Abiotic Hydrolysis Reaction Library

Version 1.6 of the Abiotic Hydrolysis Reaction Library contains 25 reaction schemes:

- Halogenated Aliphatics: Nucleophilic Substitution
 - o Scheme A: C-X with no other adjacent halogens
 - o Scheme B: C-X with vicinal halogen atoms
 - o Scheme C: C-X with geminal halogen atoms
- Halogenated Aliphatics: Elimination
- Epoxide Hydrolysis
- Organophosphorus Ester Hydrolysis 1 (Base-Catalyzed)
- Organophosphorus Ester Hydrolysis 2 (Neutral or Acid-Catalyzed)
- Carboxylic Acid Ester Hydrolysis
- Lactone Hydrolysis
- <u>Carbonate Hydrolysis</u>
- Cyclic Carbonate Hydrolysis
- Anhydride Hydrolysis
- Cyclic Anhydride Hydrolysis
- Amide Hydrolysis
- Lactam Hydrolysis
- Carbamate Hydrolysis
- <u>Urea Hydrolysis</u>
- Cyclic Urea Hydrolysis
- Sulfonylurea Hydrolysis
- Thiocarbamate Hydrolysis
- Nitrile Hydrolysis
- N-S Cleavage
- Imide Hydrolysis
- Acid Halide Hydrolysis
- Dehydration of Geminal Diols


The schemes within the hydrolysis library are ranked on a scale of one to five according to their relative rate of transformation, with a higher rank indicating a faster hydrolysis rate.

Scheme	pH 5 Rank	pH 7 Rank	pH 9 Rank
Nucleophilic Substitution w/ no adjacent X		3	
Nucleophilic Substitution w/ vicinal X		1	
Nucleophilic Substitution w/ geminal X		1	
Elimination		1	
Epoxide Hydrolysis		5	
OP Ester Hydrolysis 1 (Base-Catalyzed)		3	
OP Ester Hydrolysis 2 (Neutral or Acid-Catalyzed)		3	
Carboxylic Acid Ester Hydrolysis		2	
Lactone Hydrolysis		5	
Carbonate Hydrolysis		4	
Cyclic Carbonate Hydrolysis		4	
Anhydride Hydrolysis		5	
Cyclic Anhydride Hydrolysis		5	
Amide Hydrolysis		1	
Lactam Hydrolysis		4	
Carbamate Hydrolysis		4	
Urea Hydrolysis		2	
Cyclic Urea Hydrolysis		2	
Sulfonylurea Hydrolysis		2	
Thiocarbamate Hydrolysis		1	
Nitrile Hydrolysis		5	
N-S Cleavage		5	
Imide Hydrolysis		5	
Acid Halide Hydrolysis		5	
Dehydration of Geminal Diols		5	

Halogenated Aliphatics: Nucleophilic Substitution

The replacement of a halogen atom with an OH group through the nucleophilic substitution mechanism is represented by three schemes within the Abiotic Hydrolysis Reaction Library to capture the effects of molecular structure in the immediate vicinity of the carbon-halogen bond. The removal of a halogen from a carbon atom with no other halogens in vicinal and geminal positions, represented by Scheme A, occurs at a faster rate than removal of halogen with other halogens in the vicinity of the reaction site. The removal of halogen atoms with other halogens in vicinal and geminal positions are represented by Schemes B and Scheme C, respectively. Observed half-lives for the hydrolytic removal of fluoride atoms under environmentally relevant conditions generally exceed 20 years and are often in the range of hundreds of years; therefore, no scheme is included for the removal of fluoride atoms.

SCHEME A:



To differentiate Scheme A from Scheme B (for vicinal dehalogenation), this scheme includes an exclude rule specifying that reactant atom #1 is not part of the pattern X-C-C-X, where X represents a halogen.

EXAMPLES FOR SCHEME A:

methyl bromide (EFSA, 2006; U.S. EPA, 1992)

• 2-bromo-2,3-dimethylbutane (McMurry, 2011, p. 372)

$$H_3C$$
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 CH_3
 CH_3
 CH_3

• 1,3-dichloropropene (EFSA, 2004; Guo et al, 2004)



• (R)-6-chloro-2,6-dimethyloctane (McMurry, 2011, p. 388)

benzyl chloride (U.S. EPA, 1992)

SCHEME B:

This scheme includes an exclusion rule to distinguish the scheme from Scheme C for dehalogenation at a reaction site with more than one halogen attached to the same carbon. The exclusion rule specifies that atom #1 can only be attached to one halogen.

