SERIES NAME: 100 Suns Exhibit	5	4	3	2	1	0	RATING	COMMENTS
VALUE OF INFORMATION			1				11	
Creator	Very significant nationally, regionally, locally; and/or top of organizational hierarchy	Significant nationally, regionally, locally; and/or near top of organizational hierarchy	Regionally significant; creator is important to organization but not an officer	Locally significant, member of organization	Limited significance	Cannot be determined	4	Exhibit created by artist Michael Light and UC Berkeley Graduate School of Journalism, Center for Photography. Unknown digital photographer of exhibit, assume Bancroft library staff. (http://journalism.berkel ey.edu/events/details/234/)
Approximate inclusive dates of series	before 1920	1920-1945	1945-1985	within the last 20 years	within in the last 10 years	within the last 5 years	0	October, 2005
Present and future research use potential	Very high use	High use	Moderate use	Minimal use	Difficult to determine	None anticipated	2	May be useful to those researching campus events, campus architecture in 2005, collaborations between the University and California artists
Evidence of historical events or business transactions	Very high	High	Moderate	Minimal	Difficult to determine	None anticipated	2	Evidence of campus event
Documents decision-making processes at the national, state, or local level	Utmost importance	Very Important	Important	Some Importance		Not important	0	
Limitations on Use due to Physical Characteristics or Donor Restrictions	Very high	High	Moderate	Minimal	Difficult to determine	None anticipated	0	none
Orlanderistics of Dorlon Restrictions Priority within Collection Development Policy or Institution's Critical Functions COST OF RETENTION	Critical to collecting scope	Within collecting scope	Related to collecting scope	series is split with another institution		Out of	3	Photographic documentation of campus and campus event. Most likely supplemental to other documentation of this exhibit by the exhibit creators themselves.
COST OF RETENTION							34	
	5	4	3	2	1	0		
Estimated linear feet	0 to 15	15 to 30	30 to 50	50 to 100	100 to 300	over 300	5	
Estimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual allotment	0-2%	2-4%	4-6%	6-8%	8-10%	over 10%	5	less than 1%
Arrangement	Complete, good original order	Mostly complete, good original order	Significant gaps, good original order	Gaps; Original order mixed throughout	Folders removed and original order nonexistent	Not a relevant issue	0	
Conservation/Preservation treatment or conversion needed	Excellent, no treatment or conversion needed	Good. 1-5% of series needs some care.	Fair. 5-10% needs treatment.	Poor. 10-20% needs treatment.	Very poor. Over 20% of series needs treatment.	Not a relevant issue	0	
Other formats: Percentage of Audio-Visual, Pictorial, and/or electronic records	0%	1% to 5%	5% to 10%	10% to 20%	20% to 50%	50% to 100%	0	100% electronic records
Possible restrictions	None	Copyright not granted	Limited access restrictions and copyright not granted	Clearly stated access restrictions stipulated by donor	All access restricted until a given date	Not a relevant issue	3	May need to investigate as images were included in book by Michael Light and are most likely considered his property.
Deadline for processing mandated by grant or deed	1-3 years	3-5 years	5-10 years	10-20 years	more than 20 years	No deadline stipulated	0	
Monetary value of series (appraisal or purchase)	more than \$30,000	\$10,000-\$30,000	\$5,000-\$10,000	less than \$5,000	Not known/no monetary value	Not a relevant issue	1	no monetary value
Amount of funding attached to series	More than sufficient to process	Sufficient to process	Sufficient to process half the series	Some financial assistance given	consulting or	No funding given	0	none
Estimated cost of Digital Conversion	\$0 - \$2,000	\$2,000 - \$5,000		\$10,000-\$15,000	\$15,000 - \$20,000	more than \$20,000	5	records are born digital
Estimated Staff Costs for E-Records Storage & Access	\$0 - \$5,000	\$5,000 - \$15,000	\$15,000 - \$40,000	\$40,000 - \$80,000		more than \$120,000	5	Staff costs: \$35, DPR costs: \$13.89/year
Estimated cost of supplies	\$0 - \$2,000	\$2,000 - \$5,000		\$10,000-\$15,000		more than	5	none
