

DISSERTATION RESEARCH:

Cenozoic mammals and the biology of extinction

PI: Kenneth D. Angielczyk, Co-PI: Peter D. Smits

Intellectual merit

I am proposing to analyze how mammalian species ecology affects both spatial distribution and temporal duration. This fossil record gives me the unique opportunity to model a much more complex relationships that are both spatially and temporally explicit than is normally possible in the fossil record.

The Law of Constant Extinction, that extinction is taxon age independent [79], is a foundational statement underlying many approaches to quantifying extinction intensity even if only tacitly [5, 20, 21, 23, 58, 66]. As this law has become increasingly under fire for a variety of reasons [16, 17, 58, 66], it has become increasingly important to explicitly model and test the validity of this claim. The survival analytical approach outlined above is an explicit statistical framework for estimating the effect of taxon age on survival.

Spatial and temporal resolution of the mammalian fossil record provides a unique opportunity to study changes in spatial relations over long periods of time. By taking an alternative and relatively new approach to identifying and quantifying biotic provinces [67, 81, 82], analysis of changes in the degree endemism and relative spatial similarity of whole continents can be accomplished. Changes in provinciality are associated with changes in community stability and the heterogeneity of selective pressures [67, 82]. By estimating changes in the spatial heterogeneity of selection along with complementary ecologically explicit survival analysis, it may be possible to actually address the major question of “why do species go extinct at different rates?”

The record of mammalian evolution is one of the corner stones of paleobiology [69], though analysis of this record has been historically restricted to North America and Europe, only. The inclusion of South America into the analysis of mammalian diversification will provide a much needed Southern Hemisphere perspective and will greatly improve the generality of these analyses and conclusions. One of the major limiting factors preventing the inclusion of South America in large-scale studies of Cenozoic mammal evolution is that the majority of this literature is in Spanish. This lack of exposure is similar to the lament that, in the mid 20th Century, the work of continental authors writing in German were under represented in paleontological syntheses [27]. My hope is that through this study much of the information seekingly locked away will be made available to the paleobiological community.

Broader impacts

My past and current research are based out of natural history museum collections which has let me be involved in some wonderful outreach programs. In Seattle, I participated for my entire undergraduate experience as an expert on both marsupial mammals and fossil mammals with the “Meet the Mammals” and “Dino Day” programs at the Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture. These programs were designed specifically to reach out to children and provide them the opportunity to see and touch specimens, providing the opportunity to interact directly with the museums collections. I plan to continue to participate in similar outreach programs at the Field Museum of Natural History in Chicago in order to possibly inspire future scientists.

Most of the literature on South American fossil mammals is in Spanish and is effectively unavailable to many researchers. One of my goals with this study is to open up this literature. I am committed to providing whatever data I gather will be made available through the Dryad data archiving service (<http://datadryad.org/>) and will be entered into the Paleobiological Database (PBDB, <http://paleodb.org/>) so that South America will continue to be included in future paleobiological studies.

Mental health of students and researchers is both important and an interest of mine. My time spent on campuses around the world has let me observe many of the different and complex scenarios people encounter and have to overcome. I (PDS) recently became involved with GSMHAB, a graduate student group involved with outreach, communication, and improvement of mental health services on the University of Chicago campus. The group specifically targets graduate students which make up two-thirds of the campus’ student population. Additionally, I will be serving as a peer mentor to entering first-year graduate students through the SAIL program at the university.

Introduction

Background

Why species go extinct and at different rates remains one of the most fundamental questions in paleobiology. It is expected that for the majority of geological time, extinction is biologically non-random [1, 28, 32, 40, 41, 52, 53]. Determining which biological traits influence extinction risk and how is vital for understanding the differential diversification of life during the Phanerozoic. The Law of Constant Extinction [79] posits that extinction risk of taxa within a given adaptive zone is age independent (memoryless), however the generality of this statement is possibly suspect [16, 17, 58, 66]. Periods of background extinction provide a great opportunity to study how traits are related to survival because they represent the majority of geologic time, remain relatively predictable and change slowly [32, 61]. By analyzing survival patterns within adaptive zones during periods of background extinction, it should be possible to both estimate the effects of various ecological strategies on survival and determine if extinction is described as age independent or dependent.

For example, species with larger geographic ranges tend to have lower extinction rates than species with smaller geographic ranges [29, 32, 35, 52, 65]. This pattern is expected given purely stochastic (i.e. biologically random) extinction [60]. This extinction pattern does not provide a mechanistic

explanation of differential extinction risk between taxa. In this example, how range size is formed varies between clades and thus remains a black box for most taxa [33] and so it is impossible to determine if differential extinction is caused purely by chance or purely the product of selection. By modeling extinction via traits related to environmental preference, the relative importance of either of these two extremes can be elucidated.

