CSCI-4113 Assignment 2

Anas Alhadi B00895875

September 24, 2024

Contents

1	Question 1	3
2	Question 2	6
3	Question 3	7

<u>Note:</u> To avoid confusing myself, i redefined y as w so the resulting LP P is:

$$Mazimize(3x + 4w + 2z)$$

Such That:

$$4x + w - 2z \le 20\tag{1}$$

$$3x - w + 2 \ge 1 \tag{2}$$

$$x - 2w + 2z = -8 (3)$$

$$4x - w + 3z \le 21\tag{4}$$

and

$$x \ge 0$$

$$w \le 0$$

1 Question 1

Given the LP P that is clearly not in Canonical Form as it has a Minimization Objective Function, Lower Bound and equality Constriants, and negative/unbounded variables.

We define a sequence of equivalent LPs $P^{(i)}, 0 \le i \le 3$ such that $P^{(3)} = P'$ is an LP equivalent to P and in Canonical form:

First

We need to define an LP $P^{(0)}$ that is equivalent to P but with the a negated Objective Function for $P^{(0)}$ to be a maximization LP.

- 1. Since P and $P^{(0)}$ have the same variables and constraints, this means that they have the same set of feasible solutions.
- 2. Minimizing f(x) is the same as maximizing f'(x), thus P and P' have the same Objective Function value.

 $P^{(0)}$ will have an objective Function:

$$Maximize(-3x - 4w - 2z)$$

Second

We now construct a new LP $P^{(1)}$ that is equivalent to $P^{(0)}$ but with no equality constraints. That is, we replace all the equalities with inequalities.

- 1. Since a=b is clearly equivalent to $a \leq b$ && $a \geq b$
- 2. Thus $P^{(0)}$ and $P^{(1)}$ are equivalent since they have the same set of variables, the same objective function and equivalent constraints.

Thus we replace constraint (3) in $P^{(0)}$ with \downarrow in $P^{(1)}$

$$x - 2w + 2z \le -8 \tag{3.1}$$

$$x - 2w + 2z \ge -8\tag{3.2}$$

Third

The next step is to replace all the lower bound inequality constraints in $P^{(1)}$ to upper bound constraints, thus constructing a new LP $P^{(2)}$.

- 1. An inequality $a \leq b$ is equivalent to its negation $-a \geq -b$
- 2. Just like in the prev step, we are not changing the Objective function and replacing some constraints with equivalent one's thus both $P^{(2)}$ and $P^{(1)}$ have the same Objective Function values and set of feasible solutions and are thus equivalent.

So we replace constraint (1) and (3.2) respectively with:

$$-3x + w - z \le -1 \tag{1.1}$$

$$-x + 2w - 2z \le 8\tag{3.2.1}$$

This gives us an LP $P^{(2)}$

Fourth

We now need to add non-negativity constarints to $P^{(2)}$.

- 1. x already has the constraint so we dont need to modify it.
- 2. w has a non-positivity constraint, which is equivalent to:

$$-w' > 0$$

Thus we replace every instance of w with -w' (note that w=w') and the constarint $w \leq 0$ with w' > 0.

3. The variable z is unbounded. We can add a non-negativity constarint on it by representing it as the difference of two non-negative numbers. It is clear to see that this holds for any number. So:

$$z = z' - z''$$

And add the bellow constarints to the LP

$$z' \geq 0, z'' \geq 0$$

We then replace all instances of z with z' - z'' in $P^{(2)}$ Thus giving us a new LP $P^{(3)}$.

Notice that all the LP's in the sequence 0,1,2,3 are equivalent and since P is equivalent to $P^{(0)}$. This means that it is also equivalent to $P^{(3)}$. And since $P^{(3)}$ is a maximization LP whom constraints all define upper bounds, and has non-negativitey constarints on all its variables this means that it is in Canonical form, so $P = P^{(3)} = P'$) (The equality here denotes equivalence).

Then P' is our Canonical LP such that (im reusing equation numbers, a hard reset):

Maximize(-3x + 4w - 2z' + 2z'')

Such that:

$$4x - w - 2z' + 2z'' \le 20 \tag{1}$$

$$-3x - w - z' + z'' \le -1 \tag{2}$$

$$x + 2w + 2z' - 2z'' \le 8 \tag{3}$$

$$-x - 2w - 2z' + 2z'' \le -8 \tag{4}$$

$$4x + w + 3z' - 3z'' \le 21\tag{5}$$

$$x \ge 0, w \ge 0, z' \ge 0, z'' \ge 0$$

2 Question 2

An LP is in standard form if it follows all the restrictions of the Canonical form with the changed restriction of having constarints be equality instead of inequalities. We do this by adding a slack variable y_i for the i^{th} constraint. So LP equivalent to P and P' but in standard form is P'' such that:

$$Maximize(-3x + 4w - 2z' + 2z'' + d)$$

Such that:

$$4x - w - 2z' + 2z'' + y_1 = 20 (1)$$

$$-3x - w - z' + z'' + y_2 = -1 \tag{2}$$

$$x + 2w + 2z' - 2z'' + y_3 = 8 (3)$$

$$-x - 2w - 2z' + 2z'' + y_4 = -8 (4)$$

$$4x + w + 3z' - 3z'' + y_5 = 21 (5)$$

$$x \ge 0, w \ge 0, z' \ge 0, z'' \ge 0$$

$$y_i \ge 0 \text{ where } 1 \le i \le 5$$
 (2.0.1)

3 Question 3

b	y_1	y_2	y_3	y_4	y_5	x	w	z'	z''
20	1					4	-1	-2	2
-1		1				-3	-1	-1	1
8			1			1	2	2	-2
-8				1		-1	-2	-2	2
21					1	4	1	3	-3
						-3	4	-2	2