

Ontology Models of OpenStack IaaS Architectures

Ales Komarek

Faculty of Informatics and Management
University of Hradec Kralove
Czech Republic
Email: ales.komarek@uhk.cz

Jakub Pavlik

Faculty of Informatics and Management
University of Hradec Kralove
Czech Republic
Email: jakub.pavlik.7@uhk.cz

Vladimir Sobeslav

Faculty of Informatics and Management
University of Hradec Kralove
Czech Republic
Email: vladimir.sobeslav@uhk.cz

Abstract—

This paper surveys the most used configuration management tools today. It covers how modern configuration tools enforce states of the model to the real systems, what is the contrast between declarative and imperative approach and the difference between centralised and decentralised deployment models.

Outcome of the paper is an comprehensive overview of meta-data, security, speed and infrastructure concerns of selected configuration management tools.

I. INTRODUCTION

1) Introduction - pro OpenStack - protoe komunita 500000 vvoj, tisice firem, nrst kdu za posledn dobu stacalytics.com vendor lockin, scalability from notebook to thousands of servers like CERN - predstaviti OpenStack jako system s rolemi, konfiguracemi, komponenty, drivery. jina instalace per use case. Nexexistuje univerzln instalace. Vysoka komplexita, services

Jak vytvoit high level model (logick schma) architektury? a penst ji do low level design realizace? Jak sprvn definovat architekturu na zklad hw infrastruktury a target use case? Jak cel proces deploymentu automatizovat?

A. IaaS Solutions

B. Use Cases

C. Infrastructure Case Modeling

II. ARCHITECTURAL MODELS

Jak funguje IaaS ve smyslu deploye masiny z pohledu controlleru - zavola scheduler, ten comupte, ten pak glance, pak pripadne cinder a neutron a pusti boot, kdyz to ma ready. a tim padem provoz.

obzrazel

A. Architectural Level

Klasicky logicky model openstack architektury

2) OpenStack architecture moduls Rozebrat services a predstaviti modularitu a vendor plugins, drivers Identity - Keystone Image - Glance Compute - Nova Network - Neutron Volume - Cinder

B. Solution level

Real implementations of Architectural models

1) IaaS controller support : Cluster software - corosync/-pacemaker - keepalived

Database - mysql/galera - postgresql/xtradb

RPC - rabbitmq - qpid - 0mq

time

2) IaaS Controller services: Pluggable backends

Keystone - file - sql - ldap

Glance - dir - swift

Nova - kvm - qemu - docker - hyper-v

Neutron - flat - ovs-gre/vxlan - sdns

Cinder - lvms - sans

Rzn zpsohy nasazen ukzky reln architektury - promapovat ve 4 na ontologii

C. Hardware matters

Why we choose different openstack setups

Lab1 - 20 hypervisors, kvm, ovs-gre, local hdd Lab2 - 4 hypervisoers, kvm, sdn-contrail, san Lab3 - 5 hypervisors, ...

III. DEPLOY TOOLS FOR OPENSTACK

Model je popsanej dokumentem a nen to iteln, automatizace. Nen validita modelu. Chyby se debuguj na rovni reality.

A. Development

1) PackStack:

2) Devstack:

B. Production

1) Fuel:

2) Foreman:

IV. ONTOLOGY OF OPENSTACK SYSTEM

A. Serialization Formats

Zpsohy serialiazce ontologie

1) XML Documents: RDF format

2) *Graph databases*: Preserving RDF format, just very different implementation

je to servica, tzn overhead oproti xml filu, ale zas ma api atd ...

3) *Hierarchical*: Subject (id) or property driven

B. Comparison

Srovnání jednotlivých formátů pro ontologii pro OpenStack environment - bezpečnost, rychlost, integrace

- speed - parsing / scaling - integration, maintenance costs
- security issues

V. ONTOLOGY USAGE

A. External Node Classification

Mapování vybraného formátu na OpenStack (HA architektura SDN controller)

1) *Model Validation*: Validace celého environmentu v high level modelu. Deployment bez implementačních chyb.

Relace prvků celého environmentu

VI. CONCLUSION

Ontologická reprezentace prostředí, které je vhodné pro agentové prostředí, aby bylo možné provést autonomní rozhodnutí.

Vytvoření ideálního prostředí.

Způsoby penesení ontologie do realizace

Future works - low level realizace prostřednictvím konfigurace management tools a jejich transformace z modelu.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper is published thanks to the financial support of the European Operational Programme Education for Competitiveness project INDOP CZ.1.07/2.3.00/45.0014 and UHK specific research project no. 2101.

REFERENCES

- [1] NIST. *The NIST Definition of Cloud Computing* <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-145/SP800-145.pdf>
- [2] Ivan Ivanov, Marten van Sinderen and Boris Shishkov, editors. *Cloud Computing and Services Science* Springer Science, 978-1461423256, New York, USA, 2012
- [3] *OpenStack. Fuel Wiki* <https://wiki.openstack.org/wiki/Fuel>
- [4] *CloudStack. Open Source Cloud Computing: Apache CloudStack* <http://cloudstack.apache.org/about.html>
- [5] *Fedora Project. OpenStack devstack* http://fedoraproject.org/wiki/OpenStack_devstack
- [6] *reclass. Recursive external node classification* <http://reclass.pantsfullofunix.net/>
- [7] *CFEngine. CFEngine 3.5 Documentation* <https://cfengine.com/docs/3.5/index.html>
- [8] *Puppet Labs. Creating Hierarchies* <http://docs.puppetlabs.com/hiera/1/hierarchy.html>
- [9] *The Foreman. The Manual: Compute Resources* <http://theforeman.org/manuals/1.4/index.html#5.2ComputeResources>