

Objective

- use for loops (counting loops)
- use while loops (conditional loops)
- use one dimensional arrays
- Understand how to write reusable code
- Understand how to optimize your programming time: KISS (Keep it simple)



Programming Goals:

Correctness

- · Gives the right answer
- · Never returns the wrong answer

Robustness

- · Program doesn't crash, even for bad input
- Maintainable (or *Sustainable*)
 - Simple code, easy to understand and modify
 - · Readable, well-commented, well-structured
- Fast (Efficient)

<u>ii</u> 🐺

胍

- · Uses efficient algorithms
- Takes advantage of language features to improve speed

User Efficiency

optimize your own time

- K.I.S.S. Keep it simple ...
 - Simple code is easier to understand and fix
 - A simple but correct solution is more valuable than a clever elegant but incorrect solution.
- Understand your code, Avoid accidental coding
 - · Find some code, type it in, it seems to work, so ..
 - When problems inevitably appear, you can't fix the bugs, if you don't understand your own code...
 - Use help & documentation.
- Play with functionality until you understand it.
- Have a plan (Divide & Conquer)
 - · Come up with a plan
 - · Break plan into small bite-size chunks
 - Solve each chunk and verify that chunk works properly
 - · Assemble all the working chunks to solve original problem

Algorithmic Efficiency • Reducing the amount of computing resources


- Reducing the amount of computing resources that an algorithm consumes
- Speed: The amount of time it takes for an algorithm to complete
- Space: The amount of memory or storage used by an algorithm.
- **Note:** Most of the problems we solve in class don't require this extra level of effort.
- If your solution works correctly, but is running too slowly, or is taking too much memory, often the best solution is to find a better algorithm.





Looping Efficiency


- Loops are powerful flexible concepts for solving problems involving repetitive processing of the same task with different data over and over again.
- It makes modifying code efficient
 - You don't have to changes in multiple places




















```
ever{1} ever{2} ever{3} ever{4} bever{1} bever{2} bever{3} bever{4}
           alcever cocever mjever
                                           bcigever balcever bcocever bmjever
          * Brute Force: Cut & Paste & Tweel
           if cigever=1 then boigever=1;
           else if cigever=2 then boigever=0;
           if alcever=1 then balcever=1:
           else if alcever=2 then balcever=0;
           else if cocever=2 then boccever=0;
           if mjever=1 then bmjever=1;
              o if mjever in (0,2) then bmjever=0;
           * Using arrays is much more elegant and accurate:
            array ever [4] cigever alcever cocever mjever;
            rray bever [4] beigever balcever becoever bmjever;
            if ever{i}=1 th
                            bever {i}=1;
<u>ii</u> 🐺
            else if ever{i} in (0,2) then bever{i}=0;
```

```
ever{1} ever{2} ever{3} ever{4} bever{1} bever{2} bever{3} bever{4}
 cigever alcever cocever mjever bcigever balcever bcocever bmjever
        * Using arrays is much more elegant and accurate;
         array ever [4] cigever alcever cocever mjever;
         array bever [4] beigever balcever beosever bmjever;
         do i=1 to 4;
          if ever{i}=1 then bever{i}=1;
          else if ever{i} in (0,2) then bever{i}=0:
Why?
         end:
         * Even better, more extensible, using arrays;
         array ever {*} cigever alcever cocever mjever;
         array bever {*} beigever balcever beosever bmjever;
Indent
         do i=1 to dim(ever): * uses the dimension of the array;
Why?
          if ever{i}=1 then bever{i}=1;
          else if ever{i} in (0, 2) then bever{i}=0;
<u>ii</u> 🐺
```

```
ever{1} ever{2} ever{3} ever{4} bever{1} bever{2} bever{3} bever{4}
 cigever alcever cocever mjever bcigever balcever bcocever bmjever
          * Using arrays is much more elegant and accurate;
          array ever [5] cigever alcever cocever mjever snfever;
         array bever [5] beigever balcever beosever bmjever banfever;
do i=1 to 5;
            if ever{i}=1 then bever{i}=1;
Why?
            else if ever{i} in (0,2) then bever{i}=0;
         * Even better, more extensible, using arrays;
         array ever [*] cigever alcever cocever mjever snfever;
         array bever (*) beigever balcever beocever bmjever bsnfever;
Indent
         do i=1 to dim(ever); * uses the dimension of the array;
  if ever{i}=1 then bever{i}=1;
Why?
            else if ever{i} in (0,2) then bever{i}=0;
∭ Āķ
```

```
Indentation & Line Break
Which is more readable?

do i=1 to dim(ever):
    if ever{i}=1 then
        bever{i}=1:
    else if ever{i} in (0, 2) then
        bever{i}=0:
    end;

do i=1 to dim(ever):
    if ever{i}=1 then bever{i}=1:
    else if ever{i} in (0, 2) then
    bever{i}=0:
    end;
```

```
Indentation — helps outline code

Which is more readable?

do i=1 to dim(ever);
    if ever{i}=1 then
        bever{i}=1;
    else if ever{i} in (0,2) then
        bever{i}=0;
end:

do i=1 to dim(ever);
    if ever{i}=1 then
    bever{i}=1;
    else if ever{i} in (0,2) then
    bever{i}=0;
end:
```

```
Looping behavior (Iteration)


do i=1 to dim(ever);
    if ever{i}=1 then
        bever{i}=1;
    else if ever{i} in (0,2) then
        bever{i}=0;
    end;

Body:
    This code gets repeated 'n' times,
    n = dim(ever) = 4

* Hidden Code: i = i + 1; * changes each iteration
    Inserted Here if i <= dim(ever)
        <jump back to top of loop>
        else <exit loop> end
```



- http://www.stata.com/help.cgi?foreach
- google
 - stata foreach over multiple varlist
 - http://www.stata.com/statalist/archive/2013-03/msg01241.html



Counted Loops vs. Conditional Loops

Counted Loops

- I want to repeat a task (piece of code) a specified number of times, say'n'
- Example: I want to calculate grades for all 40 students in my class

Conditional Loops

- I want to repeat a task until some condition is satisfied.
 - Example: I want to grade as many students as I can between now and when I go home at 5:00 PM.

