


# From Scramble to Solution

Developing an Optimal Solver for  
the Kilominx, a Rubik's Cube Variant

**Samuel Borg**

*Supervisor: Ian Gent*

March 28, 2025



School of Computer Science  
University of St Andrews

# Abstract

The Rubik's Cube is the most well-known combination puzzle of all time, and has inspired numerous variants of the puzzle. One such puzzle is the Kilominx, a 2x2 dodecahedron-shaped puzzle with 12 faces and 20 cubies (individual cube elements of the puzzle). While the Rubik's Cube has already been extensively researched, the Kilominx has not.

This report details my work in creating an optimal solver for the Kilominx, guaranteeing solutions in as few moves as possible. A separate program I wrote precomputes several pattern databases, each containing the number of moves required to solve different sets of cubies in all possible states. The solver uses an iterative-deepening A\* (IDA\*) algorithm to find the optimal solution, using the pattern databases as a lower-bound heuristic. In order to efficiently index into the pattern databases, the solver computes a Lehmer code for the puzzle state, which is then used to calculate the state's sequential index.

In theory, the solver can optimally solve any state given enough time, but in practice it can only find solutions up to a depth of 14 within a reasonable timeframe. As solutions require longer sequences of moves to solve, the solver takes exponentially more time to find the optimal solution. With further optimisation, the solver could be used to help tighten the bounds on the Kilominx's God's Number - the maximum number of moves needed to solve the puzzle from any given state.

# Declaration

I declare that the material submitted for assessment is my own work except where credit is explicitly given to others by citation or acknowledgement. This work was performed during the current academic year except where otherwise stated.

The main text of this project report is [insert word count] words long, including project specification and plan.

In submitting this project report to the University of St Andrews, I give permission for it to be made available for use in accordance with the regulations of the University Library. I also give permission for the title and abstract to be published and for copies of the report to be made and supplied at cost to any bona fide library or research worker, and to be made available on the World Wide Web. I retain the copyright in this work.

# Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Ian Gent, for his invaluable guidance, insight and support throughout this project. His expertise and enthusiasm have made this project an incredibly engaging and rewarding journey.

I would also like to thank my parents, Juliet and Peter, my brother, Tom, and my amazing friends Emil, Ben, Zoe, Josh, Maya, Jess and Alfie for their unwavering support and belief in me all the way through my studies.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1	Project Objectives . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Context Survey</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Korf's Algorithm for the Rubik's Cube . . . . .	8
2.1.1	Iterative-Deepening A* . . . . .	8
2.1.2	Pattern Databases . . . . .	8
2.1.3	Sequential Indexing with Lehmer Codes . . . . .	8
2.2	God's Number . . . . .	8
2.3	The Kilominx . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Requirements Specification</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Software Engineering Process</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Software Development Process . . . . .	10
4.2	Choice of Language . . . . .	10
<b>5</b>	<b>Ethics</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Design</b>	<b>13</b>
6.1	Design Overview . . . . .	13
6.2	Representing State . . . . .	13
6.3	Making Moves . . . . .	13
6.4	Populating Pattern Databases . . . . .	13
6.5	Lehmer Codes . . . . .	13
6.6	Searching for Solutions . . . . .	13
6.7	Interacting with the Puzzles . . . . .	13
<b>7</b>	<b>Implementation</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>	<b>15</b>
8.1	Experimental Results . . . . .	15
8.2	Evaluation Against Objectives . . . . .	15
8.3	Comparison to Existing Work . . . . .	15
<b>9</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Artefact Evaluation Form</b>	<b>17</b>

<b>B Testing Summary</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>C User Manual</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>21</b>

# 1. Introduction

When the Rubik's Cube was globally released in 1980, it became an instant success, selling around 200 million units by the end of 1983 [1]. The Rubik's Cube also quickly became a popular subject of research for computer scientists, in part due to the massive 43 quintillion possible states [2] that the cube can be in. Many different algorithms were designed to try and solve the cube in as few a number of moves as possible, but it wasn't until 1997 that Richard E. Korf published a paper [3] describing a method to solve the Rubik's Cube optimally (the shortest possible number of moves to solve any given cube state) by using large lookup tables called pattern databases [4] as a heuristic function to guide an IDA\* search algorithm.

