2.13.1) Let X be a topological space.  $A \subset X$ . Suppose  $\forall x \in A$ , there is an open U containing x s.t.  $U \subset A$ . Show that A is open in X.

Note: Open in X is understood as open in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ .

(⇒) Consider the set  $\bigcup_{x \in A} U_x$ , where  $U_x$ :  $x \in U_x \subset A$ . This set is open in X as it is a union of open sets of X. We can see that  $A \subset \bigcup_{x \in A} U_x$  by construction. Now,  $\forall x \in \bigcup_{x \in A} U_x$ ,  $x \in U_x \subset A$ . Thus

$$A = \bigcup_{x \in A} U_x$$

is open in X.

2.13.3) Let X be a set; let  $\mathcal{T}_c$  be the collection of all subsets U of X such that X - U either is countable or is all of X. Then  $\mathcal{T}_c$  is a topology on X. Is the collection

$$\mathcal{T}_{\infty} = \{U \mid X - U \text{ is infinite or empty or all of } X\}$$

a topology on X?

- $(\Rightarrow)$  We show that  $\mathcal{T}_c$  satisfies the definition of a topology.
- (a)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_c$  since

$$X - \emptyset = X$$

is all of X.

(b) Because the empty set is countably.

$$X - X = \emptyset$$

implies  $X \in \mathcal{T}_c$ .

(c) Consider a collection  $\{U_{\alpha}\}\subset\mathcal{T}_{c}$  and its union  $\bigcup U_{\alpha}$ . We have that

$$X - \bigcup U_{\alpha} = \bigcap (X - U_{\alpha}) \subset X - U_{\alpha},$$

for all  $\alpha$ . But  $X - U_{\alpha}$  is countable implies that the subset  $X - \bigcup U_{\alpha}$  is countable. Thus,

$$\bigcup U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}_{c}.$$

(d) Consider a finite collection  $\{U_{\alpha}\}\subset\mathcal{T}_{c}$  and its intersection  $\bigcap_{\alpha\in I}U_{\alpha}$ . We have that

$$X - \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} X - U_{\alpha}$$

is a countable set because a countable union of countable sets is countable by Theorem 7.5. Thus

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}_c.$$

2.13.4a) Let  $\{\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}\}$  be a family of topologies on X. We will show that  $\bigcap \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  is a topology on X.

Since  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  are all topologies on X,

$$\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}, \ \forall \ \alpha$$

implying

$$\emptyset, X \in \bigcap \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}.$$

Now suppose  $\{U_i\}$  is an arbitrary collection of sets in  $\bigcap \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$ . Then

$$\{U_i\}\subset\bigcap\mathcal{T}_\alpha\Rightarrow\{U_i\}\subset\mathcal{T}_\alpha$$

for all  $\alpha$ . With all  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  being topologies, it follows that  $\bigcup U_i \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha$ . Which implies

$$\boxed{\bigcup U_i \in \bigcap \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}.}$$

If  $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$  is a finite collection of sets in  $\bigcap \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$ , then  $\{U_i\}_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha$ . Again, with all  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  being topologies,

$$\bigcap_{i\in I} U_i \in T_\alpha$$

for all  $\alpha$  implies

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i \in \bigcap T_{\alpha}.$$

Therefore,  $\bigcap T_{\alpha}$  is a topology on X.

Question: Is  $\bigcup \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  a topology on X? No, counterexample: Consider two non-comparable topologies  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  on X. Since neither are contained in the other, there exists  $U_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1$  and  $U_2 \in \mathcal{T}_2$  s.t.  $U_1 \notin \mathcal{T}_2$  and  $U_2 \notin \mathcal{T}_1$ . Then  $U_1 \cup U_2$  is not defined in either  $\mathcal{T}_1$  nor  $\mathcal{T}_2$ . Thus,  $U_1 \cup U_2 \notin \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$ .

2.13.4b) Let  $\{\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}\}$  be a family of topologies on X.

