

# Efficient Photovoltaic Module Models

## ABSTRACT

**Abstract—** Bypass diodes are added to photovoltaic (PV) modules to compensate for power loss under shading effects. However, existing models cannot efficiently model PV modules with bypass diodes. In this paper, we develop an efficient Colony-Wise model with the nearly the same accuracy as the Ground Truth (GT) model, but its calculating time is reduced by 5.81 times on average. Furthermore, a more efficient N-Colony model is proposed, which has an average 5.15% maximum power point error, and an average power-voltage curve correlation of 0.96, and uses about 1/16 calculating time when compared to the GT model. To the best of our knowledge, the Colony-Wise model and the N-Colony model are the two most efficient models for real-time solar energy prediction.

## I. INTRODUCTION

As a promising solution in the clean energy, photovoltaic (PV) systems have drawn great attention recently. An accurate PV system model is the kernel in the PV system optimization. Furthermore, a fast and accurate model is more attractive when real time applications, such as capturing the PV system characteristics with ever-changing shadings [1, 2], is required.

A PV system model needs to consider mismatches across solar cells in PV systems. Mismatches have to be considered for two reasons. First, a PV system has numerous solar cells - a PV system consists of thousands of PV modules, and each PV module consists of cascaded and paralleled solar cells. Thus, solar cell mismatches are inevitable. Second, PV systems are vulnerable to mismatches. Mismatches, such as temperature variations, non-uniform cell aging, and non-uniform shading across the cells, can cause power losses and solar cell damages [3]. The non-uniform shading is also known as Shading Effects [4, 5]. Shading Effects lead to the largest output power reduction and cell damage [4]. Furthermore, the shading on PV module is always changing. A fast PV system model is preferred to capture these changes.

The bypass diode is another essential part in a PV module, and has to be modeled accurate in a PV module model. Bypass diodes are connected in parallel to solar cells. Figure 1 shows a solar cell chain with 3 bypass diodes. Bypass diodes have been proven to be an effective solution to compensate for consequences cause by mismatches [6].

A PV systems model is based on PV module models. The existing PV module models are equivalent circuit models [7, 8]. Generally, a solar cell is modeled as a circuit, with a current source, diodes and resistors. A well-accepted solar cell model is shown in Figure 2. It is referred to as the *One-Diode model* in this paper. A PV module model is then modeled by cascaded and paralleled One-Diode solar cell models, along




Fig. 1. A PV module with 1 solar cell chain, which consists of 12 solar cells and 3 colonies.




Fig. 2. The One-Diode model of a solar cell.

with the bypass diodes according to the PV modules configuration. Each One-Diode solar cell has its own parameters to model mismatches. This PV module model offers great accuracy. We refer this model as the *Ground Truth (GT)* model throughout this paper. An example is as in Figure 1. It is a PV module with a single solar cell chain that consists of 12 solar cells and 3 bypass diodes. Therefore, its GT model has 12 One-Diode models and 3 diode models. The major drawback of the GT model is its computational time. The GT models complexity is proportional to the number of solar cells and bypass diodes. Consequently, the reduction of PV module models complexity is appealing.

In this paper, we propose two PV module models to solve the above problem. The first model is call the *Colony-Wise (CW)* model. A *colony* is defined as a bypass diode plus all its paralleled solar cells, as shown in the circle in Figure 1. The number of colonies inside a PV module equals its quantity of bypass diodes. Solar cells inside of each colony are lumped into at most two *macro cells*. The macro cell is modeled as the One-Diode model. Compared with the GT model, the CW model remains high accuracy, while its complexity is only proportional to the number of bypass diodes in a PV module.

We proposed a second *N-Colony (NC)* model to further reduce the complexity. The *N-Colony* model models a PV module with at most *N* colonies, where *N* is the number of bypass diodes in a modules cascaded solar cell chain. All solar cells inside each colony are only modeled by one *super cell*. This super cell is again modeled by the One-Diode model. The NC model achieves constant computational complexity with acceptable accuracy.

