

On commutativity, total orders, and sorting

Wind Wong¹ Vikraman Choudhury² Simon J. Gay¹

¹University of Glasgow

²Università di Bologna and OLAS Team, INRIA

February 14, 2024

Motivation

- ▶ The goal is to study free monoids and free commutative monoids.
- ▶ We created a framework to formalize different algebraic structures, free algebras and their universal properties.
- ▶ Univalent type theory gives us higher inductive types, which allows us to reason with commutativity and equations of algebras. (No setoid hell!)
- ▶ Using the framework, we study the relationship between sorting and total orders.

Homotopy Type Theory

Homotopy Type Theory extends intensional MLTT and allows us to reason with equivalences more powerfully.

- ▶ Function extensionality
- ▶ Quotient types (via higher inductive types)
- ▶ Mere propositions
- ▶ Equalities between types (via univalence)

Definition

Given types A and B , A is equivalent to B ($A \simeq B$) if there exists an equivalence $A \rightarrow B$. A function f is said to be an equivalence if $\left(\sum_{g:B \rightarrow A}(f \circ g \sim \text{id}_B)\right) \times \left(\sum_{g:B \rightarrow A}(g \circ f \sim \text{id}_A)\right)$.

Univalence axiom

$$(A = B) \simeq (A \simeq B)$$

Higher Inductive Types

$$\text{isContr}(A) := \sum_{(a:A)} \prod_{(x:A)} (a = x). \quad (\text{e.g. } 1)$$

$$\text{isProp}(A) := \prod_{(x,y:A)} (x = y). \quad (\text{e.g. } 1, 0)$$

$$\text{isSet}(A) := \prod_{(x,y:A)} \text{isProp}(x = y). \quad (\text{e.g. } 1, 0, \mathbb{N}, \text{hProp})$$

$$\text{isGroupoid}(A) := \prod_{(x,y:A)} \text{isSet}(x = y). \quad (\text{e.g. } \text{hSet})$$

$$\text{is2Groupoid}(A) := \prod_{(x,y:A)} \text{isGroupoid}(x = y). \quad (\text{e.g. } \text{hGroupoid})$$

\vdots

Higher Inductive Types

```
data FMSet (A : Type ℓ) : Type ℓ where
  []      : FMSet A
  _::_    : (x : A) → (xs : FMSet A) → FMSet A
  comm    : ∀ x y xs → x :: y :: xs ≡ y :: x :: xs
  trunc   : isSet (FMSet A)

_++_ : ∀ (xs ys : FMSet A) → FMSet A
[] ++ ys = ys
(x :: xs) ++ ys = x :: xs ++ ys
comm x y xs i ++ ys =
  (proof for x :: y :: (xs ++ ys) ≡ y :: x :: (xs ++ ys))
trunc xs zs p q i j ++ ys =
  (proof for cong (_++ ys) p ≡ cong (_++ ys) q)
```