This scheme also includes a selectivity rule to indicate the order of removal of halogens for molecules with different halogen substituents. The order of removal of the leaving halogen (labeled reactant atom 4 in the scheme) is inverse to its atomic number, i.e., I>Br>Cl. This is due to the fact that the carbonhalogen bond strength is greatest for the most electrophilic halogen. (Larsen and Weber, 1994)

EXAMPLES FOR SCHEME B:

• 2-bromo-3-chloropropene (Burlinson et al, 1982)

SCHEME C:

$$3AH \xrightarrow{AH} L5;[Cl;Br;l] \longrightarrow 3AH \xrightarrow{1;(A)} O$$


This scheme includes a selectivity rule to indicate the order of removal of halogens for molecules with different halogen substituents. The order of removal of the leaving halogen (labeled reactant atom 5 in the scheme) is inverse to its atomic number, i.e., I>Br>Cl. This is due to the fact that the carbon-halogen bond strength is greatest for the most electrophilic halogen. (Larsen and Weber, 1994)

EXAMPLES FOR SCHEME C:

• 2,2-Dichloropropane (Ellington *et al*, 1988) Reported products are 2-Chloropropene (due to elimination) and acetone. The example shown below, with formation of 2-chloro-2-propanol, is the first step in the formation of acetone. 2-chloro-2-propanol is hydrolyzed according Nucleophilic Substitution Scheme A to 2,2-propanediol, which is a geminal diol that rapidly undergoes dehydration to form acetone.



• 1,1,1- trichloroethane (Cline *et al*, 1986; Cline and Delfino, 1989; Dilling *etal*, 1975) Reported products are acetic acid and a minor amount of vinylidene (due to elimination). The example shown below, with formation of 1,1-dichloroethanol, is the first step in the formation of acetic acid. 1,1-dichloroethanol is hydrolyzed according Nucleophilic Substitution Scheme A to 1-chloroethane-1,1-diol, which is a geminal diol that rapidly undergoes dehydration to form acetyl chloride. Acetyl chloride is rapidly hydrolyzed to acetic acid according to the Acid Halide Scheme.



REFERENCES:

Burlinson, N.E., L.A. Lee and D.H. Rosenblatt. 1982. Kinetics and products of hydrolysis of 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 16(9): 627-632.

Cline, P.V., J.J. Delfino and W.J. Cooper. 1989. Transformation kinetics of 1,1,1- trichloroethane to the stable product 1,1- dichloroethene. In R.A. Larsen, ed. *Biohazards of Drinking Water Treatment*. Chelsea, MI: Lewis Publishers, Inc. pp. 47-56.

Cline, P.V., J.J. Delfino and W.J. Cooper. 1986. Hydrolysis of 1,1,1- trichloroethane; formation of 1,1-dichloroethene. *Proceedings of NWWA/API Conference on Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Organic Chemicals in Ground Water: Prevention, Detection and Restoration* (Dublin, OH), 239-247.

Dilling, W.L., N.B. Tefertiller and G.J. Kallos. 1975. Evaporation rates and reactivities of methylene chloride, chloroform, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, trichloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene, and other chlorinated compounds in dilute aqueous solutions. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 9(9): 833-838.

Ellington, J.J., F.E. Stancil, W.D. Payne and C.D. Trusty. 1988. Measurement of hydrolysis rate constants for evaluation of hazardous waste land disposal: Volume 3. Data on 70 chemicals. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA/600/3-88/028.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2004. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Spain for the existing active substance 1,3-Dichloropropene of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part 5, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2006. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State The United Kingdom for the existing active substance Methyl Bromide of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

Guo, M., S.K. Papiernik, W. Zhang and S.R. Yates. 2004. Effects of environmental factors of 1,3-dichloropropene hydrolysis in water and soil. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 33(2): 612-618.


Larson, R.A. and E.J. Weber. *Reaction Mechanisms in Environmental Organic Chemistry*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Inc., 1994.

McMurry, J.E. 2011. Organic Chemistry, 8th ed. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.

U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 1992. Environmental fate constants for organic chemicals under consideration for EPA's Hazardous Waste Identification Rule. EPA/601/R-92/006.

