Building RPM packages

Bob Dowling, University of Cambridge Computing Service

Agenda

- Why build packages?
- Overview of package construction
- Simple example
- Extending the simple example
- Less simple example
- Extending the less simple example
- Complex example

Why build packages?

- Simplicity of installation
- Simplicity of upgrade
- Simplicity of management
- Keeps source code, patches and configs together
- Not just Red Hat Linux!
- Red Hat, SuSE, Mandrake, ...

Overview of package construction

- Spec file
- Source code
- Patches
- Managed unpacking, building and installation

Simple example

- Very simple program
- Crufty old C++ program: ackerman
 - Ackermen's function is a mathemtical curiosity
 - you don't need to know the details
- Source code
- Manual page
- Licence file (GPL)

Source code

- Files:
 - Ackerman.h, Ackerman.cxx, LongArray.cxx, main.cxx
 - GPL
 - ackerman.1
- Package name: ackerman
- Version of source code: 1
- Directory name: ackerman-1

Processing the source code

- tar and gzip
 - \$ tar -cf ackerman-1.tar ackerman-1
 - \$ gzip -9 ackerman-1.tar
- Put archive in source code directory
 - /usr/src/redhat/SOURCES

Specification files

- Controls the package
- Everything to do with the package is here
- Keeps all the information together
- Provides information
- Controls building the package
- No manual override is permitted

Creating the Specification file

- emacs knows what the template looks like
- Keyed on filenames ending with .spec
- Not compulsory to use emacs
- But it helps
- Traditional to name spec file after package
- ackerman.spec

Initial template spec file

- Three types of entry
- Key: Value
 - Summary:, Source0:,...
- %Section followed by content
 - %description, %prep, %build, %install, ...
- %Macros for variables and command sequences
 - %name, %version, %setup, ...

Blank ackerman.spec

```
1.Summary:
Name: ackerman
Version:
Release: 1
URL:
Source0: %{name}-%{version}.tar.gz
License:
Group:
BuildRoot: %{_tmppath}/%{name}-root
%description
%prep
%setup -q
%build
%install
rm -rf $RPM_BUILD_ROOT
%clean
rm -rf $RPM_BUILD_ROOT
%files
%defattr(-,root,root)
%changelog
* Sun Feb 16 2003 Bob Dowling <rjd4@noether.csi.cam.ac.uk>
- Initial build.
```

First, simple fields

- Correspond to info from rpm --query --info
 - Summary: short, one-line description
 - Name: name of the software package
 - Version: version of the original source code
 - Release: number of this *packaging* of the code
 - URL: web page for product
 - License: the licence for the software
 - Group: what category the software falls in

Groups of packages

- Varies a bit (too much) between distributions
- rpm --query --queryformat '%{group}\n' package
- Format is Category/Subcategory

Groups used by RHL

- Amusements/Games, Amusements/Graphics
- Applications/...
 - Archiving, Communications, CPAN, Databases, Editors,
 Emulators, Engineering, File, Internet, Multimedia,
 Office, Productivity, Publishing, System, Text, Utilities
- Development/...
 - Debuggers, Languages, Libraries, System, Tools
- Documentation, Networking, System Environment, User Interface, Utilities

Build-specific parameters

- Source0:
 - Primary source files, tarred and gzipped
 - Note default naming scheme assumed
 - %{name}-%{version}.tar.gz
- BuildRoot:
 - Don't do install directly to real locations
 - Build a fake tree to install into
- There will be other parameters later

%Macros

- %name
 - Whatever is given by the Name: line
- %version
 - Whatever is given by the Version: line
- %_tmppath
 - A system macro for where installations should go
 - /var/tmp on RHL systems
 - Underscores indicate system-wide macros

%description section

- %description defines a section
 - Everything up to the next %section
- %description gives the paragraph-style info

%description

This package provides a single command, ackerman that calculates the ackerman(m,n) function, internally remembering previously calculated values to avoid recalculation.

