Key Takeaways

- ▼ In-depth understanding of SOLID principles
- ▼ Walk-throughs with examples
- $oldsymbol{ec{ec{ec{V}}}}$ Understand concepts like Dependency Injection, Inversion of Control
- ▼ Practice quizzes & assignment

? FAO

=====

- ▶ Will the recording be available? To Scaler students only
- Will these notes be available?
 Yes. Published in the discord/telegram groups (link pinned in chat)
- Timings for this session? 7.30pm - 10pm (2.5 hours) [15 min break midway]
- Audio/Video issues

 <u>Disable Ad Blockers & VPN. Check your internet.</u> Rejoin the session.
- ? Will Design Patterns, topic x/y/z be covered?
 In upcoming masterclasses. Not in today's session.
 Enroll for upcoming Masterclasses @ [scaler.com/events]
 (https://www.scaler.com/events)
- What programming language will be used? The session will be language agnostic. I will write code in Java. However, the concepts discussed will be applicable across languages
- Prerequisites?
 Basics of Object Oriented Programming

🚇 About the Instructor

Pragy

[linkedin.com/in/AgarwalPragy](https://www.linkedin.com/in/AgarwalPragy/)

Senior Software Engineer + Instructor @ Scaler

Important Points

- Communicate using the chat box
- 🙋 Post questions in the "Questions" tab

Upvote others' question to increase visibility deligible Use the thumbs-up/down buttons for continous feedback Bonus content at the end What % of your work time is spend writing new code? • 15-40% • 10-15% • 40-80% • > 80% < 15% of a dev's time is spent writing fresh code! Where does the rest of the time go? debugging, refactoring, testing documentations, meetings, reading code, stackoverflow mentoring, team acitvities break - chai sutta break ✓ Goals Make sure that we work less and play more — whatever work you do is correct in the first qo. We'd like to make our code 1. readable 2. maintainable 3. testable 4. extensible #### Robert C. Martin 😌 Uncle Bob =========== **SOLID** Principles

```
    Single Responsibility
    Open-Closed
    Liskov's Substitution
    Interface Segregation
    Depdency Inversion

Interface Segregation / Inversion of Control Dependency Inversion / Dependency Injection
```

- Game - Zoo application 🦊

Context

- Animals, Staff, Visitors, Cages, Food, Shows, ..

```
Design a Zoo Entity
```java
// Pseudocode
// Object Oriented Programming
// entities/concepts => classes
class ZooEntity {
 // attributes - properties
 String species; // animal
Boolean hasWings; // animal
String name; // staff
String phoneNumber; // staff
String visitorPassId; // visitor
 Integer enclosureWidth; // cage
 String foodType; // food
DateTime startTime; // show
 void chew() {
 // make changes here — effects both staff and animal behavior
 void animalEat() {
 chew()
 void staffEat() {
 chew()
 void eat(); // animals can eat
 void poop();
```

```
void markAttendance();
 void getPaid();
 void cleanZoo();
 // staff can also eat
 void petAnimal();
 void getEatenByAnimalByBeingStupid();
 void giveFeedback();
Problems with the above code?
 Readable
unexperienced dev: I can read it, and I can understand it
(thinking about now)
experienced dev: As the complexity grows, and the number of entities increase, this
class will become unreadable
(thinking about the future)
? Testable
unexperienced dev: I can write testcases for each method
experienced dev: I can write testcases, but the code will be coupled - changes to
one of code will effect other pieces of code
 Extensible
come back to this
Maintainable
merge conflicts — because we have multiple devs working on the same file
Thow to fix this?

Single Responsibility Principle

 Every function/class/package/module (unit of code) should have only 1 well-

defined responsibility
- any piece of code has just 1 reason to change
- if a piece of code has multiple responsibilities, then we should break it down
into multiple pieces of code
```java
```

void speak();