Halogenated Aliphatics: Elimination

SCHEME:



This scheme includes two selectivity rules:

- 1. The carbon atom with the hydrogen leaving group (labeled reactant atom 2 in the scheme) is the one that has the most steric hindrance. In effect, this is Zaitsev's Rule, which states that "The alkene formed in greatest amount is the one that corresponds to removal of the hydrogen from the β -carbon having the fewest hydrogen substituents." (Reusch, 2010)
- 2. The order of removal of halogens (labeled reactant atom 3 in the scheme) is inverse to their atomic number, i.e., I>Br>Cl>F. This is due to the fact that the carbon-halogen bond strength is greatest for the most electrophilic halogen. (Larsen and Weber, 1994)

EXAMPLES:

• 2-bromo-2,3-dimethylbutane (McMurry, 2011, p. 372; Reusch, 2010)

$$H_3C$$
 H_3C
 H_3C

• 2-bromobutane (McMurry, 2011, p. 397; Reusch, 2010)

• 2-bromo-2-methylbutane (McMurry, 2011, p. 397)

$$H_3C$$
 CH_3
 CH_3
 CH_3

• 1-chloro-1-methylcyclohexane (McMurry, 2011, p. 399)

• DDD (dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane) (U.S. EPA, 1992)


• 1,2-dichloroethane (U.S. EPA, 1992; Miyamoto and Urano, 1996)

• 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (Cooper et al, 1987)

• 1,1,1-trichloroethane (Cline and Delfino, 1989; Gerkens and Franklin, 1989; Miyamoto and Urano, 1996)

$$CI$$
 CI
 CH_3

• 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane (Burlinson *et al*, 1982)



REFERENCES:

Burlinson, N.E., L.A. Lee and D.H. Rosenblatt. 1982. Kinetics and products of hydrolysis of 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 16(9): 627-632.

Cline, P.V. and J.J. Delfino. 1989. Transformation kinetics of 1,1,1-trichloroethane to the stable product 1,1-dicheloroethene. *In* Larsen, R.A., editor, *Biohazards of Drinking Water Treatment*. Lewis Publishers, Inc., Chelsea, Michigan, pp. 47-56.

Cooper, W.J., M. Mehran, D.J. Riusech and J.A. Joens. 1987. Abiotic transformation of halogenated organics: 1. Elimination reaction of 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane and formation of 1,1,2-trichloroethene. *Environmental Science and Technology.* 21(11): 1112-1114.

Gerkens, R.R. and J.A. Franklin. 1989. The rate of degradation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane in water by hydrolysis and dehydrochlorination. *Chemosphere*. 19(12): 1929-1937.

Larson, R.A. and E.J. Weber. *Reaction Mechanisms in Environmental Organic Chemistry*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Inc., 1994.

McMurry, J.E. 2011. Organic Chemistry, 8th ed. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.


Miyamoto, K. and K. Urano. 1996. Reaction rates and intermediates and chlorinated organic compounds in water and soil. *Chemosphere*. 32(12): 2399-2408.

Reusch, W.H. 2010. *Virtual Textbook of Organic Chemistry*. http://www2.chemistry.msu.edu/faculty/reusch/VirtTxtJml/intro1.htm.

U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 1992. Environmental fate constants for organic chemicals under consideration for EPA's Hazardous Waste Identification Rule. EPA/601/R-92/006.

Epoxide Hydrolysis

SCHEME:



EXAMPLES:

• 1,2-Epoxycyclohexane (McMurry, 2011)

• Epichlorohydrin (Gaca et al, 2011)

• Endrin (Larsen and Weber, 1994; U.S. EPA, 1992)

• 1,2-Epoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (Becker et al, 1979)

REFERENCES:

Becker, A.R., J.M. Janusz and T.C. Bruice. 1979. Solution chemistry of the *syn-* and *anti-*tetrahydrodiol epoxides, the *syn-* and *anti-*tetrahydrodimethoxy epoxides, and the 1,2- and 1,4-tetrahydro epoxides of naphthalene. *Journal of the American Chemical Society.* 101(19): 5679-5687.

Gaca, J., G. Wejnerowska and P. Cysewski. 2011. Mechanism of the acidic hydrolysis of epichlorohydrin. *Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry*. 24: 1045-1050.

Larson, R.A. and E.J. Weber. *Reaction Mechanisms in Environmental Organic Chemistry*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Inc., 1994.

McMurry, J.E. 2011. Organic Chemistry, 8th ed. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.

U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 1992. Environmental fate constants for organic chemicals under consideration for EPA's Hazardous Waste Identification Rule. EPA/601/R-92/006.