Species do not exist in a vacuum but must interact with their environment, defined here after Simpson [69] as the set of all possible biotic and abiotic interactors. The set of interactions experienced by a taxon is called the adaptive zone. Environmental availability and stability are crucial for both the establishment and persistence of species. The quantification of all or most interactions in a community is difficult at best in a paleontological context, though has been accomplished for small temporal windows and limited spatial scales [7, 51, 62]. Instead, here we focus on spatially co-occurring taxa which are a more general subset of the environment and can be studied at a greater temporal duration. This is similar to the demarcation of biomes as opposed to food webs [81]. By tracking the number, membership, and similarity of communities over time and how this relates to autecology it should be possible to estimate spatial heterogeneity of selection pressure.

It is under this framework that I propose to study how ecological traits associated with environmental preference have affected both Cenozoic mammal spatio-temporal dynamics and extinction risk. Cenozoic mammals represent an ideal group and time period because their the fossil record is well sampled, well resolved both temporally and spatially, and the ecology and phylogeny of individual species is generally understood [4, 6, 38, 43, 72]. I am testing the following hypotheses: how does ecology affect the spatial distribution of taxa through time, is extinction non-random with respect to biology, and is extinction taxon age independent? By leveraging this record and integrating over these hypotheses, I am able ask the question “why do species go extinct at different rates?”

The funds from this grant will allow for significant enhancement of these projects through the inclusion of a third and rarely integrated continent: South America [49, 77]. Large spatial and temporal scale analyses and syntheses of the mammal fossil record have been restricted to North American and Europe and lack information from the Southern Hemisphere [2, 3, 6, 24, 37–39, 43, 57, 78]. By including the South American along with the North American and European mammal fossil records I will be able to better understand both spatio-temporal dynamics and extinction risk at both regional and global scales

Study system

Mammals are motile organisms which can track their preferred environment over time and space. Three important traits that describe the relationship between mammals and their environmental context are body size, dietary category, and locomotor category [13, 14, 39, 44, 45, 72, 73]. Each of these traits describe different aspects of a taxon’s adaptive zone such as energetic cost, population density, expected home range size, set of potential prey items, and dispersal ability. I will be studying how these traits affect both spatial distribution of taxa and extinction risk in Cenozoic mammals from North America, Europe, and South America.

Dietary category roughly describes the trophic position of a taxon and its distance from primary productivity. The categories used here are coarse groupings of similar ecologies: carnivore, herbivore,

omnivore, and insectivore. The first three of these represent commonly used groupings of mammals in paleontological studies [39, 55], while the fourth is understudied biologically important class. Mammalian herbivores and carnivores have been found to have a greater diversification rate than omnivores [55] which may indicate that these traits are better for survival. An increase in diversification can be due to either an increase in speciation relative to extinction or a decrease in extinction relative to speciation. Which scenario occurred, however, is impossible to determine from a phylogeny of only extant organisms [56]. By analyzing fossil record of extinct organisms the results of Price et al. [55] can be better understood from a mechanistic perspective.

Locomotor categories describe the motility of a taxon, plausibility of occurrence, and dispersal ability. Dispersal ability is important for determining both the extent of a taxon's geographic range and ability to track changing environments [10, 25, 34] which then affects both extinction risk and community similarity. Here, mammals are categorized as either arboreal, ground dwelling, or scansorial. With the transition from primarily closed to open environments during the Cenozoic [11, 36, 76, 77], it is expected that arboreal taxa during the Paleogene will have a greater expected duration than Neogene taxa while the opposite will be true for ground dwelling taxa. In comparison, taxon duration of scansorial taxa is expected to remain relatively similar between the two time periods because it represents a mixed environmental preference that may be viable in either closed or open environments.

Body size, here defined as mass, has an associated energetic cost in order to maintain homeostasis which in turn necessitates a supply of prey items. Many life history traits are associated with body size such as reproductive rate, metabolic rate, and home range size [12, 13, 54, 72]. Body size may affect extinction risk because as body size increases, home range size increases [13] and if individual home range size scales up to reflect a species geographic range, this would mean that extinction risk would decrease. This expectation may be flawed and a plausible alternative scenario is that as body size increases, reproductive rate decreases [40], populations get smaller [84], and generations get longer [50] all of which increase extinction risk. A negative relationship between mammal body size and taxon duration has been observed [15, 43] though this is inconsistent between continents [43, 78]. By expanding to include a third continent, South America, and analyzing species level data I hope to elucidate how differences in taxonomic diversity at a continental level might affect body size mediated extinction rate.

