

Spectral Efficiency of MU-Massive MIMO System for Perfect and Imperfect CSI Condition

Ika Rohmatul Aini
Electrical Engineering Department
Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember
Surabaya, Indonesia
ikaaini.17071@mhs.its.ac.id

Puji Handayani
Electrical Engineering Department
Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember
Surabaya, Indonesia
puji@ee.its.ac.id

Abstract— Multi User Massive MIMO (MU-Massive MIMO) is a form of multi-user large-scale antennas technology, in which hundreds numbers of antennas serve a significantly smaller number of users. We focus to analyze the downlink system of MU-Massive MIMO which works on Rayleigh and Uniformly Random Line of Sight (UR-LOS) channels. This system is assumed operates over a frequency-selective and uses Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM). The system performance is observed under perfect CSI and imperfect CSI conditions. Channel estimation for imperfect CSI can be obtained from uplink pilots training. ZF and MMSE linear precoding are used to overcome Multi User Interference (MUI) at receiver. From the simulation results, it can be seen that imperfect CSI has an impact on system performance due to channel estimation errors. Bit error rate (BER) of the system is a little bit higher and the spectral efficiency is slightly decreased in system with imperfect CSI condition. Furthermore, the use of a large number of array antennas can significantly increase the spectral efficiency without bound, both in perfect and imperfect CSI condition. In addition, spectral efficiency of the downlink scheme really depends on the use of precoding techniques. ZF and MMSE work equally well in suppressing the MUI at large number of antenna elements.

Keywords— MU-Massive MIMO, Rayleigh, UR-LOS, Perfect CSI, Imperfect CSI, Spectral Efficiency, ZF, MMSE, MUI.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, Multiple Input Multiple Output (MIMO) technology has been developed to support the development of high-speed wireless communication system. This technology has better performance than Single Input Single Output (SISO). This concept becomes the background for the development of Massive MIMO system. Massive MIMO system is a system that uses a very large number of antennas on the BS, the antennas can be hundreds or even more [1]. By using massive antenna elements, the spectral efficiency and energy efficiency will be significantly increased, compared to the small-scale MIMO system.

In order to serve multiple users simultaneously, the Multi User Massive MIMO (MU-Massive MIMO) system is used. Hundreds of antennas on one BS can serve tens of users at same time and frequency resources, where each user uses a single antenna. The advantages of single antenna users are that it is inexpensive, simple and uses more efficient power, but each user still get a high throughput [1].

The design and analysis of the Massive MIMO system is an interesting subject to study [2] - [5]. Some advantages of Massive MIMO system compared to conventional MIMO are, only the BS that needs to estimate the channel, the number of BS antennas is much larger than the number of users, and simple linear precoding techniques can be applied both on the uplink and downlink side [6].

In order to implement MU-Massive MIMO system which represents the real conditions, Channel State Information (CSI) on the BS or user side is required. However, channel estimation will be very complex because it is proportional to the large number of BS antennas, hence some previous research on Massive MIMO systems assumed perfect CSI conditions on both the BS and the user side [7]-[9]. In actual conditions the channel can change at any time according to the propagation environment, so channel estimation is required. This is because CSI is not only useful for obtaining high SNR on the user's side, but also in reducing interference generated by other users in a cell. Imperfection of the channel estimation process due to channel estimation error is known as imperfect CSI condition, because BS only know the noisy version of the channel.

We assume that the system works on TDD operation, so the uplink and downlink channels are reciprocal. BS can obtain CSI from the uplink pilots training sent by users. The number of transmitted pilots is proportional to the number of users which is much smaller than the number of BS antennas. Then BS use CSI to precode the transmitted signal in order to reduce Multi User Interference (MUI). In addition, the downlink SE for the k -th Massive MIMO in a cell really depends on the precoding capability to reduce interference between users [10]. From [1,12], it is shown that the use of large-scale antennas on the BS will increase spectral efficiency without bound. Hence, the use of a massive number of antenna elements with constant number of users will significantly increase the spectral efficiency.

This paper analyses single cell MU-Massive MIMO communication system with a downlink scheme on the Rayleigh and the Uniformly Random Line of Sight (UR-LOS) channel. This system is assumed to be operated over a frequency-selective channel and it uses Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM). We investigated the performance in specific conditions. First, we assume that the BS knows the channel information (perfect CSI condition). And second, BS estimates the channel at a certain coherence interval (Imperfect CSI condition) using Least-Square (LS) estimation. The parameters that will be observed are Bit Error Rate (BER) and Spectral Efficiency (SE) using Zero Forcing (ZF) and Minimum Mean Square Error (MMSE) linear precoding technique.

