Data Science for Biological, Medical and Health Research: Notes for 432

Thomas E. Love, Ph.D. Version: 2018-01-15

Contents

In	trod	uction	5
R	Pack	kages used in these notes	7
D	ata u	sed in these notes	9
1	Bui	lding Table 1	11
	1.1	Two examples from the New England Journal of Medicine	11
	1.2	The MR CLEAN trial	12
	1.3	Simulated fakestroke data	14
	1.4	Building Table 1 for fakestroke: Attempt 1	15
	1.5	fakestroke Table 1: Attempt 2	17
	1.6	Obtaining a more detailed Summary	19

4 CONTENTS

Introduction

These Notes provide a series of examples using R to work through issues that are likely to come up in PQHS/CRSP/MPHP 432.

While these Notes share some of the features of a textbook, they are neither comprehensive nor completely original. The main purpose is to give 432 students a set of common materials on which to draw during the course. In class, we will sometimes:

- reiterate points made in this document,
- amplify what is here,
- simplify the presentation of things done here,
- use new examples to show some of the same techniques,
- refer to issues not mentioned in this document,

but what we don't do is follow these notes very precisely. We assume instead that you will read the materials and try to learn from them, just as you will attend classes and try to learn from them. We welcome feedback of all kinds on this document or anything else. Just email us at 431-help at case dot edu, or submit a pull request.

What you will mostly find are brief explanations of a key idea or summary, accompanied (most of the time) by R code and a demonstration of the results of applying that code.

Everything you see here is available to you as HTML or PDF. You will also have access to the R Markdown files, which contain the code which generates everything in the document, including all of the R results. We will demonstrate the use of R Markdown (this document is generated with the additional help of an R package called bookdown) and R Studio (the "program" which we use to interface with the R language) in class.

To download the data and R code related to these notes, visit the Data and Code section of the 432 course website.

6 CONTENTS

R Packages used in these notes

Here, we'll load in the packages used in these notes.

library(tableone); library(tidyverse)

8 CONTENTS

Data used in these notes

Here, we'll load in the data sets used in these notes.

fakestroke <- read.csv("data/fakestroke.csv") %>% tbl_df

10 CONTENTS

Chapter 1

Building Table 1

Many scientific articles involve direct comparison of results from various exposures, perhaps treatments. In 431, we studied numerous methods, including various sorts of hypothesis tests, confidence intervals, and descriptive summaries, which can help us to understand and compare outcomes in such a setting. One common approach is to present what's often called Table 1. Table 1 provides a summary of the characteristics of a sample, or of groups of samples, which is most commonly used to help understand the nature of the data being compared.

1.1 Two examples from the New England Journal of Medicine

1.1.1 A simple Table 1

Table 1 is especially common in the context of clinical research. Consider the excerpt below, from a January 2015 article in the New England Journal of Medicine (Tolaney et al., 2015).

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Patients.*						
Characteristic	Patients (N=406)					
	no. (%)					
Age group						
<50 yr	132 (32.5)					
50–59 yr	137 (33.7)					
60–69 yr	96 (23.6)					
≥70 yr	41 (10.1)					
Sex						
Female	405 (99.8)					
Male	1 (0.2)					
Race†						
White	351 (86.5)					
Black	28 (6.9)					
Asian	11 (2.7)					
Other	16 (3.9)					

This (partial) table reports baseline characteristics on age group, sex and race, describing 406 patients with

HER2-positive¹ invasive breast cancer that began the protocol therapy. Age, sex and race (along with severity of illness) are the most commonly identified characteristics in a Table 1.

In addition to the measures shown in this excerpt, the full Table also includes detailed information on the primary tumor for each patient, including its size, nodal status and histologic grade. Footnotes tell us that the percentages shown are subject to rounding, and may not total 100, and that the race information was self-reported.

1.1.2 Table 1 showing a group comparison

A more typical Table 1 involves a group comparison, for example in this excerpt from Roy et al. (2008). This Table 1 describes a multi-center randomized clinical trial comparing two different approaches to caring for patients with heart failure and atrial fibrillation².

