

## Algorithm for Source NF:

We consider a data structure named *temp\_list* that will store packets from the input buffer of flow thread.

Let, load balancing event is triggered after processing *y* packets.

### **Start:**

```
temp_list ← []  
flow_id ← f  
processed_packets_count ← y  
thread_id ← (f, T)  
dest_NF_IP ← p.q.r.s
```

### **Step-1:**

Copy packets from input buffer of *thread\_id (f, T)* to *temp\_list*

### **Step-2:**

Kill thread (*f, T*)

### **Step-3:**

Broadcast state up to packet *y*

### **Step-4:**

Notify SDN controller to change the rule to redirect *flow f* to the new destination member NF.

### **Step-5:**

```
loop [ for each packet in input buffer of NF]  
    if flow_id = f  
        then copy packet from main input buffer of the NF to temp_list  
    end if  
end loop
```

### **Step-6:**

Send (*dest\_NF\_IP, flow\_id, temp\_list*) to switch.

## Algorithm for Destination NF:

### Start:

Receive (*flow\_id*, *temp\_list*) from switch.

### Step-1:

Loop [for each packet in *temp\_list*]

    Copy the packet to the **main input buffer** of the NF

End loop

Create a new thread for *flow f*

### Step - 2:

Receive state updates up to packet *y*

Set *nextExpectedPktID*  $\leftarrow y+1$

**Concern:** The state update broadcast for a flow needs to be FIFO. Otherwise the following problem may occur,

Suppose, destination NF received states up to *x* packets. Source NF updates packets up to *y* packets and after some time, up to *z* packets. Here,  $z > y > x$ .

Now, if the update for *z* reaches first, *nextExpectedPktID* will be set to *z+1*. And after some time, the update for *y* will reach and *nextExpectedPktID* will be set to *y+1*. So after *nextExpectedPktID* will reach *z+1*, the NF processing will be halted for infinite time as the NF will expect *z+1* but *z+1* was already processed before, which will never come again.

We can try to maintain a separate module in between to ensure FIFO property.