Preliminary results and proposed work

Community connectedness

Community connectedness will be measured via a network theoretic approach where taxa and localities are connected in a bipartite network [67, 81, 82]. In this application, a bipartite network is where a taxon and a particular spatial area are defined as nodes. An occurrence of a species at a particular area creates a link between that species node and that area node. Links are defined only as taxon-locality occurrences, so species cannot be connected to species and spatial nodes cannot be connected to spatial nodes. For the temporal unit of interest, a biogeographic network is made between all observed taxa and occupied spatial units.

This strategy allows for taxon occurrences to be analyzed whole-sale without use of a possibly arbitrary similarity metric to summarize the pair-wise relationships of all communities [67]. An additional advantage of this approach is the application of alternative measures of community structure such as map equation [63, 64] which estimates the number of modules in a network based on it's topology. A module in this context is a set of taxa and localities which are more connected to each other than to other modules, effectively a biome or province. This approach has been used in the study of communities at the Permo-Triassic boundary [67] and bivaive provinces at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary [82]. Here, I propose to apply this approach to the mammalian record for the entire Cenozoic.

In the context of this study, taxa are defined as species and spatial units are from a uniform latitude-longitude grid. Because of the high spatio-temporal resolution of the mammalian fossil record for North America and Europe [4, 24, 39, 48], temporal units are 2 million year (My) bins and spatial units are from a 2x2 grid.

The four measures of community structure used here are relative number of taxa unique to a locality, relative taxon provincial occupancy, relative number of taxa per province, and total network code length. Code length is an information theoretic measure of how most efficiently to divide a network into modules and acts here as a measure of total spatial similarity [63, 64]. Biogeographic networks for taxa in the dietary and locomotor categories described above will be similarly analyzed.

In addition to these four measures of community structure, I will measure phylogenetic similarity of the taxa at a locality. Phylogeny may play an important role in community structuring where closely related taxa may be “repulsed” due to competitive exclusion or “clumped” because of environmental filtering [83].

While it is infeasible to infer an explicit phylogenetic hypothesis for all observed mammal species on all continents, all taxa have some degree of hierarchical information. By combining multiple large scale extinct mammal phylogenies with taxonomic information, an informal phylogeny of all observed species can be constructed. From there, measures of phylogenetic similarity such as the phylogenetic species variability [30] can be estimated for every locality.

Preliminary results are restricted to North America and Europe. Fossil occurrence information was downloaded from both the PBDB and the Neogene Old World Database (NOW; <http://www.helsinki.fi/science/now/>). Dietary and locomotor assignments for each species were taken from the PBDB and the NOW. Comparisons of locality phylogenetic similarity have yet to be analyzed. These results are primarily exploratory.

GRAPHS AND EXPLANATION OF GRAPHS GO HERE

Future analysis of these network measures will be done as a hierarchical Bayesian time series model where, for each continent, the different ecological combinations are exchangeable samples from a common underlying continent-specific mammalian distributional pattern. Because only two continents are currently sampled, stable estimates of an additional hierarchical level where continents are drawn from a common underlying global mammal distributional pattern is not possible [26]. The inclusion of a third continent, South America, would allow for potentially stable estimates of a underlying common global mammal distributional pattern.

Extinction risk

Mammalian species durations will be modeled in a survival analysis framework where the effect of the above traits can be quantified as the effect upon a taxon's duration. This form of analysis has a long history in paleobiology [9, 19, 69, 70, 79, 80] but has fallen out of favor in recent years. Importantly, because some taxa may have originated before the Cenozoic or not have gone extinct yet, this information can be explicitly modeled as a censored duration which increases the total information included in the model. What makes survival analysis different from various linear modeling strategies such as logistic regression is that both duration and event occurrence are incorporated explicitly. In logistic regression, for example, only event occurrence is modeled, while in linear regression only duration is modeled. Importantly, this particular analytical framework has become quite mature since it's apparent abandonment by paleontology as it is commonly used in epidemiology, engineering, and demography [42].

