

GROUP THEORY ASSIGNMENT  
 SOLVED BY - PUJA MANDAL  
 FROM - COURSE OUTSIDE FORMAL COURSEWORK  
 Given By - Prof. Dunganandini, SPPU

Q.1, a) Show that Lorentz transformations satisfy  
 the condition  $\Lambda^T g \Lambda = g$

Also prove that they form a group.

b) Given an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation

$$\Lambda^\mu_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu + \omega^\mu_\nu$$

Show that the infinitesimal parameters  
 $\omega_{\mu\nu}$  are antisymmetric.

c) Prove the following relation

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} A^\alpha_M A^\beta_N A^\gamma_L A^\delta_R = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \det A$$

where  $A^\alpha_M$  are the matrix elements of the  
 matrix  $A$ .

Solution :—

a)  $g = \text{diag } (1, -1, -1, -1)$  be the Minkowski metric.

In case of Lorentz transformation  $\mathbf{x}^T g \mathbf{x}$  remains  
 invariant. For  $\mathbf{x}' = \Lambda \mathbf{x}$

$$(\mathbf{x}')^T g (\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{x}^T g \mathbf{x}$$

Substitute,  $\mathbf{x}' = \Lambda \mathbf{x}$

$$(\Lambda \mathbf{x})^T g (\Lambda \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T g \mathbf{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^T \Lambda^T g \Lambda \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T g \mathbf{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\Lambda^T g \Lambda = g}$$

(2)

let us define the Lorentz group as

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\Lambda \in G_2 L(4, \mathbb{R}) \mid \Lambda^T g \Lambda = g\}$$

$$g = \text{diag } \{1, -1, -1, -1\}$$

Axiom 1 :- Closure  
If  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  then  $(\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2) \in \mathcal{L}$

Proof  $\rightarrow \Lambda_1^T g \Lambda_1 = g \quad \dots \textcircled{1}$

$$\Lambda_2^T g \Lambda_2 = g \quad \dots \textcircled{2}$$

$$(\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2)^T g (\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2) = \Lambda_2^T \Lambda_1^T g \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_2^T g \Lambda_2 = g$$

Hence,  $\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{L}$

Axiom 2 :- Associativity

For all  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3 \in \mathcal{L}$

$$\Rightarrow (\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2) \Lambda_3 = \Lambda_1 (\Lambda_2 \Lambda_3)$$

Proof  $\rightarrow$  from matrix multiplication,  $(\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2) \Lambda_3 = \Lambda_1 (\Lambda_2 \Lambda_3)$

Axiom 3 :- Identity Element  
There exist an identity element  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  such that

$$I \Lambda = \Lambda I = \Lambda \quad \forall \Lambda \in \mathcal{L}$$

Proof  $\rightarrow I^T g I = g$

also  $I \Lambda = \Lambda I = \Lambda$

thus  $I \in \mathcal{L}$

Axiom 4 : Inverse Element  $\rightarrow$  for every  $\Lambda \in L$  there exist  $\Lambda^{-1} \in L$  ③  
 $\Lambda^{-1}$  such that  $\Lambda \Lambda^{-1} = \Lambda^{-1} \Lambda = I$

Proof  $\rightarrow \Lambda^T g \Lambda = g$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{multiply } g^{-1} \text{ on right left} \rightarrow g^{-1} \Lambda^T g \Lambda = g^{-1} g = I \\ & \text{multiply } \Lambda^{-1} \text{ on right} \rightarrow g^{-1} \Lambda^T g \Lambda (\Lambda^{-1}) = g^{-1} g (\Lambda^{-1}) = I \Lambda^{-1} \\ & \boxed{g^{-1} \Lambda^T g = \Lambda^{-1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{also } & (\Lambda^{-1})^T g \Lambda^{-1} = (\Lambda^{-1})^T g g^{-1} \Lambda^T g \\ & = (\Lambda^{-1})^T I \Lambda^T g \\ & = (\Lambda^{-1})^T \Lambda^T g \\ & = I g \\ & = g \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Lambda^{-1} \in L$   
As  $\Lambda$  under  $L$  satisfies all 4 axioms, hence they form a group.

