HW 1

January 24, 2024

$Pupipat\ Singkhorn$

1 Homework 1 Clustering and Regression

1.1 Metrics

Model A	Predicted dog	Predicted cat	
Actual dog	30	20	
Actual cat	10	40	

1.1.1 T1.

What is the accuracy of Model A?

```
[]: tn = 30
    fn = 10
    fp = 20
    tp = 40

accuracy = ( tp+tn ) / ( tp+tn+fp+fn )

print(f'Accuracy = {accuracy}')
```

Accuracy = 0.7

1.1.2 T2.

Consider cats as 'class 1' (positive) and dogs as 'class 0' (negative), calculate the precision, recall, and F1.

Model A	Predicted dog (-)	Predicted cat (+)	
Actual dog	TN	FP	
Actual cat	FN	TP	

```
[]: accuracy = ( tp+tn ) / ( tp+tn+fp+fn )
print(f'Accuracy = {accuracy}')
```

Accuracy = 0.7

```
[]: tn = 30
    fn = 10
    fp = 20
    tp = 40

precision = tp / (tp+fp)
    recall = tp / (tp+fn)
F1 = 2*tp / (2*tp + fp + fn )

print(f'Precision = {precision}')
print(f'Recall = {recall}')
print(f'F1 = {F1}')
```

1.1.3 T3.

Consider class cat as 'class 0' and class dog as 'class 1', calculate the precision, recall, and F1.

Model A	Predicted dog (+)	Predicted cat (-)	
Actual dog	TP	FN	
Actual cat	FP	TN	

```
[]: accuracy = ( tp+tn ) / ( tp+tn+fp+fn )
print(f'Accuracy = {accuracy}')
```

Accuracy = 0.7

```
[]: tp = 30
    tn = 40
    fp = 10
    fn = 20

precision = tp / (tp+fp)
    recall = tp / (tp+fn)
    F1 = 2*tp / ( 2*tp + fp + fn )

print(f'Precision = {precision}')
    print(f'Recall = {recall}')
    print(f'F1 = {F1}')
```

1.1.4 T4.

Now consider a lopsided population where there are 80% cats.

What is the accuracy of Model A?

Using dog as the positive class, what is the precision, recall, and F1?

Explain how and why these numbers change (or does not change) from the previous questions.

Model A	Predicted dog (+)	Predicted cat (-)	
Actual dog	TP	FN	
Actual cat	FP	TN	

Model A	Predicted dog	Predicted cat	
Actual dog	30	20	
Actual cat	10	40	

 $Total\ Population = x$

Actual dog = 0.2x (20% dogs)

 $Actual\ cat = 0.8x\ (80\%\ cats)$

Actual dog Predicted
$$dog(TP) = 0.2x * \frac{30}{(30+20)} = 0.12x$$

Actual dog Predicted
$$cat(FN) = 0.2x * \frac{20}{(30+20)} = 0.08x$$

Actual cat Predicted
$$dog(FP) = 0.8x * \frac{10}{(10+40)} = 0.16x$$

$$\begin{array}{l} Actual \ dog \ Predicted \ dog(TP) = 0.2x * \frac{30}{(30+20)} = 0.12x \\ Actual \ dog \ Predicted \ cat(FN) = 0.2x * \frac{20}{(30+20)} = 0.08x \\ Actual \ cat \ Predicted \ dog(FP) = 0.8x * \frac{10}{(10+40)} = 0.16x \\ Actual \ cat \ Predicted \ cat(TN) = 0.8x * \frac{40}{(10+40)} = 0.64x \end{array}$$

Model A	Predicted dog	Predicted cat	
Actual dog	0.12x	0.08x	
Actual cat	0.16x	0.64x	

$$Accuracy = \frac{tp + tn}{tp + tn + fp + fn} = \frac{0.12x + 0.64x}{0.12x + 0.64x + 0.16x + 0.08x} = 0.76$$

Accuracy increase

$$precision = \frac{tp}{tp + fp} = \frac{0.12x}{0.12x + 0.16x} = 0.4285$$

precision decrease

$$recall = \frac{tp}{tp + fn} = \frac{0.12x}{0.12x + 0.08x} = 0.6$$

recall doesn't change

$$F1 = \frac{2}{1/recall + 1/precision} = \frac{2}{1/0.6 + 1/0.4285} = 0.5$$

F1 decrease

1.1.5 OT1.

Consider the equations for accuracy and F1. When will accuracy be equal, greater, or less than F1?

$$Accuracy = \frac{tp + tn}{tp + tn + fp + fn} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{fp + fn}{tp + t\mathbf{n}}}$$

$$F1 = \frac{2tp}{2tp + fp + fn} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{fp + fn}{tp + t\mathbf{p}}}$$

$$\therefore Accuracy = F1 \quad ; \ tn = tp$$

$$\therefore Accuracy > F1 \quad ; \ tn > tp$$

$$\therefore Accuracy < F1 \quad ; \ tn < tp$$

1.2 Hello Clustering

Recall from lecture that K-means has two main steps: the points assignment step, and the mean update step. After the initialization of the centroids, we assign each data point to a centroid. Then, each centroids are updated by re-estimating the means. Concretely, if we are given N data points, x1, x2, ..., xN, and we would like to form K clusters. We do the following; 1. Initialization: Pick K random data points as K centroid locations c1, c2, ..., cK. 2. Assign: For each data point k, find the closest centroid. Assign that data point to the centroid. The distance used is typically Euclidean distance. 3. Update: For each centroid, calculate the mean from the data points assigned to it. 4. Repeat: repeat step 2 and 3 until the centroids stop changing (convergence).

