

30-JUN-2014 09:10 From: 12039462852
 storms of the past two weeks.
 "Unless the rest of the winter develops an unusually large number of snowstorms, we expect to get through the season without overspending our \$10 million budget," the spokesman said.

For the 1975-76 winter season, the agency's snow budget was \$9,560,735, and it used up slightly more than \$9,200,000 of that total. The money goes for salaries, overtime, outside help sometimes used and materials and supplies.

Over the past four winter seasons—prior to this one—the department used a yearly average of nearly 98,000 tons of salt and 239,000 tons of sand on the roads of the state. Through last Dec. 30, it had thrown down nearly 48,000 tons of salt and 124,000 tons of sand for the present season.

the late civil rights leader for whom the school was named. Many city schools held memorial programs in today for the holiday.

\$7.6 Million Proposal For Technical College

By DIANE ZAVRAS
 Staff Reporter

A \$7,657,000 proposal for a New Haven technical college has been filed with the Connecticut Department of Public Works by Giordano Construction Co., Inc.

The plan involves renovation of the former Blakeslee plant on Long Wharf's Sargent Drive into a two-year technical

Photo on Page 40

facility that would share some educational resources with neighboring South Central Community College.

Giordano's architect, Herbert S. Newman Associates, notes that by locating the two institutions side by side, South Central would gain through use of a com-

Lawyers Rate Only 3 Judges In Superior Court 'Outstanding'

RATING TABLE
 ON PAGE 38

By CHARLES KOCHAKIAN
 Staff Reporter

HARTFORD — Only three of the state's 44 Superior Court judges were rated as "outstanding" in a Connecticut Bar Association (CBA) survey released Thursday. The survey found none of the 61 Court of Common Pleas judges worthy of the "outstanding" ranking.

The three Superior Court judges who received the "outstanding" ranking in the survey of state trial lawyers were Robert J. Callahan of Norwalk, Henry J. Naruk of Middletown, and Jay E. Rubinow of Manchester.

One Superior Court judge, John J. Bracken of Hartford, received a "below average" rating in the survey.

Two Court of Common Pleas judges were rated as "below average". They were Joseph A. Adorno of Middletown, and Alvin G. Rottman of Milford.

Attorneys answering the survey were asked to rank the judges in 11 different categories, ranging from soundness of written rulings to judicial integrity. Attorneys were asked to only rate judges before whom they had appeared at least once in the last three years.

The survey also rated Juvenile Court judges.

The judges were ranked on a point system from one to 10. A ranking of 10 or nine was considered outstanding; eight or seven, good; six or five, average; and four or three, below average.

The lowest scoring judge in the survey was Adorno, who received a ranking of 4.4 in the category of worthiness for retention as a judge.

In all, nine Court of Common Pleas judges were rated below six in the poll. Four Superior Court judges received ratings below six.

The Court of Common Pleas judge with the highest rating was Alfred V. Covello of West Hartford.

"Any rating below the highest rating bothers me extremely," George F. Lowman, a Stamford attorney who is CBA president, said at a press conference here at which the survey was released.

Lowman described many of the Court of Common Pleas judges as either inexperienced or deficient in their legal knowledge as a reason for their overall lower ranking in the poll.

Many of the judges had only recently been elevated to the Court of Common Pleas from the old Circuit Court, he noted.

In July 1978, all Common Pleas judges will be elevated to the rank of Superior Court judges when the two courts

(Continued on Page 2)



JUDGE SADEN
 Let's Rate Lawyers, Too.

Carter Selecting His Top Deputies

PLAENS, Ga. (AP) — President-elect the Democratic National Committee dur-

TODAY'S INDEX

Lawyers Rate Only 3 Judges In Superior Court 'Outstanding'

(Continued from Page 1)

are merged into a single trial court for the state.

There were several surprises in the judicial ratings.

Convicted of a felony and removed from hearing cases, Superior Court Judge Samuel J. Tetroso still received a high rating in the survey.

Although widely regarded as an efficient administrator, Roman J. Lexton, chief judge of the Court of Common Pleas, received one of the lower ratings in the survey.

William C. Bieluch, who ordered a rollback in Notheast Utilities rate increases before his elevation from Court of Common Pleas to the Superior Court bench, received only an average rating.

Contacted today, Superior Court Judge George A. Saden said he planned to suggest at the next judges' meeting that the judges start their own poll, rating the attorneys.