The %prep section

```
%prep
%setup -q
```

- Preparation of the source code
- The %setup macro:
 - Unpacks the .tar.gz file
 - -q makes it quieter (don't see every file unpacked)
 - Sanity checks

Running the %setup section

• rpmbuild -bp ackerman.spec

```
Executing(%prep): /bin/sh -e /var/tmp/rpm-tmp.7425
+ umask 022
+ cd /home/rjd4/redhat/BUILD
+ cd /home/rjd4/redhat/BUILD
+ rm -rf ackerman-1
+ /usr/bin/gzip -dc /home/rjd4/redhat/SOURCES/ackerman-1.tar.gz
+ tar -xf -
+ STATUS=0
+ '[' 0 -ne 0 ']'
+ cd ackerman-1
++ /usr/bin/id -u
+ '[' 2049 = 0 ']'
++ /usr/bin/id -u
+ '['2049 = 0']'
+ /bin/chmod -Rf a+rX,g-w,o-w.
+ exit 0
```

What has been done?

- Look in BUILD directory
- Source code unpacked

```
$ ls ../BUILD/
ackerman-1

$ ls ../BUILD/ackerman-1/
Ackerman.cxx Ackerman.h GPL LongArray.cxx Makefile
ackerman.1 main.cxx
```

%build: Compiling the software

- Simplest case
- Just need to run make
- Only instruction in the %build section

%build make

Running the %build section

- rpmbuild -bc ackerman.spec
- Runs %prep and %build sections
- No scope for manual intervention

```
$ rpmbuild -bc ackerman.spec
Executing(%prep): /bin/sh -e /var/tmp/rpm-tmp.58273
...
Executing(%build): /bin/sh -e /var/tmp/rpm-tmp.58273
+ umask 022
+ cd /home/rjd4/redhat/BUILD
+ cd ackerman-1
+ make
...
```

%install: Installing the software

- Just need to install the software now
- Don't install it in the final location
- Install it in the "build root" instead
- The default removes any existing build root
- Many Makefiles come with an "install" target
- We have to do this one manually

The %install section

```
%install
rm -rf $RPM_BUILD_ROOT
install -D ackerman ${RPM_BUILD_ROOT}/usr/bin/ackerman
install -D ackerman.1 ${RPM_BUILD_ROOT}/usr/share/man/man1/ackerman.1
install -D GPL ${RPM BUILD ROOT}/usr/share/docs/ackerman-1/GPL
```

- install command
- -D option build intervening directories
- Building the complete directory structure

Running the %install section

- rpmbuild -bi ackerman.spec
- Runs %prep, %build, %install
- Various phases of installation to note
- Not just a simple installation!

%install: Phase one

```
Executing(%install): /bin/sh -e /var/tmp/rpm-tmp.22989
+ umask 022
+ cd /usr/src/redhat/BUILD
+ cd ackerman-1
```

• Basic set up prior to running what we specified

%install: Phase two

```
+ rm -rf /var/tmp/ackerman-root
+ install -D ackerman \
   /var/tmp/ackerman-root/usr/bin/ackerman
+ install -D ackerman.1 \
   /var/tmp/ackerman-root/usr/share/man/man1/ackerman.1
+ install -D GPL \
   /var/tmp/ackerman-root/usr/share/docs/ackerman-1/GPL
```

- This is the section we wrote
- Macros have been expanded
- Environment variables substituted

%install: Phase three

- + /usr/lib/rpm/brp-compress
 + /usr/lib/rpm/brp-strip
- + /usr/lib/rpm/brp-strip-comment-note

- Not part of our script
- Compresses man pages and info pages
- Strips binaries

%install: Phase four

```
Processing files: ackerman-1
PreReq: rpmlib(PayloadFilesHavePrefix) <= 4.0-1\
   rpmlib(CompressedFileNames) <= 3.0.4-1
Requires(rpmlib): rpmlib(PayloadFilesHavePrefix) <= 4.0-1\
   rpmlib(CompressedFileNames) <= 3.0.4-1</pre>
```

- Automatic processing of all files in the build root
- Dependencies determined
 - Only some can be automatically determined

%install: Phase five

```
Checking for unpackaged file(s): /usr/lib/rpm/check-files\
  /var/tmp/ackerman-root

error: Installed (but unpackaged) file(s) found:
    /usr/bin/ackerman
    /usr/share/man/man1/ackerman.1.gz
    /usr/share/docs/ackerman-1-1/GPL
```

- Final phase
- "Unpackaged files"
 - files in the build root but not in the package's file list

%files: List of files in the package

- Give an explicit list of files in the package
- Not automatically generated
- We will see annotations in this section later