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Patients.*		
Variable	Rhythm-Control Group (N = 682)	Rate-Control Group (N = 694)
Male sex (%)	78	85
Age (yr)	66±11	67±11
Body-mass index†	27.8±5.4	28.0±5.1
Nonwhite race (%)‡	16	13
NYHA class III or IV (%)		
At baseline	32	31
During previous 6 mo	76	76
Predominant cardiac diagnosis (%)∫		
Coronary artery disease	48	48
Valvular heart disease	5	5
Nonischemic cardiomyopathy	36	39
Congenital heart disease	1	1
Hypertensive heart disease	10	7

The article provides percentages, means and standard deviations across groups, but note that it does not provide p values for the comparison of baseline characteristics. This is a common feature of NEJM reports on randomized clinical trials, where we anticipate that the two groups will be well matched at baseline. Note that the patients in this study were *randomly* assigned to either the rhythm-control group or to the rate-control group, using blocked randomizations stratified by study center.

1.2 The MR CLEAN trial

Consider the following simulated data, available on the Data and Code page of our course website in the fakestroke.csv file, which I built to let us mirror the Table 1 for a real randomized clinical trial, called MR CLEAN (Berkhemer et al., 2015).

The MR CLEAN trial report describes 500 patients with acute ischemic stroke at 16 medical centers in the Netherlands, where 233 were randomly assigned to the intervention (intraarterial treatment plus usual care) and 267 to control (usual care alone.)

Here's the Table 1 from Berkhemer et al. (2015).

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{HER2} = \mathrm{human}$ epidermal growth factor receptor type 2. Over-expression of this occurs in 15-20% of invasive breast cancers, and has been associated with poor outcomes.

²The complete Table 1 appears on pages 2668-2669 of Roy et al. (2008), but I have only reproduced the first page and the footnote in this excerpt.

Characteristic	Intervention (N = 233)	Control (N = 267)
Age — yr		
Median	65.8	65.7
Interquartile range	54.5-76.0	55.5-76.4
Male sex — no. (%)	135 (57.9)	157 (58.8)
NIHSS score†		
Median (interquartile range)	17 (14-21)	18 (14-22)
Range	3-30	4-38
Location of stroke in left hemisphere — no. (%)	116 (49.8)	153 (57.3)
History of ischemic stroke — no. (%)	29 (12.4)	25 (9.4)
Atrial fibrillation — no. (%)	66 (28.3)	69 (25.8)
Diabetes mellitus — no. (%)	34 (14.6)	34 (12.7)
Prestroke modified Rankin scale score — no. (%)‡		
0	190 (81.5)	214 (80.1)
1	21 (9.0)	29 (10.9)
2	12 (5.2)	13 (4.9)
>2	10 (4.3)	11 (4.1)
Systolic blood pressure — mm Hg∬	146±26.0	145±24.4
Treatment with IV alteplase — no. (%)	203 (87.1)	242 (90.6)
Time from stroke onset to start of IV alteplase — min		
Median	85	87
Interquartile range	67-110	65-116
ASPECTS — median (interquartile range)¶	9 (7-10)	9 (8-10)
Intracranial arterial occlusion — no./total no. (%)		
Intracranial ICA	1/233 (0.4)	3/266 (1.1)
ICA with involvement of the M1 middle cerebral artery segment	59/233 (25.3)	75/266 (28.2)
M1 middle cerebral artery segment	154/233 (66.1)	165/266 (62.0)
M2 middle cerebral artery segment	18/233 (7.7)	21/266 (7.9)
A1 or A2 anterior cerebral artery segment	1/233 (0.4)	2/266 (0.8)
Extracranial ICA occlusion — no./total no. (%) **	75/233 (32.2)	70/266 (26.3)
Time from stroke onset to randomization — min††		
Median	204	196
Interquartile range	152-251	149–266
Time from stroke onset to groin puncture — min		
Median	260	NA
Interquartile range	210-313	

