

From λ -Calculus to Cartesian Closed Categories

J. Lambek

Mathematics Department, McGill University

Montreal, P.Q. H3A 2K6 Canada.

Dedicated to Professor H. B. Curry on the occasion of his 80th Birthday¹

Haskell Curry may be surprised to hear that he has spent a lifetime doing fundamental work in category theory. The purpose of this account is to convince categorists that Cartesian closed categories (Eilenberg and Kelly, 1966) have been anticipated by logicians (Curry, 1930) by many years and, conversely, to persuade logicians that combinatory logic may benefit from being phrased in categorical language.

I have attempted to tell this story twice before (1972, 1974), but am not entirely satisfied with these earlier accounts. The present exposition is essentially my unscheduled talk at the 1977 Durham Symposium on applications of sheaf theory to logic, algebra and analysis.

I regret that limitations of space do not permit a discussion of illative combinatory logic (Curry and Feys, 1958) or combinatory type theory (Church, 1940) and applications thereof to the construction of free toposes.

Let me confess at once that I am not a historical scholar and that I have taken some liberties with the original material. Thus, I have taken the opportunity to present the early discoveries of combinatory logic in the language of universal algebra.

Our story begins in 1924, when Schönfinkel studied what would now be called an algebra $A = (|A|, \cdot, I, S, K)$ consisting of a set $|A|$ equipped with a binary operation \cdot and constants I , S and K . These were to satisfy the following identities:

$$(1) I \cdot a = a,$$

¹This is a remake of the paper *From λ -Calculus to Cartesian Closed Categories* originally published in R. Hindley and J. Seldin, editors, *To H.B. Curry: Essays in Combinatory Logic, Lambda Calculus and Formalisms*. Academic Press, 1980. This file was created in March 2023.

$$(2) (K \circ a) \circ b = a,$$

$$(3) ((S \circ f) \circ g) \circ c = (f \circ c) \circ (g \circ c),^2$$

for all elements a, b, c, f and g of $|A|$. Actually, Schönfinkel did not employ the language of universal algebra, and he defined I in terms of K and S , but of this we shall speak later. His main result would now be stated as follows.

Proposition 1 *Every polynomial $\varphi(x)$ over a Schönfinkel algebra A can be written in the form $f \circ x$, where $f \in |A|$.*

Polynomials are of course formed as words in an indeterminate x and are subject to the same three identities. More precisely, equality $=$ between polynomials is the smallest equivalence relation \equiv between words in x which has the substitution property

$$(0_x) \quad \frac{\varphi(x) \equiv \psi(x) \quad \alpha(x) \equiv \beta(x)}{\varphi(x) \circ \alpha(x) \equiv \psi(x) \circ \beta(x)}$$

and which satisfies

References

²Editor's note: Lambek uses this squiggle \circ to denote a binary operation for function application. It appears here and in his later book about this same subject. I made a best guess about how to translate this into L^AT_EX.