Our major contribution is that these two models can model PV module of various configurations and with shading effects accurately and efficiently.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the One-Diode solar cell model, and how to represent shading effects and PV module configurations. These are the preliminaries of our proposed PV module models. Section III and Section IV describe the Colony-Wise model and the *N-Colony* Model respectively in detail. Section V compares these two new models with the Ground Truth model. Finally, Section VI concludes the paper.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

### A. The One-Diode Solar Cell Model

A well-accepted way to model a solar cell is through an equivalent circuit [7]. This model is also denoted as the One-Diode model in the paper. It has one current source and a diode in parallel as shown in Figure 2 in Section I.

For the One-Diode model, the Current-Voltage (I-V) curve of the solar cell is as the following:

$$I = I_{ph_o} - I_{s_o} \left[ \exp\left(q \frac{V + R_{s_o} I}{N_o k T}\right) - 1 \right] - \frac{V + R_{s_o} I}{R_{sh_o}} \quad (1)$$

where  $I_{ph_o}$  is the photovoltaic (PV) current.  $I_{s_o}$  is the reverse saturation current of the diode  $D_o$ .  $N_o$  is the diode quality factor,  $k$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $T$  is the cell operating temperature, and  $q$  is the unit electronic charge.  $R_{s_o}$  is the equivalent serial resistance of the cell and  $R_{sh_o}$  is the shunt resistance [7]. Note that the macro cell and the super cell are also modeled as the One-Diode model. The subscript *o* represents all parameters are from the solar cell's One-Diode model. These parameters can be extracted from manufacturer specifications or from the measured I-V curves of a solar cell.

### B. Shading Effects Representation in the One-Diode Model

Shading effects are the non-uniformly received solar irradiance for each solar cell in a PV module. Since the received solar irradiance directly determines the photovoltaic current  $I_{ph_o}$  in the One-Diode model, shading effects can be represented as each solar cell has its own  $I_{ph_o}$ .

### C. Notations and Definitions

We describe a PV module's configuration as the following. Without loss of generality, we assume a PV module to be *mSnP*, which means this module has *n* paralleled solar cell chains and *m* cascaded solar cells for each chain. The number of bypass diodes for each solar cell chain is  $n_{bp}$ . One PV module has  $n * n_{bp}$  bypass diodes.




Fig. 3. (a) One colony with  $n_c$  solar cells (b) One colony model in Colony-Wise model (c) Circuit diagram of a colony modeled by the Colony-Wise Model

We use shading level  $SL$  to describe the shading effects. One  $SL$  is defined as the  $I_{ph}$  of a solar cell in the One-Diode model. Therefore, for the  $i^{th}$  solar cell in the  $j^{th}$  chain, we have:

$$SL_{ij} = I_{ph}(i, j) \quad (2)$$

All the shading levels together on a PV module represent its shading effects. The total number of different shading levels within one PV module is denoted as  $n_{SL}$ . Note that when all the solar cells have the identical photovoltaic current,  $n_{SL} = 1$ . This means there are no shading effects. The maximum of  $n_{SL}$  is  $m * n$ , when each of the solar cell has its own unique shading level.

For a PV module with  $n_{SL}$  multilevel shadings, we can use a  $I_{ph}$  sequence and a ratios sequence to represent shading effects:

$$\begin{aligned} SLs &= \{I_{ph_1}, I_{ph_2}, \dots, I_{ph_{n_{SL}}}\} \\ ratios &= \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n_{SL}}\} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the ratios  $r_i$  represents the percentage of solar cells that has  $I_{ph_i}$ . Therefore, in an *mSnP* PV module, there are  $m * n * r_i$  solar cells that have  $I_{ph_i}$ .