- * The intervention group was assigned to intraarterial treatment plus usual care, and the control group was assigned to usual care alone. Plus-minus values are means ±SD. ICA denotes internal carotid artery, IV intravenous, and NA not applicable.
- † Scores on the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) range from 0 to 42, with higher scores indicating more severe neurologic deficits. The NIHSS is a 15-item scale, and values for 30 of the 7500 items were missing (0.4%). The highest number of missing items for a single patient was 6.
- Scores on the modified Rankin scale of functional disability range from 0 (no symptoms) to 6 (death). A score of 2 or less indicates functional independence.
- Data on systolic blood pressure at baseline were missing for one patient assigned to the control group.
- The Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score (ASPECTS) is a measure of the extent of stroke. Scores ranges from 0 to 10, with higher scores indicating fewer early ischemic changes. Scores were not available for four patients assigned to the control group: noncontrast computed tomography was not performed in one patient, and three patients had strokes in the territory of the anterior cerebral artery.
- Vessel imaging was not performed in one patient in the control group, so the level of occlusion was not known.
- ** Extracranial ICA occlusions were reported by local investigators.
- †† Data were missing for two patients in the intervention group.

1.3 Simulated fakestroke data

The fakestroke.csv file contains the following 18 variables for 500 patients.

37 . 11	D
Variable	Description
studyid	Study ID $\#$ (z001 through z500)
trt	Treatment group (Intervention or Control)
age	Age in years
sex	Male or Female
nihss	NIH Stroke Scale Score (can range from 0-42; higher scores
	indicate more severe neurological deficits)
location	Stroke Location - Left or Right Hemisphere
hx.isch	History of Ischemic Stroke (Yes/No)
afib	Atrial Fibrillation $(1 = Yes, 0 = No)$
dm	Diabetes Mellitus $(1 = Yes, 0 = No)$
mrankin	Pre-stroke modified Rankin scale score $(0, 1, 2 \text{ or } > 2)$
	indicating functional disability - complete range is 0 (no
	symptoms) to 6 (death)
sbp	Systolic blood pressure, in mm Hg
iv.altep	Treatment with IV alteplase (Yes/No)
time.iv	Time from stroke onset to start of IV alteplase (minutes) if
	iv.altep=Yes
aspects	Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography
	score, which measures extent of stroke from 0 - 10; higher
	scores indicate fewer early ischemic changes
ia.occlus	Intracranial arterial occlusion, based on vessel imaging -
	five categories ³
extra.ica	Extracranial ICA occlusion $(1 = Yes, 0 = No)$
time.rand	Time from stroke onset to study randomization, in minutes
time.punc	Time from stroke onset to groin puncture, in minutes (only
	if Intervention)

Here's a quick look at the simulated data in fakestroke.

³The five categories are Intracranial ICA, ICA with involvement of the M1 middle cerebral artery segment, M1 middle cerebral artery segment, M2 middle cerebral artery segment, A1 or A2 anterior cerebral artery segment

fakestroke

```
# A tibble: 500 x 18
   studyid trt
                      age sex
                                nihss location hx.isch afib
                                                                 dm mrankin
           <fct>
   <fct>
                    <dbl> <fct> <int> <fct>
                                                <fct>
                                                        <int> <int> <fct>
 1 z001
           Control 53.0 Male
                                                            0
                                                                  0 2
                                   21 Right
                                                No
 2 z002
           Interve~ 51.0 Male
                                                                  0 0
                                   23 Left
                                                No
                                                            1
                     68.0 Fema~
 3 z003
                                                            0
                                                                  0 0
           Control
                                   11 Right
                                                No
 4 z004
           Control
                     28.0 Male
                                   22 Left
                                                No
                                                            0
                                                                  0 0
                                                            0
 5 z005
           Control
                     91.0 Male
                                   24 Right
                                                No
                                                                  0 0
 6 z006
           Control
                     34.0 Fema~
                                   18 Left
                                                No
                                                                  0 2
 7 z007
                                   25 Right
                                                            0
                                                                  0 0
           Interve~ 75.0 Male
                                                No
 8 z008
           Control
                     89.0 Fema~
                                   18 Right
                                                No
                                                            0
                                                                  0 0
9 z009
           Control
                     75.0 Male
                                   25 Left
                                                No
                                                            1
                                                                  0 2
10 z010
           Interve~ 26.0 Fema~
                                   27 Right
                                                            0
                                                                  0 0
                                                No
# ... with 490 more rows, and 8 more variables: sbp <int>, iv.altep <fct>,
   time.iv <int>, aspects <int>, ia.occlus <fct>, extra.ica <int>,
   time.rand <int>, time.punc <int>
```