class ZooEntity {

```
Datetime createdAt, updatedAt;
}
class Animal extends ZooEntity {
   String species; // animal
                          // animal
   Boolean hasWings;
   void eat(); // animals can eat
   void poop();
   void speak();
class Staff extends ZooEntity {
   String name;
                          // staff
   String phoneNumber;
                          // staff
   void markAttendance();
   void getPaid();
   void cleanZoo();
}
class Visitor extends ZooEntity { ... }
class Show extends ZooEntity { ... }
Readable
We have a lot more classes now — number of classes has increased
non-issue - you can solve that by using metaprogramming (generics, templates,
macros, preprocessor, higher order functions)
Readability is not about how much code there is — because as a dev you will be
working with a small part of the codebase
Testable
Yes, we've improved, because now, changes made to one class will NOT effect the
other classes
- Maintainable
merge conflicts will reduce
```java
class Animal {
 // different animals will behave differently
 // birds are different from fishes, ...
```java
class Animal extends ZooEntity {
   String species;
   String name;
class Bird extends Animal {
   void fly();
   void layEgg();
```

String id;

```
class Mammal extends Animal {
   void keepWarm();
Design Birds
```java
class Bird extends Animal {
 void peck() { ... }
 void fly() { ... }
Different birds fly differently
```java
class Bird extends Animal {
  void fly() {
     // decided the flying behavior based on the species
      if (species == "sparrow")
         print("flap wings & fly low")
      else if (species == "eagle")
      else if (species == "peacock")
         print("only the females fly, males cant")
   Problems with the above code?
- Readable
Testable

    Maintainable

- Extensible - FOCUS!
Do you always write code from scratch? OR do you use other people's code
(importing)
```java
[library] package SimpleZooLibary {
```

```
class Bird extends Animal {
 void fly() {
 // decided the flying behavior based on the species
 if (species == "sparrow")
 print("flap wings & fly low")
 else if (species == "eagle")
 print("soar high")
else if (species == "peacock")
 print("only the females fly, males cant")
[executable] Game {
 import SimpleZooLibary.Bird;
 // imagine if we wanted to add new types of Birds
 // it will be painful to extend the library code here
 class ZooGame {
 static void main(String args[]) {
 Bird b = new Bird(...);
 }
Do we always have the permissions to modify a library that we've imported?
Closed sourced - we don't even have the code
Open sourced - we can see the code, but not necessarily modify it
Zerodha - largest stock-trading platforms for India
Kite API - can be used to implement your own trading algorithms
```java
class Trader {
   void executeTrade() {
      if(strategy == "mean-reversion") {
      } else if (strategy == "fibonacci-retracement") {
   }
Anyone using the Kite API is restricted to only strategies that are pre-coded by
Zerodha
Mow to fix this?
```

★ Open-Closed Principle

```
Why closed for modification
1. dev - write code on local machine, test it, make a PR

    PR goes for review - team members will suggest changes (back to step 1)

   PR will be merged
3. the code goes to the QA team — ensure that everything is working. Add new
testcases. Integrations tests
4. CI/CD
    + staging servers (production like environment) - everything is working fine
    + production servers
        * A/B testing
            - deploy the code/feature for 5% of the userbase

    test - exception, performance, revnue, NPS ...

        * deployed to 100% of the codebase
```java
[library] package SimpleZooLibary {
 // .dll .com .so .jar
 abstract class Bird extends Animal {
 abstract void fly();
 class Sparrow extends Bird {
 void fly() { print("flap wings and fly low"); }
 class Eagle extends Bird {
 void fly() { print("soar high"); }
[executable] Game {
 import SimpleZooLibary.Bird;
 // imagine if we wanted to add new types of Birds
 // it will be painful to extend the library code here
 class Peacock extends Bird {
 ... implement custom functionality here
 class ZooGame {
 static void main(String args[]) {
 Bird b = new Bird(...);
 }
```java
abstract class TradingStrategy {
   Trade getTrade();
class Trader {
   void executeTrade(TradingStrategy strategy) {
      Trade trade = strategy.getTrade();
      trade.execute();
   }
```