Notations: Uppercase and lowercase bold letters denote matrices and vectors. $\mathbb{E}\{\cdot\}$ denote expectation operator. For a matrix \mathbf{A} , we denote the superscripts $(\cdot)^T$, $(\cdot)^H$ as transpose, and Hermitian matrix operation, respectively. While $\text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$ is trace of matrix \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{I}_K is $(K \times K)$ identity matrix. The entries of k -th row and m -th column of matrix \mathbf{A} is denoted by $[\mathbf{A}]_{k,m}$. And the accent $|\cdot|$ denote magnitude of a complex number.

Commented [PH1]: Belum ada pernyataan terkait kinerja dengan perfect dan unperfect csi

Commented [PH2]: kebalik

Commented [PH3]: Imperfect csi itu bukannya artinya estimasi kanal tidak sempurna ya? Bukan berarti adanya estimasi kanal di BS. Di BS sdh seharusnya ada estimasi kanal.

Commented [PH4]: reduce

Commented [PH5]: Semua penjelasan dalam paragraph ini berasal dari mana?
Tambahkan satu paragraph terakhir di sub bab I yang berisi sistematika penulisan. Ika bisa meniru teknik penulisan dari paper IEEE.
Usahakan tidak mengkopi seluruh susunan kalimat. Gunakan susunan kalimat sendiri, karena salah satu penilaian paper adalah kemiripan dengan paper lain. Tingkat kemiripan yg diperbolehkan biasanya diset, tergantung penyelenggara seminar.

Commented [PH6]: ditunjukkan oleh siapa dari mana

Commented [PH7]: a massive ...

Commented [PH8]: to be operated

Commented [PH9]: freq sel channel

Commented [PH10]: it uses

Commented [PH11]: perfect CSI condition

Commented [PH12]: imperfect CSI condition




Fig. 1 System model of MU-Massive MIMO downlink scheme.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

The downlink system of single cell MU-Massive MIMO is shown in Fig. 1. This system is assumed work on a frequency-selective channel, therefore OFDM technique is used to overcome Inter-symbol Interference (ISI). BS is equipped with M number of antennas, and simultaneously serves K number of users, each user uses a single antenna, where M is much larger than K . The total number of OFDM subcarriers is denoted by N where N consists of subcarriers designed for data transmission (N_d) and subcarriers for the guard band (N_g) in order to reduce out-of-band radiation. Signal vector $q_n \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$ contains QAM modulated symbols at n -th subcarrier for $n \in N_d$, and no signal transmitted at guard band $q_n \in 0^{M \times 1}$ for $n \in N_g$.

In perfect CSI condition, we assumed that BS already knows the CSI and uses it to precode the transmitted signal. We focus on analyzing the system performance under Rayleigh and UR-LOS channel. The matrix $\mathbf{H}_n \in \mathbb{C}^{K \times M}$ is a time-domain channel response in Rayleigh channel associated with L number of channel taps, where $l = 1, 2, \dots, L$. This matrix contains of complex normal random variables $[\mathbf{H}_l]_{k,m} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, 1/L)$. The second condition considered is UR-LOS where there is no local scattering between BS and users. And all users are in the line of sight to the BS antennas. The time domain channel response is described below [10]:

$$\mathbf{h}_k = \sqrt{\beta} [1 \ e^{2\pi j d H \sin \theta_k} \dots e^{2\pi j d H (M-1) \sin \theta_k}]^T \quad (1)$$

Here h_k is channel response associated with k -th user, β is large-scale fading coefficient, dH is array spacing and θ_k is angular position of each user which is measured relative to array boresight. BS will only transmit the precoded signal at the specified angle of users. User position is random and $\sin(\theta_k)$ is uniformly distributed at interval $[-1 \ 1]$. BS uses Uniform Linear Array (ULA) antennas. Where ULA can only detect the user's position uniquely at intervals $[-\pi/2 \ \pi/2]$.

Channel matrix is assumed to be constant at certain coherence interval. In the imperfect CSI condition, BS needs to estimate the channel response. The channel estimation can be obtained from the training pilots which are transmitted by all users. At each coherence interval, each user transmits orthogonal pilot sequences at length τ_p . The number of transmitted pilots must be greater than the number of users

($\tau_p \geq K$). Collectively, all users transmit $K \times \tau_p$ pilots. We limit the uplink transmitted signal only contains pilot signals. This pilot signal is transmitted using N numbers of subcarriers. We can write the received pilot signal at n -th subcarrier as [11]:

$$\mathbf{y}_{pn} = \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{x}_{pn} + \mathbf{w}_n \quad (2)$$