1.4 Building Table 1 for fakestroke: Attempt 1

Our goal, then, is to take the data in fakestroke.csv and use it to generate a Table 1 for the study that compares the 233 patients in the Intervention group to the 267 patients in the Control group, on all of the other variables (except study ID #) available. I'll use the tableone package of functions available in R to help me complete this task. We'll make a first attempt, using the CreateTableOne function in the tableone package. To use the function, we'll need to specify:

- the vars or variables we want to place in the rows of our Table 1 (which will include just about everything in the fakestroke data except the studyid code and the trt variable for which we have other plans, and the time.punc which applies only to subjects in the Intervention group.)
 - A useful trick here is to use the dput function, specifically something like dput (names (fakestroke)) can be used to generate a list of all of the variables included in the fakestroke tibble, and then this can be copied and pasted into the vars specification, saving some typing.
- the strata which indicates the levels want to use in the columns of our Table 1 (for us, that's trt)

Stratified by trt Control Intervention test 267 233 age (mean (sd)) 65.38 (16.10) 63.93 (18.09) 0.343 sex = Male (%) 157 (58.8) 135 (57.9) 0.917 nihss (mean (sd)) 18.08 (4.32) 17.97 (5.04) 0.787 117 (50.2) location = Right (%) 114 (42.7) 0.111

hx.isch = Yes (%)	25	(9.4)	29	(12.4)	0.335
afib (mean (sd))	0.26	(0.44)	0.28	(0.45)	0.534
dm (mean (sd))	0.13	(0.33)	0.12	(0.33)	0.923
mrankin (%)					0.922
> 2	11	(4.1)	10	(4.3)	
0	214	(80.1)	190	(81.5)	
1	29	(10.9)	21	(9.0)	
2	13	(4.9)	12	(5.2)	
sbp (mean (sd))	145.00	(24.40)	146.03	(26.00)	0.647
iv.altep = Yes (%)	242	(90.6)	203	(87.1)	0.267
time.iv (mean (sd))	87.96	(26.01)	98.22	(45.48)	0.003
aspects (mean (sd))	8.65	(1.47)	8.35	(1.64)	0.033
ia.occlus (%)					0.795
A1 or A2	2	(0.8)	1	(0.4)	
ICA with M1	75	(28.2)	59	(25.3)	
Intracranial ICA	3	(1.1)	1	(0.4)	
M1	165	(62.0)	154	(66.1)	
M2	21	(7.9)	18	(7.7)	
extra.ica (mean (sd))	0.26	(0.44)	0.32	(0.47)	0.150
<pre>time.rand (mean (sd))</pre>	213.88	(70.29)	202.51	(57.33)	0.051

1.4.1 Some of this is very useful, and other parts need to be fixed.

- 1. The 1/0 variables (afib, dm, extra.ica) might be better if they were treated as the factors they are, and reported as the Yes/No variables are reported, with counts and percentages rather than with means and standard deviations.
- 2. In some cases, we may prefer to re-order the levels of the categorical (factor) variables, particularly the mrankin variable, but also the ia.occlus variable. It would also be more typical to put the Intervention group to the left and the Control group to the right, so we may need to adjust our trt variable's levels accordingly.
- 3. For each of the quantitative variables (age, nihss, sbp, time.iv, aspects, extra.ica, time.rand and time.punc) we should make a decision whether a summary with mean and standard deviation is appropriate, or whether we should instead summarize with, say, the median and quartiles. A mean and standard deviation really only yields an appropriate summary when the data are least approximately Normally distributed. This will make the p values a bit more reasonable, too. The test column in the first attempt will soon have something useful to tell us.
- 4. If we'd left in the time.punc variable, we'd get some warnings, having to do with the fact that time.punc is only relevant to patients in the Intervention group.

1.4.2 fakestroke Cleaning Up Categorical Variables

Let's specify each of the categorical variables as categorical explicitly. This helps the CreateTableOne function treat them appropriately, and display them with counts and percentages. This includes all of the 1/0, Yes/No and multi-categorical variables.

Then we simply add a factorVars = fs.factorvars call to the CreateTableOne function.