}

- Code should be open for extension, yet closed for modification!

```
```java
class MeanReversionTradingStrategy extends TradingStrategy {
Extension
A developer who doesn't have modification permissions for the codebase can still
import the code and extend the functionality!
Software salaries in India – upto 3Cr. per annum (recurring with stocks)
Why would a company pay you this amount?
 Because you're able to anticipate future requirements and account for them today
itself!
It is very easy to be a dev, but it is very hard to be a good dev
There's just so much to know
Tier-1 company (MAANG)
Interview process
1. screening round (HR)

 what projects have you worked on

 estimate the value of 2^64 without using a calculator

 - 2^10 ~ 10^3
 - 2^60 ~ 10^18
 -2^64 \sim 16 * 10^18 (a little more than 16 quadrillion)
2. telephonic coding interview / online coding round
 - Data Structures & Algorithms (DSA)
3. On-site interviews
 a) DSA round
 b) SDE-1 => SQL, Low Level Design (SOLID principles, Design Patterns, schema
design, class diagram)
 SDE-2 => (everything SDE-1) + concurrency, advanced SQL queries, query
optimization, REST API design
 SDE-2+ => High Level Design
There's tons of resources to learn all these things

 ping me on Linkedin

 join our whatsapp/discord/telegram communities

Just learning/reading is not enough - practice is king!
Scaler is a 11 month long, very rigourous and in-depth, curriculum
Soft-skills - resume building, salary negoations, .. mentor sessions
How do you measure success?
If you can't measure it, you can't optimize it!
How we meausre success @ Scaler?
Look at the salary before Scaler, and the Salary after Scaler
8LPA salary before Scaler.
After 11 months of Scaler, if they're able to get a salary of 30LPA
```

```
30/8 = 3.75x
gain/delta/impact = 2.75x
Average impact across all Scaler learners is 2.6x
8.53 - \sim 12 \text{ min break}
resume the class sharp at 9.05
Single Responsibility Principle
Open-Closed Principle
🖖 Can all birds fly?
abstract class Bird {
 abstract void fly();
class Sparrow extends Bird { void fly() { print("fly low"); }}
class Eagle extends Bird { void fly() { print("soar high"); }}
class Kiwi extends Bird {
 void flv() {
}
Emu, Kiwi, Ostrich, Penguin, Dodo, Flamingo .. are all examples of birds which
cannot fly
 How do we solve this?

 Throw exception with a proper message

 • Don't implement the `fly()` method
 • Return `null`

 Redesign the system

🤼 Try the quickest/simplest solution first
Don't implement the `fly()` method at all!
```java
abstract class Bird {
                                   // blueprints - incomplete concepts
   abstract void fly();
class Kiwi extends Bird {
```

```
// no void fly()
🄴 Compiler Error
You marked the class Bird as `abstract` - you told me that it is incomplete.
You said that you don't know how to implement `fly` inside Bird, and that whoever
extends this will supply the implementation
Either provide implementation of `void fly()` inside `class Kiwi extends Bird` or
you should mark the class Kiwi as abstract too!
△ Throw a proper exception
```java
abstract class Bird {
 // blueprints - incomplete concepts
 abstract void fly();
class Kiwi extends Bird {
 void fly() {
 throw new FlightlessBirdException("Kiwi is a flightless species of Bird");
}
 Wrong - this violates expectations!
```java
abstract class Bird {
   abstract void fly();
class Sparrow extends Bird { void fly() { print("fly low"); }}
class Eagle extends Bird { void fly() { print("soar high"); }}
class Game {
   Bird getBirdFromUserChoice() {
      // input bird type from User
      // return an object of the appropriate class
   void main() {
      Bird b = getBirdFromUserChoice();
      b.fly();
   }
}
// extension to the code
class Kiwi extends Bird {
   void fly() {
      throw new FlightlessBirdException("...");
}
```

▼ Before extension code works fine – everyone is happy

After extension

did we modify the existing code? No do we necessarily know who/when the extension was added? in a large team, No suddenly, without modifying existing code, the existing code now breaks!