Many paleontological analyses of extinction selectivity and survivorship are performed at the generic level [17, 22, 29, 43, 78] there are potential biases in accurately modeling a specific level process using generic level data [58, 59, 66, 68, 80]. Differences in species and generic level extinction risk can be attributed to speciation. Namely, if a trait has no effect on extinction risk at the specific level but decreases generic extinction risk this is most likely caused by a higher speciation rates in taxa exhibiting that trait. Because of this potentially elucidating property, I will be analyzing both species and generic level extinction risk. Importantly, this moves beyond simple analysis of diversification rates but partially decomposes the two aspects of diversification: speciation and extinction.

The current analyses are restricted to North America and Europe principally because of data availability. Fossil occurrence information was downloaded from both the PBDB and the NOW. As with the study of community connectedness described above, dietary and locomotor assignments for each species were taken from the PBDB and the NOW. Body size estimates are based on a combination of measurement data from the PBDB, NOW, and a species by species literature search. These estimates are based on the common practice of using regression equations for estimating mass from measures such as tooth area or skull length [3, 4, 39, 71, 78].

Duration was measured as the difference between first appearance (FAD) and last appearance (LAD), in millions of years. This value is most certainly a truncated version of the true species duration and so some amount of correction must be made for sighting failure [5, 74, 75].

Preliminary analyses were performed solely within a maximum likelihood and multi-model inference framework. Duration information was fit to either an exponential or Weibull distribution which are both common distributions for waiting times. The exponential distribution is a special case of the Weibull distribution where the scale (acceleration-deceleration) parameter k is set to 1. This means that the exponential distribution corresponds to age-independent extinction or the Law of Constant Extinction [79] while the Weibull distribution allows for age-dependence. Dietary and locomotor categories were broken up into $r - 1$ binary predictor variables, where r is the number of unique states for both traits, for a total of five binary predictors. A constant term was also estimated which corresponds to the remaining dietary and locomotor states. For North America the final biological predictor was natural log of body mass. This has not yet been included in the analysis of the European record that data collection effort is not yet completed.

In addition to species traits, measures of climate and geographic range were also included as continuous predictors of duration. Climate was proxied via mean δO^{18} isotope value as calculated from Zachos et al. [85] over the duration of the species. Mean provincial occupancy over the duration of the species was calculated using the network theoretic approach described above.

As is common practice, the k parameter of the Weibull distribution was estimated as a single constant [42].

GRAPHS AND EXPLANATION OF GRAPHS GO HERE

Future modeling work will be done in a purely Bayesian framework in order to 1) better quantify the uncertainty with which estimates are made, 2) combine the analysis of the different continents in a hierarchical framework in order to estimate a common underlying distribution of survival times, 3) incorporate phylogenetic distance into a prior on a frailty coefficient [8, 31] to account for potential phylogenetic autocorrelation in species durations, and 4) to move on a continuous model expansion framework as opposed to a discrete model choice one [26]. All of these changes will dramatically improve the interpretability and meaning of the results. Importantly, estimates under goal 2 would not be possible without the inclusion the inclusion of a third continent, South America.

For example, a unique aspect of the South American record is the presence of multiple orders that are rare or completely absent from other continents of which there are multiple ungulate-like orders (e.g. Notoungulata) [18, 46, 47, 49]. These convergent orders combined with the other ungulate-like orders present in North American and Europe make it possible to estimate, in a hierarchical Bayesian framework, the order specific distributions of taxon durations as well as the underlying distribution common to all ungulate-like taxa [26].

References Cited

- [1] R. R. Alexander. Generic longevity of articulate brachiopods in relation to the mode of stabilization on the substrate. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 21:209–226, 1977.
- [2] J. Alroy. Constant extinction, constrained diversification, and uncoordinated stasis in North American mammals. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 127:285–311, 1996.
- [3] J. Alroy. Cope’s rule and the dynamics of body mass evolution in North American fossil mammals. *Science*, 280:731–734, 1998. doi: 10.1126/science.280.5364.731.
- [4] J. Alroy. Speciation and extinction in the fossil record of North American mammals. In R. K. Butlin, J. R. Bridle, and D. Schluter, editors, *Speciation and patterns of diversity*, pages 302–323. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [5] J. Alroy. A simple Bayesian method of inferring extinction. *Paleobiology*, 40(4):584–607, July 2014. ISSN 0094-8373. doi: 10.1666/13074. URL <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1666/13074>.
- [6] J. Alroy, P. L. Koch, and J. C. Zachos. Global climate change and North American mammalian evolution. *Paleobiology*, 26(1981):259–288, 2000.