Estimated cost of processing staff	\$0 - \$5,000	\$5,000 - \$15,000	\$15,000 - \$40,000	\$40,000 - \$80,000		\$20,000 more than \$120,000	5	\$277.88
IMPLICATIONS OF APPRAISAL	1		1				8	
RECOMMENDATIONS Political Considerations: importance of donor to Bancroft/Gen Library/Campus	Utmost importance	Very Important	Important	Some Importance	Slight importance	Not a relevant issue	3	The artist is most likely important to the University
Procedural Precedents: in the future, will Bancroft have to accept records of similar value?	Does not obligate Bancroft to take similar series	Creates slight conflict in current policy interpretations	Creates conflict with current policy	Creates a burden on curator to explain/defend collection policy	Creates a burden on director to explain/defend collection policy	Always Obligates Bancroft to take similar series in future	5	,
DDIODITY FOR DETENTION	5	4	3	2 Madarata	1	0 Not a Drianity	TOTAL	
PRIORITY FOR RETENTION	Highest 102-126	Higher 82-101	High 62-81	Moderate 42-61	Low 22-41	Not a Priority 1-21	53	Moderate

SERIES NAME:							RATING	COMMENTS
Bookplates	5	4	3	2	1	0		
VALUE OF INFORMATION							7	
Creator	Very significant	Significant	Regionally	Locally significant,	Limited significance	Cannot be	1	Acquisitions & Print
	nationally,	nationally,	significant;	member of		determined		Intake Coordinator and
	regionally, locally;	regionally, locally;	creator is	organization				Curatorial Support
	and/or top of	and/or near top of	important to					Coordinator, Bancroft
	organizational	organizational	organization but					Libray
	hierarchy	hierarchy	not an officer					<u> </u>
Approximate inclusive dates of series	before 1920	1920-1945	1945-1985	within the last 20	within in the last 10	1	3	July, 2002 - October, 2007
Procent and future research use notential	Vory high uso	High uso	Moderate use	years Minimal use	years Difficult to	years None	1	Possibly for library
Present and future research use potential	Very high use	High use	iviouerate use	Willilliai use	determine	anticipated	'	history,
					determine	antioipated		donation/purchase
								history, collection
								processing and
								maintenance
Evidence of historical events or business	Very high	High	Moderate	Minimal	Difficult to	None	1	Marginal, shows
transactions					determine	anticipated		bookplates ordered, but
								not actual order forms
								or receipts. Some fax
								requests to Artline
Decimando de deleter estables	I Hannak town	Vanular and	lana and a sal	Cama lares 1	Olimba income	Nint increased		(printer).
Documents decision-making processes at the	Utmost importance	very Important	Important	Some Importance	Slight importance	Not important	1	Documents bookplates
national, state, or local level Limitations on Use due to Physical	Very high	High	Moderate	Minimal	Difficult to	None	0	created.
Characteristics or Donor Restrictions	very mgn	i iigii	iviouerate	IVIIIIIIII	determine	anticipated	U	HOHE
Priority within Collection Development Policy	Critical to collecting	Within collecting	Related to	series is split with	series is marginally		0	Is not a critical function,
or Institution's Critical Functions	scope	scope	collecting scope		related to collecting		U	or at least the critical
or modication of orthoders amoderno	осоро	00000	comodaning coope		scope	concounty coope		function records for
								these events could be
								found elsewhere (i.e.,
								accounting)
COST OF RETENTION							31	
	5	4	3	2	1	0		
Estimated linear feet	0 to 15	15 to 30	30 to 50	50 to 100	100 to 300	over 300	5	0
Estimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual	0-2%	2-4%	4-6%	6-8%	8-10%	over 10%	5	far less than 1%
allotment	0 270	,,	. 0,0	0 0 70	0 .070	0.0070		10.1000 01011 170
Arrangement	Complete, good	Mostly complete,	Significant gaps,	Gaps; Original	Folders removed	Not a relevant	0	
	original order	good original order	good original	order mixed	and original order	issue		
			order	throughout	nonexistent			
Conservation/Preservation treatment or	Excellent, no	Good. 1-5% of	Fair. 5-10%	Poor. 10-20%	Very poor. Over	Not a relevant	0	
conversion needed	treatment or	series needs some	needs treatment.	needs treatment.	20% of series	issue		
	conversion needed	care.			needs treatment.			