Given the following data points in x-y coordinates (2 dimensional)

```
    x
    y

    1
    2

    3
    3

    2
    2

    8
    8

    6
    6

    7
    7

    -3
    -3

    -2
    -4

    -7
    -7
```

```
[]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np

data_x = np.array([1, 3, 2, 8, 6, 7, -3, -2, -7])
data_y = np.array([2, 3, 2, 8, 6, 7, -3, -4, -7])


# Scatter plot with detailed grid lines
```

```
plt.scatter(data_x, data_y)

# Customize grid lines
plt.grid(True, linestyle='--', linewidth=0.5, alpha=0.7)

# Add labels for each point
for i, txt in enumerate(zip(data_x, data_y)):
    plt.text(txt[0], txt[1], f'({txt[0]}, {txt[1]})', fontsize=8, ha='right', use='bottom')


# Show the plot
plt.show()
```



1.2.1 T5.

If the starting points are (3,3), (2,2), and (-3,-3). Describe each assign and update step. What are the points assigned? What are the updated centroids? You may do this calculation by hand or write a program to do it.

```
[]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import numpy as np
```



K-mean clustering

- 1. Randomly init k centroids by picking from data points
- 2. Assign each data points to centroids
- 3. Update centroids for each cluster
- 4. Repeat 2-3 until centroids does not change

```
[]: import numpy as np import math import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```

```
[]: # function
     def distance(point1, point2): # Euclidean Distance
         x1, y1 = point1
         x2, y2 = point2
         return math.sqrt((x2 - x1)**2 + (y2 - y1)**2) #float
     def assign(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points):
         for point in data_points: # assign each point to cluster
             distances = [distance(point, centroid) for centroid in cluster_centroid.
      →values()]
            min_distance_index = np.argmin(distances)
             cluster_points[min_distance_index].append(point)
     def update_centroids(cluster_centroid, cluster_points, centroid_isUpdating):
         old_centroids = list(cluster_centroid.values())
         # calculate new centroids
         new_centroids = []
         for idx, points in cluster_points.items():
             if points: # Check if points list is not empty
                 new_centroid_x, new_centroid_y = np.mean(points, axis=0)
                 new_centroid = (new_centroid_x, new_centroid_y)
                 new_centroids.append(new_centroid)
             else:
                 new_centroids.append(cluster_centroid[idx]) # Use the existing_
      ⇔centroid if no points in the cluster
         # update new centroid
         for idx, centroid in enumerate(new_centroids):
             cluster_centroid[idx] = centroid
         if new_centroids == old_centroids:
             centroid_isUpdating = False
         return centroid_isUpdating #bool
     def plot_clusters(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points, title):
         for idx, points in cluster_points.items():
             cluster x, cluster y = zip(*points)
```

```
plt.scatter(cluster_x, cluster_y, label=f'Cluster {idx}')

# Annotate centroids with coordinates
for idx, (x, y) in cluster_centroid.items():
    plt.annotate(f'({x:.2f}, {y:.2f})', (x, y), textcoords="offset points",u")

-xytext=(0,5), ha='center', fontsize=8)

centroid_x, centroid_y = zip(*cluster_centroid.values())
    plt.scatter(centroid_x, centroid_y, color='red', marker='X',s=90, alpha=0.
-7, label='Centroid')


plt.grid(True, linestyle='---', linewidth=0.5, alpha=0.7)
    plt.xlabel('X-axis')
    plt.ylabel('Y-axis')
    plt.title(title)
    plt.legend()
    plt.show()


# Main function
data_x = np.array([1, 3, 2, 8, 6, 7, -3, -2, -7])
```


```
[]: # Main function
     data_y = np.array([2, 3, 2, 8, 6, 7, -3, -4, -7])
     data_points = list(zip(data_x, data_y)) # list of tuple [(x1, y1), (x2, y2), ...
      → . ]
     # Initialize k centroids
     init_centroids = [(3,3), (2,2), (-3,-3)]
        # keyboard input
     \# k = int(input('k = '))
     # init_centroids = []
     # for i in range(k):
         l = input('x, y = ').split()
         x = int(l[0])
         y = int(l[1])
           init\_centroids.append((x,y))
     # Initialize cluster
     cluster_centroid = dict() # \{0: (x, y), 1: \ldots\}
     cluster_points = dict() # {0: [(),()], 1: ...}
     for idx, point in enumerate(init_centroids):
         cluster_centroid[idx] = point
         cluster_points[idx] = []
     print(f'Initial cluster_centroid {cluster_centroid}')
     print(f'Initial cluster_points {cluster_points}')
     centroid_isUpdating = True
     round = 1
     while centroid_isUpdating:
```

```
print(f'Round {round}')
    # Clear cluster_points before updating
    cluster_points = {idx: [] for idx in cluster_points}
    # Assign each data point to clusters
    assign(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points)
    # Update centroids
    centroid_isUpdating = update_centroids(cluster_centroid, cluster_points,_
 →centroid_isUpdating)
    print(f'cluster_centroid {cluster_centroid}')
    print(f'cluster_points {cluster_points}')
    # Plot clusters for each round
    plot_clusters(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points, title=f'Round⊔

√{round}')
    round += 1
print(f'Final cluster_centroids: {cluster_centroid}')
Initial cluster_centroid {0: (3, 3), 1: (2, 2), 2: (-3, -3)}
Initial cluster_points {0: [], 1: [], 2: []}
Round 1
cluster_centroid {0: (6.0, 6.0), 1: (1.5, 2.0), 2: (-4.0, -4.66666666666667)}
cluster_points {0: [(3, 3), (8, 8), (6, 6), (7, 7)], 1: [(1, 2), (2, 2)], 2:
[(-3, -3), (-2, -4), (-7, -7)]
```







Final cluster_centroids: {0: (7.0, 7.0), 1: (2.0, 2.333333333333333), 2: (-4.0, -4.66666666666666666666666666666666)}

1.2.2 T6.

If the starting points are (-3,-3), (2,2), and (-7,-7), what happens?