Where \mathbf{x}_{pn} is pilot signal, \mathbf{H}_n is channel response to be estimated and \mathbf{w}_n is AWGN noise. In order to estimate the channel response, BTS needs to know the pilot sequences that transmitted by users, this is why the pilot sequences are deterministic and known at both ends of the link [6,10]. BS will estimate the channel from received pilot signal using LS estimation method. The estimated channel matrix at n -th subcarrier can be written as [11]:

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_n = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{pn}}{\mathbf{x}_{pn}} \quad (3)$$

The channel estimation error can be calculated as $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_n = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_n - \mathbf{H}_n$. Then we can calculate the Mean Square Error (MSE) of the channel estimation using $\mathbb{E}\{\|\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_n\|^2\}$. After BS knows channel information, then BS will use this channel matrix to precode the transmitted signals. There are several simple linear precoding techniques that can be applied to massive MIMO systems. In this paper we use ZF and MMSE precoding technique and described as follows [8]:

$$\mathbf{A}_{ZF} = \mathbf{H}_n^H (\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{H}_n^H)^{-1} \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{MMSE} = \mathbf{H}_n^H \left(\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{H}_n^H + \frac{K}{p_d} \mathbf{I}_K \right)^{-1} \quad (5)$$

Where \mathbf{A} is precoding matrix, p_d is downlink SNR. To satisfied the total transmit power constraint on the BS, the precoding matrix should be multiplied by a scale factor as written in (6) [9].

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \text{tr}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^H) / N}} \quad (6)$$

Next, the symbols at each subcarrier are multiplied by precoding matrix. As a result is precoded vector $\mathbf{c}_n \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$ which contains the symbols that will be transmitted over n -th subcarrier via M BS antennas. In order to transmit N precoded vectors to M BS antennas, arrangement process is needed, and yields rearranged vector \mathbf{x}_m [7] as given in (7).

Commented [PH13]: Ini menjelaskan jumlah subcarrier. Buatlah kalimat yang lengkap dan jelas.

Commented [PH14]: Penjelasan singkatan suatu istilah cukup disebutkan sekali di awal pertama kali disebutkan.

Commented [PH15]: uses

Commented [PH16]: The second condition considered is ...

Commented [PH17]: Spt di atas utk singkatan.

This means that each antenna transmits the signal from all subcarriers.

$$[x_1 \dots x_M] = [s_1 \dots s_N]^T \quad (7)$$

A number of M vector x_m are frequency-domain signals that will be transmitted over M antennas. Time-domain signal x_{t_m} is obtained by applying an Inverse Fast Fourier transform (IFFT) of x_m . Cyclic prefix is inserted to the time-domain signals in order to overcome ISI between OFDM symbols. Let $\mathbf{X} = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{T-1}] \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times T}$, $\mathbf{Y} = [y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{T-1}] \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times T}$ and $\mathbf{W} \in \mathcal{CN}(0, N_0)$ are transmitted signals, received signals and AWGN noises expressed in the time-domain. Then $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{F}_N$, $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{F}_N$ and $\hat{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{F}_N$ are frequency domain matrix. Where \mathbf{F}_N is $N \times N$ DFT matrix. Hence $K \times M$ frequency-domain channel matrix described as follows [9]:

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_n = \sum_{l=0}^L \mathbf{H}_l \exp(-jn \frac{2\pi}{N} l) \quad (8)$$

After removing cyclic prefix component, frequency-domain received signal at n -th subcarrier can be written as [9]:

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_n = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_n \mathbf{x}_n + \mathbf{w}_n \quad (9)$$

Signal to Interference Noise Ratio (SINR) at k -th user over n -th subcarrier is defined below [1]:

$$\text{SINR}_{k,n}^A = \frac{[\hat{\mathbf{H}}_n \mathbf{A}_n]_{k,k}^2}{\sum_{v \neq k} [\hat{\mathbf{H}}_n \mathbf{A}_n]_{k,v}^2 + N_0} \quad (10)$$

Here $\text{SINR}_{k,n}^A$ is effective SINR for k -user at n -th subcarrier using precoding A , where $A \in \{ZF, \text{MMSE}\}$. The numerator in the equation above is desired signal for k -th user. The first component of the denominator is the sum of other user's power signals in the same cell or we can describe it as intracell interference, and the second component is noise variance. Then the spectral efficiency can be obtained from the following approach [10]:

$$\text{SE} = \log_2(1 + \text{SINR}_{k,n}^A) \quad (11)$$

The SE formula in (11) can be calculated numerically for different channel types and precoding schemes. The downlink SE for the k -th user in a cell really depends on the use of precoding. Therefore, choosing the most appropriate precoding technique is very important. By using precoding, each signal is sent from all antennas but with a difference amplitude and phase, so that the signal will be sent directly to users.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A. Single Cell MU-Massive MIMO System

We simulated downlink scheme single cell MU-Massive MIMO system which operates at a frequency of 6 GHz. We assumed that there is no intercell interference. BS uses ULA antennas with spacing between antenna elements is $\lambda/2$.