Organophosphorus Ester Hydrolysis 1 (Base-Catalyzed)

SCHEME:

This scheme includes a selectivity rule to identify the most likely leaving group. Base-catalyzed cleavage favors P-L cleavage (where L is O, S, or N) at the L group that is attached to the most electron-

withdrawing group (Larson and Weber, 1994). The selectivity rule specifies that the leaving group (labeled atom 3) is the attached the carbon atom (labeled atom 4) with the highest electrophilicity.

EXAMPLES:

• Chlorpyrifos (Macalady and Wolfe, 1983)

• Dimethoate (EFSA, 2005a)

• Fenamiphos (EFSA, 2005b)

• Fenitrothion (EFSA, 2005c; Greenhalgh et al , 1980)

Organophosphorus Ester Hydrolysis 2 (Neutral and Acid-Catalyzed)

SCHEME:

This scheme includes a selectivity rule to identify the most likely leaving group. Neutral and acid-catalyzed cleavage favors L-C cleavage (where L is O, S, or N), but not at the L group that is attached to the most electron-withdrawing group (Larson and Weber, 1994). The selectivity rule specifies that the leaving group (labeled atom 4) is the carbon atom with the lowest electrophilicity.

EXAMPLES:

Chlorpyrifos (Macalady and Wolfe, 1983)

• Dimethoate (EFSA, 2005a)

• Fenitrothion (EFSA, 2005c; Greenhalgh et al, 1980)

REFERENCES:

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2005a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State the United Kingdom for the existing active substance Dimethoate of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2005b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State the Netherlands for the existing active substance Fenamiphos of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2005c. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State the United Kingdom for the existing active substance Fenitrothion of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

Greenhalgh, R. K.L. Dhawan and P. Weinberger. 1980. Hydrolysis of fenitrothion in model and natural aquatic systems. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 28(1): 102-105.

Larson, R.A. and E.J. Weber. *Reaction Mechanisms in Environmental Organic Chemistry*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Inc., 1994.

Macalady, D.L. and N.L. Wolfe. 1983. New perspectives on the hydrolytic degradation of the organophosphorothioate insecticide chlorpyrifos. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 31(6): 1139-1147.

Carboxylic Acid Ester Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

Two reactivity rules are included for this scheme. To distinguish this scheme from the Anhydride Hydrolysis scheme, the first reactivity rule specifies that atom 3 is not part of an anhydride structural fragment. To distinguish this scheme from the Lactone scheme, the second reactivity rule specifies that atom 3 is a chain atom.

EXAMPLES:

• Ethyl propanoate (McMurry, 2012)

• Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (Larson and Weber, 1994)

$$H_3C$$
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 CH_3

• Fenpropathrin (Larson and Weber, 1994)

$$H_3C$$
 H_3C
 H_3C
 CH_3
 CH_3

• Chlorobenzilate (Larson and Weber, 1994)

• 2,5-dichlorobenzoic acid methylester (EFSA, 2007a)

• Propaquizafop (EFSA, 2006)

• Trinexapac (EFSA, 2005)

• Diclofop-methyl (EFSA, 2007b)

• Fluroxypyr (EFSA, 2009)

Kresoxim-methyl (EFSA, 2010)

• Valifenalate (EFSA, 2012)

$$H_3C$$
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 CH_3

REFERENCES:

Larson, R.A. and E.J. Weber. *Reaction Mechanisms in Environmental Organic Chemistry*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Inc., 1994.

McMurry, J.E. 2011. Organic Chemistry, 8th ed. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2005. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State the Netherlands for the existing active substance Trinexapac of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.7, part 1. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2006. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Italy for the existing active substance Propaquizafop of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2007a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Germany for the existing active substance Dichlorobenzoic Acid Methylester of the third stage (part B) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part 2, B.6. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2007b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State France for the existing active substance Diclofop-Methyl of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part 4, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.


EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2009. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Ireland for the existing active substance Fluroxypyr upon submission in the framework of the renewal of the inclusion of a first group of active substances in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 737/2007, Volume 3, B7. http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2010. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Belgium for the existing active substance Kresoxim-Methyl of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2012. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Hungary for the existing active substance Valifenalate of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

Lactone Hydrolysis

SCHEME:



To distinguish this scheme from the Carboxylic Acid Ester scheme, the scheme includes a reactivity rule which specifies that atom 1 is a ring atom. Additionally, to distinguish this scheme from hydrolysis of cyclic anhydrides, the scheme includes a reactivity rule which specifies that atom 1 is not part of an anhydride structural fragment.

