

Beethoven Overview 2003

Contents

Introduction	2
Symphonies: Sets	4
Symphony 1	8

Beethoven is the cornerstone of the symphonic repertoire. His music is the summation of everything that came before him and the progenitor of nearly everything that has come since. Who better combined the intellectual rigor of Bach, the classical balance of Mozart and Haydn, and the expressive sensibilities of the romantics? None of his successors were able to emulate him, but most of them are indebted to him in one way or another. The vocal symphonies of Mahler, Shostakovich, Vaughan Williams, and even Havergal Brian would have been unthinkable without the precedent of Beethoven's 9th.

Whether Beethoven was a classicist or a romantic has always been a subject of debate. His profound emphasis on organic form suggests the perfection of high classicism, and so it is. But the inspiration to reach those heights of structure and balance was a highly romantic emotional sensibility. It's a synthesis of both; Beethoven really only sounds like himself. However, this duality of heart and mind may explain why both classical and romantic interpretive approaches work.

The music is full of great intellectual struggles invested with almost superhuman emotional intensity. Beethoven wrestled and fought and finally subdued each work into an immutable whole so complete that when we hear it we can't imagine how it could be different. No wonder he's so popular in our willful age: the triumph of will over nature. Yet he genuinely loved nature and often took long walks in the Vienna woods-listen to the disingenuous bird calls at the end of the Pastoral Symphony's slow movement. He also had a rough, hearty, unsubtle, but genuine sense of humor. The inner movements of the Eighth could not have been written by a man who didn't know laughter. Very few performers are able to encompass the multiple-one might even say contradictory-facets of Beethoven's emotional spectrum.

Despite its emotional range, Beethoven can sound angry and domineering. Many conductors overemphasize the music's unrelenting side and make it harsh, overbearing, emotionally monochromatic. As our Editor said in our last Beethoven Overview, "That may be the very reason many Americans enjoy it...This is hard-hitting music; it snarls at us the way we snarl at each other...Beethoven wasn't angry 30 or 35 [40 or 45, now] years ago. He even had a certain charm. Our social life has changed the way we play Beethoven. We are not genial people, and neither is our Beethoven." Although most Beethoven recordings are made in Europe, the world is becoming increasingly Americanized. As much they may resent American cultural hegemony, Europeans are getting more like us in order to compete with us. Many of the recordings that ARG critics prefer are older, more genial ones. Maybe that's why few American conductors figure in the recommendations below.

Conductors who were active in the early part of the 20th Century were products of a romantic sensibility that also valued depth of expression, warmth, tonal beauty, and plasticity in phrasing. So many of our preferred recordings are by

conductors who were quite elderly when they made them. Fortunately they lived long enough to commit their interpretations to tape in full-range, high-fidelity stereo sound (Furtwängler and Toscanini are notable exceptions). Youth generally is superficial, which is why there should probably be minimum age limit for committing Beethoven to disc (say 45 or 50?). Young conductors have given us good Beethoven recordings, but few great ones. Great Beethoven interpretations require maturity and practical experience, as well as a sense for the music's deeper levels. A conductor has to live with Beethoven—and the ups and downs of his own life—to grasp, music's inner meaning. Some never get it. This is true with many composers, but more so with Beethoven, perhaps owing to his position as first among equals in the Composer's Pantheon. Young conductors like to show they're hotshots, so they slam through the music demonstrate how they can master it and make handle it. Some of them are also trying to compete with Toscanini, whose performance became harder and faster as he grew old: Toscanini also may have been trying to prove he wasn't getting old and losing his "edge", another obsession of contemporary culture.

Another modern obsession: "historical authenticity". Don't be gulled by the historical reconstructionist ("period instrument") faction's claims that Beethoven shouldn't be "interpreted" (another Toscanini legacy). Over the years, we've reviewed many performances that were mechanical, expressionless, and devoid of feeling (some of them breathlessly trying to observe Beethoven's impossible metronome markings). They're mostly historical curiosities—not uninteresting in themselves but, like going to a Civil War battle reenactment or Colonial Williamsburg, no place to live permanently.

It's been eight years since our last Beethoven overview, but his part of the catalog seems to have been pretty stable. Most of the classic recordings are from the pre-digital era, about 25 years old or more, and most have made it to CD. We've tended to rate even the best of what has been done since "almost as good as" rather than "trample your mother to get at one of these". That's hardly the case with Mahler or Bruckner, Shostakovich, Tchaikovsky, Rachmaninoff. Maybe we're just living through a fallow period in Beethoven interpretation.