## III. THE COLONY-WISE PV MODULE MODEL

### A. The Colony-Wise Model Equivalent Circuit Diagram

One colony in a PV module can be represented as in Figure 3 (a) abstractly. Let us assume that this colony has  $n_c$  solar cells.  $SL_1$  to  $SL_{n_c}$  denotes shading level of each solar cell. Recall

TABLE I  
MODEL REDUCTION RULE FOR THE COLONY-WISE MODEL

Parameter	Max Macro Cell	Min Macro Cell
$I_{ph}^m$	$SL_{max}^m$	$SL_{min}^m$
$I_s^m$	$I_{s_o}$	$I_{s_o}$
$N^m$	$n_{max} * N_o$	$n_{min} * N_o$
$R_s^m$	$n_{max} * R_{s_o}$	$n_{min} * R_{s_o}$
$R_{sh}^m$	$R_{sh_o}$	$R_{sh_o}$

that the Ground Truth model models each solar cell with a One-Diode model. Differ from the GT model, in the Colony-Wise model, all  $n_c$  solar cells are lumped into at most two macro cells as shown in Figure 3 (b). If  $SL_1 = SL_2 = \dots = SL_{n_c}$ , there is only one macro cell. Then all of the  $n_c$  solar cells are lumped into this macro cell. Otherwise, all cells are lumped into two macro cells according to their shading levels (SLs). The two macro cells are also modeled by the One-Diode model, with their shading levels as  $SL_{max}^m$  and  $SL_{min}^m$ . The superscript  $m$  denotes the parameters are from macro cells. Section III B details the generation of parameters of the Colony-Wise model from the Ground Truth model.  $SL_{max}^m$  and  $SL_{min}^m$  are defined as the following:

$$SL_{max}^m = \max_{i \in n_c} \{SL_1, SL_2, \dots, SL_{n_c}\} \quad (4)$$

$$SL_{min}^m = \min_{i \in n_c} \{SL_1, SL_2, \dots, SL_{n_c}\} \quad (5)$$

#### B. Parameter Generation of The Colony-Wise Model

Heuristically, we pick the two most representative solar cells within each colony to build the Colony-Wise model. These two cells are the basis of the two macro cells - the Max Macro Cell and the Min Macro Cell. The two macro cells are circled in the Figure 3 (c). One cell (to build the Max Macro Cell) has the maximum shading level  $SL_{max}^m$ , while the other cell (to build the Min Macro Cell) has the minimum shading level  $SL_{min}^m$ .

$n_{max}$  and  $n_{min}$  counts the numbers of cells that belong to each macro cells within one colony. Note that:

$$n_{max} + n_{min} = n_c \quad (6)$$

The belonging of a cell depends on the comparison between its shading level and the threshold. The threshold is defined in Equation 7:

$$thres = \frac{1}{2}(SL_{max}^m + SL_{min}^m) \quad (7)$$

For a solar cell, if its  $SL \geq thres$ , it belongs to the Max Macro Cell; otherwise, it belongs to the Min Macro Cell.

The circuit diagram of a colony modeled by the Colony-Wise model is as shown in Figure 3 (c). We can derive the parameters of the Max Macro Cell and the Min Macro Cell based on  $n_{max}$  and  $n_{min}$ . The model reduction is shown in Table I.

#### C. Computational Complexity of the Colony-Wise Model

The circuit solver spends most of its time on solving non-linear equations. Therefore, the non-linear components, which




Fig. 4. (a) Equivalent circuit of one super colony in the N-Colony model (b) Diagram of the N-Colony model of a PV module

are the diodes in PV module models, dominate the computational time. For an  $mSnP$  PV module with  $n_{bp}$  bypass diodes for each chain, the ratio of number of diodes between the GT model and CW model is:

$$diode\_ratio = \frac{m * n + n * n_{bp}}{n * n_{bp} * 3} \quad (8)$$

### IV. THE N-COLONY PV MODULE MODEL

#### A. The N-Colony Model Equivalent Circuit Diagram

For a PV module with homogenous bypass diode distribution (each chain in a PV module has the same number of bypass diodes, and these bypass diodes have the same configuration), we can further lump its Ground Truth model into the N-Colony model. The notation  $N$  stands for the number of bypass diodes in each chain. In this paper, we use notation from Section II C,  $N = n_{bp}$ .

The N-Colony Model consists of  $N$  super colonies. Each super colony consists of one bypass diode and one super cell. The super cell is as circled in Figure 4, and is again modeled by the One-Diode Model. The equivalent circuit diagram for one such colony is shown in Figure 4 (a). The N-Colony model of a  $mSnP$  PV module is as shown in Figure 4 (b).