Other formats: Percentage of Audio-Visual,	0%	1% to 5%	5% to 10%	10% to 20%	20% to 50%	50% to 100%	0	100% electronic records
Pictorial, and/or electronic records	0 /0	1 70 10 3 70	370 10 1070	10 /0 10 20 /0	20 /0 10 30 /0	30 % 10 100 %	U	100 % electronic records
Possible restrictions	None	Copyright not	Limited access	Clearly stated	All access	Not a relevant	0	
. 666.5.6 1664.1646.16		granted	restrictions and	access restrictions		issue		
		J. L. I. L.	copyright not	stipulated by	given date			
			granted	donor				
Deadline for processing mandated by grant	1-3 years	3-5 years	5-10 years	10-20 years	more than 20 years		0	
or deed						stipulated		
Monetary value of series (appraisal or	more than \$30,000	\$10,000-\$30,000	\$5,000-\$10,000	less than \$5,000	Not known/no	Not a relevant	1	no monetary value
purchase)	More than a ff -:	Cufficient	Cufficiant	Como financial	monetary value	issue		
Amount of funding attached to series	More than sufficient		Sufficient to process half the	Some financial assistance given	consulting or student provided	No funding given	0	none
	to process	process	series	assistance given	Stadent provided	BiACII		
Estimated cost of Digital Conversion	\$0 - \$2,000	\$2,000 - \$5,000	\$5,000 - \$10,000	\$10,000-\$15,000	\$15,000 - \$20,000	more than	5	born digital records
	Ţ- Ų =,000	Ψο,οοο	1-,000 \$10,000	τ. 0,000 ψ10,000	,	\$20,000		30 3.9.1011000103
Estimated Staff Costs for E-Records Storage	\$0 - \$5,000	\$5,000 - \$15,000	\$15,000 -	\$40,000 - \$80,000	\$80,000 - \$120,000		5	Staff costs: \$25, DPR
& Access			\$40,000			\$120,000		costs: \$0.22/year
Estimated cost of supplies	\$0 - \$2,000	\$2,000 - \$5,000	\$5,000 - \$10,000	\$10,000-\$15,000	\$15,000 - \$20,000	more than	5	none
						\$20,000		
Estimated cost of processing staff	\$0 - \$5,000	\$5,000 - \$15,000	\$15,000 -	\$40,000 - \$80,000	\$80,000 - \$120,000		5	\$4.30
			\$40,000			\$120,000		ļ
IMPLICATIONS OF APPRAISAL		1					F	1
RECOMMENDATIONS							5	
	I Have a at time	l Mamillania i	lar and	Come last	Climbs in the	Nat a select	_	1
Political Considerations: importance of donor	Utmost importance	Very Important	Important	Some Importance	Slight importance	Not a relevant	0	
to Bancroft/Gen Library/Campus	Door not obligate	Croates aliabt	Croates sa=#:-/	Croates a horala	Croatos a buider	issue		
Procedural Precedents: in the future, will	Does not obligate Bancroft to take	Creates slight	Creates conflict with current	Creates a burden	Creates a burden on director to	Always	5	
Bancroft have to accept records of similar		conflict in current policy	policy	on curator to explain/defend	explain/defend	Obligates Bancroft to take		
Valle?	eimilar carios			ICADIAII I/UCICI IU	cxpiaii i/uciellu			1
value?	similar series		poo,		collection noticy	similar series in		l .
value?	similar series	interpretations	, poo,	collection policy	collection policy	similar series in future		
value?	similar series		policy		collection policy	similar series in future		
	5	interpretations 4	3	collection policy	1	future 0	TOTAL	
value? PRIORITY FOR RETENTION		interpretations		collection policy	. ,	future	TOTAL 43	Moderate

Present and future research use potential Very high use Moderate use Minimal use Moderate use Minimal use Moderate Minimal use Minimal use Moderate Minimal use Min	SERIES NAME:	T						RATING	COMMENTS
Very significant Properties	BTS MC Minutes	5	4	3	2	1	0		
regionally, carriery interaction of professional programment of the comparison of th	VALUE OF INFORMATION			'			1	12	
institution in protection of p	Creator	Very significant	Significant	Regionally	Locally significant.	Limited significance	Cannot be	2	Associate Director.
