

Homework 1

Ziyao Yang

Table of contents

.....	2
Question 1	2
Question 2	3
Question 3	4

Appendix	11
-----------------	-----------

[Link to the Github repository](#)

! Due: Sun, Jan 29, 2023 @ 11:59pm

Please read the instructions carefully before submitting your assignment.

1. This assignment requires you to:
 - Upload your Quarto markdown files to a `git` repository
 - Upload a `PDF` file on Canvas
2. Don't collapse any code cells before submitting.
3. Remember to make sure all your code output is rendered properly before uploading your submission.


Please add your name to the the author information in the frontmatter before submitting your assignment.

Question 1

💡 20 points

In this question, we will walk through the process of *forking* a `git` repository and submitting a *pull request*.

1. Navigate to the Github repository [here](#) and fork it by clicking on the icon in the top right



Provide a sensible name for your forked repository when prompted.

2. Clone your Github repository on your local machine

```
$ git clone <<insert your repository url here>>
$ cd hw-1
```

Alternatively, you can use Github codespaces to get started from your repository directly.

3. In order to activate the `R` environment for the homework, make sure you have `renv` installed beforehand. To activate the `renv` environment for this assignment, open an instance of the `R` console from within the directory and type

```
renv::activate()
```

Follow the instructions in order to make sure that `renv` is configured correctly.


4. Work on the *remaining part* of this assignment as a `.qmd` file.
 - Create a PDF and HTML file for your output by modifying the YAML frontmatter for the Quarto `.qmd` document
5. When you're done working on your assignment, push the changes to your github repository.

6. Navigate to the original Github repository [here](#) and submit a pull request linking to your repository.

Remember to **include your name** in the pull request information!

If you're stuck at any step along the way, you can refer to the [official Github docs here](#) ¹

Question 2

 30 points

Consider the following vector

```
my_vec <- c(
  "+0.07",
  "-0.07",
  "+0.25",
  "-0.84",
  "+0.32",
  "-0.24",
  "-0.97",
  "-0.36",
  "+1.76",
  "-0.36"
)
```

For the following questions, provide your answers in a code cell.

1. What data type does the vector contain?

```
typeof(my_vec)
```

```
[1] "character"
```

2. Create two new vectors called `my_vec_double` and `my_vec_int` which converts `my_vec` to Double & Integer types, respectively,

¹I was unable to render the pdf file. I upgrade R version and all the packages, followed the instructions returned by “`renv::status()`” and synchronized the project with the lockfile. I’m not sure if these are the right process to solve the problem but I did successfully rendered pdf after these steps.

```
my_vec_double <- as.double(my_vec)
my_vec_double
```

```
[1] 0.07 -0.07 0.25 -0.84 0.32 -0.24 -0.97 -0.36 1.76 -0.36
```

```
my_vec_int <- as.integer(my_vec)
my_vec_int
```

```
[1] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0
```

3. Create a new vector `my_vec_bool` which comprises of:

- TRUE if an element in `my_vec_double` is ≤ 0
- FALSE if an element in `my_vec_double` is ≥ 0

```
my_vec_bool <- ifelse(my_vec_double<=0, yes = TRUE, no = FALSE)
# ifelse(my_vec_double<=0, TRUE, FALSE)
# my_vec_bool <- my_vec_double<=0
my_vec_bool
```

```
[1] FALSE TRUE FALSE TRUE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE TRUE
```

How many elements of `my_vec_double` are greater than zero?

```
sum(my_vec_double > 0)
```


```
[1] 4
```

4. Sort the values of `my_vec_double` in ascending order.

```
sort(my_vec_double)
```

```
[1] -0.97 -0.84 -0.36 -0.36 -0.24 -0.07 0.07 0.25 0.32 1.76
```

Question 3

 50 points

In this question we will get a better understanding of how R handles large data structures in memory.

