On commutativity, total orders, and sorting

Wind Wong 1 Vikraman Choudhury 2 Simon J. Gay 1

 $^{1} \mathsf{University}$ of $\mathsf{Glasgow}$

²Università di Bologna and OLAS Team, INRIA

February 14, 2024

Motivation

- ► The goal is to study free monoids and free commutative monoids.
- ► We created a framework to formalize different algebraic structures, free algebras and their universal properties.
- Univalent type theory gives us higher inductive types, which allows us to reason with commutativity and equations of algebras. (No setoid hell!)
- Using the framework, we study the relationship between sorting and total orders.

Homotopy Type Theory extends intensional MLTT and allows us to reason with equivalences more powerfully.

- ▶ Function extensionality $(\forall x. f(x) = g(x) \rightarrow f = g)$
- Quotient types (via higher inductive types)
- Mere propositions
- Equalities between types (via univalence)

Function extensionality $(\forall x. f(x) = g(x) \rightarrow f = g)$

- MLTT by itself does not have function extensionality
- ▶ It has to be added as an axiom (we lose canonicity!)
- funExt can be derived as a theorem in HoTT

Quotient types

- ▶ In MLTT we can only emulate quotient types with setoids
- We need to prove functions are setoid homomorphisms when defining a function
- ► A lot of proof obligation
- HoTT lets us define quotient types directly with HITs (no more setoid hell!)

Mere propositions

- ▶ In MLTT we don't have a distinction between sets and propositions (both are types)
- We might end up needing a stronger theorem to prove a proposition
- ▶ E.g. existential proofs are done with Σ -types, requiring us to construct the element
- HoTT allows us to have types that are "mere propositions"
- ▶ E.g. existential proofs can be done with propositionally truncated Σ -types (mere existence)
- ► We can use mere existential proofs to prove other propositions, even if we don't have the specific element

Equalities between types

- In MLTT we don't have equalities between types
- ► HoTT gives us equalities between types by the univalence axiom
- ▶ E.g. given $A, B : \mathcal{U}, P : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}, A = B$, we can get P(B) from P(A) by substitution

Definition

Given types A and B, A is equivalent to B ($A \simeq B$) if there exists an equivalence $A \to B$. A function f is said to be an equivalence if $\left(\sum_{g:B\to A}(f\circ g\sim \mathrm{id}_B)\right)\times \left(\sum_{g:B\to A}(g\circ f\sim \mathrm{id}_A)\right)$.

Univalence axiom

$$(A = B) \simeq (A \simeq B)$$



$$\begin{split} \mathsf{isContr}(A) &\coloneqq \sum_{(a:A)} \prod_{(x:A)} (a = x). \\ \mathsf{isProp}(A) &\coloneqq \prod_{(x,y:A)} (x = y). \\ \mathsf{isSet}(A) &\coloneqq \prod_{(x,y:A)} \mathsf{isProp}(x = y). \\ \mathsf{isGroupoid}(A) &\coloneqq \prod_{(x,y:A)} \mathsf{isSet}(x = y). \\ \mathsf{is2Groupoid}(A) &\coloneqq \prod_{(x,y:A)} \mathsf{isGroupoid}(x = y). \\ &\vdots \end{split}$$

H-Level 0: Contractible types

$$isContr(A) := \sum_{(a:A)} \prod_{(x:A)} (a = x).$$

There exists a center of contraction such that all elements of $\cal A$ equals to the center of contraction.

Examples:

▶ 1 (Unit type)

All contractible types are equivalent to 1!

H-Level 1: Mere propositions

$$isProp(A) := \prod_{(x,y:A)} (x = y).$$

All elements of A are equal to each other.

Examples:

- ▶ 1 (Unit type)
- ▶ 0 (Void type)

All h-propositions are equivalent to either 1 or 0.

A type that represents a proposition should be an hProp.

E.g. mere existential proofs can be done with a truncated $\Sigma\mbox{-type}.$

(Σ -type wrapped in a HIT that makes all its elements equal.)