```
min_distance_index = np.argmin(distances)
        cluster_points[min_distance_index].append(point)
def update centroids(cluster_centroid, cluster_points, centroid_isUpdating):
   old_centroids = list(cluster_centroid.values())
   # calculate new centroids
   new_centroids = []
   for idx, points in cluster points.items():
        if points: # Check if points list is not empty
            new_centroid_x, new_centroid_y = np.mean(points, axis=0)
            new_centroid = (new_centroid_x, new_centroid_y)
            new_centroids.append(new_centroid)
        else:
            new_centroids.append(cluster_centroid[idx]) # Use the existing_
 ⇔centroid if no points in the cluster
    # update new centroid
   for idx, centroid in enumerate(new_centroids):
        cluster_centroid[idx] = centroid
   if new centroids == old centroids:
        centroid_isUpdating = False
   return centroid_isUpdating #bool
def plot_clusters(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points, title):
   for idx, points in cluster_points.items():
       cluster_x, cluster_y = zip(*points)
       plt.scatter(cluster_x, cluster_y, label=f'Cluster {idx}')
    # Annotate centroids with coordinates
   for idx, (x, y) in cluster_centroid.items():
       plt.annotate(f'({x:.2f}, {y:.2f})', (x, y), textcoords="offset points", u
 ⇒xytext=(0,5), ha='center', fontsize=8)
   centroid_x, centroid_y = zip(*cluster_centroid.values())
   plt.scatter(centroid_x, centroid_y, color='red', marker='X',s=90, alpha=0.
 ⇔7, label='Centroid')
   plt.grid(True, linestyle='--', linewidth=0.5, alpha=0.7)
   plt.xlabel('X-axis')
   plt.ylabel('Y-axis')
   plt.title(title)
   plt.legend()
   plt.show()
# Main function
```

```
data_x = np.array([1, 3, 2, 8, 6, 7, -3, -2, -7])
data_y = np.array([2, 3, 2, 8, 6, 7, -3, -4, -7])
data_points = list(zip(data_x, data_y)) # list of tuple [(x1, y1), (x2, y2), ...
→ . ]
# Initialize k centroids
init\_centroids = [(-3,-3), (2,2), (-7,-7)]
    # keyboard input
\# k = int(input('k = '))
# init_centroids = []
# for i in range(k):
    l = input('x, y = ').split()
    x = int(l[0])
    y = int(l[1])
    init\_centroids.append((x,y))
# Initialize cluster
cluster_centroid = dict() # \{0: (x, y), 1: \ldots\}
cluster_points = dict() # {0: [(),()], 1: ...}
for idx, point in enumerate(init_centroids):
    cluster centroid[idx] = point
    cluster_points[idx] = []
print(f'Initial cluster_centroid {cluster_centroid}')
print(f'Initial cluster_points {cluster_points}')
centroid_isUpdating = True
round = 1
while centroid_isUpdating:
   print(f'Round {round}')
    # Clear cluster_points before updating
    cluster_points = {idx: [] for idx in cluster_points}
   # Assign each data point to clusters
   assign(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points)
   # Update centroids
    centroid_isUpdating = update_centroids(cluster_centroid, cluster_points,_
 print(f'cluster_centroid {cluster_centroid}')
   print(f'cluster_points {cluster_points}')
    # Plot clusters for each round
   plot_clusters(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points, title=f'Round_


√{round}')

   round += 1
print(f'Final cluster_centroids: {cluster_centroid}')
```

Initial cluster_centroid {0: (-3, -3), 1: (2, 2), 2: (-7, -7)}
Initial cluster_points {0: [], 1: [], 2: []}
Round 1
cluster_centroid {0: (-2.5, -3.5), 1: (4.5, 4.66666666666667), 2: (-7.0, -7.0)}
cluster_points {0: [(-3, -3), (-2, -4)], 1: [(1, 2), (3, 3), (2, 2), (8, 8), (6, 6), (7, 7)], 2: [(-7, -7)]}



Round 2 cluster_centroid {0: (-2.5, -3.5), 1: (4.5, 4.6666666666667), 2: (-7.0, -7.0)} cluster_points {0: [(-3, -3), (-2, -4)], 1: [(1, 2), (3, 3), (2, 2), (8, 8), (6, 6), (7, 7)], 2: [(-7, -7)]}



Final cluster_centroids: {0: (-2.5, -3.5), 1: (4.5, 4.66666666666667), 2: (-7.0, -7.0)}

1.2.3 T7.

Between the two starting set of points in the previous two questions, which one do you think is better?

How would you measure the 'goodness' quality of a set of starting points?

Answer

Which one do you think is better?

Set of points in T5. question (3,3), (2,2), (-3,-3) because this set has the same amount of data in each cluster, and upon visual inspection, there is no group straddling, unlike T6 question.

How would you measure the 'goodness' quality of a set of starting points?

The 'goodness' quality of a set of starting points can be measured using various metrics such as Inertia (Within-Cluster Sum of Squares), Silhouette Score, Davies-Bouldin Index, and Calinski-Harabasz Index (Variance Ratio Criterion), or it can be assessed visually.

1.2.4 OT2.

What would be the best K for this question? Describe your reasoning.

Answer: K = 4, From visual observation, it is evident that when K = 4, the grouping is more appropriate. The centroids of all points are close to the data within their respective clusters, unlike K = 3, where one cluster located at the bottom left corner of the image has a centroid positioned in the middle, serving as a representative between the three data points.