b) Let  $\Lambda_v^u = \delta_v^u + \omega_v^u$   $\rightarrow$  given  $|\omega_v^u| \ll 1$   
according to Lorentz condition,  $\Lambda^T g \Lambda = g$  — ①

writing  $\Lambda$  with infinitesimal form

$$\Lambda = I + \omega, \quad \Lambda^T = I + \omega^T$$

$$\text{from eq ①} \rightarrow (I + \omega^T) g (I + \omega) = g$$

$$(g + \omega^T g) (I + \omega) = g$$

$$g + g \omega + \omega^T g + O(\omega^2) = g$$

we discard  $O(\omega^2)$  as  $\omega$  is infinitesimal

(4)

$$g + \omega^T g + g \omega = g$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega^T g + g \omega = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Index notation, } (\omega^T)_{\mu\nu} g^{\nu\rho} + g_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\nu\rho} = 0$$

$$\text{But, } (\omega^T)_{\mu\nu} = \omega_{\nu\mu} \quad [\text{we know}]$$

$$\text{so, } (\omega^T)_{\mu\nu} g^{\nu\rho} = \omega_{\nu\mu} g^{\nu\rho}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{\nu\mu} g^{\nu\rho} + g_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\nu\rho} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{As, } \omega_{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\rho} \omega^{\rho}_{\nu}$$

$$\text{or } \omega^{\nu\rho} = g^{\nu\sigma} \omega^{\rho}_{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{\nu\mu} g^{\nu\rho} + g_{\mu\nu} g^{\nu\sigma} \omega^{\rho}_{\sigma} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{\nu\mu} g^{\nu\rho} + \delta^{\sigma}_{\mu} \omega^{\rho}_{\sigma} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{\nu\mu} g^{\nu\rho} + \omega^{\rho}_{\mu} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{\nu\mu} g^{\nu\rho} + g^{\rho\sigma} \omega_{\sigma\mu} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{\nu\mu} g^{\nu\rho} + g^{\rho\sigma} \omega_{\sigma\mu} = 0$$

$\sigma \rightarrow \mu$  [change of indices] then  $\mu \rightarrow \nu$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{\nu\mu} g^{\nu\rho} + g^{\nu\mu} \omega_{\mu\mu} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{\nu\mu} g^{\nu\rho} + g^{\nu\mu} \omega_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [\omega_{\nu\mu} + \omega_{\mu\nu}] g^{\nu\rho} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\omega_{\nu\mu} = -\omega_{\mu\nu}}$$

c)

$$\text{the L.H.S} \\ \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} A_{\mu}^{\alpha} A_{\nu}^{\beta} A_{\lambda}^{\gamma} A_{\sigma}^{\delta} = T_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$$

The tensor  $T_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$  is totally anti-symmetric in  $\mu, \nu, \lambda, \sigma$   
so it must be proportional to  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$

$$T_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = C \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$$

multiplying with  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \rightarrow$

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} T_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = C \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = 4! C$$

from the definition of determinant of any matrix  $\rightarrow$

$$\det A = \sum \text{sgn}(\pi) A_0^{\pi(0)} A_1^{\pi(1)} A_2^{\pi(2)} A_3^{\pi(3)}$$

$$\text{So, } \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \det A = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} A_{\mu}^{\alpha} A_{\nu}^{\beta} A_{\lambda}^{\gamma} A_{\sigma}^{\delta}$$

$$4! \det A = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} T_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$$

$$\text{thus } C = \det A$$

therefore

$$\boxed{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} A_{\mu}^{\alpha} A_{\nu}^{\beta} A_{\lambda}^{\gamma} A_{\sigma}^{\delta} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \det A}$$

Q: 2

a) The Poincaré transformation  $(\Lambda, a)$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{x}'^{\mu} = \Lambda_{\nu}^{\mu} \mathbf{x}^{\nu} + a^{\mu}$$

Determine the multiplication rule, i.e. the product  $(\Lambda_1, a_1)(\Lambda_2, a_2)$ , as well as the units and inverse element in the group.

b) Verify the multiplication rule

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) U(\Lambda', 0) = U(\Lambda \Lambda', 0)$$

in the Poincaré group. In addition, show that from the previous relation it follows that

