# A Simple Bitcoin Market Model v1.1



Pascal Urien

November 2017

## **Table of Content**

Executive Summary	3
The bitcoin production rate	5
The hash rate	5
The production cost	5
Remark1: Defining the µ constant	6
Remark2: About the Bitcoin network constraint	7
Market Price and Valorization	7
Number of rigs	8
The Bitcoin Market	9
Growth Limit	10
Negative valorization	10
The Rig profit	10
The profit per rig in \$	11
Estimation of the k factor	11
A miner keep bitcoin strategy	11
Checking the profit rig lemma	12

## **Executive Summary**

The network fixes the production price c(t) of the bitcoins

$$c(t) \approx \mu H_{R(t)}/B_R \text{ in $\$/BTC}$$

 $H_R$  is the quantity of hashes needed for the production of  $B_R$  (12,5 BTC in 2017) bitcoins.

μ is a physical factor (in \$/hash) relying on the rig technology.

$$\mu = (R_A/\beta + 8.76 \cdot 10^3 P_E E_C)/31.536 \cdot 10^6 in \$/hash$$

R<sub>A</sub> =Rig amortization (\$/year/rig)

 $P_E$  = Power Efficiency (Joule per Giga Hash per second)

 $E_C$  = Energy Cost (\$/KWh)

 $B_R = Block Reward (BTC)$ 

 $\beta$  is the number of hashes produced by a rig

$$h(t) = \beta r(t)$$
 in THs

where r(t) is the number of rigs, h(t) the hashrate i.e. the number of hashes produced per the rigs every second. The market valorization v(t) is defined as:

$$v(t) = m c(t) in \$/BTC$$

And the market price is:

$$p(t) = c(t) (1 + m) in \$/BTC$$
 with  $m \ge -1$ 

The rigs make money if the market valorization (m) is positive. The profit in \$ per second and per rig is :

$$Profit / s/rig = m \beta \mu$$

We assume that the number of rigs r(t) increases with the miners' gain g(t) (expressed in \$) according to a constant  $k \ge 0$  factor.

$$r(t) - r(to) = k \left( g(t) - g(to) \right) k \text{ in } \$^{-1}$$

We deduce from these hypothesizes that the number of rigs increases exponentially if m is positive.

$$r(t) = r(to) e^{\lambda (t-to)}$$

with 
$$\lambda = m k \beta \mu$$
 in s

Furthermore when the valorization m is positive the hashrate h(t), the cost c(t), the market price p(t), and the valorization v(t) increase exponentially.

$$h(t) = h(to) e^{\lambda (t-to)}$$

$$c(t) = c(to) e^{\lambda (t-to)}$$

$$p(t) = (1+m) c(to) e^{\lambda (t-to)}$$

$$v(t) = m c(to) e^{\lambda (t-to)}$$

When the valorization m is negative, the rigs lose money, their number decreases;  $\lambda$  is negative, and consequently the hashrate decreases. The network lowers the production price c(t). The market price p(t) decreases until the valorization becomes positive again.

The growth limit is induced by the energy consumption, which relies on the rigs number. At this point the k factor will be null, implying a null value of  $\lambda$ , and therefore :

$$r(t) = r(to)$$

$$h(t) = h(to)$$

$$c(t) = c(to)$$

$$p(t) = (1 + m(t)) c(to)$$

$$v(t) = m(t) c(to)$$

## The bitcoin production rate

The bitcoin production rate is a constant (for example 12,5 BTC every 10 minutes), the number b(t) of bitcoins increases linearly with the time.

$$b(t) - b(to) = \alpha(t - to)$$

$$(1) \frac{db}{dt} = \alpha \text{ in BTC/s}$$

For example:

$$\alpha = 12.5 \text{ BTC} / 600 \text{s} = 2.083 \ 10^{-2} \text{ BTC/s}$$

#### The hash rate



The hash rate h(t) (in THs = Tera Hash per second) is proportional to the number of rigs r(t).

(2) 
$$h(t) = \beta r(t)$$
 in THs

for example  $\beta = 14$  THs (figure from the AntminerS9 rig)

## The production cost

The production cost c(t) (in \$/BTC) is proportional to the hash rate h(t).

(3) 
$$c(t) = \gamma h(t)$$
 in \$/BTC

(3a) 
$$\gamma = (R_A \beta + 8.76 \times 10^3 P_E E_C) / BTC_Y ear in $/BTC/THs$$

R<sub>A</sub> =Rig amortization (\$/year/rig)

 $P_E$  = Power Efficiency (Joule per Giga Hash per second)

 $E_C = Energy Cost (\$/KWh)$ 

 $B_R = Block Reward (BTC)$ 

BTC\_year = number of bitcoins produced per year

 $R_A = 600$ \$/year, AntminerS9 1800 \$, with 3 years amortization,

 $P_E = 0.1 \text{ J/GHs}$ , AntminerS9, (0.1 Joule per Giga Hash per second).