★ Liskov's Substitution Principle

- child classes should honor the contract made by the parent classes
- don't violate expectations set by the parent class

```
Redesign the system
```

```
abstract class Bird {
   abstract void eat();
   abstract void poop();
interface ICanFly { // interface - prefix the interface name with I
   void fly();
class Sparrow extends Bird implements ICanFly {
    void fly(){ print("fly low"); }
class Eagle extends Bird implements ICanFly {
    void fly() { print("soar high"); }
class Game {
   Bird getBirdFromUserChoice() {
      // input bird type from User
      // return an object of the appropriate class
   void main() {
      Bird b = getBirdFromUserChoice();
      if(b instanceof ICanFly) {
         ICanFly flyingBird = (ICanFly) b;
         flyingBird.fly();
     }
   }
}
// extension to the code
class Kiwi extends Bird {
   // don't have to implement void fly because it doesn't implement the ICanFly
interface!
```

```
    why not use strategy pattern here?
    why not simply add a FlightlessBird class as a class hierarchy?

3. why not have composition here over inheritance?
4. why not have a boolean canFly inside Bird class?
→ What else can fly?
```java
interface ICanFly {
 void fly();
 // what does a Bird do before it starts flying?
 // spread wings, jump a little to take off
 void smallJump();
 void spreadWings();
 void flapWings();
class Shaktiman implements ICanFly {
 void fly() { print("rotate very fast"); }
 void flapWings() {
 // Sorry Shakltiman :(
}
Are there things apart from Birds which can fly?
Insects / Aeroplanes / Poop / Paper rockets / Shaktiman / Udta Punjab
 Should these additional methods be part of the ICanFly interface?
 • Yes, obviously. All things methods are related to flying
 • Nope. [send your reason in the chat]
No.
対 Interface Segregation Principle
```

Keep your interfaces minimal
 A class should not implement an interface if it doesn't use ALL of its methods

```
Java - Interfaces vs Abstract classes - use interfaces if you don't have any state
Python — only abstract class + multiple inheritance
C++ - pure virtual methods
Rust/Scala - traits
How will you fix \ICanFly\?
```java
abstract class Animal {
   abstract void eat();
   abstract void poop();
abstract class Bird extends Animal {
}
interface ICanFly {
   void fly();
interface IHasWings {
   void spreadWings();
   void flapWings();
interface ICanJump {
   void smallJump();
class Sparrow extends Bird implements ICanFly, IHasWings, ICanJump {
   void fly() {...}
   void spreadWings() {...}
   void flapWings() {...}
void smallJump() {...}
class Shaktiman extends Animal implements ICanFly, ICanJump {
   void eat() {...}
   void poop() {...}
void fly() {...}
   void smallJump() {...}
Low-level design
```

We've designed the creatures in the zoo - let's design the structures

Design a Cage

```
// what things does a Cage "depend" on?
// bowl - to feed animals
// animal - the inhabitants
interface IBowl { void feed(Animal animal); }
                                                      // high level - abstract
class MeatBowl implements IBowl {
   public MeatBowl() {
                                                       // low level - details
      // cook it
      // add preservatives
   void feed(Animal animal) {
      // check for diet plan
      // check for allergies
      // check for dental health
// is the animal stray or part of zoo
      // feed
class FruitBowl implements IBowl { ... }
class GrainBowl implements IBowl { ... }
interface IDoor { void handleAttack(Attack attack); }
class IronDoor implement IDoor { ... }
class WoodenDoor implement IDoor { ... }
class AdamantiumDoor implement IDoor { ... }
abstract class Animal { ... }
class Tiger extends Animal { ... }
abstract class Bird extends Animal { ... }
class Peacock extends Bird { ... }
class Sparrow extends Bird { ... }
class Cage1 {
   // Cage1 is a cage for birds
   FruitBowl bowl = new FruitBowl(...);
   WoodenDoor door = new WoodenDoor(...);
   List<Bird> birds = Arrays.asList(new Peacock(...),
                                      new Sparrow(...))
   public Cage1() {
   void handleAttack(Attack attack) {
      // delegating to the appropriate dependency
      this.door.handleAttack(attack);
   void feed() {
      // delegate to the bowl
      for(Bird b: this.birds) {
         this.bowl.feed(b);
   }
class Cage2 {
```

```
// Cage2 is for big cats - tigers, lions, ...
   MeatBowl bowl = new MeatBowl(...);
   IronDoor door = new IronDoor(...);
   List<Cat> cats = Arrays.asList(new Tiger(...),
                                    new Lion(...))
   public Cage2() {
   void handleAttack(Attack attack) {
      // delegating to the appropriate dependency
      this.door.handleAttack(attack);
   void feed() {
      // delegate to the bowl
      for(Cat c: this.cats) {
         this.bowl.feed(c);
// add another cage for X-men
// 1. create a new class Cage3
// 2. initialize the dependencies — MeatBowl, AdamantiumDoor, ...
class Game {
   void main() {
      Cage1 forBirds = new Cage1();
      Cage2 forCats = new Cage2();
}
  Lot of code repetition – true
Let's look at this from a dependency diagram perspective
- High level code: code that gives you the overview, without delving into the
details. They just tell you what methods are there, without telling you the
implementation of the methods
   - interfaces/abstract classes
   - Managerial/Controller code - doesn't do things itself - it delegates tasks to
other things

    Low level code: implementation details - concrete classes/functions - tell

exactly how the code works
   IBowl
                  IAnimal
                                    IDoor
                                                     high level
                   Tiger
  MeatBowl
                                 IronDoor
                                                     low level
```