Another key area that researchers focused on had to do with a concept called "God's Number", which is the maximum number of moves needed to solve the cube from any given state. Throughout the '90s and '00s, researchers were able to tighten the bounds on the God's Number for the Rubik's Cube, until in 2010 it was finally proved that the cube's God's Number is exactly 20 [5]. This means that for any optimal solver (such as Korf's algorithm), the solver will always be able to find a solution in 20 moves or less (given enough time to find the solution).



Figure 1: An image of a Kilominx<sup>1</sup>.

The widespread success of the Rubik's Cube also led to the creation of a number of different variants of the puzzle, each working similarly to the Rubik's Cube but of different shapes and sizes. The Kilominx is one of these variants, part of the larger "minx" family of dodecahedron-shaped puzzles. The Kilominx is a 2x2 dodecahedral

---

<sup>1</sup>This image is taken from the "[Kilominx](#)" article on the [Speed Cube Solving wiki](#) at [Fandom](#) and is licensed under the [Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike License](#).

puzzle with 12 faces and 20 cubies (individual cube-shaped elements of the puzzle). Although some research has been done on tightening the bounds of its God's Number [6], no optimal solver has previously been created for the puzzle.

The main goal for this project was to create an optimal solver for the Kilominx, applying similar principles to Korf's algorithm for the Rubik's Cube - using pattern databases to guide an IDA\* search algorithm. An additional goal was to use the solver to help increase the lower bound of Kilominx's God's Number by trying to find an optimal solution of a greater number of moves than the current lower bound.

## 1.1 Project Objectives

Below is a list of the objectives for this project, which are grouped based on their priority. It should be noted that the priorities of the objectives are slightly different to the original priorities as outlined in the DOER - these were updated after the project was started to better reflect which objectives were the most important for the project.

### Primary Objectives:

- Create an optimal solver for the Rubik's Cube, using an IDA\* search algorithm and pattern databases (as described in Korf's paper).
- Create an optimal solver for the Kilominx, using the same principles as used in the Rubik's Cube solver.

### Secondary Objectives:

- Use the solver to tighten the bounds of the Kilominx's God's Number.
- Create a simple GUI to allow users to interact with a Rubik's Cube and Kilominx, and use the solvers to find optimal solutions for both puzzles.

### Tertiary Objectives:

- Survey users about their experience using the GUI and solver to gather feedback on its ease of use.
- Investigate better ways to allow users to input a Kilominx state into the solver (e.g. with computer vision).



## 2. Context Survey

### 2.1 Korf's Algorithm for the Rubik's Cube

#### 2.1.1 Iterative-Deepening A\*

#### 2.1.2 Pattern Databases

#### 2.1.3 Sequential Indexing with Lehmer Codes

### 2.2 God's Number

### 2.3 The Kilominx

### **3. Requirements Specification**

## 4. Software Engineering Process

### 4.1 Software Development Process

An incremental development process was used for this project in order to ensure steady progress throughout both semesters. This approach allowed me to slowly build up the solver in small, functional increments, where each increment was thoroughly tested and documented before moving on to the next. This method helped ensure that the final solution was robust and well-documented.

At the start of the project, I began by creating a reference guide for creating an optimal solver for the Rubik's Cube. This guide detailed each of the steps required to model the Rubik's Cube and then create the optimal solver for it, highlighting any important design decisions that needed to be made along with concise justifications for the decisions. This guide was used as a roadmap for the development of the solver, ensuring that I stayed on track towards the final goal.

