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ABSTRACT

This paper briefly compares 3 of the popular machine learning libraries by analysing implementation of linear regression, support vector machine classification and k-nearest neighbour algorithms.

1 INTRODUCTION

Due to the growing interest in the field of machine learning, several open-source libraries in various languages have become popular for different reasons. Different people prefer one over the other for either ease of use or for speed, accuracy or other features like GPU support. It is worth asking whether all libraries perform similarly under approximately same conditions or not and this paper addresses this question.
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