1. Provide R code to construct the following matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & \dots & 100 \\ 1 & 4 & 9 & 16 & 25 & \dots & 10000 \end{bmatrix}$$

```
mygenerate_matrix1 <- function(n){
  return(
    matrix(
      1:n^2,
      ncol=n,
      byrow = T
    )
  )
}
mymatrix1 <- mygenerate_matrix1(3)
mymatrix1
```

```
      [,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,]     1     2     3
[2,]     4     5     6
[3,]     7     8     9
```

```
row1 = 1:100
row2 = row1^2

mymatrix2 <- matrix(
  data = c(row1,row2),
  ncol=length(row1),
  byrow = T
)
mymatrix2
```

```
      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [,7] [,8] [,9] [,10] [,11] [,12] [,13] [,14]
[1,]     1     2     3     4     5     6     7     8     9    10    11    12    13    14
[2,]     1     4     9    16    25    36    49    64    81   100   121   144   169   196
      [,15] [,16] [,17] [,18] [,19] [,20] [,21] [,22] [,23] [,24] [,25] [,26]
[1,]    15    16    17    18    19    20    21    22    23    24    25    26
[2,]   225   256   289   324   361   400   441   484   529   576   625   676
```

```

      [,27] [,28] [,29] [,30] [,31] [,32] [,33] [,34] [,35] [,36] [,37] [,38]
[1,]    27    28    29    30    31    32    33    34    35    36    37    38
[2,]   729   784   841   900   961  1024  1089  1156  1225  1296  1369  1444
      [,39] [,40] [,41] [,42] [,43] [,44] [,45] [,46] [,47] [,48] [,49] [,50]
[1,]    39    40    41    42    43    44    45    46    47    48    49    50
[2,]  1521  1600  1681  1764  1849  1936  2025  2116  2209  2304  2401  2500
      [,51] [,52] [,53] [,54] [,55] [,56] [,57] [,58] [,59] [,60] [,61] [,62]
[1,]    51    52    53    54    55    56    57    58    59    60    61    62
[2,]  2601  2704  2809  2916  3025  3136  3249  3364  3481  3600  3721  3844
      [,63] [,64] [,65] [,66] [,67] [,68] [,69] [,70] [,71] [,72] [,73] [,74]
[1,]    63    64    65    66    67    68    69    70    71    72    73    74
[2,]  3969  4096  4225  4356  4489  4624  4761  4900  5041  5184  5329  5476
      [,75] [,76] [,77] [,78] [,79] [,80] [,81] [,82] [,83] [,84] [,85] [,86]
[1,]    75    76    77    78    79    80    81    82    83    84    85    86
[2,]  5625  5776  5929  6084  6241  6400  6561  6724  6889  7056  7225  7396
      [,87] [,88] [,89] [,90] [,91] [,92] [,93] [,94] [,95] [,96] [,97] [,98]
[1,]    87    88    89    90    91    92    93    94    95    96    97    98
[2,]  7569  7744  7921  8100  8281  8464  8649  8836  9025  9216  9409  9604
      [,99] [,100]
[1,]    99    100
[2,]  9801  10000

```

Tip

Recall the discussion in class on how R fills in matrices

In the next part, we will discover how knowledge of the way in which a matrix is stored in memory can inform better code choices. To this end, the following function takes an input n and creates an $n \times n$ matrix with random entries.

```

generate_matrix <- function(n){
  return(
    matrix(
      rnorm(n^2),
      nrow=n
    )
  )
}

```

For example:

```
generate_matrix(4)
```

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]	[,4]
[1,]	0.7766095	-0.7057371	0.9549602	-1.2192295
[2,]	-1.8069098	-0.2874427	1.2510533	-0.5365532
[3,]	-0.3614861	1.9863484	-0.8178849	0.1748801
[4,]	0.7071754	-0.4653552	-0.3858270	0.6276655

Let M be a fixed 50×50 matrix

```
M <- generate_matrix(5000)
mean(M)
```

[1] 2.466684e-05

2. Write a function `row_wise_scan` which scans the entries of M one row after another and outputs the number of elements whose value is ≥ 0 . You can use the following **starter code**

```
row_wise_scan <- function(x){
  n <- nrow(x)
  m <- ncol(x)

  # Insert your code here
  count <- 0
  for(...){
    for(...){
      if(...){
        count <- count + 1
      }
    }
  }

  return(count)
}
```

```
row_wise_scan <- function(x){
  n <- nrow(x)
  m <- ncol(x)

  # Insert your code here
  count <- 0
  for(i in 1:n){
```

```

        for(j in 1:m){
            if(x[i,j] >= 0){
                count <- count + 1
            }
        }
    }

    return(count)
}
row_wise_scan(M)