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) P_M U(\Lambda', 0) = (\Lambda')^{\nu}_M P_{\nu}$$

Calculate commutator  $[M_{\mu\nu}, P_{\rho}]$ 

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) U(\Lambda', 0) U(\Lambda, 0) = U(\Lambda' \Lambda, 0)$$

c) Show that and find the commutator  $[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}]$ 

d) Finally show that the generators of translations commute between themselves, i.e

$$[P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = 0$$

Solutions:

a) Let  $(\Lambda_1, a_1)$  and  $(\Lambda_2, a_2)$  be two Poincaré transformations, then →

$$(\mathbf{x}')^{\mu} = \Lambda_2^{\mu}_{\nu} \mathbf{x}^{\nu} + a_2^{\mu} \quad \text{---} \quad ①$$

$$(\mathbf{x}'')^{\mu} = \Lambda_1^{\mu}_{\nu} \mathbf{x}'^{\nu} + a_1^{\mu} \quad \text{---} \quad ②$$

(+)

Substituting ,

$$(x'')^u = \Lambda_{12}^u (\Lambda_{21}^v x^p + a_2^v) + a_1^u$$

$$= (\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2)^u p x^p + (\Lambda_1 a_2)^u + a_1^u$$

$$(x'')^u = (\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2)^u p x^p + (\Lambda_1 a_2 + a_1)^u$$

Hence  $\boxed{(\Lambda_1, a_1) (\Lambda_2, a_2) = (\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2, \Lambda_1 a_2 + a_1)}$  multiplication rule

Unit element : Let consider the unit element is  $(I, 0)$

and the inverse element of  $(\Lambda, a)$  is  $(\Lambda^{-1}, b)$

then these two should satisfy

$$(\Lambda, a) (\Lambda^{-1}, b) = (I, 0)$$

which gives  $b = -\Lambda^{-1}a$

$$\text{thus } (\Lambda, a)^{-1} = (\Lambda^{-1}, -\Lambda^{-1}a)$$

So the inverse element is  $(\Lambda^{-1}, -\Lambda^{-1}a)$   
the identity element is  $(I, 0)$

b) Verification of given multiplication rule  $\rightarrow$

$$\text{A pure translation:- } U(1, \epsilon) = e^{-i\epsilon u} P_u$$

$\Rightarrow$  writing L.H.S of given eq that is to be verified

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) U(1, \epsilon) U(\Lambda, 0)$$

using group law

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) = U(\Lambda^{-1}, 0)$$

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) U(1, \epsilon) U(\Lambda, 0) = U(\Lambda^{-1}, 0) U(1, \epsilon) U(\Lambda, 0)$$

(8)

we have

$$U(\Lambda^{-1}, 0) U(1, \epsilon) = U(\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda^{-1}\epsilon + 0) = U(\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda^{-1}\epsilon)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U(\Lambda^{-1}, 0) U(1, \epsilon) U(\Lambda, 0) &= U(\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda^{-1}\epsilon) U(\Lambda, 0) \\ &= U(1, \Lambda^{-1}\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow U(\Lambda^{-1}, 0) U(1, \epsilon) U(\Lambda, 0) = U(1, \Lambda^{-1}\epsilon) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

now, a translation by  $\epsilon^{\mu}$  is generated by  $P_{\mu}$

$$U(1, \epsilon) = e^{-i\epsilon^{\mu} P_{\mu}}$$

substituting in eq (1)

$$\text{L.H.S} \rightarrow U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) e^{-i\epsilon^{\mu} P_{\mu}} U(\Lambda, 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow U^{-1} e^A U = e^{U^{-1} A U}$$

$$\text{Hence, } U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) e^{-i\epsilon^{\mu} P_{\mu}} U(\Lambda, 0) = e^{-i\epsilon^{\mu} (U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) P_{\mu} U(\Lambda, 0))}$$

$$\text{R.H.S} \rightarrow U(1, \Lambda^{-1}\epsilon) = e^{-i(\Lambda^{-1}\epsilon)^{\mu} P_{\mu}}$$

$$\text{also } (\Lambda^{-1}\epsilon)^{\mu} = (\Lambda^{-1})^{\mu}_{\nu} \epsilon^{\nu}$$

