# MorphVLM: Towards more Efficient and Robust Multimodal Vision Language Models

## **Chirag Khatri**

# Mihir Mangesh Pavuskar

## **Pothula Punith Krishna**

ckhatri@usc.edu

011

014

017

025

036

037

pavuksar@usc.edu

pothulap@usc.edu

040

041

043

044

045

047

048

050

051

054

058

060

061

062

063

064

065

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

077

## **Prince Verma**

## princeve@usc.edu

## Lavrenti Mikaelyan

mikaelya@usc.edu

#### 1 Tasks Performed

## 1.1 Datasets being explored

We analyzed several datasets to finetune Flamingo (Alayrac et al., 2022) models and compare the score with the original model.

The Massive Multitask Language Understanding (MMLU) (Hendrycks et al., 2021) a benchmark dataset designed to measure knowledge acquired in zero-shot and few-shot settings. It covers 57 subjects across STEM, the humanities, the social sciences, history, law, and ethics. We analyzed the state-of-the-art models on MMLU subtasks, their compatibility with Flamingo model framework so that they could be used as substitute for the language component.

**VLStereoSet** (Zhou et al., 2022) is a vision-language probing dataset to measure stereotypical bias in vision-language models. We are using the dataset to detect and mitigate bias from the Flamingo model.

The SuperGLUE (Sarlin et al., 2020) dataset was discarded from the project due to lack of task relevance in favor of the **Multimodal C4 (mmC4)** (Zhu et al., 2023) dataset as it extends the C4 language dataset by interleaving images. This dataset is suitable for Flamingo training and testing as it focuses on and enhances the in-context few-shot learning capability of the Flamingo model.

We have also chosen to move away from the **MSCOCO** dataset (Lin et al., 2014) as we look to use **VQA** (Visual Question Answering)(Goyal et al., 2017) as our benchmark, a dataset comprising 265,016 images drawn from COCO and abstract scenes, each associated with a minimum of three open-ended questions.

#### 1.2 Establish baseline performance

The next engineering task involved setting up the datasets for evaluation. An evaluation script is being developed to receive predictions from Open

Flamingo based on samples within the datasets, and output performance metrics. This script is a crucial component in assessing the model's capabilities and comparing our implementation's performance with the SOTA.

Next Steps: We are currently employing OpenAI CLIP ViT-L/14 (Ilharco et al., 2021) vision encoder and the MPT 1B RedPajama (Computer, 2023) language decoder to assess a benchmark performance on the above datasets. We will experiment performance by substituting with other small frozen models. The decision to avoid testing on larger frozen models was primarily due to the excessive computation times and GPU resource consumption associated with such experiments.

### 1.3 Efficient Fine-tuning

We will be utilizing **PEFT** Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning of Billion-Scale Models on Low-Resource Hardware (Mangrulkar et al., 2022) that has the following approaches:

**LoRA:** LoRA (Hu et al., 2021) approach involves reducing computation by approximating the updates to the LLM's weights with a low-rank decomposition matrix, significantly the number of trainable parameters and training times.

Adapter Layer: Introduced in CLIP Adapter (Gao et al., 2021), this method involves adding a few additional layers to the visual and language encoders and finetuning only these additional layers and freezing the rest of the models to achieve comparable performance to a model with all fine-tuned layers.

PEFT also introduces DeepSpeed (Li et al., 2022) for distributed training across various hardware.

## 2 Risks and Challenges

## 2.1 Infrastructure Limitations

Our experiments revealed the following challenges: **Model Details:** We experimented with the smallest flamingo model with 3B parameters - Open-

Flamingo 3B Vision Model: ViT-L-14 (Ilharco et al., 2021), Language Model: MPT 1B Redpajama 200B (Computer, 2023)

**Local Machines:** Lacking GPU support, our local machines were inadequate for executing any model sizes, even the smallest Open-Flamingo 3B.

Colab Pro: This paid version successfully loaded the 3B Open-Flamingo model. Utilizing an Nvidia Tesla A100 GPU (as opposed to the T4 in Colab Free version). At a cost of \$10 for 100 credits, this is projected to provide approximately 16 hours for experimentation.

## 2.2 Lack of compatible Datasets and Models

Our aim is to change the Flamingo architecture such that there is a performance boost in niche domains such as medical, law, etc. whilst minimizing bias.

**Lack of Open-source Models**: Unavailability of official open-source implementations

Large and Incompatible Models: Incompatibility due to difference in tokenization method and input formats as compared to the original Flamingo

### 2.3 Qualitative Performance

We compared the outputs of the pre-trained Open Flamingo (3B) model with the original Flamingo (80B) models. On comparing the outputs Fig. 1, we see that the Open Flamingo model generates decent output in the first example and an unrelated output in the third example. Additionally, we are working on creating an automated evaluation script to generate extensive quantitative analyses of the model's performance on a set of image-text data, without any human intervention as currently needed in interpreting qualitative outputs.

## Plans to Mitigate

Each of the team members have some free allocated credits for GPU usage across different platforms and can utilize those for experimentation. One of the team members also has quite a capable GPU to run larger models or more complex computations. Thus, we plan to organize and coordinate the use of all of our available resources more strategically to minimize out-of-pocket expenses for Colab Pro GPUs. We are utilizing finetuning optimization methods introduced by PEFT such as Adapter Layer and LoRA. Upon preliminary testing, alternative versions of Flamingo such as Mini-Flamingo and Tiny-Flamingo are capable




Figure 1: *Above*: The result of Flamingo 80B model from the original paper on 3 image-text examples. *Below*: The output from pre-trained Open Flamingo 3B model on the same inputs run on a A100 GPU machine on Colab Pro

of running on most of our machines and Google Colab.

