# **ASSIGNMENT 2**

1

#### **GROUP 21**

SOPHIE RAIN (01425316), LUCAS UNTERBERGER (01325438), PETER STROPPA (01326468).

# datasets

 $\widehat{2}$ 

• WINE

CONGRESS

MUSHROOMS

AMAZON

### datasets overview

Dataset	# samples	# attributes	Missing values?	# classes	classes eq. important?	Types of attributes
Amazon	750	10 000	no	50	yes	ordinal
Congress	217	18	yes (unknown)	2	yes	categorical (2 values)
Wine	1599	11	no	11	no	numeric
Mushrooms	8124	22	yes	2	no	categorical (2-10)

4

#### methods

K-Nearest-Neighbors

Support Vector Machines

Random Forest

# pre-processing

scaling and selection

encoding

- Min-Max-Scaling
- Z-Score-Scaling
- Feature Selection
- Handmade weighted scaling

Ordinal Encoding

One Hot Encoding

TF-IDF

# pre-processing

Weighted scaling

TF-IDF

If correlation < 0:

 $\frac{max(feature) - feature}{max(feature) * |correlation|}$ 

*If correlation > 0:* 

•  $\frac{feature}{max(feature)*|correlation|}$ 

## results

Best result	<u>kNN</u>	Random Forest	<u>SVM</u>
Wine		perfectly	perfectly
Congress			97% accuracy
Mushroom	perfectly	perfectly	perfectly
Amazon		67% accuracy	

#### conclusion

diffrent datasets

 kNN really good for clustered data

- SVM highly depend on kernel
- Don't forget human intuition

**Diffrent methods** 

- SVM & RF outperformed
- kNN troubles with many classes

 RF profits of heterogeneous data

184.702 Machine Learning