$\boxed{\text{Rat 444 1+W Solutions}}$ $\boxed{\text{Rat 444 1+W Solutions}}$ = 9 ij (x) 2xi - 2xi dx' & dx' = gke (x') dx'k odx'l all x's expressed in terms of x's. $g'_{ne}(x') = g_{ij}(x(x')) \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial x'^{n}} \cdot \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial x'^{e}}$ (b) $dx' = \frac{\partial x'}{\partial x'^{j}} dx'^{j}$, $J_{ij} = \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial x'^{j}}$ $J = \det(J_{ij})$ $\therefore dx^{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{D} = \det \left(\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial x^{'j}} \right) dx^{'1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{'D}$: dx' 1 -- 1 dx = J dx' 1 -- 1 dx' D we write this is dox = JdPx (c) The result in (a) can be written as gre = gij Jik Jje = [JT] ki gij Jje The RHS is matrix multiplication. .: We have the matrix equation $g' = J^T g J$ (where g denote the matrix (g_{ij}) etc.)

Taking determinants on both sides, and realizing that $-det(J^T) = det(J)$, we have $g' = g J^2$ (d) $d^{\nu}x \sqrt{g'} = \frac{d^{\nu}x}{d^{\nu}} \cdot \sqrt{gJ^{2}} = A^{\nu}x \sqrt{g}$. : | ADXIG is the invariant volume element.

Use rectangular coordinates: Prob. 27 (AxiB) = E'jhABk : $(\nabla \times \vec{A})^i = \xi^{ij} R \partial_j A^k$ (Emislein Summation Convention used) = 813 k (2, 2; Ak) in this Sum only linns with distinct i, j, k contribute. When Eish = - Eik, Int Did; Ak = Didi Ak i. The RHS of the 3rd equality in the above equation panishes, and so V. (VXA)=0 (divergence of a curl vanishes) $(\nabla f)_i = \partial_i f \left(= \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial f} \right)$ $(\nabla \times (\nabla f))^{i} = \varepsilon^{ijn} \partial_{j} (\nabla f)_{k} = \varepsilon^{ijn} \partial_{j} \partial_{k} f$ (for the same reason as in the evaluation of the divergence of a curl)

Prob = 28

To prove (7.19), that is,

$$\nabla (fg) = f \, \nabla g + g \, \nabla f \, ,$$

we note that

$$d(fg) = f dg + g df.$$

Since

$$df = (\nabla f) \cdot d\mathbf{r}$$

for any function f, we have

$$\nabla (fg) \cdot d\mathbf{r} = (f \nabla g) \cdot d\mathbf{r} + (g \nabla f) \cdot d\mathbf{r} .$$

Eq.(7.19) then follows.

To prove (7.20), that is,

$$\nabla \times (fX) = (\nabla f) \times X + f \nabla \times X ,$$

we let

$$X = X^1 \epsilon_1 + X^2 \epsilon_2 + X^3 \epsilon_3$$
, $\omega = X^1 dx + X^2 dy + X^3 dz = X_i dx^i$,

and note that, by the rules of exterior differentiation,

$$d(f\omega) = (df) \wedge \omega + f d\omega$$
.

Since ω is a 1-form, $f\omega = fX^1dx + fX^2dy + fX^3dz$ is a 1-form also; and so

$$d(f\omega) = \partial_j (fX_i) dx^j \wedge dx^i$$

= $(\nabla \times fX)^1 dy \wedge dz + (\nabla \times fX)^2 dz \wedge dx + (\nabla \times fX)^3 dx \wedge dy$.

On the other hand,

$$(df) \wedge \omega = (\partial_j f) X_i dx^j \wedge dx^i$$

= $((\nabla f) \times X)^1 dy \wedge dz + ((\nabla f) \times X)^2 dz \wedge dx + ((\nabla f) \times X)^3 dx \wedge dy$:

and

$$fd\omega = f(\partial_j X_i) dx^j \wedge dx^i$$

= $f \left[(\nabla \times X)^1 dy \wedge dz + (\nabla \times X)^2 dz \wedge dx + (\nabla \times X)^3 dx \wedge dy \right].$

The last four equations imply (7.20).

To prove (7.21), that is,

$$\nabla \cdot (fX) = (\nabla f) \cdot X + f \nabla \cdot X ,$$

We set the vector field X and the 2-form ψ as follows:

$$X = X^1 \epsilon_1 + X^2 \epsilon_2 + X^3 \epsilon_3 , \quad \psi = X^1 dy \wedge dz + X^2 dz \wedge dx + X^3 dx \wedge dy .$$

Then

$$d(f\psi) = df \wedge \psi + f d\psi$$
.

But we know that

$$fd\psi = (f\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{X})\,dx \wedge dy \wedge dz\,,$$

and

$$d(f\psi) = \nabla \cdot (fX) \, dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \; .$$

Also

$$df \wedge \psi = (\partial_i f) dx^i \wedge [X^1 dy \wedge dz + X^2 dz \wedge dx + X^3 dx \wedge dy]$$

=
$$[(\partial_x f) X^1 + (\partial_y f) X^2 + (\partial_z f) X^3] dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

=
$$(\nabla f \cdot X) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz.$$

Hence (7.21) follows.