Saden objected to the attorneys responding to the survey not being required to identify themselves. He expressed concern that some attorneys who received unfavorable rulings from a judge might retaliate through the poll.

Asked if the CBA has any plans to rate state attorneys, Lowman replied, "The judges do that at the present time pretty effectively."

Although the CBA has periodically conducted surveys of attorneys' opinions of judges since 1948, this was the first year that the ratings of the judges had been publicly released. The survey will be conducted again next year with the results again being released, Lowman said.

Thursday's press conference was the first step by the CBA this year to convince the General Assembly that a merit system for the selection of state judges should be adopted.

The CBA also wants a larger voice in the selection of judges, Lowman said. When a judicial vacancy occurs, the CBA wants to be allowed to submit its own list of candidates to the governor.

The governor has traditionally forwarded to the CBA for evaluation the names of persons being considered for appointment as judges.

Judges now are frequently appointed because of political activity. Under merit selection, a nonpartisan committee would consider the most highly quali-

fied candidates without regard to political activity.

The November announcement by the CBA that it planned to make public the judge's ratings caused strong criticism from many judges and lawyers.

Consequently, the American Judicial Institute in Chicago was asked to evaluate the survey. The judicature society, although expressing reservations about recording of some responses, described the state bar association's survey as "one of the better bar polls", according to Peter L. Costas, senior editor of the Connecticut Bar Journal, who supervised the survey.

After the judicature society completed its evaluation, the CBA's board of governors voted again in December to publicly identify the judges and their rankings.

There are 34 surveys of judges conducted at either the state or county level in the United States, Costas said. About two-thirds of the organizations conducting the polls release the ratings of the judges by name.

The CBA sent out questionnaires to 552 state lawyers for this year's poll. While only 960 lawyers returned completed questionnaires, Lowman described the response as high.

He explained that only attorneys who appear in court were asked to answer the survey. Between 25 and 50 per cent of the state's lawyers are estimated to be trial attorneys.

Of those attorneys answering the survey, over half had been practicing law more than 10 years, Costas said. Also, over half the attorneys who answered the survey spent at least 30 per cent of their time on trial work, Costas added.

These figures were used in support of the CBA's contention that a responsible and representative cross section of state lawyers had answered the survey.

The rating of each Superior Court judge was based on an average of 285 responses from attorneys. The rating for Court of Common Pleas judges was based on an average of 192 responses from attorneys for each judge, Costas said.

Robert I. Bardon, a Superior Court judge who received one of the highest ratings in the survey, expressed concern about the survey's reliability.

Bardon suggested that some attorneys may have rated judges on the basis of continuous grudge rather than their own direct experience with the judge.

panese "Taschi" sword.

um
ed

is planning to make his own contri-
is to the museum.

Several of his friends in the
ican Association of Arms Collectors
approached him for suggestions on
they might contribute to the project.

Local historical societies should be
er source for display items, the
h Branford collector feels, espe-
in cases where security is a matter
cern. The museum would offer
full-time protection he feels than
pical facility owned and operated by
historical societies on a part-time

ome of the products from Connet-
a gun-manufacturing industry will
steadily be part of the museum, Lind-
licated. The Metropolitan Museum
New York City has pledged to contrib-
as permanent loans, appropriate
cts to the Eli Whitney Museum.

How Lawyers Rate State Judges




RATING SYSTEM
14 or 9=Outstanding
8 or 7=Good
6 or 5=Average
4 or 3=Below Average
2 or 1=Poor