%files: Our files

```
%files
%defattr(-,root,root)
/usr/bin/ackerman
/usr/share/man/man.1/ackerman.1.gz
/usr/share/doc/ackerman-1/GPL
```

- %defattr Default attributes
 - (mode, user, group)
- Three files
 - Manual page compressed

Run the installation again

- rpmbuild -bi ackerman.spec
- No errors this time
- All files accounted for
- Ready to build the package

Building the package

- rpmbuild -ba ackerman.spec
- -a: All
- Binary package
- Source package

Building the package: phase one

```
$ rpmbuild -ba ackerman.spec
"a" for "all".
Wrote: /usr/src/redhat/SRPMS/ackerman-1-1.src.rpm
Wrote: /usr/src/redhat/RPMS/i386/ackerman-1-1.i386.rpm
```

- Runs %prep, %build, %install
- Builds two package files
 - Source
 - Binary

Building the package: phase two

```
Executing(%clean): /bin/sh -e /var/tmp/rpm-tmp.80342
+ umask 022
+ cd /usr/src/redhat/BUILD
+ cd ackerman-1
+ rm -rf /var/tmp/ackerman-root
+ exit 0
```

- Cleans up after itself
- We didn't write this bit
- %clean section

We can do better

- We've built a very simple package
- We can improve even this one
- Default locations might vary between systems
 - Manual pages
 - Application binaries
 - Related documentation
- Release 2!

Using macros — Release 2

- Macros for how a particular Linux lays things out
- Source RPM becomes a more general build facility
- Can also track local policies
- Examples:
 - -%{_bindir}:/usr/bin
 - %{_mandir}:/usr/share/man
 - %doc: Special macro for documentation

Applying a patch — Release 3

- The C++ compiler complains about the code
- Packager wants to fix this
- Patch the source code
- Keep patches distinct from original source
- "Pristine sources"
- diff and patch

Creating the patch

- Unpack and move twice
 - ackerman-1.original
 - ackerman-1.patched
- Use diff -cr to create patch

```
$ cd ../BUILD
$ rpmbuild -bp ../SPECS/ackerman.spec
$ mv ackerman-1 ackerman-1.original
$ rpmbuild -bp ../SPECS/ackerman.spec
$ mv ackerman-1 ackerman-1.patched
$ emacs
$ diff -cr ackerman-1.* > ../SOURCES/ackerman-gcc3.patch
```

Updating the spec file

```
Release: 3
...
Source0: %{name}-%{version}.tar.gz
Patch1: ackerman-gcc3.patch
...
%prep
%setup -q
%patch1 -p 1
```

- Increment release number
- Add a Patch1: line alongside Source0:
- Add a %patch1 line in %prep section

Final tweak: Build dependencies

- What did we need to build the package?
- gcc/C++, version ≥ 3
- make, install (can often take these for granted)

BuildPreReq: gcc-c++ >= 3.0, make, fileutils

Half time summary

- What have we seen so far?
 - Simple source code
 - Simple build
 - Simple patch
 - Simple documentation
- Let's make things more complex!
- POV-Ray a ray-tracing package

povray package

- Packager and author(s) really are distinct this time
- Large package
- Complex build requirements
- Large amounts of HTML documentation
- http://www.povray.org/

povray.spec — top section

Summary: The Persistence of Vision Raytracer

Name: povray
Version: 3.50c

Release: 1

URL: http://www.povray.org/

Source0: povuni_s.tgz

License: Application specific Group: Applications/Multimedia

BuildRoot: %{_tmppath}/%{name}-root

%description

The Persistence of Vision Raytracer is a high-quality, totally free tool for creating stunning three-dimensional graphics.

povray.spec — %prep section

- povray_s.tgz unpacks to give directory
 %{name}-%{version}/
- So no need to change this section (yet).
- Run rpmbuild -bp just to be sure.

```
%prep
%setup -q
```

povray.spec — %build section

- Classic installation strategy:
 - Configure
 - Make
 - Install
- Very widespread approach
- rpmbuild can work well with this

%build — Configure

- ./configure --help
- Need to set

```
- --prefix=%{_prefix}
```

- --mandir=%{_mandir}
- etc!
- There's a macro to help:
 - %{configure}

%build — Make

- ./configure builds Makefiles
 - These use DESTDIR to specify Build Root.
 - Everything else built in by % {configure}
- make DESTDIR=\${RPM_BUILD_ROOT}
 - Good habit to set DESTDIR even here.
 - Some packages build their own installer!
 - Should there be a % {make} macro?

povray.spec — %build section

- This is all we need for the %build section:
 - Configure for system
 - Build knowing Build Root
- Test it!

```
%build
%{configure}
make DESTDIR=${RPM_BUILD_ROOT}
```

Test build fails!

