

# On commutativity, total orders, and sorting

Wind Wong<sup>1</sup>, Vikraman Choudhury<sup>\*2</sup>, and Simon J. Gay<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>University of Glasgow

<sup>2</sup>Università di Bologna and OLAS Team, INRIA

January 20, 2024

In this talk, we study free monoids, free commutative monoids, and their connections with sorting and well-orders. Univalent type theory provides a rigorous framework for implementing these ideas, in the construction of free algebras using higher inductive types and quotients, and reasoning upto equivalence using categorical universal properties. The main contributions are a framework for universal algebra (free algebras and their universal properties), various constructions of free monoids and free commutative monoids (with proofs of their universal properties), applications to proving combinatorial properties of these constructions, and finally an axiomatic understanding of sorting. Our results have been formalized in Cubical Agda, and the formalization is available at: <https://github.com/pufferffish/agda-symmetries/>.

## Background

First, we review the basics of universal algebra, free algebras and their universal property. We write  $\mathbf{Set}$  for the category of  $\mathbf{hSets}$  and functions. A signature  $\sigma$  is given by a type of operations with an arity function:  $(\text{op} : \mathbf{Set}) \times (\text{ar} : \text{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set})$ . This gives a signature endofunctor  $F_\sigma(X) := \sum_{(f : \text{op})} X^{\text{ar}(f)}$  on  $\mathbf{Set}$ . A  $\sigma$ -structure  $\mathfrak{X}$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -algebra:  $(X : \mathbf{Set}) \times (\alpha_X : F_\sigma(X) \rightarrow X)$ , with carrier set  $X$ , and a morphism of  $\sigma$ -structures is a  $F_\sigma$ -algebra morphism, giving the category of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\sigma\text{-Alg}$ .

The forgetful functor  $U_\sigma : \sigma\text{-Alg} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  admits a left adjoint, giving the free  $\sigma$ -algebra construction on a carrier set. As is standard, this construction is given by an inductive type of trees  $\text{Tr}_\sigma(V)$ , generated by two constructors,  $\text{leaf} : V \rightarrow \text{Tr}_\sigma(V)$  and  $\text{node} : \sum_\sigma (\text{Tr}_\sigma(V)) \rightarrow \text{Tr}_\sigma(V)$ .  $\text{Tr}_\sigma(V)$  is canonically a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{T}(V) = (\text{Tr}_\sigma(V), \text{node})$ , with the universal map  $\eta_V : V \rightarrow \text{Tr}_\sigma(V)$  given by  $\text{leaf}$ . The universal property states that, given any  $\sigma$ -structure  $\mathfrak{X}$ , composition with  $\eta_V$  is an equivalence:  $(-) \circ \eta_V : \sigma\text{-Alg}(\mathfrak{T}(V), \mathfrak{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (V \rightarrow X)$ . The inverse to this map is the extension operation  $(-)^{\#}$ , which extends a map  $f : V \rightarrow X$  to a homomorphism  $f^{\#} : \mathfrak{T}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ .

An equational signature  $\varepsilon$  is given by a type of equations with an arity of free variables:  $(\text{eq} : \mathbf{Set}) \times (\text{fv} : \text{eq} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set})$ . A system of equations (or a theory  $T$ ) over  $(\sigma, \varepsilon)$  is given by a pair of trees on the set of free variables, for each equation:  $\ell, \nu : (e : \text{eq}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}_\sigma(\text{fv}(e))$ . A  $\sigma$ -structure  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfies  $T$ , written  $\mathfrak{X} \models T$ , if, for each equation  $e : \text{eq}$  and  $\rho : \text{fv}(e) \rightarrow X$ ,  $\rho^{\#}(\ell(e)) = \rho^{\#}(\nu(e))$ . The full subcategory of  $\sigma\text{-Alg}$  given by  $\sigma$ -structures satisfying  $T$  is the variety of  $T$ -algebras in  $\mathbf{Set}$ . Similarly, the forgetful functor to  $\mathbf{Set}$  admits a left adjoint, which is classically constructed by quotienting the free  $\sigma$ -algebra by the congruence relation generated by  $T$ . However, we do not give the general construction for it, since it requires non-constructive principles [1], and instead consider the varieties of monoids and commutative monoids.

## Monoids and commutativity

The signature for monoids  $\sigma_{\text{Mon}}$  is given by two operations (unit and multiplication) of arity 0 and 2, respectively, written as  $(\text{Fin}(2), \{0 \mapsto \text{Fin}(0); 1 \mapsto \text{Fin}(2)\})$ . The equational signature for monoids  $\varepsilon_{\text{Mon}}$  is given by three equations (left unit, right unit, associativity) of free variable arity 1, 1, and 3, respectively, written as  $(\text{Fin}(3), \{0 \mapsto \text{Fin}(1); 1 \mapsto \text{Fin}(1); 2 \mapsto \text{Fin}(3)\})$ . The theory of monoids  $T_{\text{Mon}}$  is given by the pairs of left and right trees, using the free variables for each equation. Commutative monoids are given by the same signature of operations, but additionally include the commutativity equation, which uses 2 free variables.