```
[]: import numpy as np
     import math
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     # function
     def distance(point1, point2): # Euclidean Distance
         x1, y1 = point1
         x2, y2 = point2
         return math.sqrt((x2 - x1)**2 + (y2 - y1)**2) #float
     def assign(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points):
         for point in data_points: # assign each point to cluster
             distances = [distance(point, centroid) for centroid in cluster_centroid.
      ⇒values()]
             min_distance_index = np.argmin(distances)
             cluster_points[min_distance_index].append(point)
     def update centroids(cluster_centroid, cluster_points, centroid_isUpdating):
         old_centroids = list(cluster_centroid.values())
         # calculate new_centroids
         new centroids = []
         for idx, points in cluster_points.items():
             if points: # Check if points list is not empty
                 new_centroid_x, new_centroid_y = np.mean(points, axis=0)
                 new_centroid = (new_centroid_x, new_centroid_y)
                 new_centroids.append(new_centroid)
             else:
                 new_centroids.append(cluster_centroid[idx]) # Use the existing_
      →centroid if no points in the cluster
         # update new centroid
         for idx, centroid in enumerate(new_centroids):
             cluster_centroid[idx] = centroid
         if new_centroids == old_centroids:
             centroid_isUpdating = False
         return centroid_isUpdating #bool
```

```
def plot_clusters(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points, title):
    for idx, points in cluster_points.items():
        cluster_x, cluster_y = zip(*points)
        plt.scatter(cluster_x, cluster_y, label=f'Cluster {idx}')
    # Annotate centroids with coordinates
    for idx, (x, y) in cluster centroid.items():
        plt.annotate(f'({x:.2f}, {y:.2f}))', (x, y), textcoords="offset points", u
 ⇒xytext=(0,5), ha='center', fontsize=8)
    centroid_x, centroid_y = zip(*cluster_centroid.values())
    plt.scatter(centroid_x, centroid_y, color='red', marker='X',s=90, alpha=0.
 ⇔7, label='Centroid')
    plt.grid(True, linestyle='--', linewidth=0.5, alpha=0.7)
    plt.xlabel('X-axis')
    plt.ylabel('Y-axis')
    plt.title(title)
    plt.legend()
    plt.show()
# Main function
data_x = np.array([1, 3, 2, 8, 6, 7, -3, -2, -7])
data_y = np.array([2, 3, 2, 8, 6, 7, -3, -4, -7])
data_points = list(zip(data_x, data_y)) # list of tuple [(x1, y1), (x2, y2), ...

    . ]

# Initialize k centroids
init\_centroids = [(-3, -3), (2, 2), (-7, -7), (0, 0)]
    # keyboard input
\# k = int(input('k = '))
# init_centroids = []
# for i in range(k):
    l = input('x, y = ').split()
    x = int(l[0])
    y = int(l[1])
     init\_centroids.append((x,y))
# Initialize cluster
cluster_centroid = dict() # \{0: (x, y), 1: \ldots\}
cluster_points = dict() # {0: [(),()], 1: ...}
for idx, point in enumerate(init_centroids):
    cluster_centroid[idx] = point
    cluster points[idx] = []
print(f'Initial cluster_centroid {cluster_centroid}')
print(f'Initial cluster_points {cluster_points}')
```

```
centroid_isUpdating = True
round = 1
while centroid_isUpdating:
    print(f'Round {round}')
    # Clear cluster_points before updating
    cluster_points = {idx: [] for idx in cluster_points}
    # Assign each data point to clusters
    assign(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points)
    # Update centroids
    centroid_isUpdating = update_centroids(cluster_centroid, cluster_points,_
 print(f'cluster_centroid {cluster_centroid}')
    print(f'cluster_points {cluster_points}')
    # # Plot clusters for each round
    # plot_clusters(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points,_

