## **HOMEWORK 01**

PSTAT 120B: Mathematical Statistics, I

Summer Session A, 2024 with Instructor: Ethan P. Marzban



1. (**PSTAT 120A Review**) For a random variable X and constant a and b, show that

$$Var(aX + b) = a^2Var(X)$$

Solution:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Var}(aX + b) &= \mathbb{E}[(aX + b)^2] - (\mathbb{E}[aX + b])^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[a^2X^2 + 2abX + b^2] - (a\mathbb{E}[X] + b)^2 \\ &= a^2\mathbb{E}[X^2] + 2ab\mathbb{E}[X] + b^2 - a^2(\mathbb{E}[X])^2 - 2ab\mathbb{E}[X] - b^2 \\ &= a^2\left\{\mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^2\right\} \\ &= a^2\operatorname{Var}(X) \end{split}$$

2. (Modified from #5.36) Let  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  denote the proportions of time (out of one workday) during which employees I and II, respectively, perform their assigned tasks. The joint relative frequency behavior of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  is modeled by the density function

$$f_{Y_1,Y_2}(y_1,y_2) = (y_1 + y_2) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le y_1 \le 1, \ 0 \le y_2 \le 1\}}$$

(a) Verify that this is a valid joint density function.

**Solution:** Recall that a function need only satisfy two conditions in order to be a valid density: nonnegativity, and integrating to unity. Nonnegativity is fairly trivial; for any  $y_1 \in [0,1]$  and  $y_2 \in [0,1]$  we have that both  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are nonnegative, and hence their sum will also be nonnegative-thus,  $f_{Y_1,Y_2}(y_1,y_2) \geq 0$  for every  $(y_1,y_2) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To check integration to unity, we compute

$$\begin{split} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{Y_1,Y_2}(y_1,y_2) \; \mathrm{d}A &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (y_1+y_2) \; \mathrm{d}y_1 \; \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2}y_1^2 + y_1y_2\right]_{y_1=0}^{y_1=1} \; \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} + y_2\right) \; \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}y_2 + \frac{1}{2}y_2^2\right]_{y_2=0}^{y_2=1} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1 \; \checkmark \end{split}$$

Hence, we can conclude that  $f_{Y_1,Y_2}(y_1,y_2)$  is a valid joint density function.

(b) Find the marginal density functions for  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ .

Solution:

$$\begin{split} f_{Y_1}(y_1) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{Y_1,Y_2}(y_1,y_2) \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y_1 + y_2) \cdot \mathbbm{1}_{\{0 \leq y_1 \leq 1\}} \cdot \mathbbm{1}_{\{0 \leq y_2 \leq 1\}} \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \mathbbm{1}_{\{0 \leq y_1 \leq 1\}} \cdot \int_0^1 (y_1 + y_2) \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \mathbbm{1}_{\{0 \leq y_1 \leq 1\}} \cdot \left[ y_1 y_2 + \frac{1}{2} y_2^2 \right]_{y_2 = 0}^{y_2 = 1} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} + y_1 \right) \cdot \mathbbm{1}_{\{0 \leq y_1 \leq 1\}} \\ f_{Y_2}(y_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{Y_1,Y_2}(y_1,y_2) \, \mathrm{d}y_1 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y_1 + y_2) \cdot \mathbbm{1}_{\{0 \leq y_1 \leq 1\}} \cdot \mathbbm{1}_{\{0 \leq y_2 \leq 1\}} \, \mathrm{d}y_1 \\ &= \mathbbm{1}_{\{0 \leq y_2 \leq 1\}} \cdot \int_0^1 (y_1 + y_2) \, \mathrm{d}y_1 \\ &= \mathbbm{1}_{\{0 \leq y_2 \leq 1\}} \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{2} y_1^2 + y_1 y_2 \right]_{y_1 = 0}^{y_1 = 1} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} + y_2 \right) \cdot \mathbbm{1}_{\{0 \leq y_2 \leq 1\}} \end{split}$$

(We could have also surmised the density of  $f_{Y_2}(y_2)$  through symmetry.)

(c) Are  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  independent? Why or why not? Be careful about your justification!