 $E_C = 0.15 \text{ } \text{/KWh}$ 

 $B_R = 12.5$  BTC block reward every 10 minutes

BTC\_year =  $B_R \times 144 \times 365 = = 12.5 \times 144 \times 365$ 

$$\gamma = (600/14 + 8,76 \ 10^3 \times 0,1 \times 0,15) / (12.5 \times 144 \times 365)$$
  
 $\gamma = (42,9 + 131,4) / 657000 = 2,7 \ 10^{-4} \ \text{\$/BTC/THs}$ 

For example with a hash rate of  $12\ 10^6$  THs the cost per bitcoin is :  $c(t) = 2.7\ 10^{-4} \times 12\ 10^6 = 3240 \ \text{/ BTC}$ 

Remark1: Defining the μ constant

(3b) 
$$\gamma = (R_A/\beta + 8,76 \, 10^3 \, P_E \, E_C) / (\alpha \, 31,536 \, 10^6)$$
  
(3c)  $\gamma = \mu / \alpha$   
(3d)  $\mu = (R_A/\beta + 8,76 \, 10^3 \, P_E \, E_C) / 31,536 \, 10^6$   
(3e)  $c(t) = \mu \, h(t) / \alpha$ 

For example:

$$\mu = (42.9 + 131.4) / 31.536 \cdot 10^6 = 5.53 \cdot 10^{-6}$$

#### Remark2: About the Bitcoin network constraint

The network fixes a given quantity of hashes  $H_R$  in order to release  $B_R$  bitcoins.

When  $\int_{to}^{t} h(t)dt = H_R$  the network creates  $B_R$  bitcoins.

And the approximate  $\alpha$  value is :  $\alpha \approx B_R/(t-to) = B_R/\Delta T$ 

Let's assume a small variation of h(t) around t:

$$H_R \approx h(t) \Delta T$$

(3f) 
$$c(t) \approx \mu H_R/B_R$$

(3g) 
$$c(t) \approx \mu h(t) \Delta T/B_R \approx \mu h(t)/\alpha$$

For small variation of the hashrate, we assume the equation (3e) remains valid.

#### **Market Price and Valorization**

The market price p(t) is equal to the sum of production cost (ct) and the market valorization v(t).

(4) 
$$p(t) = c(t) + v(t)$$
 in \$/BTC

We assume that the market valorization v(t) is proportional to the cost c(t):

(5) 
$$v(t) = m c(t)$$
 in \$/BTC

from (4)(5)

(5a) 
$$p(t) = c(t) (1 + m)$$
 in \$/BTC

m is usually positive, but a negative value could be possible with the constraint  $m \ge -1$ 

From the bitcoin price history let's assume m=1 (i.e. the valorization is equal to the cost).

For example with a hash rate of  $12 ext{ } 10^6$  THs the valorization per bitcoin is:

$$v(t) = m c(t) = 3240$$
\$ / BTC, and  $p(t) = 6480$ \$

## **Number of rigs**

We can write the miners' gain dg (\$) during a time dt as:

(6) 
$$dg = v(t) db$$
 in \$

And therefore:

$$(7)\frac{dg}{dt} = v(t) \frac{db}{dt} = \alpha v(t)$$

We assume that the interest for mining is proportional to the miners' gain g(t), which implies an increase of rigs.

(7a) 
$$r(t) - r(to) = k(g(t) - g(to))$$
  
(8)  $\frac{dr}{dg} = k \text{ in } \$^{-1}$ 

k is a positive constant (k>=0).

The variation of r is negative if the variation of g is negative.

When  $\Delta g = 1/k \$ , a new rig ( $\Delta r = 1$ ) is added to the bitcoin network.

From (8) and (7) we get

(9) 
$$\frac{dr}{dt} = k \frac{dg}{dt} = k \alpha v(t)$$

and thereafter from (5) (3) (2)

(10) 
$$\frac{dr}{dt} = k \alpha \beta \gamma m \ r(t)$$

#### **The Bitcoin Market**

This relation (9) works even if  $\alpha$  is not constant :

(10a) 
$$\frac{dr}{dt} = k \beta \alpha(t) \gamma(t) m r(t) = k \beta \mu m r(t)$$

let's define:

(10b) 
$$\lambda = k \alpha \beta \gamma m \text{ in s}^{-1}$$
  
(10c)  $\lambda = m k \beta \mu \text{ in s}^{-1}$   
(10e)  $\frac{dr}{dt} = \lambda r(t)$ 

The  $\lambda$  parameter is not dependant from  $\alpha$  (bitcoin rate production, i.e. the block mining rate).