- (a)  $\exists$  unique largest topology contained in all  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$   $\mathcal{T}_{S} = \{\emptyset, X\}$ .  $\mathcal{T}_{S} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\alpha} \ \forall \alpha$ . Any proper subset of  $\mathcal{T}_{S}$  is not a topology.
- (b)  $\exists$  unique smallest topology containing all  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$   $\mathcal{T}_{L} = \mathcal{P}(X)$ , power set of X. This set contains all possible  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$ . Note that some  $T_{\alpha}$ 's it contains may not be comparable.
- 2.13.8a) Apply Lemma 13.2 to show that the countable collection

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(a, b) \mid a < b, \ a \ and \ b \ rational\}$$

is a basis that generates the standard topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open containing x under the standard topology. Then  $U = (\alpha, \beta)$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have that

$$\alpha < x < \beta$$
.

But it's known from that  $\mathbb{R}$  is dense and therefore  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  s.t.

$$\alpha < a < x < b < \beta$$
.

That is to say that  $\exists$  some  $W = (a, b) \in s.t.$ 

$$x \in W \subset U$$
.

By Lemma 13.2,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for the standard topology of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

E1: Show that the set of open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in the standard topology is uncountable.

Consider the point  $\mathbf{0} := (0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a subset of open discs of radius r < 1 containing  $\mathbf{0}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Denote such discs of radius r centered at x by  $B_x(r)$ .

$$\mathcal{S} = \{ B_x(\epsilon) \mid 0 < \epsilon < 1 \}.$$

We can characterize each disc by it's radius. But since the set (0,1) is uncountable,  $\mathcal{S}$  is uncountable.  $\mathcal{S}$  being a subset of open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  implies that the set of open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is not countable.

**E2:** Does the standard topology on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  admit a countable basis  $\mathcal{B}$ ?

 $(\Rightarrow)$  We've seen that

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(a,b) \mid a < b, \ a \ and \ b \ rational\}$$

is a countable basis on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Theorem 15.1, 7.6 tells us that  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$  is a countable basis for  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\mathcal{B}\times\mathcal{B}=\{(a,b)\times(c,d)\:|\:(a,b),(c,d)\in\mathcal{B}\}.$$

We want to show that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $B_x(r) \ni x, \exists$  a rectangle in  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$  containing x contained in the disc.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Consider  $x \in B_x(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\delta' = \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}$ . Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$  between  $(0, \delta')$ . Then

$$(x_1 - \delta, x_1 + \delta) \times (x_2 - \delta, x_2 + \delta)$$

contains x and is contained in the disc. By Lemma 13.3,  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \supset \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Now show inclusion the other way. Consider  $x \in (a,b) \times (c,d) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let

$$r = \min\{|x_1 - a|, |x_1 - b|, |x_2 - c|, |x_2 - d|\}.$$

Then  $B_x(r)$  is a disc centered at x contained in the above basis element. By Lemma 13.3,  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**E3:** Show that the two topologies on  $X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$  resulting from the two natural identifications  $(X_1 \times X_2) \times X_3$ ,  $X_1 \times (X_2 \times X_3)$  are the same.

- $(X_1 \times X_2) \times X_3 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}_1$
- $X_1 \times (X_2 \times X_3) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$

(⇒) Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$ . Under the identification, there exists a basis element of  $(X_1 \times X_2) \times X_3$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$ . That is to say  $\exists$  open sets  $\mathcal{O}_{12}$ ,  $U_3$  in  $X_1 \times X_2$  and  $X_3$ , respectively, such that:

$$(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{12}, \ x_3 \in U_3.$$

 $\mathcal{O}_{12}$  being open in  $X_1 \times X_2$  implies  $\exists U_1, U_2$  open in  $X_1, X_2$ , respectively s.t.:

- $x_1 \in U_1 \subset X_1$ ,  $U_1$  open in  $X_1$
- $x_2 \in U_2 \subset X_2$ ,  $U_2$  open in  $X_2$
- $U_1 \times U_2 \subset \mathcal{O}_{12}$

 $U_2, U_3$  open implies  $U_2 \times U_3$  is a basis element of  $X_2 \times X_3$  containing  $(x_2, x_3)$ . Then  $U_2 \times U_3$  is open in  $X_2 \times X_3$ . It follows that  $U_1 \times (U_2 \times U_3)$  is a basis element of  $X_1 \times (X_2 \times X_3)$  containing  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  contained in  $\mathcal{O}_{12}, x_3 \in U_3$ . By Lemma 13.3,  $\mathcal{T}_2$  is finer than  $\mathcal{T}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}_1$ . Similar argument starting with the second identification shows us that  $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}_2$ . Thus  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$ .