## SPEED DATING DATASET

## VIGNESH J MURALIDHARAN

Introduction: In the growing fastness of this world youths are looking for a partner to get marry through internet and dating websites. To reveal these how youth are looking for their partners we have a dataset from one of the online website to analyze and see. Speed dating is a formalized matchmaking process whose purpose is to encourage eligible singles to meet large numbers of new potential partners in a very short period. It was first created in 1998 by a Los Angeles based television. Over 100 companies in the US have created the websites to register and take survey on their experience and one of the companies' website dataset is what I am going to analyze.

<u>Data Reference:</u> Open ML website: <a href="http://www.stat.columbia.edu/~gelman/arm/examples/speed.dating/">http://www.stat.columbia.edu/~gelman/arm/examples/speed.dating/</a>

<u>Relevant paper:</u> Raymond Fisman; Sheena S. Iyengar; Emir Kamenica; Itamar Simonson. Gender Differences in Mate Selection: Evidence From a Speed Dating Experiment. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, Volume 121, 1 May 2006

<u>Data Information</u>: This data was gathered from participants from 2002-2004 during the event the attendees would have 4 min "first date" with every other participant of the opposite sex. At the end of their 4 min, participants were asked if they would like to see their date again. They were also asked to rate their date on six attributes: Attractiveness, Sincerity, Intelligence, Fun, Ambition and shared interest. The dataset also includes questionnaire data gathered from participants at different points in the process. These fields includes demographics, dating habits, self-perception across key attributes, beliefs on what others find valuable in the partner and lifestyle information.

<u>Dim (data)</u> => 8378 rows \* 123 features

Attributes of the data: Similar Questions were asked with the partner also and their responses also looks similar

- 1. Gender
- 2. age: Age of self
- 3. age o: Age of partner
- d\_age: Difference in age
- 5. race: Race of self
- 6. race o: Race of partner
- 7. samerace: same race or not.
- 8. importance\_same\_race: partner is of same race?
- 9. importance same religion: partner has same religion?
- 10. field: Field of study
- 11. pref\_o\_attractive: partner rate attractiveness
- 12. pref o sinsere: partner rate sincerity
- 13. pref\_o\_intelligence: partner rate intelligence
- 14. pref\_o\_funny: partner rate being funny
- 15. pref o ambitious: partner rate ambition
- pref\_o\_shared\_interests: partner rate having shared interests
- attractive\_o: Rating by partner (about me) on attractiveness
- 18. sincere\_o: Rating by partner (about me) on sincerity
- intelligence\_o: Rating by partner (about me) on intelligence
- 20. funny o: Rating by partner (about me) on being funny
- 21. ambitous\_o: Rating by partner (about me) on being ambitious

- 22. shared\_interests\_o: by partner (about me) on shared interest
- 23. attractive\_important: you look for in a partner attractiveness
- 24. sincere important: you look for in a partner sincerity
- 25. intellicence\_important: you look for in a partner intelligence
- 26. funny\_important: you look for in a partner being funny
- 27. ambtition important: you look for in a partner ambition
- 28. shared\_interests\_important: you look for in a partner shared interests
- 29. attractive: Rate yourself attractiveness
- 30. sincere: Rate yourself sincerity
- 31. intelligence: Rate yourself intelligence
- 32. funny: Rate yourself being funny
- 33. ambition: Rate yourself ambition
- 34. attractive\_partner: Rate partner attractiveness
- 35. sincere partner: Rate partner sincerity
- 36. intelligence partner: Rate partner intelligence
- 37. funny partner: Rate partner being funny
- 38. ambition\_partner: Rate partner ambition
- 39. shared interests partner: Rate partner shared interests
- 40. sports: Your own interests [1-10]
- 41. tvsports
- 42. exercise
- 43. dining
- 44. museums
- 45. art

46. hiking 52. movies
47. gaming 53. concerts
48. clubbing 54. music
49. reading 55. shopping
50. tv 56. yoga

51. theater

57. interests\_correlate: Correlation between participant's and partner's ratings of interests.

58. expected\_happy\_with\_sd\_people: How happy do you expect to be with the people you meet during the event?

59. expected\_num\_interested\_in\_me: Out of the 20 people, how many do you expect will be interested in dating you?

60. expected num matches: How many matches do you expect to get?

61. like: Did you like your partner?

62. guess prob liked: How likely do you think it is that your partner likes you?

63. met: Have you met your partner before?

64. decision: Decision at night of event.

65. decision\_o: Decision of partner at night of event.

66. match: Match (yes/no)

## Analysis goal / Task:

- The dataset has categorial variables and so it will include lots of data cleaning with preprocessing techniques. Some of the variables will also include count vectorizer using the term frequency. This might make the dataset even a bit longer. Moreover, the dataset has some missing values so imputation techniques needs to be implemented to see the highest number of frequency in similar observations and impute them in the needed columns.
- Classification based on the target variable "match", "decision on both sides" will be made with different classification models.
- Clustering by removing the match variables or the results variable will be implemented and see if it really "match" the target.
- Association will help in this type of data of how the variables relate each other using arulesCBA having the "match" will also be analyzed.
- The comparison between the own and the partner rating will be analyzed if time is available.

## Additionally these questions will be analyzed:

- Are people more willing to meet someone working in the same field?
- Does age difference really matter between the people?
- Does race really matters?

Preliminary Results: Since lots of data cleaning is needed, I have started to work on that now.