A potential workaround to using alternative, currently-incompatible frozen models could be creating new or extending existing interfaces within the base **Open-Flamingo** (Awadalla et al., 2023) implementation such that the incompatibilities with alternative vision or language models.

## 4 Contributions

### Mihir Mangesh Pavuskar:

Prepare MMLU dataset and analyze SOTA models Experiment with CLIP Adapter methodology Implement Mini-Flamingo and Tiny-Flamingo

## **Chirag Khatri:**

Implement bias evaluation using VLStereoset data Create automated model evaluation script Identify alternate V-L models for Open Flamingo **Pothula Punith Krishna:** 

Explore and validate implementations of LoRA Identify and experiment with PEFT-LoRA Acquire cheap GPU hardware - Azure ML

## Lavrenti Mikaelyan:

VQAv2 Dataset Exploration and Preparation Explore SuperGLUE, determined relevance, identified Multimodal C4 as better alternative Implement evaluation script on VQAv2 dataset

### **Prince Verma:**

Experiment with Open Flamingo on local machine Explore LoRA finetuning techniques Identify alternate challenger models

157	References	Bossan. 2022. Peft: State-of-the-art parameter-
158	Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jeff Donahue, Pauline Luc, An-	<pre>efficient fine-tuning methods. https://github. com/huggingface/peft.</pre>
159	toine Miech, Iain Barr, Yana Hasson, Karel Lenc,	
160	Arthur Mensch, Katie Millican, Malcolm Reynolds,	Paul-Edouard Sarlin, Daniel DeTone, Tomasz Mal-
161	Roman Ring, Eliza Rutherford, Serkan Cabi, Tengda	isiewicz, and Andrew Rabinovich. 2020. Superglue:
162	Han, Zhitao Gong, Sina Samangooei, Marianne	Learning feature matching with graph neural net-
163	Monteiro, Jacob Menick, Sebastian Borgeaud, An-	works.
164	drew Brock, Aida Nematzadeh, Sahand Sharifzadeh,	Various 7hans Francis and time time 2022, VI
165	Mikolaj Binkowski, Ricardo Barreira, Oriol Vinyals,	Kankan Zhou, Eason Lai, and Jing Jiang. 2022. VL-
166	Andrew Zisserman, and Karen Simonyan. 2022.	StereoSet: A study of stereotypical bias in pre-trained
167 168	Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning.	vision-language models. Association for Computational Linguistics.
169	Anas Awadalla, Irena Gao, Josh Gardner, Jack Hes-	Wanrong Zhu, Jack Hessel, Anas Awadalla,
170	sel, Yusuf Hanafy, Wanrong Zhu, Kalyani Marathe,	Samir Yitzhak Gadre, Jesse Dodge, Alex Fang,
171	Yonatan Bitton, Samir Gadre, Shiori Sagawa, Je-	Youngjae Yu, Ludwig Schmidt, William Yang Wang,
172	nia Jitsev, Simon Kornblith, Pang Wei Koh, Gabriel	and Yejin Choi. 2023. Multimodal c4: An open,
173	Ilharco, Mitchell Wortsman, and Ludwig Schmidt.	billion-scale corpus of images interleaved with text.
174	2023. Openflamingo: An open-source framework for	
175	training large autoregressive vision-language models.	
176 177	Together Computer. 2023. Redpajama: an open dataset for training large language models.	
178	Peng Gao, Shijie Geng, Renrui Zhang, Teli Ma,	
179	Rongyao Fang, Yongfeng Zhang, Hongsheng Li, and	
180	Yu Qiao. 2021. Clip-adapter: Better vision-language	
181	models with feature adapters.	
182	Yash Goyal, Tejas Khot, Douglas Summers-Stay, Dhruv	
183	Batra, and Devi Parikh. 2017. Making the v in vqa	
184	matter: Elevating the role of image understanding in	
185	visual question answering.	
186	Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou,	
187	Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt.	
188	2021. Measuring massive multitask language under-	
189	standing.	
190	Edward J. Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan	
191	Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and	
192	Weizhu Chen. 2021. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of	
193	large language models.	
194	Gabriel Ilharco, Mitchell Wortsman, Ross Wightman,	
195	Cade Gordon, Nicholas Carlini, Rohan Taori, Achal	
196	Dave, Vaishaal Shankar, Hongseok Namkoong, John	
197	Miller, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Ali Farhadi, and Lud-	
198	wig Schmidt. 2021. Openclip. If you use this soft-	
199	ware, please cite it as below.	
200	Conglong Li, Zhewei Yao, Xiaoxia Wu, Minjia Zhang,	
201	and Yuxiong He. 2022. Deepspeed data efficiency:	
202	Improving deep learning model quality and training	
203	efficiency via efficient data sampling and routing.	
204	Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, Lubomir	
205	Bourdev, Ross Girshick, James Hays, Pietro Perona,	
206	Deva Ramanan, C. Lawrence Zitnick, and Piotr Dol-	
207	lár. 2014. Microsoft coco: Common objects in con-	
208	text.	

Sourab Mangrulkar, Sylvain Gugger, Lysandre Debut, Younes Belkada, Sayak Paul, and Benjamin