The N-Colony model is an heuristic model based on experiments. The parameters of the N-Colony model need to be curve fitted from the Ground Truth model. The details of the parameter generation are shown in the next sub-section.

#### B. Parameter Generation of the N-Colony Model

##### B.1 Super Colony's Shading Level Generation

First, we determine the shading level of each super colony. It is also the  $I_{ph}$  of the One-Diode model in the super cell.

According to Equation 4 and 5, we can calculate the  $SL_{max}^{(i,j)}$  and the  $SL_{min}^{(i,j)}$  of each colony. Note that when the cells are identical within a colony,  $SL_{max}^{(i,j)} = SL_{min}^{(i,j)}$ . The notation

$(i, j)$  represents the  $i^{th}$  colony in the  $j^{th}$  column in a PV module.

We define a matrix namely the All-Min-Matrix ( $M_{am}$ ) to record all  $SL_{min}^{(i,j)}$ s.  $M_{am}$  has orders, and is formed as the following. For the  $j^{th}$  chain of a PV module, we have the a SL sequence for all the colonies within this chain:  $SL_{min}^{(1,j)}, SL_{min}^{(2,j)}, \dots, SL_{min}^{(n_{bp},j)}$ . We then reorder this sequence into:  $SL^{(1,j)}, SL^{(2,j)}, \dots, SL^{(n_{bp},j)}$ , such that:

$$SL^{(1,j)} \leq SL^{(2,j)} \leq \dots \leq SL^{(n_{bp},j)} \quad (9)$$

The All-Min-Matrix then is defined accordingly:

$$M_{am} = \begin{bmatrix} SL^{(1,1)} & \dots & SL^{(1,n)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ SL^{(n_{bp},1)} & \dots & SL^{(n_{bp},n)} \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

where  $n$  is the number of solar cell chains in a  $mSnP$  PV module.

Finally, for the  $i^{th}$  super colony in the N-Colony model, its  $I_{ph}^i$  is generated by Equation 11:

$$I_{ph}^i = \sum_{j=1}^n M_{am}(i, j) \quad (11)$$

## B.2 Cell Shading Ratio, Colony Shading Ratio and Shading Ratio

We define three variables that are the backbone parameters in the N-Colony model: the Shading Ratio ( $R$ ), the Cell Shading Ratio ( $c_r$ ) and the Colony Shading Ratio ( $C_R$ ). Parameters in N-Colony are generated from  $R$ , and  $R$  depends on  $c_r$  and  $C_R$ . For a PV module that has  $n_{bp}$  bypass diodes in a solar cell chain, we have  $c_r^1, c_r^2, \dots, c_r^{n_{bp}}, C_R^1, C_R^2, \dots, C_R^{n_{bp}}$  and  $R^1, R^2, \dots, R^{n_{bp}}$  for a PV module.

$c_r$  and  $C_R$  are defined as in Equation 12:

$$\begin{aligned} c_r^i &= \frac{\#i^{th} \text{ lv cell}}{m * n} \\ C_R^i &= \frac{\#i^{th} \text{ lv colony}}{n * n_{bp}} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $\#i^{th} \text{ lv cell}$  is the number of solar cells with shading level  $i$ . A solar cell has shading level  $i$  when its SL satisfies:

$$M_{am}(i-1, j) \leq SL \leq M_{am}(i, j) \quad (13)$$

where  $j$  is the column this solar cell belongs to.

Similarly,  $\#i^{th} \text{ lv colony}$  is the number of colonies with shading level  $i$ , which is defined as in Equation 14 :

$$M_{am}(i-1, j) \leq SL_{min} \leq M_{am}(i, j) \quad (14)$$

where  $j$  is the column this colony belongs to, and  $SL_{min}$  is defined in Equation 5.