To prove (7.22), that is,

$$\nabla \cdot (X \times Y) = Y \cdot (\nabla \times X) - X \cdot (\nabla \times Y) ,$$

we let

$$X = X^1 \epsilon_1 + X^2 \epsilon_2 + X^3 \epsilon_3$$
, $Y = Y^1 \epsilon_1 + Y^2 \epsilon_2 + Y^3 \epsilon_3$:

and define the 1-forms

$$\theta = X^1 dx + X^2 dy + X^3 dz = X_i dx^i$$
, $\phi = Y^1 dx + Y^2 dy + Y^3 dz = Y_i dx^j$,

and the 2-form

$$\psi = \theta \wedge \phi \; .$$

So, since θ is a 1-form,

$$d\psi = d\theta \wedge \phi - \theta \wedge d\phi.$$

We have

$$\psi = X_i Y_j \, dx^i \wedge dx^j
= (X^1 Y^2 - X^2 Y^1) \, dx \wedge dy + (X^3 Y^1 - X^1 Y^3) \, dz \wedge dx + (X^2 Y^3 - X^3 Y^2) \, dy \wedge dz
= (X \times Y)^1 \, dy \wedge dz + (X \times Y)^2 \, dz \wedge dx + (X \times Y)^3 \, dx \wedge dy .$$

So

$$d\psi = \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{X} \times \boldsymbol{Y}) \, dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \; .$$

On the other hand,

$$d\theta = (\partial_j X_i) dx^j \wedge dx^i = (\nabla \times X)^1 dy \wedge dz + (\nabla \times X)^2 dz \wedge dx + (\nabla \times X)^3 dx \wedge dy ,$$

$$d\phi = (\partial_j Y_i) dx^j \wedge dx^i = (\nabla \times Y)^1 dy \wedge dz + (\nabla \times Y)^2 dz \wedge dx + (\nabla \times Y)^3 dx \wedge dy ;$$



and so

$$d\theta \wedge \phi = \left[Y^1 (\nabla \times X)^1 + Y^2 (\nabla \times X)^2 + Y^3 (\nabla \times X)^3 \right] dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

= $\left[Y \cdot (\nabla \times X) \right] dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$,

and

$$\theta \wedge d\phi = \left[X^1 (\nabla \times Y)^1 + X^2 (\nabla \times Y)^2 + X^3 (\nabla \times Y)^3 \right] dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$
$$= \left[X \cdot (\nabla \times Y) \right] dx \wedge dy \wedge dz .$$

Eq.(7.22) follows.

Prob 500 29

Proof of (7.17)

$$(\nabla \times (\nabla f))^i = \varepsilon^{ijk} \, \partial_j (\nabla f)_k = \varepsilon^{ijk} \, \partial_j \partial_k f \; .$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} (\nabla \times (\nabla f))^1 &= \varepsilon^{123} \partial_2 \partial_3 f + \varepsilon^{132} \partial_3 \partial_2 f = \partial_2 \partial_3 f - \partial_3 \partial_2 f = 0 \ , \\ (\nabla \times (\nabla f))^2 &= \varepsilon^{231} \partial_3 \partial_1 f + \varepsilon^{213} \partial_1 \partial_3 f = \partial_3 \partial_1 f - \partial_1 \partial_3 f = 0 \ , \\ (\nabla \times (\nabla f))^3 &= \varepsilon^{312} \partial_1 \partial_2 f + \varepsilon^{321} \partial_2 \partial_1 f = \partial_1 \partial_2 f - \partial_2 \partial_1 f = 0 \ , \end{split}$$

Proof of (7.18):

$$\begin{split} \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{X}) &= \partial_i (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{X})^i = \partial_i (\varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \partial_j X^k) = \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \, \partial_i \partial_j X^k \\ &= (\varepsilon^{23}{}_1 \, \partial_2 \partial_3 X^1 + \varepsilon^{32}{}_1 \, \partial_3 \partial_2 X^1) + (\varepsilon^{31}{}_2 \, \partial_3 \partial_1 X^2 + \varepsilon^{13}{}_2 \, \partial_1 \partial_3 X^2) \\ &+ (\varepsilon^{12}{}_3 \, \partial_1 \partial_2 X^3 + \varepsilon^{21}{}_3 \, \partial_2 \partial_1 X^3) = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0 \; . \end{split}$$

Proof of (7.19):

$$\nabla (fg) = \sum_{i} \partial_{i}(fg) \, \epsilon_{i} = \sum_{i} (f\partial_{i}g + g\partial_{i}f) \, \epsilon_{i} = f \sum_{i} (\partial_{i}g) \, \epsilon_{i} + g \sum_{i} (\partial_{i}f) \, \epsilon_{i}$$
$$= f \nabla g + g \nabla f \, .$$