TABLE 1. OFDM NUMEROLOGY

OFDM Parameters	Up to Frequency of 6 GHz
Number of subcarriers	512
Number of used subcarriers	300
Subcarrier spacing	15kHz
OFDM symbol duration	71.4μs
Useful symbol duration	66.77μs
Cyclic prefix duration	4.69 μs
Coherence interval	1 ms

Data transmission use OFDM modulation scheme with parameters that refer to OFDM numerology [1],[9] as shown in Table 1. For Rayleigh fading frequency-selective channel, the tapped delay line ($L = 8$) and has uniform power delay profile. Tapped delay lines can be enough to represent sparse multipath channel for wireless communication system. The path between users and BS are affected by the same large-scale fading (β_k) but different small-scale fading (h_k). We assumed that all users's large-scale fading coefficient equal to unity ($\beta_k = 1$). Then independent Rayleigh fading can be referred as identical independent Rayleigh Fading (i.i.d rayleigh fading).

B. Bit Error Rate (BER)

To evaluate BER of the system, we plot the BER graph as a function of SNR with the fixed number of BS antennas and users. BS is equipped with 100 antennas (M) and serves 20 users (K) simultaneously. In order to work properly, the number of BS antennas should be at least four times the number of users ($K \leq 4M$) [10]. All user positions are random and uniformly distributed at interval $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$.




Fig. 2 BER MU-Massive MIMO at perfect CSI condition. In this example there are $M = 100$ antennas, $K = 20$ users.




Fig. 3 BER MU-Massive MIMO at imperfect CSI condition. In this example there are $M = 100$ antennas, $K = 20$ users. $\tau_p = 20$.

In perfect CSI condition, BER of system is shown in Fig. 2, while BER for imperfect CSI condition is shown in Fig. 3.

The BER depends on the strength of desired signal which represents as SNR. Increasing SNR means increasing the transmit power which results smaller BER. From the graph of BER vs. SNR, it can be seen that ZF precoding has better performance than MMSE precoding both at Rayleigh or UR-LOS channels, marked with a smaller BER at the same SNR value. Furthermore BER in Rayleigh channel is slightly higher than in UR-LOS channel. This is because each user is affected by interferences from many other users, while on the UR-LOS channel only few users with similar angle cause strong interferences.

Then, we focus to investigate the system performance under imperfect CSI condition. BS estimates the channel from the pilot signal transmitted by users. Each user transmits 20 symbols of pilot sequences ($\tau_p = K$). This is the minimum number of pilots that can be sent by each user. After despreading pilot signals, BS can obtain a noisy version of the channel. Hence MSE of channel estimation can be calculated and shown in Fig 4. Channel estimation error really depends on SNR at the BS, the higher the SNR, the channel estimation error will be smaller. At the same SNR value, BER system in imperfect CSI condition and perfect CSI condition are not much different. However, due to the influence of noise, BER in imperfect CSI condition is slightly higher than the BER in the perfect CSI condition.




Fig. 4. Minimum Mean-Square Error (MSE) of estimated channel

C. Spectral Efficiency (SE)

Spectral efficiency is a deterministic number that can be measured in bits per time unit per bandwidth or commonly known as bit / s / Hz . Where the sum SE is obtained from the sum of SE from all users in a cell. A high SE value is the main key in designing a wireless communication system. By increasing the number of antennas on the BS, the SE will be higher. In this simulation, SE is observed by increasing the number of BS antennas with a constant number of users. BS is equipped with a varying number of antennas, ranging from 50 to 300 and simultaneously serves 20 users. This system works on SNR of 10dB, because BER on this SNR is small, which is $\pm 10^{-3}$ as shown in Fig.1 and Fig.2. The SE graph as a function of antenna variation in perfect CSI conditions is shown in Fig.5. While the SE graph in the imperfect CSI condition is shown in Fig.6. The downlink SE of desired user is affected by all precoding vector that multiplied with channel responses of serving BS, where multiplication between its own

precoding and channel response will be a desired signal and the other multiplication of precoding and channel response will be interferences.




Fig. 5. Spectral Efficiency of MU-Massive MIMO at perfect CSI condition. In this example there are $K = 20$ users and the SNR = 10dB.