- [7] K. Angielczyk, P. D. Roopnarine, and S. C. Wang. Modeling the role of primary productivity disruption in end-Permian extinctions, Karoo Basin, South Africa. *The Nonmarine Permian, New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin*, 30:16–23, 2005.
- [8] S. Banerjee, M. M. Wall, and B. P. Carlin. Frailty modeling for spatially correlated survival data, with application to infant mortality in Minnesota. *Biostatistics (Oxford, England)*, 4(1):123–42, Jan. 2003. ISSN 1465-4644. doi: 10.1093/biostatistics/4.1.123. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12925334>.
- [9] T. K. Baumiller. Survivorship analysis of Paleozoic Crinoidea: effect of filter morphology on evolutionary rates. *Paleobiology*, 19(3):304–321, 1993.
- [10] A. Birand, A. Vose, and S. Gavrilets. Patterns of species ranges, speciation, and extinction. *The American naturalist*, 179(1):1–21, Jan. 2012. ISSN 1537-5323. doi: 10.1086/663202. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22173457>.
- [11] J. L. Blois and E. A. Hadly. Mammalian Response to Cenozoic Climatic Change. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 37(1):181–208, May 2009. ISSN 0084-6597. doi: 10.1146/annurev.earth.031208.100055.
- [12] J. H. Brown and B. A. Maurer. Evolution of species assemblages: effects of energetic constraints and species dynamics on the diversification of the North American avifauna. *The American Naturalist*, 130(1):1–17, 1987.
- [13] J. Damuth. Home range, home range overlap, and species energy use among herbivorous mammals. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 15:185–193, 1979.
- [14] J. Damuth. Population density and body size in mammals. *Nature*, 290:699–700, 1981. URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2461771><http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v290/n5808/abs/290699a0.html>.
- [15] A. D. Davidson, A. G. Boyer, H. Kim, S. Pompa-Mansilla, M. J. Hamilton, D. P. Costa, G. Ceballos, and J. H. Brown. Drivers and hotspots of extinction risk in marine mammals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(9):3395–400, Feb. 2012. ISSN 1091-6490. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1121469109. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3295301&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
- [16] J. M. Drake. Tail probabilities of extinction time in a large number of experimental populations. *Ecology*, page 140206083444001, Feb. 2014. ISSN 0012-9658. doi: 10.1890/13-1107.1. URL <http://www.esajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1890/13-1107.1>.
- [17] S. Finnegan, J. L. Payne, and S. C. Wang. The Red Queen revisited: reevaluating the age selectivity of Phanerozoic marine genus extinctions. *Paleobiology*, 34(3):318–341, Sept. 2008. ISSN 0094-8373. doi: 10.1666/07008.1. URL <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1666/07008.1>.
- [18] J. J. Flynn and A. R. Wyss. Recent advances in South American mammalian paleontology. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 13(11):449–54, Nov. 1998. ISSN 0169-5347. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21238387>.

- [19] M. Foote. Survivorship analysis of Cambrian and Ordovician Trilobites. *Paleobiology*, 14(3): 258–271, 1988.
- [20] M. Foote. Estimating taxonomic durations and preservation probability. *Paleobiology*, 23(3): 278–300, 1997. URL <http://www.psjournals.org/paleoonline/?request=get-abstract&issn=0094-8373&volume=23&issue=3&page=278>.
- [21] M. Foote. Origination and extinction components of taxonomic diversity: general problems. *Paleobiology*, 26(sp4):74–102, Dec. 2000. ISSN 0094-8373. doi: 10.1666/0094-8373(2000)26[74: OAECOT]2.0.CO;2. URL <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1666/0094-8373%282000%2926%5B74%3A0AECOT%5D2.0.CO%3B2>.
- [22] M. Foote. Substrate affinity and diversity dynamics of Paleozoic marine animals. *Paleobiology*, 32(3):345–366, Sept. 2006. ISSN 0094-8373. doi: 10.1666/05062.1. URL <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1666/05062.1>.
- [23] M. Foote and D. Raup. Fossil preservation and the stratigraphic ranges of taxa. *Paleobiology*, 22(2):121–140, 1996. URL <http://www.psjournals.org/paleoonline/?request=get-abstract&issn=0094-8373&volume=022&issue=02&page=0121>.
- [24] M. Fortelius, J. Eronen, J. Jernvall, L. Liu, D. Pushkina, J. Rinne, A. Tesakov, I. Vislobokova, Z. Zhang, and L. Zhou. Fossil mammals resolve regional patterns of Eurasian climate change over 20 million years. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, 4:1005–1016, 2002.
- [25] K. J. Gaston. Geographic range limits: achieving synthesis. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, 276(1661):1395–406, Apr. 2009. ISSN 0962-8452. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2008.1480. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2677218&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
- [26] A. Gelman, J. B. Carlin, H. S. Stern, D. B. Dunson, A. Vehtari, and D. B. Rubin. *Bayesian data analysis*. Chapman and Hall, Boca Raton, FL, 3 edition, 2013.
- [27] S. J. Gould and R. Lewontin. The Spandrels of San Marco and the Panglossian Paradigm: A critique of the adaptationist programme. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 205(1161):581–598, 1979.
- [28] P. G. Harnik. Direct and indirect effects of biological factors on extinction risk in fossil bivalves. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108(33):13594–9, Aug. 2011. ISSN 1091-6490. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1100572108. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3158225&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
- [29] P. G. Harnik, C. Simpson, and J. L. Payne. Long-term differences in extinction risk among the seven forms of rarity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, (October), Oct. 2013. ISSN 0962-8452. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2012.1902. URL <http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/cgi/doi/10.1098/rspb.2012.1902>.
- [30] M. R. Helmus, T. J. Bland, C. K. Williams, and A. R. Ives. Phylogenetic Measures of Biodiversity. *The American naturalist*, 169(3), Jan. 2007. ISSN 1537-5323. doi: 10.1086/511334. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17230400>.

