

Evolutionary paleoecology and the biology of extinction

Peter D Smits

Committee on Evolutionary Biology, University of Chicago

January 7, 2014

Introduction and theory

Brachiopods, environmental preference, and extinction

Ecology and survival in Cenozoic mammals

Community connectedness in Cenozoic mammals

Introduction and theory

Brachiopods, environmental preference, and extinction

Ecology and survival in Cenozoic mammals

Community connectedness in Cenozoic mammals

Questions

- ▶ Why do certain taxa go extinct while others do not?
- ▶ How is emergence “formed?” When should it be invoked?
- ▶ Is extinction risk taxon–age independent?
- ▶ When should we expect global, regional, or local processes to dominate?


Evolutionary paleoecology

... the consequences of distinct ecological factors on differential rate dynamics, particularly rates of faunal turnover and diversification.

(Kitchell 1985 *Paleobiology*)

environmental interactions → macroevolution

Emergent properties




(Grantham 1995 *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*)

Species level

Trait that cannot be reduced to organismal level

Product of one or more traits/factors


Range size



(Jablonski 1987 *Science*)

Probability of survival

Theoretical $S(t)$:




Survival function

$$S(t) = P(T > t)$$

- ▶ T : survival time (≥ 0)
- ▶ t : specified time

$\hat{S}(t)$ in practice:




(Kleinbaum and Klein 2012)


Instantaneous potential of failure (extinction)

Hazard function \equiv conditional failure rate

$$h(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P(t \leq T < t + \Delta t | T \geq t)}{\Delta t}$$



Van Valen's observation of survival



(Liow et al. 2011 *TREE*)

Law of Constant Extinction

Definition

Extinction risk in a given adaptive zone is taxon–age independent.

(Van Valen 1973 *Evol. Theory*)

translation: hazard is constant with respect to time
(exponential survival)

$$h(t) = \lambda \iff S(t) = \exp^{-\lambda t}$$

Brachiopods and mammals: a comparison

brachiopods

- ▶ Permian (~ 47 My)
- ▶ marine
- ▶ Australasia
- ▶ global warming
- ▶ sessile

mammals

- ▶ Cenozoic (~ 65 My)
- ▶ terrestrial
- ▶ North America, Europe, South America
- ▶ global cooling
- ▶ motile

Series of related questions

- ▶ generic level survival in brachiopods
 - ▶ ecological traits re. environmental pref. (emergence)
 - ▶ survival distribution
- ▶ specific level survival in mammals
 - ▶ ecological traits re. range size (emergence)
 - ▶ generic versus specific survival
 - ▶ anagenesis/species:genus simulation
 - ▶ survival distribution
- ▶ community connectedness in mammals
 - ▶ global versus regional versus local scale processes
 - ▶ ecological traits (trophic/locomotion)

Introduction and theory

Brachiopods, environmental preference, and extinction


Ecology and survival in Cenozoic mammals

Community connectedness in Cenozoic mammals


Traits relating to environment and range size

- ▶ substrate affinity
 - ▶ physical, chemical
 - ▶ availability
- ▶ habitat preference
 - ▶ energetics
 - ▶ availability
- ▶ affixing strategy
 - ▶ energetics
 - ▶ optimality

Substrate affinity



(Miller and Connolly 2001 *Paleobio.*)



(Foote 2006 *Paleobio.*)

- ▶ carbonates, clastics, mixed
- ▶ lithology/deposition environment
- ▶ Phanerozoic decrease in carbonates:clastics

Habitat preference

- ▶ on-shore, off-shore, none
- ▶ sea-level and energetics
- ▶ Phanerozoic decrease in on-shore:off-shore

Affixing strategy

- ▶ pedunculate, reclining, cementing
- ▶ pedunculate:on-shore, reclining:off-shore
- ▶ environmental energetics