Therefore:

(11) 
$$r(t) = r(to) e^{\lambda (t-to)}$$
  
(12)  $h(t) = h(to) e^{\lambda (t-to)}$   
(13)  $c(t) = c(to) e^{\lambda (t-to)}$   
(14)  $p(t) = (1+m) c(to) e^{\lambda (t-to)}$   
(15)  $v(t) = m c(to) e^{\lambda (t-to)}$ 

#### **Growth Limit**

We believe that the growth limit is induced by the energy consumption, which relies on the rigs number.

At this point the k factor will be null, implying a null value of  $\lambda$ , and therefore :

$$r(t) = r(to)$$

$$h(t) = h(to)$$

$$c(t) = c(to)$$

$$p(t) = (1 + m(t)) c(to)$$

$$v(t) = m(t) c(to)$$

## **Negative valorization**

(16) 
$$v(t) = m c(to) e^{\lambda (t-to)}$$

When the valorization v(t) is negative (m <0) the  $\lambda$  parameter is negative. The number of working rigs decreases, and so the mining costs. The block mining rate decreases. Therefore after a few  $1/\lambda$  the valorization reaches a null value, the system crashes. Likely the bitcoin network will fix a difficulty and therefore a hash rate leading to a null valorization (m=0) before the crash.

We obviously believe that m is not a constant over the time, m(t) = f(t).

## The Rig profit

Given h(t) and p(t) we can retrieve:

$$c(t) = \gamma h(t)$$

$$v(t) = p(t) - \gamma h(t) = m c(t)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{1}{\beta} h(t)$$

The profit per rig remains constant v(t)/r(t) = constant (in \$/BTC).

$$(17) v(t)/r(t) = m \beta \gamma$$

#### The profit per rig in \$

The profit in \$ per rig and per year is written as:

(18) 
$$BTC\_Year\ v(t)/r(t) = m\beta (R_A/\beta + 8.76\ 10^3\ P_E\ E_C)$$

For example:

BTC\_Year 
$$v(t)/r(t) = 14 \times (42.9+131.4) = 2440 \$/rig/year$$

Estimation of the k factor

Every  $\tau = \ln(2)/\lambda$  the profit doubles

Let's assume  $\tau = 4$  months (x8 every year)

$$\lambda = 0.7 / (3600 \times 24 \times 365/3) = 6.66 \times 10^{-8}$$

$$k = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha \beta \gamma m} = 6,66 \cdot 10^{-8} / (2,083 \cdot 10^{-2} \times 14 \times 2,7 \cdot 10^{-4} \times 1)$$

$$k = 8,46 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \$^{-1}$$

One rig is added to the bitcoin network every (1/k) 1182 \$ profit

If we assume k is a constant  $1/\lambda = 1/m \text{ k } \alpha \beta \gamma = 174 \text{ days }/m$ 

#### A miner keep bitcoin strategy

A rig produces  $\alpha/r(t)$  bitcoin per second. The benefit (in \$/s) is m/(1+m) p(t)  $\alpha/r(t)$ The benefit in BTC/s is m/(1+m)  $\alpha/r(t)$  If the miner keeps the benefit in bitcoin, it will benefit from the market price increase. His benefit after a time T is therefore:

(19) 
$$b(T) = p(t) \frac{m}{m+1} \alpha \int_0^T 1/r(t) dt$$

(20) 
$$b(T) = \frac{p(to)}{r(to)} \frac{m}{1+m} \alpha \lambda^{-1} (e^{\lambda T} - 1)$$

For example:

$$r(to) = h(t0)/14 = 12 \cdot 10^6 / 14 = 860,000 \text{ rigs}$$
  
 $p(t0) = 6480 \text{ }$   
 $1/\lambda = 174 \text{ days}$ 

$$\alpha = 657000/365 \text{ days}$$

$$b(T(days)) = p(to)\frac{m}{1+m}0,37(e^{T/174}-1)$$

## Checking the profit rig lemma

From (7) and (15), we get:

$$\int_{to}^{t} dg = g(t) - g(to) = \alpha \int_{to}^{t} v(t)dt$$

$$g(t) - g(to) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} v(to) (e^{\lambda(t-to)} - 1)$$

From (11):

$$g(t) - g(to) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \frac{v(to)}{r(to)} (r(t) - r(to))$$

From (5) (3) (2)

$$v(to) = m \beta \gamma r(to)$$

Therefore from (10)

$$g(t) - g(to) = \frac{m \alpha \beta \gamma}{\lambda} (r(t) - r(to))$$

$$g(t) - g(to) = \frac{1}{k} (r(t) - r(to))$$

$$r(t) - r(to) = k(g(t) - g(to))$$

Which gives again (8)