    title=f'Round {round}')
    # round += 1
print(f'Final cluster_centroids: {cluster_centroid}')
plot_clusters(data_points, cluster_centroid, cluster_points, title='Finalu
 ⇔Clusters')
Initial cluster_centroid {0: (-3, -3), 1: (2, 2), 2: (-7, -7), 3: (0, 0)}
Initial cluster_points {0: [], 1: [], 2: [], 3: []}
cluster_centroid {0: (-2.5, -3.5), 1: (4.5, 4.66666666666667), 2: (-7.0, -7.0),
3: (0, 0)}
cluster_points {0: [(-3, -3), (-2, -4)], 1: [(1, 2), (3, 3), (2, 2), (8, 8), (6,
6), (7, 7)], 2: [(-7, -7)], 3: []
Round 1
cluster_centroid {0: (-2.5, -3.5), 1: (6.0, 6.0), 2: (-7.0, -7.0), 3: (1.5,
2.0)
cluster_points \{0: [(-3, -3), (-2, -4)], 1: [(3, 3), (8, 8), (6, 6), (7, 7)], 2:
[(-7, -7)], 3: [(1, 2), (2, 2)]
Round 1
cluster_centroid {0: (-2.5, -3.5), 1: (7.0, 7.0), 2: (-7.0, -7.0), 3: (2.0,
2.333333333333333333)}
cluster_points {0: [(-3, -3), (-2, -4)], 1: [(8, 8), (6, 6), (7, 7)], 2: [(-7,
-7)], 3: [(1, 2), (3, 3), (2, 2)]}
cluster_centroid {0: (-2.5, -3.5), 1: (7.0, 7.0), 2: (-7.0, -7.0), 3: (2.0,
cluster_points {0: [(-3, -3), (-2, -4)], 1: [(8, 8), (6, 6), (7, 7)], 2: [(-7,
```

-7)], 3: [(1, 2), (3, 3), (2, 2)]}
Final cluster_centroids: {0: (-2.5, -3.5), 1: (7.0, 7.0), 2: (-7.0, -7.0), 3: (2.0, 2.3333333333333333)}




image for K=4




image for K = 3

1.3 Titanic: My heart will go on

In this part of the exercise we will work on the Titanic dataset provided by Kaggle. The Titanic dataset contains information of the passengers boarding the Titanic on its final voyage. We will work on predicting whether a given passenger will survive the trip. Let's launch Jupyter and start coding! We start by importing the data using Pandas

```
[]:
        PassengerId Survived Pclass
     0
                   1
                              0
                                       3
     1
                   2
                              1
                                       1
     2
                   3
                              1
                                       3
                   4
                                       1
     3
                              1
     4
                   5
                                       3
                                                         Name
                                                                   Sex
                                                                          Age
                                                                               SibSp
     0
                                    Braund, Mr. Owen Harris
                                                                  male
                                                                        22.0
                                                                                   1
     1
        Cumings, Mrs. John Bradley (Florence Briggs Th... female 38.0
                                                                                 1
     2
                                     Heikkinen, Miss. Laina
                                                                                   0
                                                                female
                                                                        26.0
     3
             Futrelle, Mrs. Jacques Heath (Lily May Peel)
                                                                female
                                                                        35.0
                                                                                   1
     4
                                   Allen, Mr. William Henry
                                                                  male
                                                                        35.0
                                                                                   0
        Parch
                           Ticket
                                       Fare Cabin Embarked
     0
            0
                       A/5 21171
                                    7,2500
                                              NaN
                                                          S
     1
            0
                        PC 17599
                                   71.2833
                                              C85
                                                          С
     2
                STON/02. 3101282
                                    7.9250
                                                          S
             0
                                              NaN
     3
                           113803
                                   53.1000
                                             C123
                                                          S
             0
     4
            0
                           373450
                                    8.0500
                                              NaN
                                                          S
[]: train.tail()
[]:
          PassengerId
                        Survived
                                   Pclass
                                                                                   Name
                                                                 Montvila, Rev. Juozas
     886
                   887
                                0
                                         2
                                                         Graham, Miss. Margaret Edith
     887
                   888
                                1
                                         1
                                            Johnston, Miss. Catherine Helen "Carrie"
                   889
                                0
     888
                                         3
     889
                   890
                                1
                                                                 Behr, Mr. Karl Howell
                                         1
     890
                   891
                                0
                                                                   Dooley, Mr. Patrick
             Sex
                    Age
                         SibSp
                                 Parch
                                             Ticket
                                                       Fare Cabin Embarked
     886
            male
                   27.0
                              0
                                      0
                                             211536
                                                      13.00
                                                               NaN
                                                                           S
          female
                   19.0
                              0
                                     0
                                                               B42
                                                                           S
     887
                                             112053
                                                      30.00
                                                                           S
     888
          female
                    NaN
                              1
                                      2
                                         W./C. 6607
                                                      23.45
                                                               NaN
     889
                   26.0
                              0
                                      0
                                             111369
                                                      30.00
                                                             C148
                                                                           С
            male
     890
                                                       7.75
            male
                   32.0
                              0
                                      0
                                             370376
                                                               NaN
                                                                           Q
     train.describe()
[]:
            PassengerId
                             Survived
                                            Pclass
                                                                       SibSp
                                                            Age
             891.000000
                           891.000000
                                        891.000000
                                                     714.000000
                                                                  891.000000
     count
             446.000000
                             0.383838
                                          2.308642
                                                      29.699118
                                                                    0.523008
     mean
              257.353842
     std
                             0.486592
                                          0.836071
                                                      14.526497
                                                                    1.102743
     min
                1.000000
                             0.000000
                                          1.000000
                                                       0.420000
                                                                    0.000000
     25%
             223.500000
                             0.000000
                                          2.000000
                                                      20.125000
                                                                    0.000000
     50%
             446.000000
                             0.00000
                                          3.000000
                                                      28.000000
                                                                    0.000000
     75%
             668.500000
                             1.000000
                                          3.000000
                                                      38.000000
                                                                    1.000000
```

```
891.000000
                       1.000000
                                    3.000000
                                                80.000000
                                                              8.000000
max
             Parch
                          Fare
       891.000000
                    891.000000
count
         0.381594
                     32.204208
mean
std
         0.806057
                     49.693429
         0.000000
                      0.000000
min
25%
         0.000000
                      7.910400
50%
         0.000000
                     14.454200
75%
         0.000000
                     31.000000
max
         6.000000
                    512.329200
```

[]: train.info()

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 891 entries, 0 to 890
Data columns (total 12 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	PassengerId	891 non-null	int64
1	Survived	891 non-null	int64
2	Pclass	891 non-null	int64
3	Name	891 non-null	object
4	Sex	891 non-null	object
5	Age	714 non-null	float64
6	SibSp	891 non-null	int64
7	Parch	891 non-null	int64
8	Ticket	891 non-null	object
9	Fare	891 non-null	float64
10	Cabin	204 non-null	object
11	Embarked	889 non-null	object

dtypes: float64(2), int64(5), object(5)

memory usage: 83.7+ KB

1.3.1 T8.

What is the median age of the training set?