胍

SAS: conditional loops

- · There are 3 forms of the DO statement:
 - The iterative DO statement executes statements between DO and END statements repetitively based on the value of an index variable. The iterative DO statement can contain a WHILE or UNTIL clause.
 - STOP when finished running N times
 - The DO UNTIL statement executes statements in a DO loop repetitively until a condition is true, checking the condition after each iteration of the DO loop.
 - STOP when the condition is TRUE
 - The DO WHILE statement executes statements in a DO loop repetitively while a condition is true, checking the condition before each iteration of the DO loop.
 - STOP when the condition is FALSE



<u>ii</u> 🐺

do while loop statement the conditional loop solution (SAS)

do while (<test>);
 <Body: do some work>
 <Update: make progress towards exiting loop>
end;

If we don't know ahead of time, how many times we need to loop but we can write a **test** for when we are done; Then the **while** loop is a great solution.

Note: For this to work properly, the <test> needs to evaluate to a logical value.

POPULATION INFORMATICS RESEARCH GID.P Note: The body of the while loop will continue to get executed as long as the <test> evaluates to true. The while loop is exited as soon as the condition evaluates to false.

do until loop statement the conditional loop solution do until (<test>); <Body: do some work> <Update: make progress towards exiting loop> Very similar to do while loop · The difference? The test is evaluated Until: at the **bottom** of the loop **after** the statements in the DO loop have been executed. The DO loop always iterates at least once While: at the **top** of the loop **before** the statements in the DO loop have been executed. Stops when ∭ Āķ Until: If the expression is **true**, the DO loop does not iterate again While: If the expression is false, the DO loop does not iterate again

```
Infinite Loops

count = 1;
do while (1); * test always true;
    * This Loop never stops;
count = count + 1;
end;

Note: Use <ctrl-c> or STOP or Kill SAS
to exit current execution, if you appear to be stuck
in an infinite loop.

For most programs, the test expression must
eventually become false, for the loop to be
useful.
```

```
Counting in a while loop

* Initialize variables;
array rate(*) rate2001 - rate2013;
idx = 1;
count = 0;

* Count years with rate > 7;
do while (idx <= dim(rate));

* Test current element against 7;
if rate(idx) > 7.0 then
count = count + 1;

* Update: Don't forget to increment !;
idx = idx + 1;
end;
```

```
Better to use the for loop

* Initialize variables;
array rate{*} rate2001-rate2013;
count = 0;

* Count years with rate > 7;
do idx=1 to dim(rate));
 * Test current element against 7;
if rate(idx) > 7.0 then
    count = count + 1;
end;
```

```
A good example for while loop

multiple conditions


* What year was the 4th year when rate > 7;
array rate (*) rate2001 - rate2013;
idx = 1;
count = 0;


* Count years with rate > 7;
do while (count(4 & idx (= dim(rate));
* Test current element against 7;
if rate (idx) > 7.0 then
count = count + 1;


* Update: Don't forget to increment!
idx = idx + 1;
end;


if (count=4) then year4=2000+idx;
* else year4=.;
```


```
leave statement
        Terminates for or while loops, breaks flow of control of inner
        most nested while or for loop containing leave statement.
             array rate(*) rate2001 - rate2013;
            idx = 1:
            count = 0;
            * What year was the 4^{th} year when rate > 7:
            do while ( idx <= dim(rate) );</pre>
                   if rate(idx) > 7.0 then
                 count = count + 1;
              * Jump out of while loop;
              if (count = 4) then leave;
              idx = idx + 1:
            * Control flow jumps to here after break;
iii Aja
            if (count=4) then year4=2000+idx;
```



































PHPM 672/677 2/9/2016



File name (7 in total)

- kum2.sas (either your code, or commented my code)
- kum2.log
- kum2.htm or kum2.lst
- kum2lab.sas
- kum2lab.log
- kum2lab.lst
- kum2readme.txt
- Do Not type text into BB during submission.
- Use P1.3 in the comment so I can locate it.



Assignment Plan

- 1: Type what I gave you and run
- 2: Write your own relatively simple
- 3: Write your first real program (reusable elegant code)
- 4: Combining Tables
- 5: Indexing
- 6: Macros
- Final project



Guideline for assignment grading (Total of 8)

- Assignment (Total 4)
 - 1: Submitted code that does not run.
 - 2: Mostly running but incorrect.
 - 3: Correct and meets requirements (i.e uses programming constructs required for the assignment)
 - 4: Correct & Elegant. Comments.
- Answers to questions on the assignment (Total 1)
- Midpoint check email (Total 1)
- Lab (Total 2): recommend submitting after one week to get feedback for assignment



Submission

- · Collaboration (specify what)
 - Programming/debugging/taught general use
- Submitting answer as readme.txt
- Lab (Total 2): recommend submitting after one week to assess skill for assignment
- You really should be starting your assignment at least one week before it is due, in order for you to have sufficient time to iterate and seek help when needed.
- Differentiated class: Could have two levels (easy/moderate)
- Either is fine