SUPERIOR COURT

	Years on Bench	Total No. of Respondents	No. Contacts with Judge in past 3 yrs.	Judicial Integrity	Judicial Demeanor	Diligence in Performing Judicial Duties	Caseflow Management Proficiency	Promptness in Making Decisions	Prep. for Trial & Appellate Review	Familiarity with Current Law	Ora. Rulings—Soundness	Written Rulings—Soundness	Physical Ability	Worthiness for Retention
Aaronson, Lester H.	15	353	5.7	7.9	7.7	7.3	7.1	7.4	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.0	8.3	7.8
Alexander, John M.	15	376	6.8	8.9	8.8	8.5	7.8	8.1	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.9	8.5	8.7
Armentano, Anthony J.	13	326	7.2	8.1	7.8	7.8	7.5	7.6	7.5	7.3	7.3	7.4	7.9	7.6
Berdon, Robert I.	3	309	6.8	8.8	8.5	8.8	8.3	8.5	8.7	8.7	8.4	8.5	8.8	8.7
Bracken, John J.	11	387	7.3	6.4	4.3	6.5	6.0	6.2	5.7	5.7	5.1	5.3	5.5	4.8
Burns, Ellen B.	3	278	6.2	8.8	8.7	8.5	7.9	8.0	8.1	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.6	8.6
Callahan, Robert J.	6	251	10.6	9.0	8.9	8.7	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.6	8.4	9.1	9.0
Cohen, Simon S.	15	325	7.0	5.9	4.9	5.1	5.4	5.9	4.8	5.1	4.7	4.9	6.8	5.0
Daly, John J.	15	245	7.3	9.9	9.9	9.7	9.6	9.5	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.5	9.9	9.9
Dannehy, Joseph F.	19	250	8.2	7.9	6.7	8.1	7.9	8.0	7.9	8.1	7.7	7.8	8.5	7.9
Dean, Harold H.	7	333	7.0	8.0	7.6	7.4	7.3	7.5	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.2	8.4	7.7
DeVita, Henry J.	11	263	6.5	8.0	7.6	7.2	7.0	7.1	6.9	7.0	7.0	7.1	6.9	7.2
Driscoll, Paul J.	10	189	6.7	8.6	8.2	7.5	6.9	6.6	7.1	7.3	7.2	7.2	8.1	7.7
Grillo, Anthony E.	11	317	7.0	7.5	6.4	6.8	8.5	7.0	6.6	6.8	6.3	6.6	6.2	6.5
Hadden, William L., Jr.	2	156	6.5	8.1	8.0	8.0	7.9	8.0	7.9	7.8	7.8	7.8	8.4	8.0
Hamill, Edward C.	15	255	7.3	8.0	7.4	7.7	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.1	7.2	8.0	7.6
Healey, Arthur H.	15	228	8.0	7.6	6.6	7.2	6.5	6.5	6.8	6.7	6.4	6.5	6.9	6.7
Hull, T. Clark	3	377	7.7	7.7	6.7	7.5	7.4	7.5	7.1	7.3	7.1	7.3	8.1	7.4
Levine, Irving	15	282	8.3	8.2	7.4	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.5	7.6	8.0	8.0
Levister, Robert L.	11	259	9.6	6.9	5.7	6.6	6.9	6.6	6.2	6.0	5.8	5.8	7.2	6.0
Martin, Luke F.	15	264	7.1	8.2	7.7	7.1	6.6	6.6	6.7	6.7	6.8	6.8	7.5	7.3
McGrath, James F.	17	278	7.6	7.3	6.9	6.8	6.7	6.8	6.4	6.2	6.2	6.2	7.4	6.6
Mignone, A. Frederick	11	311	6.6	7.4	6.2	6.2	5.4	5.7	5.8	5.9	5.2	5.8	4.7	5.2
Missal, Harold M.	15	265	6.2	8.3	8.1	7.7	7.4	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.3	7.8	7.6
Mulvey, Harold M.	8	299	7.5	8.7	8.5	8.6	8.5	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.4	8.4	8.7	8.7
Naruk, Henry J.	11	452	7.8	8.9	8.7	8.9	8.7	8.8	8.9	9.0	8.8	8.9	9.0	9.0
O'Brien, Francis J.	15	265	7.1	8.5	8.3	8.0	7.9	7.9	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.7	8.3	8.2
O'Sullivan, Thomas J.	9	280	7.5	9.0	8.9	8.7	8.4	8.5	8.4	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.8	8.7
Parskey, Leo	11	326	7.5	8.1	7.7	8.2	8.0	8.1	8.2	8.4	8.0	8.1	8.4	8.1
Rubinow, Jay E.	16	345	7.1	9.2	9.0	9.0	8.4	8.6	9.1	9.1	8.9	9.0	8.9	9.2
Saden, George A.	5	334	6.6	7.4	6.9	8.4	8.0	7.9	8.2	8.4	7.7	7.9	8.4	7.5
Sataniello, Angelo G.	11	288	8.4	8.0	7.9	7.9	7.8	7.8	7.6	7.4	7.4	7.4	8.1	7.9
Shea, David M.	10	353	6.9	8.5	7.8	8.5	8.1	8.2	8.4	8.5	8.1	8.3	8.7	8.3
Shea, John P., Jr.	3	255	7.3	8.9	8.8	8.7	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.4	8.4	8.8	8.8
Sidor, Walter J.	22	329	7.7	6.2	5.1	5.6	5.6	5.9	5.6	5.6	5.3	5.5	6.3	5.3
Speziale, John A.	15	237	8.5	8.3	8.1	8.3	8.4	8.2	8.2	8.1	7.9	8.0	8.0	8.2
Sponzo, Maurice J.	9	275	6.9	8.3	8.0	8.1	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.7	7.6	7.7	8.1	8.0
Stapleton, James F.	3	255	6.5	8.2	7.7	7.8	7.6	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.5	7.6	8.5	7.7
Tedesco, Samuel J.	10	176	9.7	8.1	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.5	8.3	8.3	8.2	8.2	8.6	8.0
Testo, Robert J.	7	249	8.3	7.3	7.0	6.8	7.0	7.1	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	8.0	7.0
Tierney, William L., Jr.	11	200	18.3	8.2	7.8	8.3	8.4	8.3	7.7	7.8	7.5	7.7	9.1	7.9
Wall, Robert A.	16	279	9.0	7.0	5.9	7.0	7.0	7.2	6.9	6.9	6.5	6.6	7.6	6.6
Wright, Douglass B.	15	325	7.1	8.4	8.4	7.7	7.5	7.7	7.8	8.2	8.0	8.1	8.3	8.1
Zarrilli, Kenneth J.	5	229	8.6	7.4	7.0	7.5	7.5	7.7	7.4	7.2	6.9	7.0	7.8	7.1