$R$  is then defined as:

$$R^i = \begin{cases} \alpha_i * c_r^i + \beta_i * C_R^i + \gamma_i & \text{if } i \neq n_{bp} \\ 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_{bp}-1} R^j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

TABLE II  
MODEL REDUCTION RULE FOR THE N-COLONY MODEL

Parameter	Super Colony $i$
$I_{ph}^s$	$I_{ph}^i$
$I_s^s$	$I_{s_o} * n * R^i$
$N^s$	$N_o * m * R^i$
$R_s^s$	$R_{s_o} * m/n * R^i$
$R_{sh}^s$	$R_{sh_o}/n * R^i$
$I_{sbp}^s$	$I_{sbp_o} * n * R^i$
$N_{bp}^s$	$N_{bp_o} * n * R^i$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  are the weighing factors that need to be curve fitted. Note that we need to make sure all  $R^i$ 's are greater than zero.

Therefore, for a PV module with  $n * n_{bp}$  bypass diodes on each chain,  $(n * n_{bp} - 1) * 3$  parameters are required to be curve fitted.

## B.3 Parameters in the N-Colony Model

Once we have all  $R^i$ 's and  $I_{ph}^i$ 's, we can generate all N-Colony model parameters based on Table II. In Table II,  $I_{sbp}^s$  and  $N_{bp}^s$  are parameters of the bypass diode in the super colony. The other parameters are for the super cell. The superscript  $s$  denotes the corresponding parameters are from the super colony.

## B.4 Curve Fitting of the Weighting Factors

The goal of the N-Colony model is to minimize the error between its Power-Voltage (PV) curve and the curve generated by the Ground Truth model. In addition, we care about the maximum power point (MPP), which is the optimal operation point of a PV module. Therefore, the objective function of the curve fitting is described in Equation 16.

$$Obj = w_1 * 0.5 * (1 - CORR) + w_2 * Error_{MPP} \quad (16)$$

where  $Error_{MPP}$  is the relative error of the maximum power point (MPP), and CORR is the correlation of the two curves. If we have two P-V curves, namely  $X$  and  $Y$ , with each curve consisting of sampled points  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then the CORR of the two curves is defined in Equation 17.

$$CORR = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}} \quad (17)$$

where  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  are the average value for all  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ . Note that the closer CORR is to 1, the more similar the two curves are.

In addition, to quantify the impacts of these two optimization goals, they have to be normalized.  $Error_{MPP}$  has already been normalized because its value is between 0 and 1. However, CORR has a range between  $-1$  and  $1$ . Therefore, the  $0.5 * (1 - CORR)$  term is the normalization term used in Equation 16. Furthermore,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are weights that are used to count for the impacts of CORR and MPP after normalization. In our experiment, they are both set to 0.5 to make the impacts of CORR and MPP equal.

TABLE III  
CURVE FITTING RESULTS OF THE N-COLONY MODEL

Weighting Factors	60s2p	30s4p	40s2p
$\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1\}$	$\{0.41, 0.17, 0.04\}$	$\{0.75, 0.22, 0.06\}$	$\{0.71, 0.27, 0.10\}$
$\{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2\}$	$\{0.40, 0.20, 0.14\}$	NA	NA

### C. Computational Complexity of the N-Colony Model

The complexity between the GT model and the NC model can also be roughly estimated by Equation 18, following the same reasoning in Section III C. The NC model has constant computational complexity in the sense of  $n_{bp}$ .  $n_{bp}$  is usually a fixed small number.

$$diode\_ratio = \frac{m * n + n * n_{bp}}{n_{bp} * 2} \quad (18)$$

## V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

### A. Experiment Settings

In this section, we compare three models for PV modules in terms of accuracy and speed. These models are the Ground Truth (GT) model, the Colony-Wise (CW) model, and the N-Colony (TC) model. Without losing generality, we assume that all the cells that have the same shading level within one PV module tend to cluster together.