and/do risp of a proportion to programmation of programma		nationally,	nationally,	significant;	member of			_	
page and a comparation of the co					organization				
Approximate inclusive date of series before 1920 1920 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 194									
Approximate industrie case of aries of program and future research use potential of Very high use Alph									
Present and future research use potential Very high see High use Moderate Modera	Approximate inclusive dates of series				within the last 20	within in the last 10	within the last 5	0	March, 2008 - February,
Evidence of historical events or bosiness Transactions in making processes at the Ultrinost importance. Very high Transactions in making processes at the Ultrinost importance. Very Important instrument, state, or local level Documents decision making processes at the Ultrinost importance. Very Important instrument, state, or local level Documents decision making processes at the Ultrinost importance. Very Important instrument, state, or local level Documents decision making processes at the Ultrinost importance. Very Important instrument, state, or local level Documents decision making processes at the Ultrinost importance. Very Important instrument, state, or local level Documents decision making processes at the Ultrinost importance of the process planning state of the processes in the Ultrinost importance of the processes in the Ultrinost importance of the processes in the Ultrinost importance of the Ultrinost									
Scriptions of Matrical events or business Introduction of Matrical events or business Introductions of Matrical events Introductions Introduc	Present and future research use potential	Very high use	High use	Moderate use	Minimal use			2	Some use for history of
Evidence of historical events or business Invasionalities Invasionation						determine	anticipated		administration/manage
Documents decision making processes at the Uninost importance very Important mational, state, or local level Disconnents decision making processes at the Uninost importance very Important mational, state, or local level Limitations on Use due to Physical Very high High Mocerate Minimal Difficult to Some importance Minimal Difficult to Some importance Or consideration of Door Nestrictions Proceedings cope or Inselhulon's Critical Functions State of Some importance Minimal Difficult to Some importance Difficult to Some importance Minimal Difficult to Some importance Or consellation of Critical Functions Or Inselhulon's Critical Functions State of Some importance Minimal Difficult to Some importance Difficult to Some importance Or consellation of Critical Functions Or Inselhulon's Critical Functions State of Some importance Not important to Librar Or collecting scope Soppe So		,							
Documents doculon-making processes at the Unroal importance view important enteriors, state, or food level members, state, state, or food level members, state, state, or food level members, state,		Very high	High	Moderate	Minimal			2	Evidence of library
Documents decision making processes at the Ulmost importance Very Important Important Some importance Slight importance Not important No	transactions					determine	anticipated		business planning and
Documents discision-making processes at the Unroet importance view important authorist, state, or local level evaluations and the processes and the Unroet importance of the		,							
institution's Critical Functions Very high High Moderate Minimal Difficult to One of Restrictions Characteristics on Duron Restrictions Characteristics on Duron Restrictions Cornection of Critical Functions Soope OBJECT TO CRETERITION Settlemated linear feet Soope Solidard to collecting scope Solidard to collecting scope another institution in related to collecting scope another institution related to co	Documents decision-making processes at the	Utmost importance	Very Important	Important	Some Importance	Slight importance	Not important	3	Minutes (specifically)
Limitations on Use due to Physical Character silco or Drone Restrictions Pilority within Collection Precisions Pilority within Collection Precisions Pilority within Collection Development Policy or Institution's Critical Functions Scope September 1			, , , , , ,			3		-	document decisions
Commission on Use faul to Physical Characteristics of Donny Residenticins Priority within Collections Priority within Collections Priority within Collection Development Policy or Institution's Critical Functions COST OF RETENTION Statistical Control Co		,							
Limitations on Use due to Physical Chiaracteristics or Cornor Restrictions (Chiaracteristics or Cornor Restrictions (Chiaracteristics or Cornor Restrictions) (Chiaracteristics or Cornor Restrictions) (Chiaracteristics or Institution's Critical Functions (Chiaracteristics) (Chia									
Limitations on Lise due to Physical Characteristics of Domor Restrictions on Conformation (Conformation (Conformat		!							
Characteristics or Donce Resirictions Protry within Collection Development Policy or Institution's Critical Functions COST OF RETENTION S	Limitations on Use due to Physical	Very high	High	Moderate	Minimal	Difficult to	None	0	
cost of Retentions Sope Sope Sope	Characteristics or Donor Restrictions		J			determine	anticipated		
