

HW_1

Carson Pedaci

Question 2

1. The data in the vector are all strings
- 2.

```
my_vec <- c(
  "+0.07",
  "-0.07",
  "+0.25",
  "-0.84",
  "+0.32",
  "-0.24",
  "-0.97",
  "-0.36",
  "+1.76",
  "-0.36"
)
```

```
my_vec_double <- c()
for (elem in my_vec) {
  my_vec_double <- append(my_vec_double, as.double(elem))
}
my_vec_double
```

```
[1] 0.07 -0.07 0.25 -0.84 0.32 -0.24 -0.97 -0.36 1.76 -0.36
```

```
my_vec_int <- c()
for (elem in my_vec) {
  my_vec_int <- append(my_vec_int, as.integer(elem))
}
```

```
}  
my_vec_int
```

```
[1] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0
```

3.

```
my_vec_bool <- c()  
for (elem in my_vec_double) {  
  if (elem >= 0) {my_vec_bool <- append(my_vec_bool, TRUE)}  
  else if (elem <= 0) {my_vec_bool <- append(my_vec_bool, FALSE)}  
}  
print(my_vec_bool)
```

```
[1] TRUE FALSE TRUE FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE
```

```
table(my_vec_bool)
```

```
my_vec_bool  
FALSE TRUE  
    6    4
```

There are four elements greater than 0.

4.

```
sort(my_vec_double)
```

```
[1] -0.97 -0.84 -0.36 -0.36 -0.24 -0.07  0.07  0.25  0.32  1.76
```

Question 3

1.

```
print(array(c(c(1, 4, 7), c(2, 5, 8), c(3, 6, 9)), dim = c(3,3)))
```

```

      [,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,]    1    2    3
[2,]    4    5    6
[3,]    7    8    9

```

```

matrix <- array(c(1, 1), dim = c(2,1))
for (x in 2:100) {
  matrix <- cbind(matrix, c(x, x**2))
}
matrix

```

```

      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [,7] [,8] [,9] [,10] [,11] [,12] [,13] [,14]
[1,]    1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9   10   11   12   13   14
[2,]    1    4    9   16   25   36   49   64   81   100  121  144  169  196
      [,15] [,16] [,17] [,18] [,19] [,20] [,21] [,22] [,23] [,24] [,25] [,26]
[1,]    15   16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25   26
[2,]   225  256  289  324  361  400  441  484  529  576  625  676
      [,27] [,28] [,29] [,30] [,31] [,32] [,33] [,34] [,35] [,36] [,37] [,38]
[1,]    27   28   29   30   31   32   33   34   35   36   37   38
[2,]   729  784  841  900  961 1024 1089 1156 1225 1296 1369 1444
      [,39] [,40] [,41] [,42] [,43] [,44] [,45] [,46] [,47] [,48] [,49] [,50]
[1,]    39   40   41   42   43   44   45   46   47   48   49   50
[2,]  1521 1600 1681 1764 1849 1936 2025 2116 2209 2304 2401 2500
      [,51] [,52] [,53] [,54] [,55] [,56] [,57] [,58] [,59] [,60] [,61] [,62]
[1,]    51   52   53   54   55   56   57   58   59   60   61   62
[2,]  2601 2704 2809 2916 3025 3136 3249 3364 3481 3600 3721 3844
      [,63] [,64] [,65] [,66] [,67] [,68] [,69] [,70] [,71] [,72] [,73] [,74]
[1,]    63   64   65   66   67   68   69   70   71   72   73   74
[2,]  3969 4096 4225 4356 4489 4624 4761 4900 5041 5184 5329 5476
      [,75] [,76] [,77] [,78] [,79] [,80] [,81] [,82] [,83] [,84] [,85] [,86]
[1,]    75   76   77   78   79   80   81   82   83   84   85   86
[2,]  5625 5776 5929 6084 6241 6400 6561 6724 6889 7056 7225 7396
      [,87] [,88] [,89] [,90] [,91] [,92] [,93] [,94] [,95] [,96] [,97] [,98]
[1,]    87   88   89   90   91   92   93   94   95   96   97   98
[2,]  7569 7744 7921 8100 8281 8464 8649 8836 9025 9216 9409 9604
      [,99] [,100]
[1,]    99   100
[2,]  9801 10000

```

```
generate_matrix <- function(n){
  return(
    matrix(
      rnorm(n^2),
      nrow=n
    )
  )
}
```

2.

```
row_wise_scan <- function(x){
  n <- nrow(x)
  m <- ncol(x)

  count <- 0
  for(row in 1:n){
    for(col in 1:m){
      if(x[row,col] >= 0){
        count <- count + 1
      }
    }
  }

  return(count)
}
```

```
M <- generate_matrix(3)
print(M)
```

```
      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
[1,] 0.3263418 -0.8265968 -1.5579286
[2,] 0.0830409 -0.8409179  0.6937677
[3,] 0.6868886  2.2912495  0.2217960
```

```
row_wise_scan(M)
```

```
[1] 6
```

3.

```
col_wise_scan <- function(x){
  n <- nrow(x)
  m <- ncol(x)

  count <- 0
  for(col in 1:m){
    for(row in 1:n){
      if(x[row, col] >= 0){
        count <- count + 1
      }
    }
  }

  return(count)
}
```

```
M <- generate_matrix(3)
print(M)
```

```
      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
[1,] -0.09593743 0.4531176 -2.1895345
[2,] -0.73807980 0.6975054  0.1855021
[3,] -1.11552059 0.9250711  0.1034852
```

```
col_wise_scan(M)
```

```
[1] 5
```

4.

I believe that `col_wise_scan` takes shorter to run. R stores elements in matrices by columns not rows. Therefore, `col_wise_scan` would look at the next memory space for adjacent elements. In `row_wise_scan`, adjacent elements are stored at some given interval of spaces away from each other. Therefore, the memory keeps having to jump back and forth to find the next element of the matrix.

5.

```
time_scan <- function(f, M){
  initial_time <- Sys.time()
```

```

    f(M)
    final_time <- Sys.time()

    total_time_taken <- final_time - initial_time
    return(total_time_taken)
}

```

```

M <- generate_matrix(50)
list(
  row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M),
  col_wise_time = time_scan(col_wise_scan, M)
)

```

\$row_wise_time
Time difference of 0 secs

\$col_wise_time
Time difference of 0 secs

Col_wise_time took 0.001 sec longer to run.

6.

```

list(
  row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, generate_matrix(100)),
  col_wise_time = time_scan(col_wise_scan, generate_matrix(100))
)

```

\$row_wise_time
Time difference of 0 secs

\$col_wise_time
Time difference of 0 secs

```

list(
  row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, generate_matrix(1000)),
  col_wise_time = time_scan(col_wise_scan, generate_matrix(1000))
)

```

```
$row_wise_time  
Time difference of 0.116004 secs
```

```
$col_wise_time  
Time difference of 0.095397 secs
```

```
list(  
  row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, generate_matrix(5000)),  
  col_wise_time = time_scan(col_wise_scan, generate_matrix(5000))  
)
```

```
$row_wise_time  
Time difference of 2.858598 secs
```

```
$col_wise_time  
Time difference of 2.495535 secs
```

Row_wise_time takes less time to compute with smaller matrices, but as the dimensionality increases, col_wise time takes increasingly less time to compute compared to row_wise_time.