$$\text{R.H.S} = e^{-i\epsilon^{\nu} (\Lambda^{-1})^{\mu}_{\nu} P_{\mu}}$$

$$\text{So L.H.S} = \text{R.H.S}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-i\epsilon^{\mu} \{ U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) P_{\mu} U(\Lambda, 0) \}} = e^{-i\epsilon^{\nu} (\Lambda^{-1})^{\mu}_{\nu} P_{\mu}}$$

$$\Rightarrow U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) P_{\mu} U(\Lambda, 0) = (\Lambda^{-1})^{\mu}_{\nu} P_{\nu}$$

now, unitary representation of infinitesimal Lorentz transformation

$$U(\Lambda, 0) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\alpha\beta} M_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) = 1 + \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\alpha\beta} M_{\alpha\beta}$$

⑨

$$U^{-1} P_M U = \left(1 + \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\alpha\beta} M_{\alpha\beta}\right) P_M \left(1 - \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\gamma\delta} M_{\gamma\delta}\right)$$

Expanding it to first order in  $\omega \rightarrow$

$$U^{-1} P_M U = P_M + \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\alpha\beta} [M_{\alpha\beta}, P_M]$$

Expanding the RHS :  $\rightarrow$   
 $(\Lambda^{-1})^\nu_M = \delta_M^\nu - \omega_M^\nu$

$$\text{we get, } (\Lambda^{-1})^\nu_M P_\nu = P_M - \omega_M^\nu P_\nu$$

Equating both sides  $\rightarrow$

$$P_M + \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\alpha\beta} [M_{\alpha\beta}, P_M] = P_M - \omega_M^\nu P_\nu$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\alpha\beta} [M_{\alpha\beta}, P_M] = -\omega_M^\nu P_\nu$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\alpha\beta} [M_{\alpha\beta}, P_M] = -\eta_{M\beta} \omega^{\nu\beta} P_\nu = \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \eta_{M\beta} P_\alpha$$

Comparing coefficient of  $\omega^{\alpha\beta}$  on both sides  
 $[M_{M\nu}, P_\beta] = i(\eta_{\nu\beta} P_M - \eta_{M\beta} P_\nu)$

$$c) \text{ we have to prove } U^{-1}(\Lambda_{1,0}) U(\Lambda'_{1,0}) U(\Lambda_{1,0}) = U(\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda' \Lambda_{1,0})$$

from group's law  $\rightarrow U^{-1}(\Lambda_{1,0}) = U(\Lambda^{-1}_{1,0})$

$$\begin{aligned} U^{-1}(\Lambda_{1,0}) U(\Lambda'_{1,0}) &= U(\Lambda^{-1}_{1,0}) U(\Lambda'_{1,0}) \\ &= U(\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda'_{1,0}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U^{-1}(\Lambda_{1,0}) U(\Lambda'_{1,0}) U(\Lambda_{1,0}) &= U(\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda'_{1,0}) U(\Lambda_{1,0}) \\ &= U(\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda'_{1,0} \Lambda_{1,0}) \end{aligned}$$

$\boxed{U^{-1}(\Lambda_{1,0}) U(\Lambda'_{1,0}) U(\Lambda_{1,0}) = U(\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda'_{1,0} \Lambda_{1,0})}$

for a small Lorentz transformation:

$$\Lambda^\mu_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu + \omega^{\mu\lambda} \nu_\lambda \text{ with } \omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu}$$

$$U(\Lambda, 0) = 1 - \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\alpha\beta} M_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$U(\Lambda', 0) = 1 - \frac{i}{2} \omega'^{\rho\sigma} M_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) = 1 + \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu}$$

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) U(\Lambda', 0) U(\Lambda, 0) = (1+A)(1+B)(1+C)$$

$$\text{where } A = \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu}$$

$$B = -\frac{i}{2} \omega'^{\rho\sigma} M_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$C = -\frac{i}{2} \omega^{\alpha\beta} M_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) U(\Lambda', 0) U(\Lambda, 0) = (1+A)(1+B)(1+C) = 1 + B + [AIB]$$