Assigning substrate and habitat

Probability of assignment

$$P(H_1|E) = \frac{P(E|H_1)P(H_1)}{P(E|H_1)P(H_1) + P(E|H_2)P(H_2)}$$
$$P(E|H) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

- ▶ n : total # of occ
- ▶ k : # (e.g.) carbonate occ


(Simpson and Harnik 2009 *Paleobiology*)

Models


Preliminary results: model comparison

formula	distribution	shape	df	logLik	AICc
~ aff	weibull	1.91	4	-497.5745	1003.4543
~ aff + hab	weibull	1.92	6	-496.8553	1006.3618
~ aff * hab	weibull	1.94	10	-495.7702	1013.3003
~ aff:hab	weibull	1.94	11	-495.7702	1015.6693
~ 1	weibull	1.76	2	-515.1666	1034.4234
~ hab	weibull	1.76	4	-513.9591	1036.2236
~ aff	exponential		3	-532.8690	1071.9199
~ aff + hab	exponential		5	-532.5798	1075.6211
~ 1	exponential		1	-540.9218	1083.8734
~ aff * hab	exponential		9	-532.3099	1084.0485
~ aff:hab	exponential		10	-532.3099	1086.3799
~ hab	exponential		3	-540.2811	1086.7439

Preliminary results: best substrate



Preliminary results: best habitat



Introduction and theory

Brachiopods, environmental preference, and extinction


Ecology and survival in Cenozoic mammals

Community connectedness in Cenozoic mammals

Ecological traits

- ▶ dietary category
 - ▶ energetics
 - ▶ availability
- ▶ locomotor category
 - ▶ availability
 - ▶ dispersal
- ▶ body size
 - ▶ energetics
 - ▶ home range size

Predictions: dietary category




- ▶ trophic hierarchy
(stability → duration)
 - ▶ herb: most stable, longest duration
 - ▶ carni: least stable, shortest duration
 - ▶ omni: avg. stability, avg. duration
- ▶ ↑ diversification
 - ▶ ↑ origination; \simeq extinction
 - ▶ \simeq origination; \downarrow extinction


Predictions: locomotor category

- ▶ Paleogene → Neogene
 - ▶ open → closed environment

Predictions: body size



(Liow et al. 2008 PNAS)



(Tomiya 2013 Am. Nat.)

- ▶ ↑ body size, ↑ energy req,
↑ range size, ↓ extinction
- ▶ Europe
 - ▶ lrg body size genera:
↑ extinction
- ▶ North America
 - ▶ generic body size:
 \simeq extinction

Methodology

Biases to survival: a simulation study

- ▶ bias away from $h(t) = \lambda$
 - ▶ species:genus
 - ▶ anagenesis/cryptic speciation
- ▶ time-homogeneous birth-death model
 - ▶ common phylogenetic model
 - ▶ constant p, b
 - ▶ expected $S(t) = \exp^{-\lambda t}$
 - ▶ vary (cryptic) anagenesis

Introduction and theory

Brachiopods, environmental preference, and extinction


Ecology and survival in Cenozoic mammals

Community connectedness in Cenozoic mammals

Definition

The degree to which localities are composed of endemic versus cosmopolitan taxa, and how similar this ratio is across localities.

Biogeographic networks



(Vilhena *et al.* 2013 *Sci. Reports*)

- ▶ taxa: species
- ▶ locality: 2x2 equal-area map projection grid
- ▶ 2 My intervals
- ▶ PBDB, NOW, museum collections, compilations

Average relative number of endemics

$$E = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^L \frac{u_i}{n_i}}{L}$$



- ▶ L : number of localities
- ▶ u : number of taxa unique to a locality
- ▶ n : number of taxa at a locality
- ▶ $0 \leq E \leq 1$

Average relative occupancy per taxon

$$Occ = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{l_i}{L}}{N}$$

- ▶ N : total number of taxa
- ▶ l_i : number of localities a taxon occurs at
- ▶ L : number of localities
- ▶ $0 \leq Occ \leq 1$