- We haven't read the README closely enough
- We need to edit the file src/optout.h
- This is a patch

```
#define DISTRIBUTION_MESSAGE_1 "This is an unofficial
version compiled by:"
#error You must complete the following
DISTRIBUTION_MESSAGE macro
#define DISTRIBUTION_MESSAGE_2 " FILL IN NAME
HERE....."
#define DISTRIBUTION_MESSAGE_3 " The POV-Ray Team(tm) is
not responsible for supporting this version."
```

Patching the code

- Build a patch to edit src/optout.h
- Apply it in the povray. spec file
- Build again

```
Patch1: povray-optout.patch ... %prep %setup -q %patch1 -p 1
```

povray.spec — %install section

- Trivial %install section:
- make install DESTDIR=\${RPM_BUILD_ROOT}
- No root, no worries
- Then look to see what's been installed

%files — Categories of files (1)

- Configuration files:
 - /etc/povray.conf, /etc/povray.ini
- The program itself
 - /usr/bin/povray
- The manual page
 - /usr/share/man/man1/povray.1.gz

%files — Categories of files (2)

Support files

- /usr/share/povray-3.5/include/
- /usr/share/povray-3.5/ini/

• Example scenes and their support scripts

- /usr/share/povray-3.5/scenes
- /usr/share/povray-3.5/scripts/

• Test script

- /usr/share/povray-3.5/tests/test.sh

%files — Categories of files (3)

• Licence files

- /usr/share/doc/povray-3.50c/povlegal.*

User manual

- .../BUILD/povray-3.50c/doc/html/

Other documentation

- /usr/share/doc/povray-3.50c/README*
- /usr/share/doc/povray-3.50c/gamma*

%files — The configuration files

- There is a macro to place configuration files
 - %{_sysconfdir}
 - %{configure} knows it
- Also flag the files as configuration files
 - %config

```
%config %{_sysconfdir}/povray.conf
%config %{_sysconfdir}/povray.ini
```

%files — The program

- Macro % {_bindir} for binaries
- Equates to /usr/bin on RHL

```
%{_bindir}/povray
```

%files — Support files

- Support files, example scenes, support scripts
- All live under /usr/share/povray-3.5
- Directory names refer to whole directory tree
- Use the % {__datadir} macro?
 - Not quite the right definition?

```
%{_datadir}/povray-3.5
```

%files — The documentation

- Use the % { _mandir } macro too
- Make extensive use of the %doc command
- Directory names refer to whole directory tree

```
%{_mandir}/man1/povray.1.gz
%doc README
%doc README.unix
%doc gamma.gif
%doc gamma.gif.txt
%doc povwhere.txt
%doc povlegal.doc
%doc doc/html
```

One more fix ...

- /etc/povray.ini refers to/usr/local/share/povray-3.5
- Not controlled by configure
- We will change this
- Replace povray.ini with povray.ini.in
- This must contain *configure macros*
- configure will create povray.ini

Inserting a file

- Second source file
- Manually replace povray.ini in %prep phase

```
Source1: povray.ini.in
...
%prep
%setup -q
%patch0 -p 1
rm -f povray.ini
cp ${RPM_SOURCE_DIR}/povray.ini.in .
```

Creating povray.ini.in

- configure uses yet another macro syntax!
- @variable@

```
Library_Path=/usr/share/povray-3.5
Library_Path=/usr/share/povray-3.5/include
```

```
Library_Path=@datadir@/povray-3.5
Library_Path=@datadir@/povray-3.5/include
```

And that's it

- We have a working package of POV-Ray
- It doesn't show everything
- Build dependencies ?
- Neither example demonstrates specifying runtime dependencies!

Conclusion

- RPM as a build tool
- Keeps original source apart from patches
- Keeps everything together
- Source RPMs as "enhanced source code"
- Enforces a structure on code building
- Further reading: "Maximum RPM"
 - http://www.rpm.org/max-rpm/