Homework 1

Robert Chappell{style='background-color: yellow;'}

Table of contents

| Question 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Question 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 |

Question 2

```
my_vec <- c(
    "+0.07",
    "-0.07",
    "+0.25",
    "-0.84",
    "+0.32",
    "-0.24",
    "-0.97",
    "-0.36",
    "+1.76",
    "-0.36"
)
```

1.

```
class(my_vec)
```

[1] "character"

2.

```
#Makes my-vec into double
my_vec_double <- as.double(my_vec)

#Makes my_vec into a int
my_vec_int <- as.integer(my_vec)

3.

#Will use ifelse to make Bool vector
my_vec_bool <- ifelse(my_vec_double > 0, FALSE, TRUE)
greaterthanzero = length(my_vec_bool) - sum(my_vec_bool)
greaterthanzero

[1] 4

4.

sort(my_vec_double)

[1] -0.97 -0.84 -0.36 -0.36 -0.24 -0.07 0.07 0.25 0.32 1.76

Question 3
```

[1] -0.006370336

```
2.
```

```
row_wise_scan <- function(x){</pre>
       n \leftarrow nrow(x)
       m \leftarrow ncol(x)
       # Insert your code here
       count <- 0
       for(i in 1:n){
            for(j in 1:m){
                if(x[i,j] >= 0){
                     count <- count + 1</pre>
                }
            }
       }
       return(count)
  }
  row_wise_scan(M)
[1] 1240
3.
  col_wise_scan <- function(x){</pre>
       count <- 0
       n \leftarrow nrow(x)
       m \leftarrow ncol(x)
       for(i in 1:m){
         for(j in 1:n){
            if(x[i,j] >= 0){
```

}

}

return(count)

}

}

count <- count + 1</pre>

```
col_wise_scan(M)

[1] 1240

#Check to see if functions work
library(tidyr)
sapply(1:100, function(i) {
    x <- generate_matrix(100)
    row_wise_scan(x) == col_wise_scan(x)
}) %>% sum == 100
[1] TRUE
```

4.

I would expect the functions to take the same amount of time. With both the columns and rows equal in length. If one was longer than the other I would expect the function that looks at the longer option first to run longer.

5.

```
time_scan <- function(f, M){
    initial_time <- Sys.time()
    f(M)
    final_time <- Sys.time()

    total_time_taken <- final_time - initial_time
    return(total_time_taken)
}

list(
    row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M),
    col_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M)
)

$row_wise_time
Time difference of 0.0004479885 secs
$col_wise_time
Time difference of 0.0002920628 secs</pre>
```

Row_wise_time took slightly longer to run.

6.

```
#M is 100x100
  M <- generate_matrix(100)</pre>
  list(
      row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M),
      col_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M)
  )
$row_wise_time
Time difference of 0.0008230209 secs
$col_wise_time
Time difference of 0.0008819103 secs
  #M is 1000x1000
  M <- generate_matrix(1000)</pre>
  list(
      row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M),
      col_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M)
  )
$row_wise_time
Time difference of 0.08374906 secs
$col_wise_time
Time difference of 0.08512306 secs
  #M is 5000x5000
  M <- generate_matrix(5000)</pre>
  list(
      row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M),
       col_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M)
  )
$row_wise_time
Time difference of 2.815115 secs
```

\$col_wise_time
Time difference of 2.77276 secs

After running the experiment on different sizes of M. I can conclude that checking the row first will take more time than checking the column first.