

I've circled all the matches for you
but the results seem pretty straight forward,
shoot me a message if you have
any questions!
-Jer

DNA Test Report

CASE: #61662

	NAME:	MOTHER J. Earp	CHILD Waverly Earp	FATHER Ward Earp
RACE:		Caucasian	Caucasian	Caucasian
DATE COLLECTED:		Unknown	2017	Unknown
TEST NO.:		E-61662-4	E-61662-5	E-61662-6
LOCATION		ALLELES	ALLELES	ALLELES
D3S1358 vWA	0.87 1.09	13 15 17 18	15 18 15 16	10 15 17 20 9
D16S539 CSF1PO TPOX D8S1179 D21S11 D18S51 D2S441 D19S433 TH01 FGA	0.00 0.00 1.99 0.00 0.00 1.65 0.00 0.00 2.17	9 10 12 8 11 10 14 27 29 12 15 11 15 16.2 7 9.3 22 25	7 7 11 8 11 14 28 32.2 14 14 15 20 23	12 13 8 11 11 13 22 30 11 15 29 15 16.2 7 9.3 22 25
D22S1045 D5S818 D13S317 D7S820 SE33 D10S1248 D1S1656 D2S1338 Amelogenin	0.77 0.00 0.00 0.91 3.04 2.61 4.55 0.00	15 16 12 13 8 12 8 10 23.2 29.2 14 15 12 15 24 25 X X	16 18 16 13 12 13 12 18 20 14 0 15.6 16 17 22 X X	15 16 12 13 8 12 10 11 23.2 29.2 14 15 12 15 24 25 X Y

There should be
a watch in
every row for
both parents - the
matches that I
circled are probably
just coincidences.

INTERPRETATIONS

COMBINED RELATEDNESS INDEX: 0.0000

PROBABILITY OF RELATEDNESS: 0.0%

The DNA from the alleged relative(s) was compared to the tested child. Based on testing results obtained from the analyses of the DNA loci listed, the probability of relatedness is 0.0%. The probability of relatedness is calculated by comparing to an untested, unrelated, random individual of the Caucasian population (assumes prior probability equals 0.50).

Based on the samples received from tested parties whose identities cannot be independently verified, I , the undersigned Laboratory Director, delcare the genetic data is correct as reported during 03/2017.

Michael D. Baird Ph.D Keen A Wilson Ph.D Jane L Doe Ph.D John L Doe Ph.D Farris Hanna Ph.D June T Wong Ph.D NOTE: Since the samples were not collected under a strict chain of custody by a third neutral party, and the Laboratory cannot verify the origin of the samples, this test result may not be defensible in a court of law for the establishment of paternity and other legally related issues. The tested parties expressly understand that the result from this test is only for personal knowledge and curiosity.



-here's the sample Wynonna sent in, if you wanted to compare!

DNA Test Report

CASE: #61661

COMBINED RELATEDNESS INDEX: 0.9999

PROBABILITY OF RELATEDNESS: 99.99%

The DNA from the alleged relative(s) was compared to the tested child. Based on testing results obtained from the analyses of the DNA loci listed, the probability of relatedness is 99,99%. The probability of relatedness is calculated by comparing to an untested, unrelated, random individual of the Caucasian population (assumes prior probability equals 0.50).

Based on the samples received from tested parties whose identities cannot be independently verified, I, the undersigned Laboratory Director, delcare the genetic data is correct as reported during 03/2017.

> Michael D. Baird Ph.D Keen A Wilson Ph.D Jane L Doe Ph.D

John L Doe Ph.D Farris Hanna Ph.D June T Wong Ph.D NOTE: Since the samples were not collected under a strict chain of custody by a third neutral party, and the Laboratory cannot verify the origin of the samples, this test result may not be defensible in a court of law for the establishment of paternity and other legally related issues. The tested parties expressly understand that the result from this test is only for personal knowledge and curiosity.