- [31] J. G. Ibrahim, M.-H. Chen, and D. Sinha. *Bayesian Survival Analysis*. Springer, New York, 2001.
- [32] D. Jablonski. Background and mass extinctions: the alternation of macroevolutionary regimes. *Science*, 231(4734):129–133, 1986.
- [33] D. Jablonski. Heritability at the species level: analysis of geographic ranges of cretaceous mollusks. *Science*, 238(4825):360–363, Oct. 1987. ISSN 0036-8075. doi: 10.1126/science.238.4825.360. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17837117>.
- [34] D. Jablonski and G. Hunt. Larval ecology, geographic range, and species survivorship in Cretaceous mollusks: organismic versus species-level explanations. *The American naturalist*, 168(4):556–64, Oct. 2006. ISSN 1537-5323. doi: 10.1086/507994. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17004227>.
- [35] D. Jablonski and K. Roy. Geographical range and speciation in fossil and living molluscs. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, 270(1513):401–6, Feb. 2003. ISSN 0962-8452. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2243. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1691247&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
- [36] C. M. Janis. Tertiary mammal evolution in the context of changing climates, vegetation, and tectonic events. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 24:467–500, 1993.
- [37] C. M. Janis, J. Damuth, and J. M. Theodor. Miocene ungulates and terrestrial primary productivity: where have all the browsers gone? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(14):7899–904, July 2000. ISSN 0027-8424. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=16642&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
- [38] J. Jernvall and M. Fortelius. Common mammals drive the evolutionary increase of hypsodonty in the Neogene. *Nature*, 417(6888):538–40, May 2002. ISSN 0028-0836. doi: 10.1038/417538a.
- [39] J. Jernvall and M. Fortelius. Maintenance of trophic structure in fossil mammal communities: site occupancy and taxon resilience. *American Naturalist*, 164(5):614–624, Nov. 2004. ISSN 1537-5323. doi: 10.1086/424967.
- [40] C. N. Johnson. Determinants of loss of mammal species during the Late Quaternary ‘megafauna’ extinctions: life history and ecology, but not body size. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 269:2221–2227, 2002. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2130.
- [41] J. A. Kitchell, D. L. Clark, and A. M. Gombos. Biological selectivity of extinction: a link between background and mass extinction. *Palaeos*, 1(5):504–511, 1986.
- [42] D. G. Kleinbaum and M. Klein. *Survival analysis: a self-learning text*. Springer, New York, NY, 2 edition, 2005.
- [43] L. H. Liow, M. Fortelius, E. Bingham, K. Lintulaakso, H. Mannila, L. Flynn, and N. C. Stenseth. Higher origination and extinction rates in larger mammals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(16):6097, 2008. URL papers2://publication/uuid/5A93DDA3-204F-4D9C-AFAC-A15722A18C61.
- [44] S. K. Lyons. A quantitative model for assessing community dynamics of pleistocene mammals.

The American naturalist, 165(6):E168–85, June 2005. ISSN 1537-5323. doi: 10.1086/429699. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15937741>.

[45] S. K. Lyons, P. J. Wagner, and K. Dzikiewicz. Ecological correlates of range shifts of Late Pleistocene mammals. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences*, 365(1558):3681–93, Nov. 2010. ISSN 1471-2970. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0263. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2981999&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.