We also want to re-order some of those categorical variables, so that the levels are more useful to us. Specifically, we want to:

- place Intervention before Control in the trt variable,
- reorder the mrankin scale as 0, 1, 2, > 2, and

• rearrange the ia.occlus variable to the order⁴ presented in Berkhemer et al. (2015).

To accomplish this, we'll use the fct_relevel function from the forcats package (loaded with the rest of the core tidyverse packages) to reorder our levels manually.

1.5 fakestroke Table 1: Attempt 2

;	Stratified by trt					
	Interve	ention	Control	L	p	test
n	233		267			
age (mean (sd))	63.93	(18.09)	65.38	(16.10)	0.343	
sex = Male (%)		(57.9)		(58.8)		
nihss (mean (sd))	17.97	(5.04)	18.08	(4.32)	0.787	
<pre>location = Right (%)</pre>	117	(50.2)	114	(42.7)	0.111	
hx.isch = Yes (%)	29	(12.4)	25	(9.4)	0.335	
afib = 1 (%)	66	(28.3)	69	(25.8)	0.601	
dm = 1 (%)	29	(12.4)	34	(12.7)	1.000	
mrankin (%)					0.922	
0	190	(81.5)	214	(80.1)		
1		(9.0)				
2	12	(5.2)	13	(4.9)		
> 2	10	(4.3)	11	(4.1)		
sbp (mean (sd))	146.03	(26.00)	145.00	(24.40)	0.647	
iv.altep = Yes (%)	203	(87.1)	242	(90.6)	0.267	
time.iv (mean (sd))	98.22	(45.48)	87.96	(26.01)	0.003	
aspects (mean (sd))	8.35	(1.64)	8.65	(1.47)	0.033	
ia.occlus (%)					0.795	
Intracranial ICA	1	(0.4)	3	(1.1)		
ICA with M1	59	(25.3)	75	(28.2)		
M1	154	(66.1)	165	(62.0)		
M2	18	(7.7)	21	(7.9)		
A1 or A2	1	(0.4)	2	(0.8)		
extra.ica = 1 (%)	75	(32.2)	70	(26.3)	0.179	
time.rand (mean (sd))	202.51	(57.33)	213.88	(70.29)	0.051	

The categorical data presentation looks much improved.

⁴We might also have considered reordering the ia.occlus factor by its frequency, using the fct_infreq function

1.5.1 What summaries should we show?

Now, we'll move on to the issue of making a decision about what type of summary to show for the quantitative variables. Since the fakestroke data are just simulated and only match the summary statistics of the original results, not the details, we'll adopt the decisions made by Berkhemer et al. (2015), which were to use medians and interquartile ranges to summarize the distributions of all of the continuous variables except systolic blood pressure.

- Specifying certain quantitative variables as *non-normal* causes R to show them with medians and the 25th and 75th percentiles, rather than means and standard deviations, and also causes those variables to be tested using non-parametric tests, like the Wilcoxon signed rank test, rather than the t test. The test column indicates this with the word nonnorm.
- Specifying *exact* tests for certain categorical variables (we'll try this for the location and mrankin variables) can be done, and these changes will be noted in the test column, as well.