```

[1] 12499001

```
#system.time({row_wise_scan(M)})
```

3. Similarly, write a function `col_wise_scan` which does exactly the same thing but scans the entries of `M` one column after another

```

col_wise_scan <- function(x){
    count <- 0

    ... # Insert your code here

    return(count)
}

```

```

col_wise_scan <- function(x){
    count <- 0

    # Insert your code here
    n <- nrow(x)
    m <- ncol(x)

    for(i in 1:m){
        for(j in 1:n){
            if(x[j,i] >= 0){
                count <- count + 1
            }
        }
    }
}

```



```

    return(count)
}
col_wise_scan(M)

```

```
[1] 12499001
```

You can check if your code is doing what it's supposed to using the function [here](#)²

4. Between `col_wise_scan` and `row_wise_scan`, which function do you expect to take shorter to run? Why?

The `col_wise_scan` suppose to run faster because in R the matrix is stored by columns, which means they are stored one columns after other. When R is search one columns after other, it can follow the sequence that the data were stored. Whereas when the code asks to search row by row, R in the memory need to jump around to find the right data(entries).

5. Write a function `time_scan` which takes in a method `f` and a matrix `M` and outputs the amount of time taken to run `f(M)`

```

time_scan <- function(f, M){
  initial_time <- ... # Write your code here
  f(M)
  final_time <- ... # Write your code here

  total_time_taken <- final_time - initial_time
  return(total_time_taken)
}

time_scan <- function(f, M){
  initial_time <- Sys.time() # Write your code here
  f(M)
  final_time <- Sys.time() # Write your code here
}

```

²If your code is right, the following code should evaluate to be `TRUE`

```

sapply(1:100, function(i) {
  x <- generate_matrix(100)
  row_wise_scan(x) == col_wise_scan(x)
}) %>% sum == 100

```

```

    total_time_taken <- final_time - initial_time
    return(total_time_taken)
}

```

Provide your output to

```

list(
  row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M),
  col_wise_time = time_scan(col_wise_scan, M)
)

```

```

list(
  row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M),
  col_wise_time = time_scan(col_wise_scan, M)
)

```

```

$row_wise_time
Time difference of 1.066559 secs

```

```

$col_wise_time
Time difference of 0.8070741 secs

```

Which took longer to run?

row_wise_scan took longer to run.

6. Repeat this experiment now when:

- M is a 100×100 matrix

```

M <- generate_matrix(100)

list(
  row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M),
  col_wise_time = time_scan(col_wise_scan, M)
)

```

```

$row_wise_time
Time difference of 0.0003480911 secs

```

```

$col_wise_time
Time difference of 0.0003409386 secs

```

- M is a 1000×1000 matrix

```
M <- generate_matrix(1000)
list(
  row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M),
  col_wise_time = time_scan(col_wise_scan, M)
)
```

```
$row_wise_time
Time difference of 0.03432608 secs
```

```
$col_wise_time
Time difference of 0.03271413 secs
```

- M is a 5000×5000 matrix

```
M <- generate_matrix(5000)
list(
  row_wise_time = time_scan(row_wise_scan, M),
  col_wise_time = time_scan(col_wise_scan, M)
)
```

```
$row_wise_time
Time difference of 1.061718 secs
```

```
$col_wise_time
Time difference of 0.813504 secs
```

What can you conclude?

It is faster the search columns by columns.

—

Appendix

Print your R session information using the following command

```
sessionInfo()
```

```
R version 4.2.2 (2022-10-31)
Platform: aarch64-apple-darwin20 (64-bit)
```

Running under: macOS Ventura 13.2

Matrix products: default

BLAS: /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.2-arm64/Resources/lib/libRblas.0.dylib

LAPACK: /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.2-arm64/Resources/lib/libRlapack.dylib

locale:

[1] en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8/C/en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8

attached base packages:

[1] stats graphics grDevices datasets utils methods base

loaded via a namespace (and not attached):

[1] compiler_4.2.2	fastmap_1.1.0	cli_3.6.0	htmltools_0.5.4
[5] tools_4.2.2	rstudioapi_0.14	yaml_2.3.7	rmarkdown_2.20
[9] knitr_1.42	xfun_0.36	digest_0.6.31	jsonlite_1.8.4
[13] rlang_1.0.6	renv_0.16.0-53	evaluate_0.20	