

这种类型的题目需要引起大家的注意：如果在题目当中涉及到了两个观点，大家可以对着两个观点进行攻击，但是要分段说

74、The **president** of Grove College has recommended that the college abandon its century-old tradition of all-female education and begin admitting men. Pointing to other all-female colleges that experienced an increase in applications after adopting coeducation, the president argues that coeducation would lead to a significant increase in applications and enrollment. However, the director of the alumnae association opposes the plan. Arguing that all-female education is essential to the very identity of the college, the **director** cites annual surveys of incoming students in which these students say that the school's all-female status was the primary reason they selected Grove. The director also points to a survey of Grove alumnae in which a majority of respondents strongly favored keeping the college all female.

大家可以说这两个人的说法都不对

这个词用的很好

In this memo Grove College's administration recommends preserving its tradition of admitting only female students. The administration admits that most faculty members are in favor of a co-educational policy as a means of encouraging more students to apply to Grove. But the administration defends its recommendation by citing a student government survey in which 80% of student respondents and more than 50% of alumni respondents reported that they favor the status quo. The administration reasons that preserving the status quo would improve student morale and help ensure continued alumni donations to Grove. This argument is flawed in several critical respects.

这是调查错误的经典表达，但大家在写的时候注意灵活改写

First, the memo provides no evidence that the results of either of the two surveys are **statistically reliable**. For example, suppose newer students tend to be content with the all female policy while students who have attended Grove for a longer time would prefer a co-educational policy. If a disproportionate number of the survey's respondents were newer students, then the survey results would distort the student body's opinion as a group. With respect to the alumni survey, perhaps fewer alumni who donate substantial sums to Grove responded to the survey than other alumni did. If so, then the survey results would distort the comparison between the total amount of future donations under the two scenarios. Besides, the memo provides no information about what percentage of Grove's students and alumni responded to the surveys; the lower the percentages, the less reliable the results of the surveys.

我们上课强调过同一逻辑错误不同表现形式也需要分段攻击

在这里，由于这两个调查错误都比较小，所以作者选择和在了一起
如果大家要分段攻击，也是可以的

Secondly, the administration hastily assumes that Grove's alumni as a group would be less inclined to donate money merely if Grove begins admitting male students. This aspect of Grove's admission policy is only one of many factors that might affect alumni donations. For example, since Grove's faculty are generally in favor of changing the policy, perhaps the change would improve faculty morale and therefore the quality of instruction, in turn having a positive impact on alumni donations. And, if the particular alumni **who are in a position** to make the largest contributions recognize faculty morale as important, an increase in donations by these individuals might very well **offset a decline** in smaller donations by other alumni.

逻辑有问题
这个词组大家可以积累

Finally, the administration's argument that student morale would improve under the status quo is **logically unsound** in two respects. First, the administration provides no reason why morale would improve, as opposed to remaining at its current level, if the status quo is simply maintained. Second, the administration cannot logically determine how the morale of the student body would be affected under a co-educational policy until it implements that policy and takes into account the morale of the new male students along with that of all female students.

这段也可以只攻击可行性的问题
就是没有测量的机制也没有可以参考的数值，言外之意，涨没涨，不知道

In sum, the administration has failed to convince me that maintaining Grove's all-female policy would be more likely to improve student morale and help ensure continued alumni donations than moving to a co-educational policy. To better assess the argument I would need detailed information about the two surveys to determine whether the respondents as groups were representative of their respective populations. To bolster its recommendation the administration must provide better evidence--perhaps by way of a reliable alumni survey that

takes into account respondents' financial status and history of donations--that prospective donor alumni would be strongly opposed to a co-educational policy and would be less inclined to donate money were Grove to implement such a policy.