Proof of (7.20):

$$\begin{split} (\nabla \times (fX))^i &= \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \partial_j (fX^k) = \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \left((\partial_j f) X^k + f \partial_j X^k \right) \\ &= \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k (\nabla f)_j X^k + f \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \partial_j X^k = (\nabla f \times X)^i + f (\nabla \times X)^i \;. \end{split}$$

Proof of (7.21):

$$\nabla \cdot (fX) = \partial_i(fX^i) = (\partial_i f)X^i + f\partial_i X^i = (\nabla f) \cdot X + f\nabla \cdot X .$$

Proof of (7.22):

$$\begin{split} \nabla \cdot (X \times Y) &= \partial_i (X \times Y)^i = \varepsilon^i{}_{jk} \partial_i (X^j Y^k) \\ &= \varepsilon^i{}_{jk} (\partial_i X^j) Y^k + \varepsilon^i{}_{jk} X^j \partial_i Y^k = (\varepsilon_k{}^i{}_j \partial_i X^j) Y^k - \varepsilon_j{}^i{}_k (\partial_i Y^k) X^j \\ &= (\nabla \times X)_k Y^k - (\nabla \times Y)_j X^j = (\nabla \times X) \cdot Y - (\nabla \times Y) \cdot X \;. \end{split}$$

This is actually Pub. 27

Prob 🗪 30

We will show how to use both methods to establish the identity. First consider the tensorial index method. Start with

$$(X \times Y)^i = \varepsilon^i{}_{jk} X^j Y^k$$
.

Then

$$\begin{split} (\nabla \times (\boldsymbol{X} \times \boldsymbol{Y}))^i &= \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \partial_j (\boldsymbol{X} \times \boldsymbol{Y})^k = \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \, \partial_j (\varepsilon^k{}_{lm} \boldsymbol{X}^l \boldsymbol{Y}^m) \\ &= \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \varepsilon^k{}_{lm} \, \partial_j (\boldsymbol{X}^l \boldsymbol{Y}^m) = \varepsilon_k{}^{ij} \varepsilon^k{}_{lm} \, \partial_j (\boldsymbol{X}^l \boldsymbol{Y}^m) \\ &= (\delta^i_l \delta^j_m - \delta^i_m \delta^j_l) \, \partial_j (\boldsymbol{X}^l \boldsymbol{Y}^m) = \partial_j (\boldsymbol{X}^i \boldsymbol{Y}^j) - \partial_j (\boldsymbol{X}^j \boldsymbol{Y}^i) \\ &= \boldsymbol{X}^i \partial_j \boldsymbol{Y}^j + (\boldsymbol{Y}^j \partial_j) \boldsymbol{X}^i - (\boldsymbol{X}^j \partial_j) \boldsymbol{Y}^i - \boldsymbol{Y}^i (\partial_j \boldsymbol{X}^j) \\ &= (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{Y}) \boldsymbol{X}^i + (\boldsymbol{Y} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{X}^i - (\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{Y}^i - (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{X}) \boldsymbol{Y}^i \;. \end{split}$$

This proves (7.26).

To use differential forms to prove (7.26) we define the 1-forms θ and ϕ and the 2-form ψ as in the last problem, that is,

$$\theta = X_i dx^i$$
, $\phi = Y_j dx^j$, $\psi = \theta \wedge \phi = X_i Y_j dx^i \wedge dx^j$.

Focus on the left-hand-side of (7.26) first. To find the curl of a vector field we know that we have to exteriorly differentiate a 1-form. But $X \times Y$ corresponds to the 2-form ψ . So we have to use the Hodge star operator * to make ψ into the 1-form $*\psi$ before exteriorly differentiating. The result $d(*\psi)$ will be a 2-form. The right-hand-side of (7.26), however, corresponds to a 1-form. This suggests that, to prove (7.26), we have to compute $(*d*)\psi$. We start with

$$*\psi = *(X_iY_j\,dx^i\wedge dx^j) = X_iY_j *(dx^i\wedge dx^j) = \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k\,X_iY_jdx^k\;.$$

Then

$$d(*\psi) = \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \, d(X_i Y_j \, dx^k) = \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \, \partial_l(X_i Y_j) \, dx^l \wedge dx^k \; .$$

So

$$\begin{split} (*d*)\psi &= \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \varepsilon^{lk}{}_m \, \partial_l(X_iY_j) dx^m = \varepsilon_k{}^{ij} \varepsilon^k{}_m{}^l \, \partial_l(X_iY_j) dx^m \\ &= (\delta^i_m \delta^{jl} - \delta^{il} \delta^j_m) \, \partial_l(X_iY_j) dx^m = \partial_j(X_iY^j) dx^i - \partial_i(X^iY_j) dx^j \\ &= X_i(\partial_j Y^j) dx^i + (Y^j \partial_j) X_i dx^i - (X^i \partial_i) Y_j dx^j - (\partial_i X^i) Y_j dx^j \\ &= \{(\nabla \cdot Y) X_i + (Y \cdot \nabla) X_i - (X \cdot \nabla) Y_i - (\nabla \cdot X) Y_i\} \, dx^i \, . \end{split}$$

This also proves (7.26).