```
[]: train["Age"].median()
```

[]: 28.0

You can easily modify the age in the dataframe by

```
[]: train["Age"] = train["Age"].fillna(train["Age"].median())
```

Note that you need to modify the code above a bit to fill with mode() because mode() returns a series rather than a single value.

1.3.2 T9.

Some fields like 'Embarked' are categorical. They need to be converted to numbers first. We will represent - S with 0, - C with 1, - Q with 2.

What is the mode of Embarked?

```
[]: train["Embarked"].mode().iloc[0]
```

[]: 'S'

Fill the missing values with the mode. You can set the value of Embarked easily with the following command.

```
[]: train["Embarked"] = train["Embarked"].fillna(train["Embarked"].mode()[0])
```

```
[]: train.loc[train["Embarked"] == "S", "Embarked"] = 0
train.loc[train["Embarked"] == "C", "Embarked"] = 1
train.loc[train["Embarked"] == "Q", "Embarked"] = 2
```

Do the same for Sex.

```
[]: # Sex no NaN
train.loc[train["Sex"] == "male", "Sex"] = 0
train.loc[train["Sex"] == "female", "Sex"] = 1
```

1.3.3 T10.

Write a **logistic regression** classifier using **gradient descent** as learned in class. Use PClass, Sex, Age, and Embarked as input features. You can extract the features from Pandas to Numpy by

```
[]: data = np.array(train[["Pclass","Sex","Age","Embarked"]].values)
```

Check the datatype of each values in data, does it make sense? You can force the data to be of any datatype by using the command

```
[]: data = np.array(train[["Pclass", "Sex", "Age", "Embarked"]].values, dtype = float) data
```

When you evaluate the trained model on the test set, you will need to make a final decision. Since logistic regression outputs a score between 0 and 1, you will need to decide whether a score of 0.3 (or any other number) means the passenger survive or not. For now, we will say if the score is

greater than or equal to 0.5, the passenger survives. If the score is lower than 0.5 the passenger will be dead. This process is often called 'Thresholding.' We will talk more about this process later in class.

Logistic Regression classifier using Gradient descent


```
[]: import pandas as pd
     import numpy as np
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
[]: def sigmoid(x):
         return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))
     def GradientDescent(theta, learning_rate, num_iterations, X, y):
         for i in range(num_iterations):
             y_linear = np.dot(X, theta)
             h = sigmoid(y_linear)
             gradient = np.dot((y - h), X)
             # update rule
             theta = theta + learning_rate * gradient
         return theta # best theta
     def predict(X, theta):
         y_linear = np.dot(X, theta)
         h = sigmoid(y_linear)
         return np.where(h >= 0.5, 1, 0)
[]: # Main function
     X = data
     y = np.array(train['Survived'].values)
     m, n = data.shape # m = number of sample, n = number of features
     # Initialize theta
     theta = np.zeros(n)
     # Set hyperparameters
     learning_rate = 0.01
     num_iterations = 1000
     # Gradient Descent # to minimize Cost Function
     theta = GradientDescent(theta, learning_rate, num_iterations, X, y) # best theta
    /var/folders/m6/fz_qjnl51s70hy69d_st2z240000gn/T/ipykernel_43499/2882555812.py:2
    : RuntimeWarning: overflow encountered in exp
      return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))
[]: print(f'Best theta: {theta}')
```

Best theta: [-272.62076078 848.00861186 -20.83566712 203.50294731]

```
[]: from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, confusion_matrix
     y_test_cfm = np.array(train['Survived'].values)
     y_pred_cfm = predict(X, theta)
     # Determine model accuracy and goodness of fit
     accuracy_value = accuracy_score(y_test_cfm, y_pred_cfm, normalize=True)
     conf_mat = confusion_matrix(y_test_cfm, y_pred_cfm)
     print("The accuracy of the model is:", accuracy_value)
     print("Confusion Matrix:\n", conf mat)
    The accuracy of the model is: 0.6846240179573513
    Confusion Matrix:
     ΓΓ545
             41
     [277 65]]
    /var/folders/m6/fz_qjnl51s70hy69d_st2z240000gn/T/ipykernel_43499/2882555812.py:2
    : RuntimeWarning: overflow encountered in exp
      return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))
[]:  # test set
     test url = "http://s3.amazonaws.com/assets.datacamp.com/course/Kaggle/test.csv"
     test = pd.read_csv(test_url) #test set
     # data preparation
     test["Age"] = test["Age"].fillna(test["Age"].median())
     test["Embarked"] = test["Embarked"].fillna(test["Embarked"].mode()[0])
     test.loc[test["Embarked"] == "S", "Embarked"] = 0
     test.loc[test["Embarked"] == "C", "Embarked"] = 1
     test.loc[test["Embarked"] == "Q", "Embarked"] = 2
     test.loc[test["Sex"] == "male", "Sex"] = 0
     test.loc[test["Sex"] == "female", "Sex"] = 1
     X test = np.array(test[["Pclass","Sex","Age","Embarked"]].values, dtype = float)
     y_pred = predict(X_test, theta)
    /var/folders/m6/fz qjnl51s70hy69d st2z240000gn/T/ipykernel 43499/2882555812.py:2
    : RuntimeWarning: overflow encountered in exp
      return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))
[]: # # save prediction to .csv
     # prediction_data = pd.DataFrame({
           "PassengerId": test["PassengerId"],
           "Survived": y pred[0]
     # })
     # prediction_data.to_csv("submission.csv", index=False)
```

1.3.4 T11.

Submit a screenshot of your submission (with the scores). Upload your code to courseville.



1.3.5 T12.

Try adding some higher order features to your training (x1^2, x1x2,...). Does this model has better accuracy on the training set? How does it perform on the test set?