[46] B. J. Macfadden. Origin and evolution of the grazing guild in New World terrestrial mammals. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 12(5):182–187, 1997.

[47] B. J. Macfadden. Extinct mammalian biodiversity of the ancient New World tropics. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 21(3):157–65, Mar. 2006. ISSN 0169-5347. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2005.12.003. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16701492>.

[48] J. D. Marcot. The fossil record and macroevolutionary history of North American ungulate ungulate mammals: standardizing variation in intensity and geography of sampling. *Paleobiology*, 40(2):237–254, Feb. 2014. ISSN 0094-8373. doi: 10.1666/13052. URL <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1666/13052>.

[49] L. G. Marshall, S. D. Webb, J. J. Sepkoski, and D. M. Raup. Mammalian evolution and the Great American interchange. *Science*, 215(4538):1351–1357, 1982.

[50] A. P. Martin and S. R. Palumbi. Body size, metabolic rate, generation time, and the molecular clock. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 90(9):4087–91, May 1993. ISSN 0027-8424. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=46451&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.

[51] J. S. Mitchell, P. D. Roopnarine, and K. D. Angielczyk. Late Cretaceous restructuring of terrestrial communities facilitated the end-Cretaceous mass extinction in North America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(46):18857–61, Nov. 2012. ISSN 1091-6490. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1202196109. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3503193&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.

[52] S. Nürnberg and M. Aberhan. Habitat breadth and geographic range predict diversity dynamics in marine Mesozoic bivalves. *Paleobiology*, 39(3):360–372, Apr. 2013. ISSN 0094-8373. doi: 10.1666/12047. URL <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1666/12047>.

[53] J. L. Payne and S. Finnegan. The effect of geographic range on extinction risk during background and mass extinction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104(25):10506–11, June 2007. ISSN 0027-8424. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0701257104. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1890565&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.

[54] R. H. Peters. *The ecological implications of body size*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.

[55] S. A. Price, S. S. B. Hopkins, K. K. Smith, and V. L. Roth. Tempo of trophic evolution and its impact on mammalian diversification. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*

the United States of America, 109(18):7008–12, May 2012. ISSN 1091-6490. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1117133109. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22509033>.

[56] D. L. Rabosky. Extinction rates should not be estimated from molecular phylogenies. *Evolution*, 64(6):1816–24, June 2010. ISSN 1558-5646. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00926.x. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20030708>.

[57] P. Raia, C. Meloro, A. Loy, and C. Barbera. Species occupancy and its course in the past: macroecological patterns in extinct communities. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, 8:181–194, 2006.

[58] D. M. Raup. Taxonomic survivorship curves and Van Valen’s Law. *Paleobiology*, 1(1):82–96, Jan. 1975. ISSN 0036-8075. doi: 10.1126/science.49.1254.50. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17777225>.

[59] D. M. Raup. A kill curve for Phanerozoic marine species. *Paleobiology*, 17(1):37–48, 1991.

[60] D. M. Raup. *Extinction: Bad Genes or Bad Luck?* Norton, New York, 1991.

[61] D. M. Raup and G. E. Boyajian. Patterns of generic extinction in the fossil record. *Paleobiology*, 14(2):109–125, 1988.

[62] P. D. Roopnarine, K. D. Angielczyk, S. C. Wang, and R. Hertog. Trophic network models explain instability of Early Triassic terrestrial communities. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, 274(1622):2077–86, Sept. 2007. ISSN 0962-8452. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2007.0515. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2706195&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.

[63] M. Rosvall and C. T. Bergstrom. Maps of random walks on complex networks reveal community structure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(4):1118–23, Jan. 2008. ISSN 1091-6490. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0706851105. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2234100&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.

[64] M. Rosvall, D. Axelsson, and C. Bergstrom. The map equation. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*, 178(14):13–24, 2009. URL <http://www.springerlink.com/index/H8193132U6432363.pdf>.

[65] K. Roy, G. Hunt, D. Jablonski, A. Z. Krug, and J. W. Valentine. A macroevolutionary perspective on species range limits. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, 276(1661):1485–93, Apr. 2009. ISSN 0962-8452. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2008.1232. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2677224&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.

[66] J. J. Sepkoski. Stratigraphic biases in the analysis of taxonomic survivorship. *Paleobiology*, 1(4):343–355, 1975.