We use notations from Section II (Equation 3) to represent the multilevel shading settings. In the experiment, we assume  $SLs = \{2A, 1.75A, 1.5A, 1.25A, 1A\}$ . In order to simulate multiple shading scenarios, we define a ration array that consist three configurations:  $ratios1 = \{70\%, 10\%, 5\%, 5\%, 10\%\}$ ,  $ratios2 = \{50\%, 10\%, 10\%, 10\%, 20\%\}$  and  $ratios3 = \{20\%, 20\%, 20\%, 20\%, 20\%\}$ . The three  $ratios$  cover low shading, medium shading and high shading respectively. For one PV module, we generate 100 random shading patterns under each ratio configuration to evaluate three models.

We instantiate three different PV modules in our experiment: 60s2p, 30s4p and 40s2p. As for the bypass diode configuration, every 15 to 20 cells have one bypass diode according to [6]. Therefore, 60s2p module has 3 bypass diodes for each chain, and other two modules have 2 bypass diodes for each chain. We use the same solar cell's One-Diode model parameters as in [7]. Diode quality factor  $N_o = 1.5$ , diode saturation current  $I_{s_o} = 10^{-6}A$ , serial resistance  $R_{s_o} = 0.0079\Omega$  and shunt resistance  $R_{sh_o} = 5000\Omega$ . The bypass diode has the quality factor  $N_{bp_o} = 1$  and saturation current  $I_{sbp_o} = 10^{-6}A$ .

The circuit simulations are conducted in HSPICE [9]. The experiment was conducted on a laptop that has an Intel i5 2.4GHz CPU, and 8GB memory.

For the N-Colony model, 20 shading patterns are used to curve fit the weighting factors in Equation 16. The curve-fitted parameters are used to validate the PV module models through the rest of shading patterns. We implement the gradient descent search to achieve the curve fitting. In order to jump out of the local optimal, several randomized initial points are used and we pick the best results. The curve-fitted parameters of the NC model are shown in Table III. Although they are different for different PV modules, the curve fitting overhead is




Fig. 5. An example of three P-V curves generated by three models of a same PV module configuration




Fig. 6. (a) CW model's MPP error histogram over 900 test cases (b) CW model's CORR histogram over 900 test cases

reasonable because in a solar farm, the types of PV modules are usually small and fixed.

Furthermore, from Table III,  $\alpha$  is always larger than  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  under all conditions. This shows that  $C_R$  has a larger impact on PV module modeling than  $c_r$ .  $C_R$  is the dominant factor in a PV modules output power. This implies that the PV module generates less power, when the shade covers more colonies while the area of the shade remains the same. Similar observations can also be found in other references [10, 7, 8].

### B. Accuracy Comparisons Among the Three Models

To evaluate accuracy of the Colony-Wise model and the N-Colony model, the average relative error of the Maximum Power Point (MPP) and the average P-V curve correlation (CORR) are compared with the Ground Truth model. The MPP represents the operation point of a PV module, and the CORR shows the similarity between the estimated P-V curve and the Ground Truth curve.

Figure 5 shows an typical example of the P-V curves gen-






Fig. 7. (a) NC model's MPP error histogram over 900 test cases (b) NC model's CORR histogram over 900 test cases

TABLE IV  
RUNTIME OF THE THREE MODELS

PV Module Config	GT	CW (Speedup)	NC (Speedup)
60s2p	2216s	318s (7.0X)	129s (17.2X)
30s4p	1325s	317s (4.2X)	91s (14.5X)
40s2p	1652s	267s (6.2X)	101s (16.3X)

erated by the three models. The PV module is 60s2p with 3 bypass diodes for each solar cell chain. Compared to the Ground Truth model's P-V curve (red solid line), the Colony-Wise model (blue dashed line) has almost the same accuracy, while the N-Colony model (green dot-dashed line) sacrifices the model a little bit to trade off for the constant computational speed.

First, we compare the Colony-Wise model with the Ground Truth model over the 900 test cases. Figure 6 (a) and (b) show the histogram of 900 cases MPP error and CORR. The average MPP error is 0.92%, and the average CORR is 0.999. In addition, the largest MPP error is 4.21%, 95% of MPP errors are less than 2.60%, and the lowest CORR is 0.995. The results show that the Colony-Wise model has nearly the same accuracy as the Ground Truth model.