To accomplish this, we need to specify which variables should be treated as non-Normal in the print statement - notice that we don't need to redo the CreateTableOne for this change.

```
Stratified by trt
                          Intervention
                                                   Control
                             233
                                                      267
n
                           65.80 [54.50, 76.00]
                                                    65.70 [55.75, 76.20]
age (median [IQR])
sex = Male (%)
                             135 (57.9)
                                                      157 (58.8)
nihss (median [IQR])
                           17.00 [14.00, 21.00]
                                                    18.00 [14.00, 22.00]
location = Right (%)
                             117 (50.2)
                                                      114 (42.7)
hx.isch = Yes (%)
                              29 (12.4)
                                                       25 (9.4)
afib = 1 (%)
                              66 (28.3)
                                                       69 (25.8)
dm = 1 (\%)
                              29 (12.4)
                                                       34 (12.7)
mrankin (%)
   0
                             190 (81.5)
                                                      214 (80.1)
   1
                              21 (9.0)
                                                       29 (10.9)
   2
                              12 (5.2)
                                                       13 (4.9)
   > 2
                              10 (4.3)
                                                       11 (4.1)
sbp (mean (sd))
                          146.03 (26.00)
                                                   145.00 (24.40)
                                                      242 (90.6)
iv.altep = Yes (%)
                             203 (87.1)
time.iv (median [IQR])
                           85.00 [67.00, 110.00]
                                                    87.00 [65.00, 116.00]
                            9.00 [7.00, 10.00]
aspects (median [IQR])
                                                     9.00 [8.00, 10.00]
ia.occlus (%)
   Intracranial ICA
                               1(0.4)
                                                        3 (1.1)
   ICA with M1
                              59 (25.3)
                                                       75 (28.2)
   M1
                             154 (66.1)
                                                      165 (62.0)
   M2
                              18 (7.7)
                                                       21 (7.9)
   A1 or A2
                               1 (0.4)
                                                        2 (0.8)
                              75 (32.2)
                                                       70 (26.3)
extra.ica = 1 (\%)
time.rand (median [IQR]) 204.00 [152.00, 249.50] 196.00 [149.00, 266.00]
                         Stratified by trt
                                 test
age (median [IQR])
                           0.579 nonnorm
sex = Male (%)
                           0.917
nihss (median [IQR])
                           0.453 nonnorm
location = Right (%)
                           0.106 exact
```

```
hx.isch = Yes (%)
                           0.335
afib = 1 (%)
                           0.601
dm = 1 (\%)
                           1.000
                           0.917 exact
mrankin (%)
   0
   1
   2
   > 2
sbp (mean (sd))
                           0.647
iv.altep = Yes (%)
                           0.267
time.iv (median [IQR])
                           0.596 nonnorm
aspects (median [IQR])
                           0.075 nonnorm
ia.occlus (%)
                           0.795
   Intracranial ICA
   ICA with M1
   M1
   M2
   A1 or A2
extra.ica = 1 (%)
                           0.179
time.rand (median [IQR])
                           0.251 nonnorm
```

1.6 Obtaining a more detailed Summary

If this was a real data set, we'd want to get a more detailed description of the data to make decisions about things like potentially collapsing categories of a variable, or whether or not a normal distribution was useful for a particular continuous variable, etc. You can do this with the summary command applied to a created Table 1, which shows, among other things, the effect of changing from normal to non-normal p values for continuous variables, and from approximate to "exact" p values for categorical factors.

Note in the summary below that we have some missing values here. Often, we'll present this information within the Table 1, as well.

```
summary(att2)
```

196 149 266 120 360 0.508 -0.93

Summary of continuous variables

```
trt: Intervention
            n miss p.miss mean sd median p25 p75 min max
          233
                 0
                      0.0
                             64 18
                                       66 54
                                               76
                                                    23
                                                        96 -0.34 -0.52
age
nihss
          233
                 0
                      0.0
                             18 5
                                       17
                                          14
                                               21
                                                    10
                                                        28
                                                           0.48 - 0.74
sbp
          233
                 0
                      0.0 146 26
                                      146 129 164
                                                    78 214 -0.07 -0.22
          233
                     12.9
                             98 45
                                                    42 218
time.iv
                30
                                       85
                                           67 110
                                                           1.03 0.08
          233
                 0
                      0.0
                              8
                               2
                                        9
                                            7 10
                                                     5
                                                       10 -0.56 -0.98
aspects
time.rand 233
                 2
                      0.9
                           203 57
                                      204 152 250 100 300
                                                            0.01 - 1.16
trt: Control
            n miss p.miss mean sd median p25 p75 min max
                                                             skew kurt
                      0.0
                             65 16
                                               76
                                                    24
                                                        94 -0.296 -0.28
age
          267
                                           56
                      0.0
                                               22
                                                        25
nihss
          267
                 0
                             18
                               4
                                       18
                                          14
                                                    11
                                                            0.017 - 1.24
          267
                 1
                      0.4
                           145 24
                                      145 128 161
                                                    82 231
                                                            0.156
sbp
                             88 26
                                                    44 130
time.iv
          267
                25
                      9.4
                                       87
                                           65 116
                                                           0.001 -1.32
          267
                      1.5
                              9
                                        9
                                            8
                                              10
                                                     5
                                                       10 -1.071 0.36
aspects
```