Biogeographic connectedness





(Sidor et al. 2013 PNAS)


$$BC = \frac{O - N}{LN - N}$$

- ▶ O : number of occurrences
- ▶ N : total number of taxa
- ▶ L : number of localities
- ▶ $0 \leq BC \leq 1$


Code length



0111100 110 0101 10011 10000 10011 010 0011 10011 00011 10011
000 000 0111 10001 110 011 10001 0111100 00011 10011 00011
0111 110 0111 0111 11011 11010 11000 10100 00001 11000 10001 0111
0000 1010 11010 10111 1001 0100 1001 10111 00100 1001 0100
0111 000 0011 0110 11011 0110 0011 0100 1001 0011 0100 1001 0100
0111 10001 1110 10001 0111 0100 1001 11111 11010 10110 11011
00011




111 0000 11 01 101 100 101 01 0001 0 110 011 00 110 00 111 101 10
111 000 10 111 000 111 10 011 10 000 111 10 111 10 000 10 011 010
011 10 000 111 000 1 0001 0 111 010 100 011 00 111 00 011 100 111
100 111 110 0011 111 01 100 0 100 0 110 111 00 011 100 111
10 111 000 10 00011 111 00001 0 111 010 100 011 100 111 00 011 100 111



111 0000 11 01 101 100 101 01 0001 0 110 011 00 110 00 111 101 10
111 000 10 111 000 111 10 011 10 000 111 10 111 10 000 10 011 010
011 10 000 111 000 1 0001 0 111 010 100 011 00 111 00 011 100 111
100 111 110 0011 111 01 100 0 100 0 110 111 00 011 100 111 00 011 100 111
10 111 000 10 00011 111 00001 0 111 010 100 011 100 111 00 011 100 111

(Rosvall and Bergstrom 2008 PNAS)


Global versus regional versus local scale processes



(Sidor et al. 2013 PNAS)

- ▶ global
 - ▶ corr w/ global climate
 - ▶ multiple regions corr
- ▶ regional
 - ▶ $\downarrow E, \uparrow Occ,$
 $\uparrow BC, \uparrow code$
- ▶ local
 - ▶ $\uparrow E, \downarrow Occ,$
 $\downarrow BC, \downarrow code$
- ▶ not mutually exclusive

Expectations: locomotor category



► arboreal

- ▶ ↑ E , ↑ code
- ▶ ↓ BC, ↓ Occ


► ground dwelling

- ▶ ↓ E , ↓ code
- ▶ ↑ BC, ↑ Occ

► scansorial

- ▶ constant ∨ random

Expectations: dietary category



(Jernvall and Fortelius 2004 *Am. Nat.*)


- ▶ herbivore
 - ▶ most like all taxa
- ▶ carnivore
 - ▶ constant √ corr w/ herbivores
- ▶ omnivore
 - ▶ constant √ random

Community connectedness of North America



Community connectedness of Europe

Community connectedness of South America



Preliminary results: NA, Eur



Preliminary results: locomotor category



Preliminary results: dietary category



Questions

Questions

- ▶ Why do certain taxa go extinct while others do not?
- ▶ How is emergence “formed?” When should it be invoked?
- ▶ Is extinction risk taxon–age independent?
- ▶ When should we expect global, regional, or local processes to dominate?

Summary of proposed research

Studies

- ▶ Permian brachiopod trait based survival
- ▶ Cenozoic mammal trait based survival
- ▶ Cenozoic mammal community connectedness

Acknowledgements

► Committee

- ▶ Kenneth D. Angielczyk
(co-advisor)
- ▶ Michael J. Foote
(co-advisor)
- ▶ P. David Polly
- ▶ Richard H. Ree

► Discussion

- ▶ David Bapst, Megan Boatright, Ben Frable, Colin Kyle, Darcy Ross, Liz Sander
- ▶ John Alroy, Graeme Lloyd, Carl Simpson, Graham Slater