Even so, there are so many recordings that I had to set some ground rules. I decided to limit the recommendations to releases with high-quality stereo sound; it's painful, but I'm leaving out classic but monophonic or pre-high fidelity stuff. The goal here is to recommend a manageable group of all-around first-rate recordings that can form the cornerstone of a beginning collector's Beethoven library. That also rules out unusual, eccentric, or otherwise "different" performances. We're looking for strong, solid, compelling interpretations that will yield as much reward on the 50th or 500th listening as the first.

I've also leaned toward recordings that are available (or likely to be again) at a

local record store or on-line retailer. This does leave out some good-sounding but obscure-label items. Releases come and go from the catalog with such bewildering swiftness, and it's impossible to tell you exactly what's "available" at any given moment. If a record company is not currently offering a release, plenty of may still be in the pipeline, on dealers' shelves (sometimes the cut-out bin), or available through Internet retailers. Used CDs are also a reasonable option, since the format isn't prey to the degradation that ordinary use inflicts on LPs; a used CD will be as good as a new one, unless it's been abused. Much of the following information is gleaned from reviews that have appeared in ARG since the last overview. Where our 1995 recommendations are still valid, I've kept them. Where possible, I've tried to concentrate on recordings where there was some consensus among ARG reviewers. Consider this a collaborative effort, but I'm responsible for any errors and omissions. Some reviewers may think a given recording is better than others of us do. Some of your favorite recordings may have been excluded under the ground rules. If it's not mentioned here, that doesn't mean it's not a worthy performance.

Symphonies: Sets

No conductor does all nine symphonies equally well, so every set has at least one or two weak links. Conductors who are good at nailing down the aggressive Beethoven are not usually very good at the other Beethoven. Those who do well with the Fifth or Seventh tend to give us a nervous Sixth. And, unless you'd just as soon not have to put up with all that aggression, the ones who give us the perfect Pastorals seem to let us down in the works that surround it. But sets are convenient: if you know you like Beethoven and you know you want all of the symphonies in your collection, it's so much easier to buy a 5 or 6 CD set and be done with it. If you want the best of each symphony, you'll have to collect them piecemeal. The corollary to this is that, in some cases, outstanding individual performances are trapped in otherwise lackluster sets that cannot be recommended as a whole. It is always a great help when record companies issue sets on separately available single discs.

Eight years ago, we were still waiting for some important recordings to make it to CD; now, just about everything worth having is—or has been—available. The modern "compleatist" fetish, which seems to have been fueled by the advent of the CD, means that most conductors who record the symphonies now do them all, rather than the ones they like and understand best. It's a waste of plastic, so be wary.

Bernstein's Vienna Philharmonic set (DG) still seems pretty much the best all around. No orchestra knows Beethoven better, and they play beautifully for a conductor they always had a special rapport with. Bernstein's interpretations are

energetic and vivacious, serious and imposing, lyrical and expansive whatever the music calls for at the moment, he has it. Bernstein's earlier New York set (Sony) has good moments, but overall it's more superficial and mannered than the Vienna.

In contrast, Abbado's Berlin accounts (DG) are literal, unyielding, metronomic, and often monotonous. Why did DG go to the effort and expense to produce it? Despite fine moments here and there, Abbado does not make us feel that this is some of the greatest music ever written and here's what it means. The even-numbered symphonies come off better than the odd, but the playing is not particularly beautiful and the recorded sound is only so-so. Barenboim (Teldec) is also balanced and not too aggressive, but there are not many new ideas either. They are sober, balanced, and beautifully recorded-but, like Abbado, full price. I was not at all impressed with the Ninth, but Philip Haldeman liked the complete set very much. One person's un-fussy performance is another's boring one.

No such reservations apply to Böhm (DG), who, like Bernstein, has the benefit of the Vienna Philharmonic. Böhm is not as impulsive as Bernstein, but his performances have a structural integrity and eloquence that put them in the first rank. The orchestra plays as well for him as they do for Bernstein. The Cluytens (EMI Seraphim) is something of a sleeper. He is often associated with French repertoire, but he had a great affinity for German music. One suspects that he was somewhat overshadowed by the many Austro-German masters still active. John McKelvey pronounced the performances clean, unrushed, and sensitive to rhythmic pulse and found this set competitive with Walter, Klempner, Szell, and Böhm. Weaknesses: uninspired 3 and 9; strengths: great 5 and 7. Good news: it's available as separate, rock-bottom priced Seraphim discs.