Then, we compare the N-Colony model with the Ground Truth model. Figure 7 (a) shows the histogram of 900 cases' MPP error, and (b) shows the CORRs' histogram. The average MPP error is 5.15%, and the average CORR is 0.96. The NC model sacrifices the accuracy a little for its constant computational complexity. There are two major reasons for the loss of accuracy. First, the NC model has constant number of circuit components. Therefore, there will be information loss when converting from the higher-ordered GT model to the lower-ordered NC model. Since the conversion is universal for one PV module type, the lost information is larger under some cases. Second, the NC model is an experimental model, which means some of its parameters are curved fitted (trained) through experiment data. Right now, we use 20 patterns for training, and the model might suffer from over-fitting [11]. Therefore, the NC model has larger error for the cases that are not covered in the training set. To overcome this weakness, we can increase the number of training patterns.

### C. Speed Comparisons Among the Three Models

We compare the three models' efficiency in terms of H-SPICE simulation time. The total run-time of the 300 cases for each PV module is shown in Table IV.

As shown in Table IV, the average speedup of the Colony-Wise model and the N-Colony model are 5.8X and 16X. For each experiment configuration, the speedup roughly follows the diode ratio in Equation 8 and 18.

The maximum speedup of the NC model is 17.2X when the PV module setting is 60s2p (3 bypass diodes for each chain). The speedup can be higher when a PV module has more parallel solar cell chain and more solar cells within each colony.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, two new PV module models are proposed, namely the Colony-Wise (CW) model and the N-Colony (NC) model. The two new models can support multiple shading levels on a PV module and various PV module configurations. The Colony-Wise model can efficiently capture the P-V curve of a PV module with almost no accuracy loss. The N-Colony model can further reduce the models computational complexity with a limited accuracy loss.

The N-Colony model is based on curve fitting. The accuracy of the model is highly related to the curve fitting process. Our future work will be finding optimal/good parameters in a high-dimensional solution space.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Koutroulis, K. Kalaitzakis, and N. C. Voulgaris, "Development of a microcontroller-based, photovoltaic maximum power point tracking control system," *Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 46–54, 2001.
- [2] W. Xiao and W. G. Dunford, "A modified adaptive hill climbing mppt method for photovoltaic power systems," in *Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 2004. PESC 04. 2004 IEEE 35th Annual*, vol. 3. IEEE, 2004, pp. 1957–1963.
- [3] W. Herrmann, W. Wiesner, and W. Vaassen, "Hot spot investigations on pv modules—new concepts for a test standard and consequences for module design with respect to bypass diodes," in *Photovoltaic Specialists Conference, 1997., Conference Record of the Twenty-Sixth IEEE*. IEEE, 1997, pp. 1129–1132.
- [4] M. Alonso-Garcia, J. Ruiz, and F. Chenlo, "Experimental study of mismatch and shading effects in the i-v characteristic of a photovoltaic module," *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 329–340, 2006.
- [5] R. M. Sullivan, "Shadow effects on a series-parallel array of solar cells," *Greenbelt, MD*, 1965.
- [6] S. Silvestre, A. Boronat, and A. Chouder, "Study of bypass diodes configuration on pv modules," *Applied Energy*, vol. 86, no. 9, pp. 1632–1640, 2009.
- [7] H. Patel and V. Agarwal, "Matlab-based modeling to study the effects of partial shading on pv array characteristics," *Energy Conversion, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 302–310, 2008.
- [8] A. Kajihara and T. Harakawa, "Model of photovoltaic cell circuits under partial shading," in *Industrial Technology, 2005. IEEE International Conference on*, 2005, pp. 866–870.
- [9] H. U. Manual, "Meta-software," *Inc.: Campbell, CA*, 1996.
- [10] M. G. Villalva, J. R. Gazoli *et al.*, "Comprehensive approach to modeling and simulation of photovoltaic arrays," *Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 1198–1208, 2009.
- [11] G. C. Cawley and N. L. Talbot, "Preventing over-fitting during model selection via bayesian regularisation of the hyper-parameters," *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 8, pp. 841–861, 2007.