**Solution:**  $Y_1 \perp Y_2$  only if their joint density factors as a product of their marginals. From our answers to part (b) above, we find

$$f_{Y_1}(y_1) \cdot f_{Y_2}(y_2) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + y_1\right) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le y_1 \le 1\}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + y_2\right) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le y_2 \le 1\}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}y_1 + \frac{1}{2}y_2 + y_1y_2\right) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le y_1 \le 1, \ 0 \le y_2 \le 1\}}$$

$$\neq (y_1 + y_2) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le y_1 \le 1, \ 0 \le y_2 \le 1\}} = f_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2)$$

So, since  $f_{Y_1,Y_2}(y_1,y_2) \neq f_{Y_1}(y_1) \cdot f_{Y_2}(y_2)$ , we have that  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are not independent.

(d) Find  $\mathbb{P}(Y_1 \ge 1/2 \mid Y_2 \ge 1/2)$ .

Solution: By the definition of conditional probability,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_1 \ge 1/2 \mid Y_2 \ge 1/2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{Y_1 \ge 1/2\} \cap \{Y_2 \ge 1/2\})}{\mathbb{P}(Y_2 \ge 1/2)}$$

The numerator can be computed by double-integrating the joint density, and the denominator can be found by integrating the marginal density of  $Y_2$  that we derived in part (b) above.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(Y_1 \geq 1/2, \, Y_2 \geq 1/2) &= \int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 (y_1 + y_2) \, \mathrm{d}y_1 \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \int_{1/2}^1 \left[ \frac{1}{2} y_1^2 + y_1 y_2 \right]_{y_1 = 1/2}^{y_1 = 1} \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \int_{1/2}^1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + y_2 - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{2} y_2 \right) \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \int_{1/2}^1 \left( \frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{2} y_2 \right) \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \left[ \frac{3}{8} y_2 + \frac{1}{4} y_2^2 \right]_{y_2 = 1/2}^{y_2 = 1} \\ &= \frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{16} - \frac{1}{16} = \frac{3}{8} \end{split}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_2 \geq 1/2) = \int_{1/2}^{\infty} f_{Y_2}(y_2) \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \int_{1/2}^1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + y_2 \right) \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} y_2 + \frac{1}{2} y_2^2 \right]_{y_2 = 1/2}^{y_2 = 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8} \end{split}$$

Hence, putting everything together,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_1 \ge 1/2 \mid Y_2 \ge 1/2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{Y_1 \ge 1/2\} \cap \{Y_2 \ge 1/2\})}{\mathbb{P}(Y_2 \ge 1/2)} = \frac{3/8}{5/8} = \frac{3}{5}$$

(e) If employee II spends exactly 50% of the day working on assigned duties, find the probability that employee I spends more than 75% of the day working on similar duties.

**Solution:** This part is asking us to compute  $\mathbb{P}(Y_1 \geq 3/4 \mid Y_2 = 1/2)$ , which requires us to first find the conditional density  $f_{Y_1|Y_1}(y_1 \mid y_2)$  [since the event we are conditioning on,  $\{Y_2 = 1/2\}$ , has zero probability]. We do so by using the definition of conditional densities:

$$f_{Y_1|Y_2}(y_1 \mid y_2) = \frac{f_{Y_1,Y_2}(y_1, y_2)}{f_{Y_2}(y_2)}$$

$$= \frac{(y_1 + y_2) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le y_1 \le 1\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le y_2 \le 1\}}}{(\frac{1}{2} + y_2) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le y_2 \le 1\}}}$$
$$= \frac{y_1 + y_2}{\frac{1}{2} + y_2} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le y_1 \le 1\}}$$

This tells us that, plugging in  $y_2 = 1/2$ ,

$$f_{Y_1|Y_2}(y_1 \mid 1/2) = \frac{y_1 + 1/2}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le y_1 \le 1\}} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + y_1\right) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le y_1 \le 1\}}$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(Y_1 \geq 3/4 \mid Y_2 = 1/2) &= \int_{3/4}^{\infty} f_{Y_1 \mid Y_2}(y_1 \mid 1/2) \, \mathrm{d}y_1 \\ &= \int_{3/4}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + y_1\right) \, \mathrm{d}y_1 \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}y_1 + \frac{1}{2}y_1^2\right]_{y_1 = 3/4}^{y_1 = 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{8} - \frac{9}{32} = \frac{11}{32} \end{split}$$

which is equivalent to 34.375%.

