macroevolution can be discussed in terms of the dynamics of differential
- ⁸ fitness. Here fitness is defined as the expected time till extinction [Cooper, 1984] which can be considered a universal statement of fitness.
- Expected time till extinction is defined for descrete time intervals as

$$E[t_{ext}] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t t \tag{1}$$

where p is the probability that the subject of interest goes extinct and t is time [Cooper, 1984]. For continuous time, expected time till extinction is defined

$$E[t_{ext}] = \int_0^\infty \phi(t)t dt \tag{2}$$

where $\phi(t)$ is the probability density distribution for the time of extinction [Cooper, 1984].

1.4 1.2 Survival analysis

Survival analysis is the statistical field of representing and modeling time till event data, namely the time till failure of an object. For example, this might be the amount of time a

part can experience a specific force before experiencing mechanical failure or the amount of time a person survives after contracting a specific disease. In a paleontological context, this can be considered the longevity of a particular taxon from it's first appearance date (FAD) till it's last appearance dat (LAD).

The survival function (S(t)), which is a statement of the probability that an individual (i.e. species) will survive longer than some specific amount of time t, is defined

$$S(t) = P(T > t) \tag{3}$$

where T is the survival time and is greater than, or equal to, 0.

Related to S(t) is the hazard function h(t), which is defined as the instantaneous potential for failure given t amount of time. h(t) is defined

$$h(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to \infty} \frac{P(t \le T < t + \Delta t | T \ge t)}{\Delta t}$$
(4)

Effectively, this is the velocity or first derivative of S(t).

The survival (Eq. 3) and hazard functions (Eq. 4) are directly related, with one being derived from the other. The general form of S(t) is

$$S(t) = \exp\left[-\int_0^t h(u) du\right] \tag{5}$$

and the general form of h(t) being

$$h(t) = -\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}S(t)/\mathrm{d}t}{S(t)}\right] \tag{6}$$

The relationship between the survival function

In the context of biology, S(t) for some sample is the mean (expected) survival time or fitness [Cooper, 1984]. Additionally, h(t) is the failure rate and can be interprested as the extinction rate.

- Because of this survival curves have had a long history of use in paleobiological studies [Foote, 1988, 2001, Kitchell and Hoffman, 1991, Levinton, 1974, Raup, 1975, 1978, Simpson, 1953,
- Van Valen, 1973]. The Law of Extinction, or Red Queen hypothesis, stems from analysis of survival curves [Van Valen, 1973]. In terms of modeling the hazard function of a survival
- curve, the Law of Extinction states that the hazard function is a special case of equation 6 and is defined

$$h(t) = \lambda \tag{7}$$

where λ is some constant. Given the hazard function in equation 7, the survival function is easily defined

$$S(t) = \exp^{-\lambda t} \tag{8}$$

- This formulation means that extinction rate is (stochastically) constant over the entire duration of a taxon [Van Valen, 1973]. For further discussion, see below. Other theoretical
- concepts for extinction rate are that younger taxa have a greater extinction rate than older taxa, or vice-versa. These alternative h(t) functions would be better fit but other, non-uniform,
- 46 distributions.

Assessing the linearity or nonlinearity of the hazard function for a given sample has been the focus of a lot of research [Kitchell and Hoffman, 1991, Raup, 1975, 1978].

1.3 Macroevolution

- Macroevolution, as defined above, has broadly been classified into two categories: effect and species selection [Jablonski, 2008].
- Effect macroevolution where selection on a trait expressed at the organismal level effects long term patterns in p and q [Jablonski, 2008, Vrba, 1983]. Effect macroevolution is characterized
- by "upward" causation, because selection at lower levels (organism) effects the structure of the higher levels (genus and up) [Jablonski, 2008]. Jablonski [2008] defines these traits as
- "aggregate traits" which can be the entire distribution of a trait for a taxon or some summary statistic (e.g. mean) of a trait distribution. An example aggregate trait would be body size.
- Species selection is where selection acts upon a trait that cannot be reduced to the organismal level [Jablonski, 2007, 2008]. It is important to note that macroevolution does not only mean
 species selection [Vrba, 1983] though they have historically been conflated.

1.4 Law of Extinction

- The Law of Extinction, known also as the Red Queen Hypothesis, is defined above (Eq. 7 and 8)
- Raup [1975] emphasized the importance of the Law of Extinction, what he called Van Valen's Law, because it represented the first step towards a general theory statement in paleobiology
- of how to interpret the fossil record that wasn't taxon specific nor just an enumeration of events.

- 2 Effect of life history on survival in Permian brachiopods
- 3 Evolution of correlation in life history traits in brachiopods
- 4 Cosmopolitan versus endemic dynamics in Cenozoic terrestrial mammals

5 UNNAMED CHAPTER

74 References

- W. S. Cooper. Expected time to extinction and the concept of fundamental fitness. *Journal* of Theoretical Biology, 107:603–629, 1984.
- M. Foote. Survivorship analysis of Cambrian and Ordovician Trilobites. *Paleobiology*, 14(3): 258–271, 1988.
- M. Foote. Inferring temporal patterns of preservation, origination, and extinction from taxonomic survivorship analysis. *Paleobiology*, 27(4):602–630, Dec. 2001. ISSN 0094-8373. doi: 10.1666/0094-8373(2001)027(0602:ITPOPO)2.0.CO;2. URL http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1666/0094-8373%282001%29027%3C0602%3AITPOPO%3E2.0.CO%3B2.
 - D. Jablonski. Scale and hierarchy in macroevolution. *Palaeontology*, 50(September 2006):87–109, 2007. URL http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1475-4983.2006.00615.x/full.
- D. Jablonski. Species Selection: Theory and Data. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 39(1):501-524, Dec. 2008. ISSN 1543-592X. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys. 39.110707.173510. URL http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173510.
- J. Kitchell. Biological selectivity of extinction. In E. G. Kaufman and O. H. Walliser, editors,
 Extinction Events in Earth History, pages 31-43. Springer-Verlang, Berlin, 1990. URL
 http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/BFb0011132.
- J. A. Kitchell. Evolutionary paleoecology: recent contributions to evolutionary theory.

 Paleobiology, 11(1):91–104, 1985.
- J. A. Kitchell and A. Hoffman. Rates of species-level origination and extinction: functions of age, diversity, and history. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, 36(1):39–67, 1991.

- J. S. Levinton. Trophic group and evolution in bivalve molluscs. *Palaeontology*, 17(3):579–585, 1974.
- D. M. Raup. Taxonomic survivorship curves and Van Valen's Law. *Paleobiology*, 1(1):82-96, Jan. 1975. ISSN 0036-8075. doi: 10.1126/science.49.1254.50. URL http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17777225.
- D. M. Raup. Cohort Analysis of generic survivorship. *Paleobiology*, 4(1):1–15, 1978.
- G. G. Simpson. *The Major Features of Evolution*. Columbia University Press, New York, 1953.
- L. Van Valen. A new evolutionary law. *Evolutionary Theory*, 1:1-30, 1973. URL http://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/10011264287/.
- E. S. Vrba. Macroevolutionary trends: new perspectives on the roles of adaptation and incidental effect. *Science*, 221(4608):387–389, 1983.