Fig. 6. Spectral Efficiency of MU-Massive MIMO at imperfect CSI condition. In this example there are $K = 20$ users and the SNR = 10dB.

Under the condition of Rayleigh channel, ZF precoding yields higher SE than MMSE precoding, however MMSE will work as well as ZF when the number of BS antennas is over 100. This condition will be different in LOS condition, where MMSE precoding works as well as ZF precoding almost in every number of antennas. Both in perfect CSI and imperfect CSI conditions, the total of SE will increase if the number of transmitter antennas increases.

The SE graph as a function of the number of users is shown in Fig.7. We can see that sum SE will increase as the number of users increases on condition that the number of users is still smaller than the number of BS antennas ($K < M$). At 100 fixed number of BS antennas, a significant increasing of SE occurs when the number of users is in range of 20 to 80. However, when the number of users is above 80, the SE graph is starting to flatten out a bit. This is because the maximum number of users that can be served by 100 BS antennas are 80 users. This condition will be different when the number of BS antennas is 30 and serves exceeds 30 users,

then the SE started to decrease due to the high interference between users, and precoding is not able overcome it.




Fig. 7. Spectral Efficiency of MU-Massive with different number of BS antennas

IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, we investigated the performance of downlink scheme single cell MU-Massive MIMO system under perfect CSI and imperfect CSI condition. ZF and MMSE linear precoding techniques are implemented to reduce multiuser interference. Both ZF and MSSE are suitable precoding technique for Massive MIMO system, where MMSE works better for a large number of antennas. At the same SNR value, BER of system using ZF precoding is smaller than using MMSE both at Rayleigh and UR-LOS channel. Meanwhile in Rayleigh channel, BER is higher and SE is smaller than in UR-LOS channel due to interference from the other users in a cell. In the imperfect CSI condition, BS estimated channel information from pilots sent by users, so that BS only knows the noisy version of channel. The spectral efficiency is slightly decreased under the imperfect

CSI condition due to the error of channel estimation. Furthermore, MU-Massive MIMO system offers the possibility to increase SE significantly and serves multiple users at the same time-frequency resources.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Q. Ngo and T. L. Marzetta, "Energy and Spectral Efficiency of Very Large Multiuser MIMO Systems," in *IEEE transaction on communication*, vol. 61, no. 4, 2013.
- [2] T. L. Marzetta, "Noncooperative cellular wireless with unlimited numbers of BS antennas" in *IEEE transaction on wireless communication* vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 3590–3600, Nov. 2010.
- [3] Hong Yang, T.L. Marzetta, "Performance of Conjugate and Zero-Forcing Beamforming in Large-Scale Antenna Systems" in *EEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* VOL. 31, NO. 2, February 2013.
- [4] H.Q.Ngo, E.G.Larson, T.L.Marzetta, "Massive MU-MIMO Downlink TDD Systems with Linear Precoding and Downlink Pilots", in *Fifty first Annual Allerton Conference Allerton House, UIUC, Illinois, USA* October 2 - 3, 2013.
- [5] A.Nasser, M. Elsabrouty, "Frequency-Selective Massive MIMO Channel Estimation and Feedback in Angle-Time Domain", in *IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communication (ISCC)*, 2016.
- [6] T. L. Marzetta and E. G. Larsson, "Fundamentals of Massive MIMO", United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [7] R. Zayani, H. Syaiek, D. Roviras, "PAPR-aware Massive MIMO-OFDM Downlink", in *IEEE Acces*, vol.7, Page 25474 – 25484, 2019.
- [8] Sven. Jacobson, Giuseppe Durisi, Christoph Studer, "Massive MU-MIMO-OFDM Downlink with One-Bit DACs and Linear Precoding". in *IEEE Global Communications Conference*. 2018.
- [9] Sven Jacobsson, Giuseppe Durisi, Mikael Coldrey, and Christoph Studer, "Linear Precoding With Low-Resolution DACs for Massive MU-MIMO-OFDM Downlink", in *IEEE Transaction on Wireless Communication*, vol. 18, no. 3, 2019.
- [10] Emil Björnson, Jakob Hoydis and Luca Sanguinetti, "Massive MIMO Networks: Spectral, Energy, and Hardware Efficiency", *Foundations and Trends® in Signal Processing*: Vol. 11, No. 3-4, pp 154–655. DOI: 10.1561/20000000093, 2017.
- [11] Y.S.Cho, J.Kim, W.Y.Yang, C.G.Kang, "MIMO-OFDM Wireless Communication with Matlab", John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 2010.
- [12] Emil Björnson, Jakob Hoydis and Luca Sanguinetti, "Massive MIMO Has Unlimited Capacity"