COMMON PLEAS COURT

Adorno, Joseph A.	10	252	9.1	5.5	4.6	5.4	5.2	5.3	4.5	4.2	4.0	4.1	6.6	4.4
Allen, Frances	1	102	7.3	7.7	7.3	7.3	7.0	7.0	6.6	6.3	6.3	6.6	7.7	6.7
Armentano, Nicholas F.	15	221	8.6	6.1	5.0	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.2	5.1	4.9	5.0	6.3	5.2
Aspell, Mary E.	1	176	7.9	8.5	8.2	8.2	7.4	7.5	7.3	7.1	7.2	7.3	8.2	7.8
Belinko, Milton H.	7	263	9.0	7.6	7.1	7.3	7.1	7.3	7.1	7.0	6.9	7.0	7.6	7.2
Bernstein, Simon	6	231	7.8	6.3	6.1	7.5	7.3	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.9	7.8
Bieluch, William C.	8	337	7.6	7.3	6.1	7.5	6.7	6.6	6.9	6.8	6.2	6.4	7.3	6.5
Breunan, John D.	11	30	1.3											
Bronson, S. William	5	85	4.8	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.6	7.6	7.6	7.5	8.3	8.1
Celotto, Donald W.	2	187	8.5	7.5	7.3	7.0	6.9	7.0	6.8	6.9	6.6	6.6	7.5	6.8
Chernaukas, Joseph J.	13	246	8.5	6.6	6.1	6.0	5.8	5.9	5.2	4.9	5.0	5.1	6.9	5.3
Cioffi, Nicholas A.	1	116	8.0	8.2	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.8	7.8	8.1	7.9	7.9	8.5	8.0
Corrigan, Thomas H.	8	225	6.8	7.6	7.2	7.2	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.9	6.8	6.8	7.0	6.8
Crowley, Alfred V.	9	354	6.9	9.7	9.7	9.7	9.5	9.1	9.3	9.3	9.3	9.1	9.5	9.5
Cramer, Ed L.	10	141	0.5	7.5	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4
Curran, Hugh C.	16	70	6.7	7.8	7.8	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.1	6.9	7.0	7.1	8.0	7.3
Curran, Hugh C.	16	70	6.7	7.8	7.8	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.1	6.9	7.0	7.1	8.0	7.3