3. (Modified from #5.157) A forester studying diseased pine trees models the number of diseased trees per acre, Y, as a Poisson random variable with mean  $\lambda$ . However,  $\lambda$  changes from area to area, and its random behavior is modeled by a gamma distribution. That is, for some integer  $\alpha$  and a positive constant  $\beta>0$ ,

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}} \lambda^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\lambda/\beta} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{\lambda \ge 0\}}$$

Find the <u>unconditional</u> probability distribution of Y. Because  $\alpha$  is assumed to be an integer, you should be able to recognize this distribution by name - include any/all relevant parameter(s)! **Hint:** When integrating/summing, try to multiply and divide by constants to get a density function inside the integral/sum. This will then avoid you having to perform any direct integration/summation!

**Solution:** Let  $\Lambda$  denote the random variable corresponding to the rate of diseased trees. From the problem statement,

$$(Y \mid \Lambda = \lambda) \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda)$$
 
$$\Lambda \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$$

Hence, we have access to  $p_{Y|\Lambda}(y \mid \lambda)$  and  $f_{\Lambda}(\lambda)$ , and we seek  $p_Y(y)$  [note that Y will be discrete]. The trick is to use the continuous-case formula from slide 31 of the Topic01 Slides (we use the continuous-case since the random variable we are conditioning on,  $\Lambda$ , is continuous):

$$p_Y(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{Y|\Lambda}(\lambda) \cdot f_{\Lambda}(\lambda) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^y}{y!} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda/\beta} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{\lambda \geq 0\}} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \cdot \int_0^\infty \lambda^{(\alpha+y)-1} \cdot e^{-\lambda\left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right)} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \end{split}$$

There are a couple of ways to solve this particular integral: one is to make a u—substitution and then manipulate terms to turn the integral into a Gamma function, and the other is to multiply and divide by appropriate constants to transform the integrand into the density of a Gamma distribution. I'll start by demonstrating the latter:

$$\begin{split} p_Y(y) &= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \cdot \int_0^\infty \lambda^{(\alpha+y)-1} \cdot e^{-\lambda \bigg/ \left[\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}\right]} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+y) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}\right]^{\alpha+y}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \cdot \beta^\alpha} \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+y) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}\right]^{\alpha+y}} \cdot \lambda^{(\alpha+y)-1} \cdot e^{-\lambda \bigg/ \left[\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}\right]} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \end{split}$$

The integrand is now the density of a Gamma $(\alpha + y, 1/(1 + 1/\beta))$  distribution. Since we are integrating this density over its entire support, the integral must be unity:

$$p_{Y}(y) = \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + y) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right)}\right]^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \cdot \beta^{\alpha}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + y)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha}} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\left(\frac{\beta + 1}{\beta}\right)}\right]^{\alpha + y}$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + y)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{\beta^{\alpha + y}}{(\beta + 1)^{\alpha + y}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + y)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\beta^{y}}{(\beta + 1)^{\alpha + y}}$$

Though this is a perfectly valid form for the unconditional p.m.f. of Y, we are asked to identify this distribution by name. To do so, we'll simplify our result a bit, by leveraging the fact that both  $\alpha$  and y are assumed to be integers:

$$p_Y(y) = \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + y)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\beta^y}{(\beta + 1)^{\alpha + y}}$$

$$= \frac{(\alpha + y - 1)!}{y! \cdot (\alpha - 1)!} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\beta + 1}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta + 1}\right)^y$$

$$= {\binom{\alpha + y - 1}{y}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\beta + 1}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta + 1}\right)^y$$

which is valid for  $y \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Staring at this, we see this is the p.m.f. of a Negative Binomial distribution on  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ; specifically,

$$Y \sim \mathrm{NegBin}\left(\alpha\,,\,\frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$$

.....