$\because$  Since  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  are small we drop terms like  $\omega^2, \omega'^2$   
and  $\omega\omega'$  except it produces commutator like  $[AIB]$

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) U(\Lambda', 0) U(\Lambda, 0) = 1 - \frac{i}{2} \omega'^{\rho\sigma} M_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} [M_{\mu\nu}, -\frac{i}{2} \omega'^{\rho\sigma} M_{\rho\sigma}]$$

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, 0) U(\Lambda', 0) U(\Lambda, 0) = 1 - \frac{i}{2} \omega'^{\rho\sigma} M_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{4} \omega^{\mu\nu} \omega'^{\rho\sigma} [M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}]$$

now, the RHS  $\rightarrow U(\Lambda^{-1}\Lambda', 0) \rightarrow$  It is still a Lorentz transformation, so it looks like  $1 - \frac{i}{2} \tilde{\omega}^{\rho\sigma} M_{\rho\sigma}$   
where  $\tilde{\omega}^{\rho\sigma}$  is transformed parameter.

$$\tilde{\omega}^{\rho\sigma} = \omega^{\rho\sigma} + \omega^\rho_\lambda \omega'^{\lambda\sigma} + \omega^\sigma_\lambda \omega'^{\rho\lambda}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{RHS} = 1 - \frac{i}{2} \omega'^{\rho\sigma} M_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{i}{2} (\omega^\rho_\lambda \omega'^{\lambda\sigma} + \omega^\sigma_\lambda \omega'^{\rho\lambda}) \times M_{\rho\sigma}$$

(11)

Comparing L.H.S with R.H.S  $\rightarrow$ 

$$\frac{1}{4} \omega^{\mu\nu} \omega^{\rho\sigma} [M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] = -\frac{i}{2} (\omega_\lambda^\rho \omega^{\lambda\sigma} + \omega_\lambda^\sigma \omega^{\lambda\rho}) M_{\mu\rho}$$

$$\Rightarrow [M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] = i [\eta_{\mu\rho} M_{\nu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\sigma} M_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\sigma} M_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho} M_{\mu\sigma}]$$

d) we have to show  $[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0$

1st translation,  $T(a) : x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + a^\mu$

applying two translations successively  $\rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} x^\mu &\xrightarrow{T(a_2)} x^\mu + a_2^\mu \\ &\xrightarrow{T(a_1)} (x^\mu + a_2^\mu) + a_1^\mu \\ x^\mu + a_2^\mu &\xrightarrow{T(a_2) \circ T(a_1)} x^\mu + (a_1^\mu + a_2^\mu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow T(a_1) \circ T(a_2) = T(a_1 + a_2)$$

now representing translation by operator  $\rightarrow$

$$U(1, a) \cong T(a) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow U(1, a_1) U(1, a_2) = U(1, a_1 + a_2)$$

$$U(1, a) = e^{-ia^\mu P_\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow U(1, a_1) U(1, a_2) = e^{-i a_1^\mu P_\mu} e^{-i a_2^\mu P_\mu} \neq e^{-i(a_1^\mu + a_2^\mu) P_\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow U(1, a_1 + a_2) = e^{-i(a_1^\mu + a_2^\mu) P_\mu}$$

Hence, from Eq (1)  $\rightarrow$

$$e^{-i a_1^\mu P_\mu} e^{-i a_2^\mu P_\mu} = e^{-i(a_1^\mu + a_2^\mu) P_\mu}$$

(12)

$$\Rightarrow e^{-ia_1^\mu p_\mu} e^{-ia_2^\nu p_\nu} = e^{-ia_1^\mu p_\mu} e^{-ia_2^\mu p_\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-ia_1^\mu p_\mu} e^{-ia_2^\nu p_\nu} = e^{-ia_2^\nu p_\nu} e^{-ia_1^\mu p_\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^A e^B = e^B e^A, \quad A = -ia_1^\mu p_\mu \text{ and } B = ia_2^\nu p_\nu$$

$$\Rightarrow [A, B] = 0 = [-ia_1^\mu p_\mu, -ia_2^\nu p_\nu]$$

$$\Rightarrow i^2 a_1^\mu a_2^\nu [p_\mu, p_\nu] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [p_\mu, p_\nu] = 0 \quad \forall a_1, a_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{[p_\mu, p_\nu] = 0}$$

