QUESTION 1: ELEMENTS OF $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

What are the units in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. In general $(a,b) \in R \times S$ is a unit if and only if a is a unit in R and b is a unit in S. This is because (a,b) is a unit if and only if there is a (c,d) with $(a,b) \cdot (c,d) = 1_{R \times S}$. But this is if and only if $a \cdot c = 1_R$ and $b \cdot d = 1_S$, i.e. if a is a unit in R and b is a unit in S.

Since the only units in \mathbb{Z} are ± 1 , we see that there are four units in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$: (1,1),(1,-1),(-1,1),(-1,1).

What are the nilpotent elements of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. In general, an element $(a, b) \neq (0, 0) \in \mathbb{R} \times S$ is nilpotent if and only if both a and b are nilpotent or zero. This is because (a, b) is nilpotent if and only if $(a, b)^n = (0, 0)$ if and only if $a^n = 0$ and $b^n = 0$, i.e., if and only if both a and b are nilpotent or zero.

Thus, since \mathbb{Z} has no nilpotent elements, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ has no nilpotent elements.

What are the zero divisors in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $(a,b) \neq (0,0) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a zero divisor. This happens if and only if there is a $(c,d) \neq (0,0) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ so that $(a,b) \cdot (c,d) = 0$, i.e. ac = 0 and bd = 0. Since \mathbb{Z} has no zero divisors, ac = 0 if and only at least one of a,c = 0. Similarly, at least one of b,d = 0. Since by assumption, both of a,b cannot be zero, and both of c,d cannot be zero, we see there are two possibilities: a = 0 and d = 0, or b = 0 and c = 0. Thus, the zero divisors of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ are those elements of the form (a,0) or (0,b).

QUESTION 2: ZERO DIVISORS IN R[x]

Let *R* be a nontrivial ring, and let $f = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in R[x]$. Prove that if a_n is not a zero divisor in *R*, then *f* is not a zero divisor in R[x].

Proof: Suppose that $f = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in R[x]$ were a zero divisor; we must show that a_n is a zero divisor. Then there exists a $g = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \cdots + b_0 \in R[x]$ with fg = 0. Note that we can take $b_m \neq 0$. But expanding the product fg out, we have

$$a_n b_m x^{n+m} + (a_n b_{m-1} + a_{n-1} b_m) x^{n+m-1} + \dots + (a_1 b_0 + a_0 b_1) x + a_0 b_0 = 0$$

For this to be true, we need the coefficient of every x^k to be equal to zero in R; in particular, the coefficient of x^{n+m} , namely a_nb_m , must be equal to 0. Since $b_m \neq 0$, this means a_n is a zero divisor.

QUESTION 3: COUNTING HOMOMORPHISMS

How many different homomorphism $\varphi : R \to S$ are there when:

 $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $S = \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Lemma 4.4 in the notes states that for any ring S there is a unique homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \to S$. Hence, there is one homomorphism from \mathbb{Z} to $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

 $R = \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ and $S = \mathbb{Z}/49\mathbb{Z}$. Following the proof of Lemma 4.4, we see that since $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by 1, there can be at most one homomorphism: $\varphi(1) = 1$ and the fact that φ preserves addition quickly gives $\varphi([n]_7) = [n]_{49}$. But this is not a homomorphism, since $[0]_7 = [3]_7 + [4]_7$ but

$$\varphi([3]_7) + \varphi([4]_7) = [3]_{49} + [4]_{49} = [7]_{49} \neq [0]_{49} = \varphi([0]_7).$$

So there are no homomorphisms from $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/49\mathbb{Z}$.

 $R = \mathbb{Z}/14\mathbb{Z}$ and $S = \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$. The same argument as the previous part shows there can be at most one homomorphism, specicially $\varphi([n]_{14}) = [n]_7$. We claim this actually is a homormorphism. There's a lot of additions and multiplications to check, so it's easier to shift points of view and think of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ to be the set of cosets of multiples of n; that is, elements of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ are the subsets of the form:

$$r + n\mathbb{Z} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x = r + nk, \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

The homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/14\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ then can be written $\varphi(k+14\mathbb{Z}) = k+7\mathbb{Z}$. But one then sees:

$$\begin{split} \varphi(k+14\mathbb{Z}) + \varphi(\ell+14\mathbb{Z}) &= k+7\mathbb{Z} + \ell + 7\mathbb{Z} = k + \ell + 7\mathbb{Z} = \varphi(k+\ell+14\mathbb{Z}) \\ \varphi(k+14\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \varphi(\ell+14\mathbb{Z}) &= (k+7\mathbb{Z}) \cdot (\ell+7\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= k\ell + 7k\mathbb{Z} + 7\ell\mathbb{Z} + 49\mathbb{Z} \\ &= k\ell + 7\mathbb{Z} \\ &= \varphi((k+14\mathbb{Z}) \cdot (\ell+14\mathbb{Z})) \end{split}$$

 $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $S = \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$. This question is more difficult because knowing that $\varphi(1) = 1$ and that φ preserves addition no longer determines φ . Let $\varphi((1,0)) = a$, $\varphi((0,1)) = b$.

Suppose that φ is a homomorphism. We see that asking φ to be an additive group homomorphism is equivalent to asking $\varphi(x,y)=xa+by$, and so a and b determine φ . But not all choices of $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ will result in φ being a ring homomorphism. To ask that φ preserves the identity, is asking $\varphi(1,1)=a+b=1$.

Now suppose that φ preserves multiplication. Since $(1,0)^2 = (1,0)$, $(0,1)^2 = (0,1)$, and $(1,0) \cdot (0,1) = 0$, we see we must have $a^2 = a$, $b^2 = b$, ab = 0. We claim that if a, b satisfy these three equations, then φ preserves multiplication:

$$\varphi((x,y)) \cdot \varphi((v,w)) = (ax + by) \cdot (av + bw)$$

$$= a^2 xy + ab(xw + vy) + b^2 yw$$

$$= axv + byw = \varphi(xv, yw) = \varphi((x,y) \cdot (v,w))$$

The only elements $a \in \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ with $a^2 = a$ are 0,1,4,9, and this together with a + b = 1, ab = 0 means there are four homomorphisms $\varphi_i : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$:

$$\varphi_1(x,y) = x$$
 $\varphi_2(x,y) = y$ $\varphi_3(x,y) = 4x + 9y$ $\varphi_4(x,y) = 9x + 4y$