COST OF RETENTION S 4 3 2 4 9 10 10 15 5 15 10 30 30 10 50 5 15 10 30 30 10 50 5 10 100 100 10 300 100 100 10 300 100 10 30									Related to collecting
COST OF RETENTION 5 4 3 2 1 0 0 Estimated inear feet 5 15 to 30 30 to 50 50 to 100 100 to 300 over 300 5 Estimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual automent Arrangement Conservation/Preservation breatment or conversion needed Conservation/Preservation breatment or conversion needed Conservation/Preservation breatment or least more than 20 years and a conversion needed Conservation/Preservation breatment or conversion needed Conservation/Preservation breatment or least more than 20 years and a conversion needed Conservation/Preservation breatment or conversion needed Conservation/Preservation breatment or least more than 20 years and a conversion needed Conversion needed Conservation/Preservation breatment or least more than 20 years and a conversion needed	or Institution's Critical Functions	scope	scope	collecting scope	another institution		collecting scope		
COST OF RETENTION S Settimated linear feet S S S S S S S S S S S S S		,				scope			
Samont Library Samo									
Settimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual patched to the settimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual patched to the settimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual patched to the settimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual patched to the settimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual patched to the settimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual patched to the settimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual patched to complete, good original order of complete patched to the settimated percentage of Audio-Visual, Pictorial, and/or electronic records Other formats: Percentage of Audio-Visual, Pictorial, and/or electronic records Possible restrictions None Copyright not granted granted processing mandated by grant or deed Monor you have been purchase) Deadline for processing mandated by grant or deed Monor you have been purchase) Deadline for processing mandated by grant or deed Monor you have been purchase) Deadline for processing mandated by grant process patched with the process half the									specifically related to
Estimated linear feet 0 to 15 15 to 30 30 to 50 50 to 100 100 to 300 over 300 5 5 Estimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual 0 -2% 2-4% 4-6% 6-8% 8-10% over 10% 5 far less than 11 adoment 1	COST OF DETENTION							21	Bancroft Library
Estimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual altoment Competer, good original order conversion needed Conservation/Preservation treatment or conversion needed Conservation/Preservation readed Conservation/Preservation treatment or conversion needed Conservation/Preservation readed Conservation readed	COST OF RETENTION							31	
Estimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual allotment Arrangement Complete, good original order order Conservation/Preservation treatment or conversion needed Conservation/Preservat						•			
allotment Arrangement Complete, good original order good original	Estimated linear feet	0 to 15	15 to 30	30 to 50	50 to 100	100 to 300	over 300	5	
Arrangement complete, good original order mixed throughout good good good original or good good good good good good good g	Estimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual	0-2%	2-4%	4-6%	6-8%	8-10%	over 10%	5	far less than 1%
original order opod original order pood original order pood original order proter direct protect prote									
Conservation/Preservation treatment or conversion needed conservation/Preservation treatment or conversion needed care. Other formats: Percentage of Audio-Visual, Pictorial, and/or electronic records Possible restrictions None Copyright not granted operations of each streament. Other formats: Percentage of Audio-Visual, 10% 15% 5% 10 10% 10% to 20% 20% to 50% 50% to 100% 0 100% electronic reports needs treatment. Other formats: Percentage of Audio-Visual, 10% 15% 5% 10 10% 10% to 20% 20% to 50% 50% to 100% 0 100% electronic reports needs treatment. Other formats: Percentage of Audio-Visual, 10% 15% 5% 10 10% 10% to 20% 20% to 50% 50% to 100% 0 100% electronic reports of the pictorial and operations of the pictorial and the pictorial and operations of the pictorial and operations operations of the pictorial and operations operat	Arrangement							0	
Conservation/Preservation treatment or conversion needed convers		original order	good original order			nonexistent	Issue		
Conversion needed care. Chefrormats: Percentage of Audio-Visual, Plottorial, and/or electronic records None Copyright not granted Care. S% to 10% 10% to 20% 20% to 50% 50% to 100% 0 100% electronic re Possible restrictions None Copyright not granted Care. Capyright not granted Capyright	Conservation/Preservation treatment or	Excellent, no	Good. 1-5% of		Poor. 10-20%	Very poor. Over	Not a relevant	0	
Other formatis: Percentage of Audio-Visual, Pictorial, and/or electronic records Possible restrictions None Copyright not granted Copyright not of deed to restrict to records None Copyright not granted Copyright not of deed to restrict to r	conversion needed		l .	needs treatment.	needs treatment.		issue		
Pictorial, and/or electronic records Possible restrictions None Copyright not granted Co		conversion needed	care.			needs treatment.			