Here is an alternate way you can evaluate the integral for this part, using u- substitutions. We start with

$$\begin{split} p_Y(y) &= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \cdot \int_0^\infty \lambda^{(\alpha+y)-1} e^{-\lambda\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \cdot \int_0^\infty \lambda^{(\alpha+y)-1} e^{-\lambda\left(\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}\right)} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \end{split}$$

The integral closely resembles the definition of the Gamma function:

$$\Gamma(r) := \int_0^\infty t^{r-1} e^{-t} \, \mathrm{d}t$$

However, it is not exactly a Gamma integral since the exponent is not just the variable of integration - it involves a *constant* times the variable of integration. But that's not a problem - we can simply make a u-substitution and define the new variable of integration to be precisely whatever is in the exponent! That is:

$$u = \lambda \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \implies \mathrm{d} u = \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \, \mathrm{d} \lambda \implies \mathrm{d} \lambda = \frac{\beta+1}{\beta} \, \mathrm{d} u$$

Additionally,

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta + 1}\right)u$$

and so, substituting into our integral, we have:

$$\begin{split} p_Y(y) &= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \cdot \int_0^\infty \lambda^{(\alpha+y)-1} e^{-\lambda \left(\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}\right)} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \cdot \int_0^\infty \left[ \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}\right) u \right]^{(\alpha+y)-1} e^{-u} \cdot \frac{\beta+1}{\beta} \, \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}\right)^{\alpha+y} \cdot \int_0^\infty u^{(\alpha+y)-1} \cdot e^{-\lambda u} \, \mathrm{d}u \end{split}$$

The integral is now exactly the definition of  $\Gamma(\alpha+y)$ , meaning

$$\begin{split} p_Y(y) &= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}\right)^{\alpha+y} \cdot \int_0^{\infty} u^{(\alpha+y)-1} \cdot e^{-\lambda u} \, \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \frac{1}{y!} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}\right)^{\alpha+y} \cdot \Gamma(\alpha+y) \end{split}$$

which is precisely what we obtained above, using the other method.

**PLEASE NOTE:** As was stated in a couple of Canvas Assignments, you were not asked to submit Problem 4 with HW01. However, I am providing solutions to this problem as its material (specifically, conditional expectations and the Law of Iterated Expectations) is fair game for Quiz01. Part (b) [which utilizes the Law of Total Variance] is out-of-scope for Quiz01.

4. Let N be a random variable whose support consists only of natural numbers, and let  $\{Y_i\}_{i\geq 0}$  denote a sequence of identically distributed (but not necessarily independent) random variables with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Furthermore, define

$$S_N := \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i$$

Notice, crucially, that the sum on the RHS above contains a random number of terms.

(a) Prove **Wald's Theorem**, which states that  $\mathbb{E}[S_N] = \mathbb{E}[N] \cdot \mu$ .

**Solution:** The trick is to appeal to the Law of Iterated Expectations. First:

$$\mathbb{E}[S_N \mid N = n] := \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^N Y_i \mid N = n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Y_i] = n\mu$$

Hence, by definition,  $\mathbb{E}[S_N \mid N] = N\mu$  and, by the Law of Iterated Expectations,

$$\mathbb{E}[S_N] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[S_N \mid N]] = \mathbb{E}[N\mu] = \mathbb{E}[N] \cdot \mu$$

which is precisely the desired result.

(b) Derive a formula for  $Var(S_N)$ . If you need to make an additional assumption, clearly state which assumption(s) need to be made.

**Solution:** Here, we need to appeal to the Law of Total Variance:

$$Var(S_N) = \mathbb{E}[Var(S_N \mid N)] + Var(\mathbb{E}[S_N \mid N])$$

We know that  $\mathbb{E}[S_N\mid N]=N\mu$  (as was derived in the previous part). To compute  ${\rm Var}(S_N\mid N)$  , we write

$$\mathsf{Var}(S_N \mid N = n) = \mathsf{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N Y_i \mid N = n\right) = \mathsf{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right)$$

at this point, we would like to pull the variance through the sum, However, we can only do so if we assume independence; hence, **let's assume independence**. Then

$$\operatorname{Var}(S_N \mid N = n) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Var}(Y_i) = n\sigma^2 \implies \operatorname{Var}(S_N \mid N) = N\sigma^2$$

Hence, putting everything together:

$$\operatorname{Var}(S_N) = \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Var}(S_N \mid N)] + \operatorname{Var}(\mathbb{E}[S_N \mid N])$$

$$\begin{split} &= \mathbb{E}\left[N\sigma^2\right] + \mathsf{Var}\left(N\mu\right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}[N] + \mu^2 \mathsf{Var}(N)}{} \end{split}$$

Again, this is only valid if we assume the  $Y_i$  to be i.i.d.; otherwise, this formula does not hold.