After creating the reference guide, I followed it to implement an optimal solver for the Rubik's Cube. While creating a solver for the Rubik's Cube was not part of the project objectives, it was a necessary step in order to gain a better understanding of the process required to create an optimal solver. I took care while implementing the classes and methods to ensure that they were modular and reusable - this allowed me to easily extend the both the solver and other classes to work with the Kilominx later on. The Rubik's Cube solver was thoroughly tested to ensure that it was working correctly before moving on to implementing the Kilominx solver.

Finally, I implemented the Kilominx solver following the same steps from the reference guide as I did for the Rubik's Cube solver. I reused many of the classes and methods from the Rubik's Cube solver, extending them where necessary to work with the Kilominx. The Kilominx solver was also thoroughly tested to ensure that it was working correctly.

### 4.2 Choice of Language

I decided to use Java as the primary programming language for the solver. This decision was made based on my familiarity with Java and its object-oriented principles, such as abstract classes and inheritance, which I believed would be helpful for allowing parts of the code to be reused and extended. Additionally, Java is a relatively fast language and is well-suited for the type of computation required for

solving the Rubik's Cube and Kilominx. There was some consideration given to using C++ due to its speed and efficiency, but due to my lack of experience with the language, I ultimately decided that Java would be the best choice for this project.

## 5. Ethics

The original project objectives involved surveying users about their experience with the web application, so the Artefact Evaluation form was filled out and submitted. However, as this objective was moved down to a lower priority, the user survey was ultimately not conducted. The Artefact Evaluation form can be found in **Appendix A**.

## 6. Design

### 6.1 Design Overview

### 6.2 Representing State

### 6.3 Making Moves

### 6.4 Populating Pattern Databases

### 6.5 Lehmer Codes

### 6.6 Searching for Solutions

### 6.7 Interacting with the Puzzles

## 7. Implementation

## 8. Evaluation

### 8.1 Experimental Results

### 8.2 Evaluation Against Objectives

### 8.3 Comparison to Existing Work



## 9. Conclusions

## **A. Artefact Evaluation Form**

UNIVERSITY OF ST ANDREWS  
TEACHING AND RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE (UTREC)  
SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
ARTIFACT EVALUATION FORM

Title of project

Rubik's Cube Variant Solvers

Name of researcher(s)

Samuel Borg

Name of supervisor

Ian Gent

Self audit has been conducted YES ☒ NO ☐

This project is covered by the ethical application CS15727.

Signature Student or Researcher



Print Name

Samuel Borg

Date

25 / 04 / 2024

Signature Lead Researcher or Supervisor



Print Name

IAN GENT

Date

27 / 9 / 2024

## B. Testing Summary

## C. User Manual

# References

- [1] *The Lighter Side of Mathematics*. URL: <https://archive.org/details/lightersideofmat0000unse/page/340/mode/1up>. Last accessed on 25/03/25.
- [2] *Mathematics of the Rubik's Cube*. URL: <https://ruwix.com/the-rubiks-cube/mathematics-of-the-rubiks-cube-permutation-group/>. Last accessed on 25/03/25.
- [3] R. E. Korf. “Finding Optimal Solutions to Rubik's Cube Using Pattern Databases”. In: *AAAI'97*. 1997. URL: <https://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/fall06/cos402/papers/korfrubik.pdf>. Last accessed on 25/03/25.
- [4] J. C. Culberson and J. Schaeffer. “Pattern Databases”. In: *Computational Intelligence* 14.3 (1998). URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/0824-7935.00065>. Last accessed on 26/03/25.
- [5] T. Rokicki et al. *God's Number is 20*. URL: <https://www.cube20.org/>. Last accessed on 26/03/25.
- [6] *God's Algorithm - Optimal solutions of the Rubik's Cube*. URL: [https://www.speedsolving.com/wiki/index.php/God's\\_Algorithm#Minxes](https://www.speedsolving.com/wiki/index.php/God's_Algorithm#Minxes). Last accessed on 26/03/25.