Zarrilli, Kenneth J.	5	229	8.6	7.4	7.0	7.5	7.5	7.7	7.4	7.2	6.9	7.0	7.8	7.1
COMMON PLEAS COURT														
Adorno, Joseph A.	10	252	9.1	8.5	4.6	5.4	5.2	5.3	4.5	4.2	4.0	4.1	6.6	4.4
Allen, Frances	1	102	7.3	7.7	7.3	7.3	7.0	7.0	6.6	6.3	6.3	6.6	7.7	7.1
Armentano, Nicholas F.	15	221	8.6	6.1	5.0	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.2	5.1	4.9	5.0	6.3	5.2
Aspell, Mary F.	1	176	7.9	8.5	8.2	8.2	7.4	7.5	7.3	7.1	7.2	7.3	8.2	7.8
Behnke, Milton H.	7	263	9.0	7.6	7.1	7.3	7.1	7.3	7.1	7.0	6.9	7.0	7.6	7.2
Bernstein, Simon	5	231	7.4	8.3	8.1	7.5	7.3	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.9	7.8
Bieluch, William C.	8	337	7.6	7.3	6.1	7.5	6.7	6.6	6.8	6.8	6.2	6.4	7.3	6.5
Brennan, John D.	1/2	45	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bromson, S. William	15	85	4.8	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.6	7.6	7.5	8.3	8.1
Calisto, Donald W.	2	187	2.5	7.5	7.3	7.0	5.0	7.3	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	7.0	6.6
Chernaukas, Joseph J.	13	246	8.5	6.6	6.1	6.0	5.8	5.9	5.2	4.9	5.0	5.1	6.5	5.3
Cioffi, Nicholas A.	1	116	8.0	8.2	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.8	7.8	8.1	7.9	7.9	8.5	8.0
Corrigan, Thomas H.	8	225	8.8	7.6	7.2	7.2	7.0	7.0	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.7	7.7	7.1
Covello, Alfred V.	2	254	6.8	8.7	8.7	8.6	8.1	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.1	8.1	8.6	8.5
Cramer, Eli L.	10	161	9.5	7.5	7.3	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.5	6.3	6.3	6.4	7.0	6.2
Curran, Hugh C.	1/2	70	6.7	7.8	7.8	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.1	6.9	7.0	7.1	8.0	7.3
DeFabritis, Louis A.	3	128	9.7	6.5	6.2	6.0	6.1	6.2	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	7.0	5.8
Dorsey, Donald T.	4	281	7.5	8.3	8.1	8.0	7.7	7.8	7.7	7.6	7.6	7.6	8.4	8.1
Dorsey, Leonard W.	3	141	10.0	7.8	7.6	7.3	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.8	7.0	7.5	7.3
Dwyer, Philip M.	11	159	10.4	7.2	6.5	6.6	6.2	6.2	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.8	5.1	5.8
Edelberg, Harry W.	3	239	7.6	7.4	7.0	6.9	6.7	7.0	6.6	6.6	6.5	6.5	7.5	6.8
Erlson, Rodney S.	15	326	8.4	5.9	5.0	5.8	6.4	6.6	5.6	5.8	5.6	5.5	7.1	5.5
Ewing, William S. Jr.	8	145	9.8	7.7	7.3	7.4	7.0	7.2	6.8	6.9	6.8	6.8	7.0	7.1
Faisey, Paul J.	1	176	6.9	8.1	8.0	7.7	7.5	7.4	7.5	7.4	7.3	7.4	8.4	7.8
Fishman, Milton A.	3	223	8.5	7.3	6.8	7.3	7.2	7.3	7.1	7.2	6.9	7.0	6.8	6.9
Fitzgerald, John M.	3	167	8.6	7.7	7.4	7.6	7.6	7.5	7.2	7.3	7.2	7.4	8.1	7.5
Ford, G. Sarsfield	3	268	7.9	7.6	6.9	7.5	7.4	7.6	7.3	7.4	7.0	7.1	8.3	7.3
Goldberg, Henry J.	10	175	7.6	7.5	7.1	7.2	7.0	7.0	6.8	6.8	6.7	6.9	6.8	6.9
Goldberg, Joseph H.	3	197	8.3	8.3	8.1	8.0	7.9	7.6	7.6	7.7	7.7	7.6	8.2	8.0
Graham, William D.	4	156	6.5	7.4	7.0	7.0	6.7	6.7	6.4	6.5	6.4	6.6	7.6	6.8
Hammer, Harry	1	124	8.7	6.9	6.3	6.8	6.2	6.1	6.4	6.2	5.9	6.1	7.0	6.3
Henebry, James F.	9	231	8.9	8.5	8.4	8.0	7.6	7.4	7.5	7.6	7.7	7.7	7.0	6.0
Herman, Milton J.	10	224	8.3	6.9	6.4	6.8	6.8	7.0	6.6	6.4	6.3	6.4	7.4	6.4
Jacobs, David H.	15	146	8.2	8.2	7.7	7.6	7.1	7.2	7.7	7.9	7.5	7.9	7.1	7.7