0.0 214 70

0

time.rand 267

p-values

pNormal pNonNormal age 0.342813660 0.57856976 nihss 0.787487252 0.45311695 sbp 0.647157646 0.51346132 time.iv 0.003073372 0.59641104 aspects 0.032662901 0.07464683 time.rand 0.050803672 0.25134327

Standardize mean differences

1 vs 2
age 0.08478764
nihss 0.02405390
sbp 0.04100833
time.iv 0.27691223
aspects 0.19210662
time.rand 0.17720957

Summary of categorical variables

trt: Interv	ent:	ion					
var	n	miss	p.miss	level	freq	percent	cum.percent
sex	233	0	0.0	Female	98	42.1	42.1
				Male	135	57.9	100.0
location	233	0	0.0	Left	116	49.8	49.8
				Right	117	50.2	100.0
hx.isch	233	0	0.0	No	204	87.6	87.6
				Yes	29	12.4	100.0
afib	233	0	0.0	0	167	71.7	71.7
				1	66	28.3	100.0
dm	233	0	0.0	0	204	87.6	87.6
				1	29	12.4	100.0
mrankin	233	0	0.0	0	190	81.5	81.5
				1	21	9.0	90.6
				2	12	5.2	95.7
				> 2	10	4.3	100.0
iv.altep	233	0	0.0	No	30	12.9	12.9
-				Yes	203	87.1	100.0
ia.occlus	233	0	0.0	Intracranial ICA	1	0.4	0.4
				ICA with M1	59	25.3	25.8
				M1	154	66.1	91.8
				M2	18	7.7	99.6
				A1 or A2	1	0.4	100.0

extra.ica	233	0	0.0	0	158 75	67.8 32.2	67.8 100.0
trt: Contro							
var		miss	p.miss	level	freq	percent	cum.percent
sex	267	0	-	Female	-	41.2	41.2
				Male	157	58.8	100.0
location	267	0	0.0	Left	153	57.3	57.3
				Right	114	42.7	100.0
hx.isch	267	0	0.0	No	242	90.6	90.6
				Yes	25	9.4	100.0
afib	267	0	0.0	0	198	74.2	74.2
				1	69	25.8	100.0
dm	267	0	0.0	0	233	87.3	87.3
				1	34	12.7	100.0
mrankin	267	0	0.0	0	214	80.1	80.1
				1	29	10.9	91.0
				2	13	4.9	95.9
				> 2	11	4.1	100.0
iv.altep	267	0	0.0	No	25	9.4	9.4
•				Yes	242	90.6	100.0
ia.occlus	267	1	0.4	Intracranial ICA	3	1.1	1.1
				ICA with M1	75	28.2	29.3
				M1	165	62.0	91.4
				M2	21	7.9	99.2
				A1 or A2	2	0.8	100.0
extra.ica	267	1	0.4	0	196	73.7	73.7
				1	70	26.3	100.0
p-values	pΑq	prox	pExa	act			

pApprox pExact sex 0.9171387 0.8561188 location 0.1113553 0.1056020 hx.isch 0.3352617 0.3124683 afib 0.6009691 0.5460206 dm 1.0000000 mrankin 0.9224798 0.9173657 iv.altep 0.2674968 0.2518374 ia.occlus 0.7945580 0.8189090 extra.ica 0.1793385 0.1667574

 ${\tt Standardize\ mean\ differences}$

1 vs 2

sex 0.017479025

location 0.151168444 hx.isch 0.099032275 afib 0.055906317 dm 0.008673478 mrankin 0.062543164 iv.altep 0.111897009 ia.occlus 0.117394890 extra.ica 0.129370206

Again, I have simulated the data to mirror the results in the published Table 1 for this study. In no way have I captured the full range of the real data, or any of the relationships in that data, so it's more important here to see what's available in the analysis, rather than to interpret it closely in the clinical context.

Bibliography

- Berkhemer, O. A., Fransen, P. S. S., Buemer, D., et al. (2015). A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 372:11–20.
- Roy, D., Talajic, M., Nattel, S., et al. (2008). Rhythm control versus rate control for atrial fibrillation and heart failure. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 358:2667–2677.
- Tolaney, S. M., Barry, W. T., Chau, T. D., et al. (2015). Adjuvant paclitaxel and trastuzumab for nodengative, her2-positive breast cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 372:134–141.