Q3) The Pauli-Lubanski vector is defined by

(13)

$$W_4 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} M^{\nu\lambda} p^\sigma$$

a) Show that  $W_4 p^\mu = 0$  and  $[W_4, P_\nu] = 0$

b) Show that  $W^2 = -\frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} p^2 + M_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} p^\mu p_\mu$

Solution : →

$$\text{a) given that } W_4 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} M^{\nu\lambda} p^\sigma$$

$$W_4 p^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} M^{\nu\lambda} p^\sigma p^\mu$$

since,  $[P^\sigma, P^\mu] = 0 \rightarrow P^\sigma P^\mu = P^\mu P^\sigma$   
 hence ~~antis.~~  $P^\sigma P^\mu$  is symmetric under  $\sigma \leftrightarrow \mu$   
 while  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$  is antisymmetric under the same exchange.

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} P^\sigma P^\mu = 0$$

$$\boxed{W_4 p^\mu = 0}$$

$$[W_4, P_\nu] = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} [M^{\alpha\beta} P_\gamma, P_\nu]$$

$$[M^{\alpha\beta} P_\gamma, P_\nu] = M^{\alpha\beta} [P_\gamma, P_\nu] + [M^{\alpha\beta}, P_\nu] P_\gamma$$

$$\text{since } [P_\gamma, P_\nu] = 0$$

$$[M^{\alpha\beta} P_\gamma, P_\nu] = [M^{\alpha\beta}, P_\nu] P_\gamma$$

$$[W_4, P_\nu] = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} (\eta_{\mu\nu} P^\alpha - \eta_{\alpha\nu} P^\mu) P_\gamma$$

interchanging  $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$

$$[W_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} (\eta_{\beta\nu} P^{\alpha} - \eta_{\mu\nu} P^{\alpha}) P^{\gamma}$$

(14)

$$[W_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = 0$$

$$\textcircled{*} \quad W^2 = W_{\mu} W^{\mu} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} M^{\nu\lambda} P^{\sigma} M_{\alpha\beta} P_{\gamma}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} &= - \left( \delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\lambda}^{\beta} \delta_{\sigma}^{\gamma} + \delta_{\nu}^{\beta} \delta_{\lambda}^{\gamma} \delta_{\sigma}^{\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \delta_{\nu}^{\gamma} \delta_{\lambda}^{\alpha} \delta_{\sigma}^{\beta} - \delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\lambda}^{\gamma} \delta_{\sigma}^{\beta} - \delta_{\nu}^{\beta} \delta_{\lambda}^{\alpha} \delta_{\sigma}^{\gamma} - \delta_{\nu}^{\gamma} \delta_{\lambda}^{\beta} \delta_{\sigma}^{\alpha} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W^2 &= -\frac{1}{4} \left[ (\delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\lambda}^{\beta} \delta_{\sigma}^{\gamma}) + (\delta_{\nu}^{\beta} \delta_{\lambda}^{\gamma} \delta_{\sigma}^{\alpha}) + (\delta_{\nu}^{\gamma} \delta_{\lambda}^{\alpha} \delta_{\sigma}^{\beta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\lambda}^{\gamma} \delta_{\sigma}^{\beta}) - (\delta_{\nu}^{\beta} \delta_{\lambda}^{\alpha} \delta_{\sigma}^{\gamma}) - (\delta_{\nu}^{\gamma} \delta_{\lambda}^{\beta} \delta_{\sigma}^{\alpha}) \right] \\ &\quad \times M^{\nu\lambda} P^{\sigma} M_{\alpha\beta} P_{\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

Expanding term  $1 \rightarrow$

$$-\frac{1}{4} (\delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\lambda}^{\beta} \delta_{\sigma}^{\gamma}) M^{\nu\lambda} P^{\sigma} M_{\alpha\beta} P_{\gamma}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} M^{\alpha\beta} P^{\gamma} M_{\alpha\beta} P_{\gamma}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} M_{\alpha\beta} M^{\alpha\beta} P_{\gamma} P^{\gamma}$$