H-Level 2: Sets

$$\mathsf{isSet}(A) \coloneqq \prod_{(x,y:A)} \mathsf{isProp}(x=y).$$

The identity type of A is a proposition. All elements of x=x are equal.

Examples:

- **▶** 1, 0
- ▶ hProp $(\sum_{A:\mathcal{U}} isProp(A))$

For the scope of the project, this is primarily what we are working with!

H-Level 3: Groupoids

$$\mathsf{isGroupoid}(A) \coloneqq \prod_{(x,y:A)} \mathsf{isSet}(x=y).$$

The identity type of A is a set.

Examples:

- Any type that satisfies isSet...
- ▶ hSet $(\sum_{A:\mathcal{U}} isSet(A))$

Consider \mathbb{B} : hSet, univalence gives us two $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}$ generated by id and not.

These two equalities are not equal!

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{isContr}(A) &\coloneqq \sum_{(a:A)} \prod_{(x:A)} (a = x). \\ \mathsf{isProp}(A) &\coloneqq \prod_{(x,y:A)} (x = y). \\ \mathsf{isSet}(A) &\coloneqq \prod_{(x,y:A)} \mathsf{isProp}(x = y). \\ \mathsf{isGroupoid}(A) &\coloneqq \prod_{(x,y:A)} \mathsf{isSet}(x = y). \\ \mathsf{is2Groupoid}(A) &\coloneqq \prod_{(x,y:A)} \mathsf{isGroupoid}(x = y). \\ &\vdots \end{split}$$

Higher Inductive Types

```
data List (A : Type) : Type where
               []: List A
              \_::\_: A \rightarrow List A \rightarrow List A
 -- Swap list as HIT
data FMSet (A : Type) : Type where
               || : FMSet A
              oxed{-}:: ox
              \mathsf{comm} \quad : \ \forall \ \mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{y} \ \mathsf{xs} \ \to \ \mathsf{x} \ :: \ \mathsf{y} \ :: \ \mathsf{xs} \ \equiv \ \mathsf{y} \ :: \ \mathsf{x} \ :: \ \mathsf{xs}
               trunc : isSet (FMSet A)
```

Higher Inductive Types

```
-- Swap list as HIT
data FMSet (A : Type) : Type where
  FMSet A
  \_::\_ : (x : A) \rightarrow (xs : FMSet A) \rightarrow FMSet A
  comm : \forall x y xs \rightarrow x :: y :: xs \equiv y :: x :: xs
  trunc : isSet (FMSet A)
  -- alternatively
  -- trunc : (x y : FMSet A) \rightarrow (p q : x \equiv y) \rightarrow p \equiv q
\_++\_: \forall (xs ys : FMSet A) \rightarrow FMSet A
(x :: xs) + ys = x :: xs + ys
comm x y xs i ++ ys =
  -- proof x :: y :: (xs ++ ys) ≡ y :: x :: (xs ++ ys)
trunc xs zs p q i j ++ ys =
  -- proof cong (_++ ys) p ≡ cong (_++ ys) q
```

Higher Inductive Types

```
-- Set quotient
data _{-}/_{-} (A : Type) (R : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow Type) : Type where
  \lceil \_ \rceil: (a: A) \rightarrow A / R
  eq/: (ab:A) \rightarrow (r:Rab) \rightarrow [a] \equiv [b]
  squash/: (x y : A / R) \rightarrow (p q : x = y) \rightarrow p = q
data Perm \{A : Type\} : List A \rightarrow List A \rightarrow Type where
  perm-refl : \forall \{xs\} \rightarrow Perm \ xs \ xs
  perm-swap : \forall \{x \ y \ xs \ ys \ zs\}
     \rightarrow Perm (xs ++ x :: y :: ys) zs
     \rightarrow Perm (xs ++ y :: x :: ys) zs
-- Swap list as quotient
FMSet : Type → Type
FMSet A = List A / Perm
```

Cubical Type Theory

- The project is done in Cubical Agda, an implementation of Cubical Type Theory
- Cubical Type Theory is a variant of HoTT that preserves computational content for proofs
- Univalence is not postulated and can be computationally derived
- Axioms are designed to preserve canonicity, using univalence won't destroy computational content of a proof