Pictorial, and/or electronic records Possible restrictions None Copyright not granted Co	Other formats: Percentage of Audio-Visual.	0%	1% to 5%	5% to 10%	10% to 20%	20% to 50%	50% to 100%	0	100% electronic records
Deadline for processing mandated by grant or deed Monetary value of series (appraisal or purchase) Amount of funding attached to series More than sufficient to purchase) Amount of funding attached to series Amount of funding attached to series More than sufficient to process process More than sufficient to purchase) Amount of funding attached to series More than sufficient to process More than sufficient to process Sufficient to process Sufficient to process half the series Estimated cost of Digital Conversion \$0 - \$2,000 \$2,000 - \$5,000 \$5,000 - \$10,000 \$10,000-\$10,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$10,000-\$10,000 \$10,000-\$10,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$10,000-\$10	Pictorial, and/or electronic records							-	
Deadline for processing mandated by grant or deed Monetary value of series (appraisal or purchase) More than \$30,000 \$10,000-\$30,000 \$5,000-\$10,000 less than \$5,000 Not known/no monetary value issue Amount of funding attached to series More than sufficient to process and the process half the series Estimated cost of Digital Conversion Estimated Staff Costs for E-Records Storage AACcess AACcess Estimated cost of supplies Estimated cost of processing staff \$0 - \$2,000 \$2,000 - \$5,000 \$5,000 - \$10,000 - \$40,000 - \$80,000 \$80,000 - \$120,000 more than \$20,000 \$20,000 staff costs; \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 - \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 - \$10,000 \$10,000 - \$10,000 \$1	Possible restrictions	None						0	
Deadline for processing mandated by grant of deed of or deed of deed of or deed of dee			granted	1			issue		
Deadline for processing mandated by grant or deed Monetary value of series (appraisal or purchase) More than \$30,000 \$10,000-\$30,000 \$5,000-\$10,000 less than \$5,000 Not known/no monetary value issue Amount of funding attached to series More than sufficient to process process half the series Estimated cost of Digital Conversion So - \$2,000 \$2,000 - \$5,000 - \$10,000 \$10,000-\$						given date			
or deed Monetary value of series (appraisal or purchase) Amount of funding attached to series More than sufficient Sufficient to process process process half the series Estimated cost of Digital Conversion Estimated Staff Costs for E-Records Storage & Access Estimated cost of supplies \$0 - \$2,000 \$0 - \$5,000 \$0 - \$10,000 \$0 - \$10,000 \$0 - \$10,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$10,000-\$10,000				grantou					
More than \$30,000 \$10,000-\$30,000 \$5,000-\$10,000 less than \$5,000 more than susue more than	, , , , , ,	1-3 years	3-5 years	5-10 years	10-20 years	more than 20 years		0	
Purchase) Amount of funding attached to series Bestimated cost of Digital Conversion \$0 - \$2,000 \$2,000 - \$5,000 \$5,000 \$10,000 - \$10,000 \$15,000 \$15,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$15,000 \$15,000 \$15,000 \$120,000 \$20,000		more than \$20,000	\$10,000,\$20,000	\$5,000,\$10,000	loss than \$5,000	Not known/no			no monotany valuo
Amount of funding attached to series	` ' ' '		φ 10,000-φου,000	φο,σοσ-φ 10,000	1000 triair \$0,000		1	'	no monetary value
Series S		More than sufficient	Sufficient to			consulting or		0	none
Estimated cost of Digital Conversion \$0 - \$2,000 \$2,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$10,000-\$15,000 \$15,000 \$20,000 more than \$20,000 \$40,000 \$80,000 \$120,000 more than \$20,000 \$20		to process	process		assistance given	student provided	given		
Staff costs Starage Staff costs Storage Staff costs Storage Staff costs Storage Staff costs Storage Staff costs Staff costs Storage St	Fetimated cost of Digital Conversion	\$0 - \$2 000	\$2,000 - \$5,000		\$10,000,\$15,000	\$15,000 - \$20,000	more than	5	records are horn digital
Estimated Staff Costs for E-Records Storage	Estimated Cost of Digital Conversion	ψυ - ψ∠,000	φε,σου - φο,σου	φυ,ουυ - φ10,000	ψ 10,000-φ 13,000	φ 10,000 - φ∠0,000		5	l coords are point digital
\$ Access	Estimated Staff Costs for E-Records Storage	\$0 - \$5,000	\$5,000 - \$15,000	\$15,000 -	\$40,000 - \$80,000	\$80,000 - \$120,000	more than	5	Staff costs: \$20, DPR
Estimated cost of processing staff \$0 - \$5,000 \$5,000 - \$15,000 \$15,000 - \$40,000 - \$80,000 \$80,000 - \$120,000 more than \$120,000 \$120,00		00.00.00			0.40.06======	445-002-22-22-2			costs: \$0.20/year
Estimated cost of processing staff \$0 - \$5,000 \$5,000 - \$15,000 \$15,000 - \$40,000 \$80,000 - \$120,000 more than \$120,000	Estimated cost of supplies	\$0 - \$2,000	\$2,000 - \$5,000	\$5,000 - \$10,000	\$10,000-\$15,000	\$15,000 - \$20,000		5	none
Section Sect	Estimated cost of processing staff	\$0 - \$5,000	\$5,000 - \$15.000	\$15,000 -	\$40,000 - \$80.000	\$80,000 - \$120,000		5	\$4.03
Political Considerations: importance of donor to Bancroft/Gen Library/Campus Procedural Precedents: in the future, will Bancroft to take similar series Very Important Creates slight conflict in current policy interpretations Creates conflict with current policy interpretations Some Importance Some Importance Some Importance Some Importance Creates a burden on curator to explain/defend collection policy Some Importance Some Importance Creates a burden on curator to explain/defend collection policy Some Importance Some I		. ,			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Political Considerations: importance of donor to Bancroft/Gen Library/Campus Procedural Precedents: in the future, will Bancroft to take similar series value? Does not obligate Bancroft to take similar series 5 4 3 2 1 0 TOTAL PRIORITY FOR RETENTION Wey Important Some Importance Slight importance issue Slight importance on curator to creates a burden on curator to explain/defend collection policy of collection policy of the poli	IMPLICATIONS OF APPRAISAL							5	