[67] C. A. Sidor, D. A. Vilhena, K. D. Angielczyk, A. K. Huttenlocker, S. J. Nesbitt, B. R. Peacock, J. S. Steyer, R. M. H. Smith, and L. A. Tsuji. Provincialization of terrestrial faunas following the end-Permian mass extinction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(20):8129–33, May 2013. ISSN 1091-6490. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1302323110.

- [68] C. Simpson. *Levels of selection and large-scale morphological trends*. PhD thesis, University of Chicago, 2006.
- [69] G. G. Simpson. *Tempo and Mode in Evolution*. Columbia University Press, New York, 1944.
- [70] G. G. Simpson. *The Major Features of Evolution*. Columbia University Press, New York, 1953.
- [71] G. J. Slater. Phylogenetic evidence for a shift in the mode of mammalian body size evolution at the Cretaceous-Palaeogene boundary. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4(8):734–744, Aug. 2013. ISSN 2041210X. doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12084. URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/2041-210X.12084>.
- [72] F. A. Smith, J. Brown, J. Haskell, and S. Lyons. Similarity of mammalian body size across the taxonomic hierarchy and across space and *The American Naturalist*, 2004. URL <http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/382898papers2://publication/uuid/D5606802-FD91-49EB-BE2F-E2D314A5E71D>.
- [73] F. A. Smith, S. K. Lyons, S. Morgan Ernest, and J. H. Brown. Macroecology: more than the division of food and space among species on continents. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 32(2):115–138, Apr. 2008. ISSN 0309-1333. doi: 10.1177/0309133308094425. URL <http://ppg.sagepub.com/cgi/doi/10.1177/0309133308094425>.
- [74] A. Solow and W. Smith. On fossil preservation and the stratigraphic ranges of taxa. *Paleobiology*, 23(3):271–277, 1997. URL <http://www.ps.journals.org/doi/abs/10.1666/0094-8373-23.3.271>.
- [75] D. Strauss and P. M. Sadler. Classical confidence intervals and Bayesian probability estimates for ends of local taxon ranges. *Mathematical Geology*, 21(4):411–427, May 1989. ISSN 0882-8121. doi: 10.1007/BF00897326. URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF00897326>.
- [76] C. A. E. Strömberg. Decoupled taxonomic radiation and ecological expansion of open-habitat grasses in the Cenozoic of North America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102(34):11980–4, Aug. 2005. ISSN 0027-8424. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0505700102. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1189350&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
- [77] C. A. E. Strömberg, R. E. Dunn, R. H. Madden, M. J. Kohn, and A. A. Carlini. Decoupling the spread of grasslands from the evolution of grazer-type herbivores in South America. *Nature communications*, 4:1478, Jan. 2013. ISSN 2041-1723. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2508. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23403579>.
- [78] S. Tomiya. Body Size and Extinction Risk in Terrestrial Mammals Above the Species Level. *The American Naturalist*, pages E000–E000, Sept. 2013. ISSN 00030147. doi: 10.1086/673489. URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/info/10.1086/673489>.
- [79] L. Van Valen. A new evolutionary law. *Evolutionary Theory*, 1:1–30, 1973. URL <http://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/10011264287/>.
- [80] L. Van Valen. Taxonomic survivorship curves. *Evolutionary Theory*, 4:129–142, 1979.

- [81] D. A. Vilhena. *Boundaries and dynamics of biomes*. PhD thesis, University of Washington, 2013.
- [82] D. A. Vilhena, E. B. Harris, C. T. Bergstrom, M. E. Maliska, P. D. Ward, C. A. Sidor, C. A. E. Strömberg, and G. P. Wilson. Bivalve network reveals latitudinal selectivity gradient at the end-Cretaceous mass extinction. *Scientific reports*, 3:1790, May 2013. ISSN 2045-2322. doi: 10.1038/srep01790.
- [83] C. O. Webb, D. D. Ackerly, M. a. McPeck, and M. J. Donoghue. Phylogenies and Community Ecology. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 33(1):475–505, Nov. 2002. ISSN 0066-4162. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150448. URL <http://arjournals.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146%2Fannurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150448>.
- [84] E. P. White, S. K. M. Ernest, A. J. Kerkhoff, and B. J. Enquist. Relationships between body size and abundance in ecology. *TRENDS in Ecology and Evolution*, 22(6): 323–30, June 2007. ISSN 0169-5347. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2007.03.007. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17399851>.
- [85] J. C. Zachos, G. R. Dickens, and R. E. Zeebe. An early Cenozoic perspective on greenhouse warming and carbon-cycle dynamics. *Nature*, 451(7176):279–283, Jan. 2008. ISSN 1476-4687. doi: 10.1038/nature06588.