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by EU Marie Skłodowska-Curie fellowship 101106046 ReGrade-CS.

We study various constructions of free monoids and free commutative monoids, using HITs and quotients, and prove the universal property for each construction. We construct:

- FreeMon and FreeCMon HITs, given by generators for operations and higher generators for equations,
- List, SList, CList, given by cons-lists, cons-lists with adjacent swaps, cons-lists with a commutation relation, respectively. (see: [2, 3, 4])

Using quotients, we consider various commutativity relations on presentations of free monoids. Given a free monoid construction:  $A \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{L}(A)$ , a commutativity relation is a binary relation  $\approx$  on  $\mathcal{L}(A)$  such that,  $A \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{L}(A) \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{L}(A)/\approx$  is a free commutative monoid construction. From this we construct:

- PList, a quotient of List by various permutation relations,
- Bag, a quotient of  $\text{Array}(A) = (n : \mathbb{N}) \times (f : A^{\text{Fin}(n)})$  by  $(n, f) \sim (m, g) \equiv (\sigma : \text{Fin}(n) \simeq \text{Fin}(m)) \times (f = g \circ \sigma)$ .

Further, using the universal property we study various properties of these constructions:

- characterizations of the path spaces of each type,
- combinatorial properties, such as,  $\mathcal{L}(A + B) \simeq \mathcal{L}(A) + \mathcal{L}(B)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(A + B) \simeq \mathcal{M}(A) \times \mathcal{M}(B)$ ,
- injectivity of  $\text{cons}_A(x, -) : \mathcal{L}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ , for any  $x : A$ .

## Total orders and Sorting

Finally, we use this framework to study sorting and total orders. It is commonly understood that lists are ordered lists and bags are unordered lists. Our aim is to give a conceptual explanation of this fact.

Given a total order on a set  $A$ , a sorting algorithm, informally, turns lists of  $A$  into sorted lists of  $A$ . Formally, this produces a well-behaved section to the canonical homomorphism from the free monoid to the free commutative monoid:  $q : \mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$ .

**Definition 1.** Given a section  $s : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  to  $q$   $xs$  is said to be sorted if  $xs$  is in the image of  $s$ .

We define the proposition  $\text{is-sorted}_s : \mathcal{L}X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  to be  $\lambda xs. \exists (ys : \mathcal{M}X). s(ys) = xs$ . We then define the property  $\text{is-sort}(s) = \forall x \ y \ xs. \text{is-sorted}_s(x :: xs) \rightarrow y \in (x :: xs) \rightarrow \text{is-sorted}_s(x :: y :: [])$ .

**Proposition 1.** Assume a total order on  $X$ . Then, there is a section  $s : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  to  $q$  satisfying  $\text{is-sort}$ .

We will prove the converse of this theorem.

**Proposition 2.** A section  $s : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  to  $q$  satisfying  $\text{is-sort}$  implies a total order on  $X$ .

To conclude, the framework of universal algebra can be generalised from sets to groupoids, using a system of coherences on top of system of equations. As an instance of this, we consider the construction free monoidal and free symmetric monoidal groupoids. This is currently work in progress, and we will mention the rudiments of the theory in the talk.

## References

- [1] Andreas Blass. “Words, free algebras, and coequalizers”. eng. In: *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 117.2 (1983), pp. 117–160. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/211359>.
- [2] Vikraman Choudhury and Marcelo Fiore. “Free Commutative Monoids in Homotopy Type Theory”. In: *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Informatics and Computer Science* Volume 1-Proceedings of... (Feb. 2023). ISSN: 2969-2431. DOI: 10.46298/entics.10492. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.46298/entics.10492>.
- [3] Philipp Joram and Niccolò Veltri. “Constructive Final Semantics of Finite Bags”. In: *14th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2023)*. Ed. by Adam Naumowicz and René Thiemann. Vol. 268. Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs). Dagstuhl, Germany: Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2023, 20:1–20:19. ISBN: 978-3-95977-284-6. DOI: 10.4230/LIPIcs.ITP.2023.20. URL: <https://drops.dagstuhl.de/entities/document/10.4230/LIPIcs.ITP.2023.20>.

- [4] Clemens Kupke, Fredrik Nordvall Forsberg, and Sean Watters. “A Fresh Look at Commutativity: Free Algebraic Structures via Fresh Lists”. In: *Programming Languages and Systems: 21st Asian Symposium, APLAS 2023, Taipei, Taiwan, November 26–29, 2023, Proceedings*. Taipei, Taiwan: Springer-Verlag, 2023, pp. 135–154. ISBN: 978-981-99-8310-0. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-99-8311-7\_7. URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-8311-7\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-8311-7_7).