Jacobsen, Burton J.	5	338	9.4	7.8	7.7	7.8	7.9	7.7	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	8.4	7.8
Kelly, Eugene T.	1/2	45	9.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kinney, Frank J. Jr.	4	252	9.2	7.9	7.6	8.0	8.0	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.6	7.5	8.3	7.8
Kline, M. Morgan	3	204	8.8	7.5	7.3	7.5	7.4	7.5	7.3	7.3	7.1	7.2	7.9	7.4
Kulawicz, JoAnne K.	4	285	8.2	8.4	8.2	8.0	7.6	7.6	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	8.2	7.9
Landau, Sidney S.	1/2	38	7.6	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Levine, Norton M.	9	272	7.9	7.5	7.0	6.6	6.0	5.9	6.3	6.4	6.1	6.5	7.4	6.5
Linton, Roman J.	9	170	7.9	6.1	5.4	6.7	6.5	5.8	5.8	5.5	5.7	6.7	5.6	5.6
Mancini, Philip E. Jr.	6	250	8.7	7.3	6.7	7.0	7.2	7.3	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.7	7.7	6.9
McGuinness, John J.	8	235	7.9	7.5	7.1	6.7	6.6	6.4	6.6	6.8	6.8	6.7	7.5	6.9
McKeever, Martin L.	3	143	8.2	7.6	7.5	7.1	7.0	6.6	6.9	6.9	7.0	6.9	7.7	7.1
Ment, Aaron*	1/2	43	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Moraghan, Howard J.	6	232	11.2	7.8	7.0	7.9	7.8	7.6	7.6	7.3	7.5	8.3	7.5	7.5
Morelli, Joseph F.	7	160	9.1	7.2	6.9	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.1	5.9	6.0	6.1	6.8	6.4
Novack, Stanley	1	129	7.8	6.6	8.6	8.2	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.6	7.7	7.7	8.5	8.1
O'Donnell, Thomas J.	3	156	9.8	8.3	7.9	7.9	7.8	7.6	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.4	7.8	7.7
Ottaviano, John Jr.	7	184	8.3	6.8	6.1	6.0	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.4	6.8	5.5
Philips, C. Perrie	1	139	8.0	7.5	7.3	7.1	6.9	7.4	7.0	6.9	7.1	7.0	7.4	7.2
Pickett, Walter M. Jr.	1	160	9.9	7.4	7.1	7.6	7.8	7.4	7.5	7.5	7.1	7.3	8.1	7.3
Quinn, Francis R.	8	188	9.5	8.3	8.2	7.9	7.8	7.8	7.5	7.6	7.7	7.5	8.4	7.9
Reicher, Max H.	15	207	8.6	7.5	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.9	6.3	6.4	6.4	6.4	7.2	6.7
Reynolds, John N.	15	197	9.7	7.9	7.7	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.2	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.7	7.5
Roltman, Alvin G.	9	306	8.5	5.4	4.1	5.3	5.6	5.9	5.1	5.2	4.8	5.0	6.1	4.0
Sauer, Robert	1	176	4.6	8.4	8.1	8.0	7.6	7.4	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.9	8.4	8.0
Schaller, Harry R.	2	179	7.0	8.5	6.4	8.3	8.0	7.9	7.8	8.0	7.8	7.9	8.4	8.0
Spallone, Daniel F.	6	169	9.6	7.8	7.7	7.3	7.2	7.2	6.9	6.9	7.0	6.9	7.8	7.3
Stodolink, Edward F.	3	161	8.8	8.3	8.2	8.1	7.8	7.8	7.6	7.6	7.6	7.6	8.3	8.0
JUVENILE COURT														
Brenneman, Frederica S.	9	76	7.7	8.4	8.3	8.3	7.6	8.0	7.6	7.7	7.4	7.5	8.2	7.9
Conway, Michael P.	9	74	9.3	8.7	8.5	8.2	7.8	7.9	8.0	8.3	8.0	8.1	8.4	8.4
Driscoll, Margaret C.	16	109	12.4	8.1	7.9	8.3	7.9	8.1	8.0	8.1	7.9	7.9	8.4	8.2
Glass, Robert D.	9	91	13.5	8.6	8.3	8.4	8.1	8.2	8.2	8.1	7.8	7.9	8.7	8.3
Hennessey, Francis X.*	1/8	15	5.6	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rizzuto, James M.	2	79	5.4	8.1	7.6	8.0	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.9	7.5	7.5	8.1	7.7

* After tabulation of the response, it was decided not to publish the results of recent appointees who were rated by fewer than 10 judges.