to Bancroft/Gen Library/Campus Procedural Precedents: in the future, will Bancroft have to accept records of similar value? Does not obligate Bancroft to take similar series Dolicy interpretations Creates slight conflict in current with current policy interpretations Creates conflict with current confliction policy collection policy collection policy collection policy similar series in future Torates a burden on curator to explain/defend collection policy collection policy similar series in future Torates a burden on director to on director to explain/defend collection policy similar series in future Torates a burden on director to on director to explain/defend collection policy similar series in future Torates a burden on director to on director to explain/defend collection policy similar series in future Torates a burden on director to on director to explain/defend collection policy similar series in future Torates a burden on director to on director to explain/defend collection policy similar series in future Torates a burden on director to on director to on director to explain/defend collection policy similar series in future Torate State Stat								J	
Procedural Precedents: in the future, will Bancroft have to accept records of similar value? Does not obligate Bancroft to take similar series value? Creates slight conflict in current policy interpretations Creates conflict with current with current policy interpretations Creates a burden on director to explain/defend collection policy collection policy of to take similar series in future To a confirm to take similar series in future Solution policy on curator to explain/defend collection policy on curator to explain/defend on director to take similar series in future. Fraction 4		Utmost importance	Very Important	Important	Some Importance	Slight importance		0	
Bancroft have to accept records of similar value? Bancroft to take similar series Conflict in current policy interpretations Collection policy collection policy collection policy interpretations Collection policy similar series in future Collection policy similar series in future Collection policy Similar series in future PRIORITY FOR RETENTION Highest Higher High Moderate Low Not a Priority		Door not obligate	Croates alight	Croates as-file	Croatca a hirada	Croates a hundar			
value? similar series policy interpretations policy explain/defend collection policy explain/defend collection policy similar series in future 5 4 3 2 1 0 TOTAL PRIORITY FOR RETENTION Highest Higher High Moderate Low Not a Priority								5	
interpretations collection policy collection policy similar series in future 5 4 3 2 1 0 TOTAL PRIORITY FOR RETENTION Highest Higher High Moderate Low Not a Priority									
5 4 3 2 1 0 TOTAL PRIORITY FOR RETENTION Highest Higher High Moderate Low Not a Priority				,			similar series in		
PRIORITY FOR RETENTION Highest Higher High Moderate Low Not a Priority		ļ					future		
PRIORITY FOR RETENTION Highest Higher High Moderate Low Not a Priority		5	4	3	2	1	0	TOTAL	
102-126 82-101 62-81 42-61 22-41 1-21 48 Moderate	PRIORITY FOR RETENTION	Highest	Higher	High	Moderate	Low	Not a Priority		
		102-126	82-101	62-81	42-61	22-41	1-21	48	Moderate

SERIES NAME:			T			1	RATING	COMMENTS
Desiderata	5	4	3	2	1	0		
VALUE OF INFORMATION		1					6	
Creator	Very significant	Significant	Regionally	I ocally significant	Limited significance	Cannot be	1	Acquisitions & Print
o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	nationally,	nationally,	significant;	member of	Limited digrilliodride	determined	· ·	Intake Coordinator and
	regionally, locally;	regionally, locally;	creator is	organization		1		Curatorial Support
	and/or top of	and/or near top of	important to					Coordinator, Bancroft
	organizational	organizational	organization but					Library
ļ <u>.</u>	hierarchy	hierarchy	not an officer					1000
Approximate inclusive dates of series	before 1920	1920-1945	1945-1985	within the last 20 years	within in the last 10 years	years	3	October, 1998 - August, 2007
Present and future research use potential	Very high use	High use	Moderate use	Minimal use	Difficult to	None	1	Possibly some use in
		1			determine	anticipated		history of library aspect,
								especially related to requests for specific
								book donations and
								collection development
								history
Evidence of historical events or business	Very high	High	Moderate	Minimal	Difficult to	None	0	Does not mark
transactions		}			determine	anticipated		acquisition events, rather marks desired
		1						acquisitions
Documents decision-making processes at the	Utmost importance	Very Important	Important	Some Importance	Slight importance	Not important	1	Documents desires at
national, state, or local level					ongpertamen			local level
Limitations on Use due to Physical	Very high	High	Moderate	Minimal	Difficult to	None	0	none
Characteristics or Donor Restrictions					determine	anticipated		L
Priority within Collection Development Policy	Critical to collecting		Related to		series is marginally	Out of	0	Is not related to critical
or Institution's Critical Functions	scope	scope	collecting scope	another institution	related to collecting	collecting scope		functions; does not fall
					scope	1		under University Archives scope and
						1		emphases
COST OF RETENTION		1					31	15
	_	4	3	2	4	0		
Estimated linear feet	5 0 to 15	15 to 30	30 to 50	50 to 100	100 to 300	over 300	5	
Louinated initial root		10 10 00	00 10 00	00 10 100	100 10 000	0.00		
Estimated percentage (%) of NRLF annual	0-2%	2-4%	4-6%	6-8%	8-10%	over 10%	5	far less than 1%
allotment								
Arrangement	Complete, good	Mostly complete,	Significant gaps,	Gaps; Original	Folders removed	Not a relevant	0	
	original order	good original order		order mixed	and original order	issue		
Conservation/Preservation treatment or	Excellent, no	Good. 1-5% of	order Fair. 5-10%	throughout Poor. 10-20%	nonexistent Very poor. Over	Not a relevant	0	
conversion needed	treatment or	series needs some			20% of series	issue		
	conversion needed	care.			needs treatment.			
