

# PSTAT 120A, Summer 2022: Practice Problems 7

## Week 5

### Conceptual Review

- (a) Why is the sum of two random variables also a random variable?
- (b) What is the convolution formula?
- (c) What is an indicator? How do indicators and expectations mesh?

### Problem 1: Sum Useful Results

Prove each of the following results using the convolution formula.

- (a) If  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_X)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_Y)$  with  $X \perp Y$ , then  $(X + Y) \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_X + \lambda_Y)$ .
- (b) If  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(r_X, \lambda)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(r_Y, \lambda)$  with  $X \perp Y$ , then  $(X + Y) \sim \text{Gamma}(r_X + r_Y, \lambda)$ .

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n-k}$$

*Hint: You will need to use the so-called **Beta Integral**:*

$$\int_0^1 x^{r-1} (1-x)^{s-1} dx = \frac{\Gamma(r) \cdot \Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(r+s)}$$

### Problem 2: The Elevator Problem

Suppose that, in a particular 10-story building, 5 people enter an elevator on the Ground Floor (let us call this “Floor 0”). We assume that people get off the elevator at a random floor, independently of all other people in the elevator. (Assume that nobody leaves on the Ground Floor) In this problem, we shall work toward answering the question: what is the expected number of floors at which the elevator will stop?

- a) Let  $X$  denote the number of floors at which the elevator will stop. Define appropriate indicators  $\mathbb{1}_j$  such that  $X$  can be expressed as a sum of these indicators.
- b) Using your expression from part (a), write  $\mathbb{E}(X)$  in terms of  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_1), \dots, \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{10})$ . (You don’t need to find the expectation of the indicators just yet; you’ll do that in the next part.)
- c) Now, compute  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_1), \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_2), \dots, \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{10})$ , and use this to answer the original question of “what is the expected number of floors at which the elevator will stop?”

*Hint: We can assign indicators to people, or assign them to floors. Which will be better?*

*Hint: Using symmetry, you can find an expression for  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_j)$  for an arbitrary  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 10$ .*

**Key Takeaway:** This problem (hopefully) illustrates one of the many reasons why indicators are very useful, especially in the context of expectations. One can extend this logic to actually compute the *variance* of the number of floors at which the elevator will stop!

*Problem 3: Poisson Predictions*

- (a) What is the probability that exactly 20 calls arrive in a 90-minute interval?
- (b) What is the probability that the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> calls arrive within 1 hour of each other?
- (c) What is the distribution of the amount of time between the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> calls **as measured in minutes**?
- (d) If  $T_1$  measures the time in minutes until the 1<sup>st</sup> call and  $S$  denotes the time in minutes between the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> calls, what is  $f_{T_1, S}(t, s)$ , the joint p.d.f. of  $(T_1, S)$ ?

## Extra Problems

---

*Problem 4: Great Expectations*

Now that we have learned a bit more about joint distributions, consider the following logic in the context of a bivariate pair  $(X, Y)$  of continuous random variables:

- On the one hand, we can integrate out  $y$ , find the marginal  $f_X(x)$  of  $X$ , and then compute

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) \, dx$$

- On the other hand, we can also use the two-dimensional LOTUS with  $g(x, y) = x$  to compute

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} x f_{X,Y}(x, y) \, dA$$

A question I often get asked is: “which of these is correct?” The answer is, in fact- “both of them!” **Prove that these two formulations of  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  are equivalent.**

*It may be easier to start with the second formulation, and then show that it is equal to the first.*

*Problem 5: Hot Cross Moments*

Given an  $n$ -dimensional random vector  $\vec{X}$ , we define the  $k_1, \dots, k_n$ <sup>th</sup> **cross-moment** (sometimes called a **mixed-moment**) of  $\vec{X}$  to be

$$\mu_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\vec{X}) := \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n X_i^{k_i} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ X_1^{k_1} \times X_2^{k_2} \times \dots \times X_n^{k_n} \right]$$

For example, the  $(3, 5)$  cross moment of a bivariate random vector is

$$\mu_{3,5}(\vec{X}) = \mathbb{E} [X_1^3 \cdot X_2^5]$$

- (a) Suppose the elements of an  $n$ -dimensional random vector  $\vec{X}$  are independent. Additionally, let  $\mu_{k_i}(X_i) := \mathbb{E}[X_i^{k_i}]$  denote the  $k_i$ <sup>th</sup> moment of  $X_i$ . Derive a relationship between  $\mu_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\vec{X})$  and the  $\mu_{k_i}(X_i)$ 's.
- (b) Is it true that for two  $n$ -dimensional random vectors  $\vec{X}$  and  $\vec{Y}$

$$\mu_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\vec{X} + \vec{Y}) = \mu_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\vec{X}) + \mu_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\vec{Y})$$

If so, provide a brief proof. If not, explain why not.