ADDENDA TO TRIAL GUIDE

SPECIAL EVIDENCE PROBLEM—CONFESSIONS

NOTE: Before you consider an out-of-court statement of the accused as evidence against him, you must be convinced by a preponderance of the evidence that the statement was made voluntarily and that, if required, the accused was properly advised of his rights. Mil.R.Evid. 304, 305.

A confession or admission is not voluntary if it was obtained through the use of coercion, unlawful influence, or unlawful inducement, including obtaining the statement by questioning an accused without complying with the warning requirements of Article 31(b), UCMJ, and without first advising the accused of his rights to counsel during a custodial interrogation. You must also keep in mind that an accused cannot be convicted on the basis of his out-of-court self-incriminating statement alone, even if it was voluntary, for such a statement must be corroborated if it is to be used as a basis for conviction. Mil.R.Evid. 304(g). If a statement was obtained from the accused during a custodial interrogation, it must appear affirmatively on the record that the accused was warned of the nature of the offense of which he was accused or suspected, that he had the right to remain silent, that any statement he made could be used against him, that he had the right to consult lawyer counsel and have lawyer counsel with him during the interrogation, and that lawyer counsel could be civilian counsel provided by him at his own expense or free military counsel appointed for him. After the above explanation, the accused or suspect should have been asked if he desired counsel. If he answered affirmatively, the record must show that the interrogation ceased until counsel was obtained. If he answered negatively, he should have been asked if he desired to make a statement. If he answered negatively, the record must show that the interrogation ceased. If he affirmatively indicated that he desired to make a statement, the statement is admissible against him. The record must show, however, that the accused did not invoke any of these rights at any stage of the interrogation. In all cases in which you are considering the reception in evidence of a self-incriminating statement of the accused, you should call the person who obtained the statement to testify as a witness and question him substantially as follows:

SCM:	(After the routine introductory questions) Did you have occasion to speak to the accused on?
WIT:	(Yes) (No)
SCM:	Where did this conversation take place, and at what time did it begin?
WIT:	·

Handbook for Military Justice and Civil Law

SCM:	Who else, if anyone, was present?
WIT:	
SCM:	What time did the conversation end?
WIT:	
SCM:	Was the accused permitted to smoke as he desired during the period of time involved in the conversation?
WIT:	
SCM:	Was the accused permitted to drink water as he desired during the conversation?
WIT:	
SCM:	Was the accused permitted to eat meals at the normal meal times as he desired during the conversation?
WIT:	·
SCM:	Prior to the accused making a statement, what, if anything, did you advise him concerning the offense of which he was suspected?
WIT:	(I advised him that I suspected him of the theft of Seaman Jones' Bulova wristwatch from Jones' locker in Building 15 on 21 January 19CY.)
SCM:	What, if anything, did you advise the accused concerning his right to remain silent?
WIT:	(I informed the accused that he need not make any statement and that he had the right to remain silent.)
SCM:	What, if anything, did you advise the accused of the use that could be made of a statement if he made one?
WIT:	(I advised the accused that, if he elected to make a statement, it could be used as evidence against him at a court-martial or other proceeding.)
SCM:	Did you ask the accused if he desired to consult with a lawyer or to have a lawyer present?

WIT:

(Yes.) (No.)

SCM:

(If answer to previous question was affirmative) What was his reply?

WIT:

(He stated he did (not) wish to consult with a lawyer (or to have a lawyer present).)

NOTE: If the interrogator was aware that the accused had retained or appointed counsel in connection with the charge(s), then such counsel was required to be given notice of the time and place of the interrogation.

SCM:

To your knowledge, did the accused have counsel in connection with the charge(s)?

WIT:

(Yes.) (No.)

SCM:

(If answer to previous question was affirmative) Did you notify the accused's counsel of the time and place of your interview with the accused?

WIT:

(Yes.) (No.)

SCM:

What, if anything, did you advise the accused of his rights concerning counsel?

WIT:

(I advised the accused that he had the right to consult with a lawyer counsel and have that lawyer present at the interrogation. I also informed him that he could retain a civilian lawyer at his own expense and additionally a military lawyer would be provided for him. I further advised him that any detailed military lawyer, if the accused desired such counsel, would be provided at no expense to him.)

SCM:

Did you provide all of this advice prior to the accused making any statement to you?

WIT:

(Yes.)

SCM:

What, if anything, did the accused say or do to indicate that he understood your advice?

WIT:

(After advising him of each of his rights, I asked him if he understood what I had told him and he said he did. (Also, I had him read a printed form containing a statement of these rights and sign the statement acknowledging his understanding of these rights.)