Drahos is also inexpensive, but we're trying to figure out who'd want it. The Nicolaus Esterhazy Sinfonia is a cut-down chamber-sized ensemble, so the orchestral sound is way too scrawny. The no-nonsense accounts are small-scale, stiff, sometimes hasty, inexpressive. They're period-instrument performances for people who don't like period instruments.

Ferencsik's set costs about the same and is worlds apart. The Hungarian State Orchestra may not be the Vienna Philharmonic, but it plays with a mellow old-world tone. A contemporary of Böhm, Ferencsik was a conductor in a similar, though perhaps less strict, mold. His Beethoven is still appropriately forceful and, to be honest, sometimes gruff. 7 and 9 are among best on disc, and 1, 2, 4, and 8 are excellent; the "set problem" strikes in the form of the routine 5 and 6.

Giulini recorded 3, 5, and 6 in Los Angeles (DG)—good middle-of-the road, slightly introspective accounts. His later set with the La Scala orchestra (Sony) is interesting but slow to the point of deconstructionism. Listening can be simultaneously exasperating and rewarding. Also exasperating for some is Harnoncourt (Teldec), who elbows his way through the music in a uniformly angry-aggressive

manner. The Chamber Orchestra of Europe keeps up, but nobody seems to be enjoying themselves. Another “period” performance without the period instruments.

Karajan made four sets, and the 1962 Berlin set (DG) belongs in the same top-recommendation league as Bernstein and Böhm. The performances have power and intensity they are speedy but not forced, and the orchestra plays beautifully. They play even more beautifully in the harder-to-find 1972 set, and Karajan’s interpretations have deepened, but the softer sonics may not appeal to all. Karajan’s early EMI set with the Philharmonia is scrappy, hasty, and incompletely thought out! The final Berlin one from the 1980s (DG) shows a great artist in sad decline.

The Klemperer (EMI) is a landmark from the early stereo era. The performances are slow, controlled, and granitic, with a tremen: dous sense of architecture, like building® cathedral or Greek temple very slowly, on stone at a time. The style fits some of the sy phonies better than others (4 and 6 work be 7 and 9 fail to cohere). Konwitschny (Berling reminiscent of Klemperer and, to a lesse extent, Böhm in the tempos of his stel! implacable, muscular, unyielding, but pole fully effective, classically structured interpretations. His inflexible Germanic rhythmic pi may not appeal to all.

The refurbished CD sound of the Krips (Everest) is very fine, but the orchestral tone nothing special. The interpretations are sober and steady but somewhat superficial. John McKelvey finds them smoother and less angular than Ferencsik, while Editor Vroon feels the tempos are similar to Szell but the more relaxed rhythms create softer edges. This was a reasonable contender when it came out in the early 1960s and there was less competition; approach with caution. Masur (Philips) offers straightforward, detailed, well-played renderings with sensibly chosen tempos, but it’s hard to warm up to it. Böhm has the same ineluctable, monolithic quality but more imaginative interpretations and slightly better sound.

Pierre Monteux recorded 1-8 for Decca (9, for some reason, for Westminster) in the late 1950s with the London Symphony and Vienna Philharmonic. Both orchestras play gorgeous-ly, and these are wonderfully polished, firm, musical interpretations. So far, only 1, 3, 6, and 8 seem to have made it to CD. Less genial, the Leibowitz (Chesky) is fast and propulsive-some would call it “driven”—in the Toscanini mold, but with fine stereo sound. They are exciting, but not particularly perceptive. Leinsdorf (RCA) is often humorless, too. His set was overshadowed when it was stands up well now, but it often seems to skim the surface. The Boston Symphony plays well, but the performances are often merely facile and rarely moving. The Muti (EMI), made in Philadelphia in the 1980s, is a fine all-around group of interpretations. Some of them have been reissued on ultra-cheap Seraphim discs. The performances range from an exciting but bland Eroica to a memorable Ninth. Toscanini—Leibowitz speed and drive are there, but Muti also knows when the music has to slow down. The orchestra plays with a much

leaner (but still burnished) sound than it did for Ormandy. Ormandy (Sony) is in a class by himself—Beethoven for sound sensualists. The orchestra is in peak form, and Ormandy makes Beethoven less angry, pushy, or aggressive than just about anybody. Our Editor loves 1, 2, 4, and 9 and says 5 and 8 may be “the best ever”; tempos are moderate but not draggy.