Similarly treating all other terms we get  $\rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} W^2 &= -\frac{1}{4} M_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} P^2 - \frac{1}{4} M_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} P^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} M_{\mu\nu} M^{\nu\sigma} P^{\mu} P_{\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} M_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\sigma} P^{\nu} P_{\sigma} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} M_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\sigma} P^{\nu} P_{\sigma} + \frac{1}{4} M_{\mu\nu} M^{\nu\sigma} P^{\mu} P_{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{W^2 = \frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} P^2 + M_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\sigma} P^{\nu} P_{\sigma}}$$

Q.4) Verify the following relations: →

$$a) [M_{\mu\nu}, W_\sigma] = i(\eta_{\nu\sigma} W_\mu - \eta_{\mu\sigma} W_\nu)$$

$$b) [W_\mu, W_\nu] = -i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^\rho P^\sigma$$

Solution: →

$$a) W_\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma} M^{\alpha\beta} P^\gamma \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\text{we also know, } [P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, P_\sigma] = i(\eta_{\nu\sigma} P_\mu - \eta_{\mu\sigma} P_\nu) \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] = i(\eta_{\nu\sigma} M_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\sigma} M_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho} M_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\rho} M_{\nu\nu}) \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$\therefore [M_{\mu\nu}, W_\sigma] = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma} [M_{\mu\nu}, M^{\alpha\beta} P^\gamma] \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M^{\alpha\beta} P^\gamma] = [M_{\mu\nu}, M^{\alpha\beta}] P^\gamma + M^{\alpha\beta} [M_{\mu\nu}, P^\gamma]$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M^{\alpha\beta}] = i[\eta_\nu^\alpha M_\mu^\beta - \eta_\mu^\alpha M_\nu^\beta - \eta_\nu^\beta M_\mu^\alpha + \eta_\mu^\beta M_\nu^\alpha]$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, P^\gamma] = i[\eta_\nu^\gamma P_\mu - \eta_\mu^\gamma P_\nu]$$

substituting in Eq (5) →

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, W_\sigma] = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma} \left[ (\eta_\nu^\alpha M_\mu^\beta - \eta_\mu^\alpha M_\nu^\beta - \eta_\nu^\beta M_\mu^\alpha + \eta_\mu^\beta M_\nu^\alpha) P^\gamma \right. \\ \left. + M^{\alpha\beta} (\eta_\nu^\gamma P_\mu - \eta_\mu^\gamma P_\nu) \right]$$

mix tensor:  $\eta_\nu^\infty = \delta_\nu^\infty$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma} \eta_\nu^\alpha M_\mu^\beta P^\gamma = \epsilon_{\sigma\nu\beta\gamma} M_\mu^\beta P^\gamma$$

we can contract all 6 terms in similar way

$$T_1 = \epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\mu\gamma} M_\nu^\alpha P^\gamma, \quad T_2 = \epsilon_{\sigma\nu\mu\gamma} M_\nu^\beta P^\gamma$$

$$T_3 = -\epsilon_{\sigma\mu\beta\gamma} M_\nu^\beta P^\gamma, \quad T_4 = -\epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\gamma\mu} M_\nu^\alpha P^\gamma$$

$$T_5 = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\nu} M^{\alpha\beta} P_\mu, \quad T_6 = -\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu} M^{\alpha\beta} P_\nu$$

$$T_1 + T_3 = \epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\mu\gamma} M_\nu^\alpha P^\gamma - \epsilon_{\sigma\mu\beta\gamma} M_\nu^\beta P^\gamma$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\gamma} M_\nu^\beta P^\gamma - \epsilon_{\sigma\beta\mu\gamma} M_\nu^\beta P^\gamma$$

$$= 0$$

also  $T_2 + T_4 = 0$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, W_\sigma] = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\beta\nu} M^{\alpha\beta} P_\mu - \epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\mu\nu} M^{\alpha\beta} P_\nu)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} [2\eta_{\nu\sigma} W_\mu - 2\eta_{\mu\sigma} W_\nu]$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, W_\sigma] = i [\eta_{\nu\sigma} W_\mu - \eta_{\mu\sigma} W_\nu]$$

