# Spanning trees

Trees are the minimal connected graphs. Spanning trees are minimal subgraphs that contain all the vertices but are connected.

#### Definition

Let G be a connected graph. A spanning tree of G is a subgraph  $T \subseteq G$  such that T is a tree, and T contains every vertex of G.

## Side point: Kirkchoff's Matrix Tree Theorem

Spanning trees of  $K_n$  are the same thing as labelled trees on n vertices.

As a generalization of Cayley's formula, can compute the number of spanning trees of any graph G as the determinant of a matrix.

# Weighted graphs

Edges often have a "cost" associated to them – the time, money, or distance of the corresponding route/connection.

#### Definition

Weighted graph A weighted graph is a graph G together with a weight function  $w: E(G) \to \mathbb{R}$ . Normally we assume  $w(e) \geq 0$  for all edges e.

Weighted graphs are often encoded in tables:

Α				
4	В			
5	7	С		
9	8	8	D	
5	5	5	8	Е

# Minimal spanning trees

### Motivating problem:

Suppose that the vertices of a weighted graph G represented cities, and the weight w(e) of an edge was the cost of building a road between the cities. What's the cheapest way to connect all the cities?

#### Definition

Let  $T \subseteq G$  be a spanning tree of a weighted graph. The weight of T is the total weight of all its edges:

$$w(T) = \sum_{e \in T} w(e)$$

Problem becomes: find the minimal weight spanning tree Checking every spanning tree too slow:  $K_n$  has  $n^{n-2}$ 

# Many solutions. Two: Kruskal and Prim

## Loose concept: Greedy Algorithms

A greedy algorithm doesn't plan ahead, but just does the best it can at each stage.

# Definition (Kruskal's algorithm)

Start with T having no edges. Iteratively:

- Look at cheapest remaining edge e
- ▶ If adding e to T creates a loop, discard e
- Otherwise, add e to T

## Fairly clear: produces a spanning tree

But it's not clear this spanning tree is minimal.

# Another approach: Prim

# Kruskal: a global view, "avoid cycles"

- Kruskal's algorithm looks at all edges at start
- T may be disconnected at intermediate steps

### Prim: local view, "build tree"

Start at one vertex and explore out

# Definition (Prim's algorithm)

Start T = v, a single vertex. Iteratively:

- ▶ Find the cheapest edge e = vw from  $v \in T$  to  $w \notin T$
- Add e and w to T

## Fairly clear: produces a spanning tree

But it's *not* clear this spanning tree is *minimal*.

# Why do Kruskal and Prim work?

## Exchange principle:

Let T be a spanning tree of G, and e = xy an edge not in T. Then:

- ▶ Unique path P from x to y using only edges of T
- ▶ If f any edge in P, then  $T' = T \setminus f \cup e$  a spanning tree i.e., can exchange edges in P for e.

### Basic idea of proofs:

- ▶ Let *T* be spanning tree produced by algorithm
- Let  $T_m$  be a minimal spanning tree
- ▶ Transform  $T_m$  to T edge by edge using exchange principle
- Show each step is a minimal spanning tree

Key: always add cheapest edge in T but not  $T_m$ .

# Finding all minimal spanning trees

### All edges have distinct weights:

- ▶ Never have to make an arbitrary choice
- Unique minimal weight spanning tree

## All edges have the same weight:

- Any spanning tree is minimal
- Probably too many to write down

## A few edges have repeated weights:

- Only a few places we have to make a choice
- Can find them with case by case analysis breaking ties