Other formats: Percentage of Audio-Visual,	0%	1% to 5%	5% to 10%	10% to 20%	20% to 50%	50% to 100%	0	100% electronic records
Pictorial, and/or electronic records Possible restrictions	None	Copyright not	Limited access	Clearly stated	All access	Not a relevant	0	
OSSIDIC TESTITUTORS	None	granted	restrictions and	access restrictions		issue	· ·	
		g. a	copyright not	stipulated by	given date			
		1	granted	donor				
L				10.00		ļ.,		
Deadline for processing mandated by grant or deed	1-3 years	3-5 years	5-10 years	10-20 years	more than 20 years	No deadline stipulated	0	
Monetary value of series (appraisal or	more than \$30,000	\$10,000-\$30,000	\$5,000-\$10,000	less than \$5,000	Not known/no	Not a relevant	1	no monetary value
purchase)		, το, σου-φού, σου	ψο,οοο-ψ1ο,οοο		monetary value	issue	'	no monotary value
Amount of funding attached to series	More than sufficient	Sufficient to	Sufficient to	Some financial	consulting or	No funding	0	none
	to process	process	process half the	assistance given	student provided	given		
Estimated and a CRI ii LO	00 00 000	00.000 07.555	series	040.000.01= ===	045.000 000 000			
Estimated cost of Digital Conversion	\$0 - \$2,000	\$2,000 - \$5,000	ახ,000 - \$10,000	\$10,000-\$15,000	\$15,000 - \$20,000	more than \$20,000	5	records are born digital
Estimated Staff Costs for E-Records Storage	\$0 - \$5 000	\$5,000 - \$15,000	\$15,000 -	\$40,000 - \$80,000	\$80,000 - \$120,000		5	Staff costs: \$20, DPR
& Access	ψο,οοο	φ10,000	\$40,000	.0,000 \$00,000	ψ120,000	\$120,000		costs: \$0.11/year
Estimated cost of supplies	\$0 - \$2,000	\$2,000 - \$5,000		\$10,000-\$15,000	\$15,000 - \$20,000	more than	5	none
						\$20,000		
Estimated cost of processing staff	\$0 - \$5,000	\$5,000 - \$15,000	\$15,000 -	\$40,000 - \$80,000	\$80,000 - \$120,000		5	\$2.27
		 	\$40,000			\$120,000		
IMPLICATIONS OF APPRAISAL		1					5	
RECOMMENDATIONS								
Political Considerations: importance of donor	Utmost importance	Very Important	Important	Some Importance	Slight importance	Not a relevant	0	
to Bancroft/Gen Library/Campus		,	, p = 1.56.11		. 5 s	issue	-	
Procedural Precedents: in the future, will	Does not obligate	Creates slight	Creates conflict	Creates a burden	Creates a burden	Always	5	
Bancroft have to accept records of similar	Bancroft to take	conflict in current	with current	on curator to	on director to	Obligates		
value?	similar series	policy	policy	explain/defend	explain/defend	Bancroft to take		
		interpretations		collection policy	collection policy	similar series in future		
						iuluie		
	5	4	3	2	1	0	TOTAL	
PRIORITY FOR RETENTION	Highest	Higher	High	Moderate	Low	Not a Priority		
	102-126	82-101	62-81	42-61	22-41	1-21	42	Moderate