```
[]: | # Main function
     X = data # "Pclass", "Sex", "Age", "Embarked"
     X[:, 1:3] **= 2 # "Pclass", "Sex^2", "Age^2", "Embarked"
     X = np.column_stack((X, X[:, 0] * X[:, 3])) #_{\square}
      →"Pclass", "Sex^2", "Age^2", "Embarked", "Pclass*Embarked"
     y = np.array(train['Survived'].values)
     m, n = X.shape # m = number of sample, n = number of features
     # Initialize theta
     theta = np.zeros(n)
     # Set hyperparameters
     learning_rate = 0.01
     num_iterations = 1000
     # Gradient Descent # to minimize Cost Function
     theta = GradientDescent(theta, learning_rate, num_iterations, X, y) # best theta
    /var/folders/m6/fz_qjn151s70hy69d_st2z240000gn/T/ipykernel_43499/2882555812.py:2
    : RuntimeWarning: overflow encountered in exp
      return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))
[]: print(f'Best theta: {theta}')
    Best theta: [ -859.32357328 1174.99952232 -2367.60888975
                                                                  345.37516859
       426.63613184]
[]: from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, confusion_matrix
     y_test_cfm = np.array(train['Survived'].values)
     y_pred_cfm = predict(X, theta)
     # Determine model accuracy and goodness of fit
```

```
accuracy_value = accuracy_score(y_test_cfm, y_pred_cfm, normalize=True)
conf_mat = confusion_matrix(y_test_cfm, y_pred_cfm)

print("The accuracy of the model is:", accuracy_value)
print("Confusion Matrix:\n", conf_mat)
```

The accuracy of the model is: 0.6161616161616161 Confusion Matrix: [[549 0]

/var/folders/m6/fz_qjnl51s70hy69d_st2z240000gn/T/ipykernel_43499/2882555812.py:2
: RuntimeWarning: overflow encountered in exp
 return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))

```
[]: # "Pclass", "Sex", "Age", "Embarked"
X_test
# "Pclass", "Sex^2", "Age^2", "Embarked"
X_test[:, 1:3] **= 2
# "Pclass", "Sex^2", "Age^2", "Embarked", "Pclass*Embarked"
X_test = np.column_stack((X_test, X_test[:, 0] * X_test[:, 3]))

y_pred = predict(X_test, theta)
```

/var/folders/m6/fz_qjnl51s70hy69d_st2z240000gn/T/ipykernel_43499/2882555812.py:2
: RuntimeWarning: overflow encountered in exp
return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))

Does this model has better accuracy on the training set?

Answer: No, from

0]]

[342

- T10. Accuracy = 0.6846
- T12. Accuracy = 0.6162

There is a *decrease* in accuracy on the training set.

How does it perform on the test set?

Answer: It could be indicative of overfitting or underfitting, as significance to accuracy lies in the balance between bias and variance. A complex model tends to increase variance but decrease bias, and vice versa

1.3.6 T13.

What happens if you reduce the amount of features to just Sex and Age?

```
[]: # Main function
X = data # "Pclass", "Sex", "Age", "Embarked"
X = np.delete(X, [0, 3], axis=1) # "Sex", "Age"
y = np.array(train['Survived'].values)
m, n = X.shape # m = number of sample, n = number of features
```

```
# Initialize theta
     theta = np.zeros(n)
     # Set hyperparameters
     learning_rate = 0.01
     num_iterations = 1000
     # Gradient Descent # to minimize Cost Function
     theta = GradientDescent(theta, learning_rate, num_iterations, X, y) # best theta
    /var/folders/m6/fz_qjnl51s70hy69d_st2z240000gn/T/ipykernel_43499/2882555812.py:2
    : RuntimeWarning: overflow encountered in exp
      return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))
[]: print(f'Best theta: {theta}')
    Best theta: [ 1166.1252449 -3494.47498329]
[]: from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, confusion_matrix
     y_test_cfm = np.array(train['Survived'].values)
     y_pred_cfm = predict(X, theta)
     # Determine model accuracy and goodness of fit
     accuracy_value = accuracy_score(y_test_cfm, y_pred_cfm, normalize=True)
     conf_mat = confusion_matrix(y_test_cfm, y_pred_cfm)
     print("The accuracy of the model is:", accuracy_value)
     print("Confusion Matrix:\n", conf_mat)
    The accuracy of the model is: 0.6161616161616161
    Confusion Matrix:
     ΓΓ549
             07
     Γ342
            0]]
    /var/folders/m6/fz_qjnl51s70hy69d_st2z240000gn/T/ipykernel_43499/2882555812.py:2
    : RuntimeWarning: overflow encountered in exp
      return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))
```

Answer: Nothing has changed; perhaps the removed features had less importance to the model.


1.3.7 OT3.

We want to show that matrix inversion yields the same answer as the gradient descent method. However, there is no closed form solution for logistic regression. Thus, we will use normal linear regression instead. Re-do the Titanic task as a regression problem by using linear regression. Use the gradient descent method.