$$[M^{\alpha\beta} P^\gamma, M^{\delta\sigma} P^\delta]$$

b)  $[W_\mu, W_\nu] = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\nu\gamma\delta\sigma} [M^{\alpha\beta} P^\gamma, M^{\delta\sigma} P^\delta]$

we know,  $[AB, CD] = A[B, C]D + AC[B, D] + [B, A]CD + C[D, A]B$

$$[W_\mu, W_\nu] = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\nu\gamma\delta\sigma} (M^{\alpha\beta} [P^\gamma, M^{\delta\sigma}] P^\delta + [M^{\alpha\beta}, M^{\delta\sigma}] P^\gamma P^\delta)$$

$$+ M^{\delta\sigma} [M^{\alpha\beta}, P^\delta] P^\gamma + M^{\delta\sigma} M^\alpha [P^\gamma, P^\delta])$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\nu\gamma\delta\sigma} (M^{\alpha\beta} [P^\gamma, M^{\delta\sigma}] P^\delta + [M^{\alpha\beta}, M^{\delta\sigma}] P^\gamma P^\delta)$$

$$+ M^{\delta\sigma} [M^{\alpha\beta}, P^\delta] P^\gamma) \quad [\because [P^\gamma, P^\delta] = 0]$$

(17)

$$[P^\gamma, M^{\rho\delta}] = -i(\eta^{\sigma\rho} P^\delta - \eta^{\rho\delta} P^\sigma)$$

$$[M^\alpha P^\beta, P^\delta] = i(\eta^{\beta\delta} P^\alpha - \eta^{\alpha\delta} P^\beta)$$

Substituting,

$$\begin{aligned} [W_4, W_2] &= -\frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\delta} (\eta^{\sigma\rho} M^{\alpha\beta} P^\delta P^\gamma - \eta^{\rho\sigma} M^{\alpha\beta} P^\delta P^\gamma) \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\delta} [M^\alpha P^\beta, M^{\rho\sigma}] P^\gamma P^\delta \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\delta} M^{\rho\sigma} (\eta^{\beta\delta} P^\alpha - \eta^{\alpha\delta} P^\beta) P^\gamma \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow P^\sigma P^\delta = P^\delta P^\sigma$$

$$\text{so } \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\delta} \eta^{\sigma\rho} M^{\alpha\beta} P^\delta P^\gamma = \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\delta} M^{\alpha\beta} P^\delta P^\gamma$$

$$T_1 = -\frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\delta} M^{\alpha\beta} P^\delta P^\gamma$$

$$T_2 = +\frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\delta} M^{\alpha\beta} P^\sigma P^\delta$$

$$T_1 + T_2 = -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \left( \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\delta} M^{\alpha\beta} P_\gamma \right) P^\sigma \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [P \leftrightarrow \sigma] \end{array} \right.$$

now Term 3 has  $[M^\alpha P^\beta, M^{\rho\sigma}]$ 

$$[M^\alpha P^\beta, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i(\eta^{\alpha\sigma} M^{\beta\rho} + \eta^{\beta\sigma} M^{\alpha\rho} - \eta^{\alpha\rho} M^{\beta\sigma} - \eta^{\beta\rho} M^{\alpha\sigma})$$

$$T_3 = \frac{i}{4} \left( \right) \times \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\delta} P^\sigma P^\delta$$

Each term in  $T_3$  has structure  $\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \eta^{\alpha\sigma} = \epsilon_{\mu\sigma\beta\gamma}$ 

four such contractions, the sum will be zero

$$T_3 = 0$$

the last term

(18)

$$T_4 = -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{\gamma\rho\delta\beta} \{ p^{\alpha\gamma} M^{\beta\sigma} - \eta^{\alpha\delta} M^{\beta\sigma} P^\beta P^\sigma \}$$
$$= -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\rho\alpha\beta\gamma} M_{\alpha\beta} P_\gamma \right) P^\sigma$$

Sum of all contributions  $\rightarrow$

$$[W_\mu, W_\nu] = -i \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\rho\alpha\beta\gamma} M_{\alpha\beta} P_\gamma \right) P^\sigma$$

$$\boxed{[W_\mu, W_\nu] = -i \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^\rho P^\sigma}$$