SCM: (If accused has signed a statement of his rights) I show you Prosecution Exhibit #2 for identification, which purports to be a form containing advice of a suspect's rights and ask if you can identify it? WIT: (Yes. This is the form executed by the accused on recognize it because my signature appears on the bottom as a witness, and I recognize the accused's signature, which was placed on the document in my presence.) SCM: Did the accused subsequently make a statement? WIT: (Yes.) SCM: Was the statement reduced to writing? WIT: (Yes.) (No.) Prior to the accused's making the statement, did you, or anyone else to your SCM: knowledge, threaten the accused in any way? WIT: (Yes.) (No.) Prior to the accused's making the statement, did you, or anyone else to your SCM: knowledge, make any promises of reward, favor, or advantage to the accused in return for his statement? WIT: (Yes.) (No.) SCM: Prior to the accused's making the statement, did you, or anyone else to your knowledge, strike or otherwise offer violence to the accused should he not make a statement? WIT: (Yes.) (No.) SCM: (If the accused's statement was reduced to writing) Describe in detail the procedure used to reduce the statement in writing. WIT: SCM: Did the accused at any time during the interrogation request to exercise any of his rights? WIT: (Yes.) (No.)

NOTE:

If the witness indicates that the accused did invoke any of his rights at any stage of the interrogation, it must be shown that the interrogation ceased at that time and was not continued until such time as there had been compliance with the request of the accused concerning the rights invoked. If the witness testifies that he obtained a written statement from the accused, he should be asked if and how he can identify it as a written statement of the accused. When a number of persons have participated in obtaining a statement, you may find it necessary to call several or all of them as witnesses in order to inquire adequately into the circumstances under which the statement was taken.

SCM:

I now show you Prosecution Exhibit 3 for identification, which purports to be a statement of the accused, and ask if you can identify it?

WIT:

(Yes. I recognize my signature and handwriting on the witness blank at the bottom of the page. I also recognize the accused's signature on the page.)

SCM:

(To accused, after permitting him to examine the statement when it is in writing) The Uniform Code of Military Justice provides that no person subject to the Code may compel you to incriminate yourself or answer any question which may tend to incriminate you. In this regard, no person subject to the Code may interrogate or request any statement from you if you are accused or suspected of an offense without first informing you of the nature of the offense of which you are suspected and advising you that you need not make any statement regarding the offense of which you are accused or suspected; that any statement you do make may be used as evidence against you in a trial by court-martial; that you have the right to consult with lawyer counsel and have lawyer counsel with you during the interrogation; and that lawyer counsel can be civilian counsel provided by you or military counsel appointed for you at no expense to you. Finally, any statement obtained from you through the use of coercion, unlawful influence, or unlawful inducement, may not be used in evidence against you in a trial by court-martial. In addition, any statement made by you that was actually the result of any promise of reward or advantage, or that was made by you after you had invoked any of your rights at any time during the interrogation, and your request to exercise those rights was denied, is inadmissible and cannot be used against you. Before I consider receiving this statement in evidence, you have the right at this time to introduce any evidence you desire concerning the circumstances under which the statement was obtained or concerning whether the statement was in fact made by you. You also have the right to take the stand at this time as a witness for the limited purpose of testifying as to these matters. If you do that, whatever you say will be considered and weighed as evidence by me just as is the testimony of other witnesses on this subject. I will have the right to question you upon your testimony, but if you limit your testimony to the circumstances surrounding the taking of the statement or as to whether the

statement was in fact made by you, I may not question you on the subject of your guilt or innocence, nor may I ask you whether the statement is true or false. In other words, you can only be questioned upon the issues concerning which you testify and upon your worthiness of belief, but not upon anything else. On the other hand, you need not take the witness stand at all. You have a perfect right to remain silent, and the fact that you do not take the stand yourself will not be considered as an admission by you that the statement was made by you under circumstances which would make it admissible or that it was in fact made by you. You also have the right to cross-examine this witness concerning his testimony, just as you have that right with other witnesses, or, if you prefer, I will cross-examine him for you along any line of inquiry you indicate. Do you understand your rights?

ACC:	
SCM:	Do you wish to cross-examine this witness?
ACC:	
SCM:	Do you wish to introduce any evidence concerning the taking of the statement or concerning whether you in fact made the statement?
ACC:	
SCM:	Do you wish to testify yourself concerning these matters?
ACC:	•
SCM:	Do you have any objection to my receiving Prosecution Exhibits 2 and 3 for identification into evidence?
ACC:	(Yes, sir (stating reasons).) (No, sir.)
SCM:	(Your objection is sustained.)
	(Your objection is overruled. These documents are admitted into evidence as Prosecution Exhibits 2 and 3.)
	(There being no objection, these documents are admitted into evidence as Prosecution Exhibits 2 and 3.)
	NOTE:If the accused's statement was given orally, rather than in writing, anyone who heard the statement may testify as to its content if

all requirements for admissibility have been met.