```
[]: # Linear Regression classifier using Gradient descent
     import pandas as pd
     import numpy as np
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     # def sigmoid(x):
           return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))
     def GradientDescent(theta, learning_rate, num_iterations, X, y):
         cost = []
         for i in range(num_iterations):
             y_linear = np.dot(X, theta[1:]) + theta[0]
             \# h = sigmoid(y_linear)
             gradient = np.dot((y - y_linear), X)
             # update rule
             # theta = theta + learning_rate * gradient
             theta[0] = theta[0] + learning_rate * (y - y_linear).sum()
             theta[1:] = theta[1:] + learning_rate * gradient
             cost.append(CostFunction (theta, X, y))
         return theta, cost # best theta
     def predict(X, theta):
         y_linear = np.dot(X, theta[1:]) + theta[0]
         \# h = sigmoid(y linear)
         return np.where(y_linear >= 0.5, 1, 0)
     def CostFunction (theta, X, y):
         y_linear = np.dot(X, theta[1:]) + theta[0]
         errors = y - y_linear
         cost = np.sum(errors**2) / m
         return cost
     def normalize(X):
         mean = np.mean(X, axis=0)
         std = np.std(X, axis=0)
         X_normalized = (X - mean) / std
         return X_normalized
     # Main function
     X = normalize(data) # "Pclass", "Sex", "Age", "Embarked"
     y = np.array(train['Survived'].values)
     m, n = data.shape # m = number of sample, n = number of features
     # Initialize theta
     theta = np.zeros(1+n)
```

```
# Set hyperparameters
learning_rate = 0.001
num_iterations = 100
# Gradient Descent # to minimize Cost Function
theta, cost = GradientDescent(theta, learning_rate, num_iterations, X, y) #_
 \hookrightarrowbest theta
theta_grad = theta
print(f'Best theta: {theta}')
print(f'Cost: {cost}\n')
# Plot the cost function
plt.plot(range(1, num_iterations+1), cost, marker='o')
plt.xlabel('Iterations')
plt.ylabel('Cost')
plt.title('Cost Function over Iterations')
plt.show()
Cost: [0.14945086442912495, 0.1462850997741671, 0.14615127018060434,
0.14613355072043788, 0.14612993171322677, 0.1461291311118402,
0.14612895161767106, 0.14612891128104535, 0.14612890221260907,
0.14612890017369384, 0.14612889971526463, 0.14612889961219122,
0.14612889958901615, 0.14612889958380548, 0.14612889958263386,
0.14612889958237046, 0.1461288995823112, 0.14612889958229788,
0.1461288995822949, 0.14612889958229425, 0.14612889958229408,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229403, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229403, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405,
```

```
0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.14612889958229405, 0.1461288995829405, 0.1461288995829405, 0.1461288995829405, 0.1461288995829405, 0.1461288995829405, 0.1461288995829405, 0.1461288995829405, 0.14612889958294
```



1.3.8 OT4.

Now try using matrix inversion instead. However Are the weights learned from the two methods similar? Report the Mean Squared Errors (MSE) of the difference between the two weights.

```
[]: # Linear Regression classifier using Matrix Inversion

import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

def predict(X, theta):
    y_linear = np.dot(X, theta[1:]) + theta[0]
```

```
\# h = sigmoid(y_linear)
         return np.where(y_linear >= 0.5, 1, 0)
     def CostFunction (theta, X, y):
         y_linear = np.dot(X, theta)
         errors = y - y_linear
         cost = np.sum(errors**2) / m
         return cost
     # Main function
     X = data # "Pclass", "Sex", "Age", "Embarked"
     X = np.insert(X, 0, 1, axis=1) # "1(for theta0)", Pclass", "Sex", "Age", "Embarked"
     y = np.array(train['Survived'].values)
     m, n = data.shape # m = number of sample, n = number of features
     # Initialize theta
     theta = np.zeros(1+n)
     # Set hyperparameters
     learning_rate = 0.01
     num_iterations = 1000
     theta = np.linalg.inv(X.T @ X) @ X.T @ y
     theta mtx = theta
     # Calculate the cost
     cost = CostFunction(theta, X, y)
     print(f'Best theta: {theta}')
     print(f'Cost: {cost}')
    Best theta: [ 6.79778964e-01 -1.84076625e-01 4.95195842e-01 -6.07258260e-05
      4.74474197e-02]
    Cost: 0.14612889958229405
[]: # Calculate Mean Squared Error (MSE)
    mse = np.mean((theta_grad - theta_mtx)**2)
    print("Mean Squared Error (MSE):", mse)
```

Mean Squared Error (MSE): 0.031726674441295

In gradient descent, the cost evolves iteratively as parameters adjust, aiming to minimize error. In matrix inversion, the cost is constant; optimal parameters are directly calculated, minimizing error without iterative updates.