Cage2 controller — high level

. . .

high level unit `Cage2` depends on low-level details `MeatBowl`, ...

★ Dependency Inversion Principle — what to do

- High-level code should NEVER depend on low level details
- Instead, it should depend on high level abstractions

IBowl IAnimal IDoor high level

Cage high level

But how?

Dependency Injection

class CustomCage extends Cage {

- how to do it

 instead of creating & managing our own dependencies, we let our clients provide the dependencies for us

```java

```
IVisitorArea visitorArea;
class Game {
 void main() {
 Cage forBirds = new Cage(
 new FruitBowl(...),
 new WoodenDoor(...),
 Arrays.asList(new Sparrow(...), new Peacock(...), ..)
);
 Cage forCats = new Cage(
 new MeatBowl(...),
 new IronDoor(...),
 Arrays.asList(new Tiger(...), ...)
 Cage forXMen = new Cage(
 new MeatBowl(...),
 new AdamantiumDoor(...),
 Arrays.asList(new Wolverine(...), new Cyclops(...))
);
 }
Enterprise Code
1. getting your foot into the door - cracking the interviews
2. surviving & thriving once you get in
Large companies like Google/Amazon - you will find "over-engineered" code
1. find obscure design patterns everywhere
 - singletons, factories, proxies, adapters, strategies
2. a ton of logging constructors
3. a ton of very ver long names `class RazorPayPaymentGatewayStrategy implements
IPaymentGatewayStrategy { ... }`
Don't know Low Level Design
```

1. you will be confused 2. frustrated

3. not be productive

4. smallest of changes will take forever

If you know Low Level Design

1. you don't even have to read the code most of the time

2. just by looking at the filename / pattern name - you know exactly what the code will be doing!

**Bonus Content** 

- We all need people who will give us feedback.
   That's how we improve.
   Bill Gates
- Assignment

https://github.com/kshitijmishra23/low-level-designconcepts/tree/master/src/oops/SOLID/

# **★** Interview Questions

- > ? Which of the following is an example of breaking
- > Dependency Inversion Principle?
- A) A high-level module that depends on a low-level modulethrough an interface
- > B) A high-level module that depends on a low-level module directly
- > C) A low-level module that depends on a high-level module
  > through an interface
- > D) A low-level module that depends on a high-level module directly
- > A) To ensure that a class only needs to implement methods that are > actually required by its client
- > B) To ensure that a class can be reused without any issues
- > C) To ensure that a class can be extended without modifying its source code
- > D) To ensure that a class can be tested without any issues
- > Which of the following is an example of breaking > Liskov Substitution Principle?
- > A) A subclass that overrides a method of its superclass and changes

```
> B) A subclass that adds new methods
> C) A subclass that can be used in place of its superclass without
 any issues
> D) A subclass that can be reused without any issues
 How can we achieve the Interface Segregation Principle in our classes?
> A) By creating multiple interfaces for different groups of clients
B) By creating one large interface for all clientsC) By creating one small interface for all clients
> D) By creating one interface for each class
> ? Which SOLID principle states that a subclass should be able to replace
> its superclass without altering the correctness of the program?
> A) Single Responsibility Principle
> B) Open-Close Principle
> C) Liskov Substitution Principle
> D) Interface Segregation Principle
 How can we achieve the Open-Close Principle in our classes?
> A) By using inheritance
> B) By using composition
> C) By using polymorphism
> D) All of the above
=============== That's all, folks! ===================
```

its signature