Reiner (RCA) never recorded an integral set, but many of us would not want to do without the Chicago recordings he left us (1, 5, 6, 7, and 9 in stereo, a monaural 3): precision with power and fire. 5, 6, and 7 are among the best on disc. Solti recorded the symphonies twice (Decca) with the same orchestra. The earlier analog group from the 1970s is better, but on records at least Solti never “got” the 5th or the 9th. The performances are impulsive, pungent, and dramatic-hardly “rock solid” and “gran- His late 1950s Vienna recordings of 3, 5 and 7 are less punchy and driven. Szell’s (Sony) set is a perennial in the catalog, despite a weak Fifth, and the performances are energetic and exciting. If you like the more relaxed approaches of Walter, Böhm, or Ormandy, Szell’s approach may seem too punchy and driven. He could be more relaxed with other orchestras (maybe he felt under pressure to “play it straight” for posterity in Cleveland), but 1, 3, 4, and 9 are hard to beat.

Bruno Walter (Sony) recorded his stereo set with a pickup orchestra late in life, after age and infirmity had taken their toll. Neverthe-less, it is one of the warmest and most genial of sets. There are slack moments, and the Ninth is almost a complete loss, but the Sixth is one of the best ever, and our Editor dearly loves Walter’s Eroica. You can cover the poles of Beethoven interpretation by having both Szell and Walter.

Wand was seems cold and uninviting, though there are no significant disqualifying characteristics, but should Beethoven be this unimaginative and humorless? Speaking of unimaginative, Zinman’s (Arte Nova) came out on separate discs, but its chier selling point is the new Barenreitner Urtext scores its uses (since recorded by others, including Abbado) and the conductor’s attempt to adhere strictly to Beethoven’s metronome markings. The speed can be breathtaking, but the performances are often stiff, charmless, and mechanical-and they prove that those metronome markings, however Beethoven arrived at them, simply don’t work. The new Simon Rattle set (with the Vienna Philharmonic) arrived just past our deadline; we will have a review in the next issue.

There have been period-instrument sets by Goodman (Nimbus), Hogwood (Decca), and Norrington (EMI), but after a flurry of interest when they first came out, they seem not to have worn well. Hogwood has the best sound and is more straightforward and less eccentric than Norrington. It’s probably the best bet in this category, unless eccentricity and capriciousness appeal to you.

Recommended and currently available:

Bernstein	DG 423481
Karajan	DG 453701
Walter	Sony 48099
Böhm	DG 439681 (1,2,4,5) 437368 (3+9) 437928 (6,7,8)

Symphony 1

Beethoven's first symphony appeared in 1801—Symphony No. 1 in the first year of a new century. As Leonard Bernstein pointed out, it wears its Haydnish clothes until the third movement, which is an amusingly speed-ed-up minuet. It's a light, classical piece, but clearly the work of a new voice. It requires a lighter touch than the later works; the aggressive approach does not serve it well. Conductors who do well in it (as well as 2, 4, and 8) are not necessarily the best in the heavier symphonies.

Bernstein pretty much swept the field with his Vienna recording. It's full of high spirits and fizzy energy, with slightly weightier touches at the right times—the young Beethoven tweaking the nose of the Establishment. (One of our reviewers said, "It hits you like a ton of happy bricks".) However, Reiner, Karajan 1962, Szell, and the analog Solti (recommend-ed last time around) are almost as rewarding; Monteux and Jochum are more poised. We found Dohnanyi and Leibowitz earth-bound. Ormandy gives it more body and substance than usual. Sawallisch has the Concertgebouw Orchestra, but his lumpen approach doesn't say much with it or the music. This work is also the least strain for the period groups, so Hogwood, Norrington, and Brüggen all turn in credible but rather mechanical performances.

Bernstein	DG
Szell	Sony 89838 (+6)
Monteux	Decca 440627 (+3,6,8)