EXAMPLES:

• Gluconolactone (Pocker and Green, 1973)

• 3-methoxy-3-(3-nitrophenyl)-2-benzofuran-1-one (Weeks and Whitney, 1981)

• (3E)-3-(4-methoxyphenoxymethylidene)-2-benzofuran-1-one (Bowden et al, 1998)

• (3Z)-3-{[(2,5-dimethylphenyl)sulfanyl]methylidene}-2-benzofuran-1-one (Bowden et al, 1998)

• Beta-butyrolactone (Olson and Voule, 1951)

• Coumarin (El-Khatib and Nassr, 2007)

REFERENCES:

Bowden, K.; R.J. Ranson, A. Perjessy, M. Lacova, O. Hritzova, and W.W.F. Fabian. 1998. Base-catalyzed hydrolysis of gamma-lactones: reactivity-structure correlations for 3-(substituted phenoxy and thiophenoxymethylene)-(Z)-1(3H)-isobenzofuranones. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* 11: 467-474.

El-Khatib, R.M. and L.A.M.E. Nassr. 2007. Reactivity trends of the base hydrolysis of coumarin and thiocoumarin in binary aqueous-methanol mixtures at different temperatures. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A*. 67: 643-648.

Olson, A.R. and P.V. Volue. 1951. The Hydrolysis of Beta-Butyrolactone. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 73: 2468-2471.

Pocker, Y. and E. Green. 1973. Hydrolysis of D-glucono-delta-lactone. I. General Acid-Base Catalysis, Solvent Deuterium Isotope Effects, and Transition State Characterization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 95: 113-119.

Weeks, D.P. and D.B. Whitney. 1981. Hydrolysis of 3-(m-nitrophenyl)-3-methoxyphthalide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 103: 3555-3558.

Carbonate Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

Note that a selectivity rule is included for this scheme to eliminate duplication of products. Specifically, to distinguish between the carbon atoms labelled 1 and 3, atom 1 is identified as the less sterically

hindered atom. Additionally, to distinguish this scheme from the Cyclic Carbonate scheme, the scheme includes a reactivity rule which specifies that atom 5 is a chain atom.

EXAMPLES:

• Spirotetramat (EFSA, 2008)

REFERENCES:

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2008. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Joint Review Project/ OECD Monograph on Spirotetramat provided by the regulatory authorities of Austria, Canada and the United States of America, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

Cyclic Carbonate Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

This scheme is similar to the Carbonate scheme, except that the scheme includes a reactivity rule which specifies that atom 5 is a ring atom.

EXAMPLES:

•

REFERENCES:

Anhydride Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

Note that a selectivity rule is included for this scheme to eliminate duplication of products. Specifically, to distinguish between the carbon atoms labelled 2 and 4, atom 2 is identified as the less sterically hindered atom.

EXAMPLES:

Acetic anhydride (Bunton and Fendler, 1965)

Trimethylacetic anhydride (Bunton and Fendler, 1965)

$$H_3C$$
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 CH_3

REFERENCES:

Bunton, C.A. and J.H. Fendler. 1965. The hydrolysis of carboxylic anhydrides. V. The acid hydrolysis of acetic and trimethylacetic anhydride. *Journal of Organic Chemistry*. 30(5): 1365-1371.

Cyclic Anhydride Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

This scheme is similar to the Anhydride scheme, except that the scheme includes a reactivity rule which specifies that atom 1 is a ring atom.

EXAMPLES:

• Glutaric anhydride (Bunton et al, 1963)

• Maleic anhydride (Bunton et al, 1963)

• Succinic anhydride (Bunton et al, 1963)

• Tetramethylsuccinic anhydride (Bunton et al, 1963)

• 3,6-Dimethylphthalic anhydride (Hawkins, 1975a,b)

• Naphtho(2,3-c)furan-1,3-dione (Barros et al, 2001)

REFERENCES:

Barros, T.C., S. Yunes, G. Menegon, F. Nome, H. Chaimovich, M.J. Politi, L.G. Dias and I.M. Cuccovia. 2001. Hydrolysis of 1,8- and 2,3-naphthalic anhydrides and the mechanism of cyclization of 1,8-naphthalic acid in aqueous solutions. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2*. 2001(12): 2342-2350.

Bunton, C.A., N.A. Fuller, S.G. Perry and V.J. Shiner. 1963. The hydrolysis of carboxylic anhydrides. Part III. Reactions in initially neutral solution. *Journal of the Chemical Society*. 1963: 2918-2926.

Hawkins M.D. 1975a. Hydrolysis of phthalic and 3,6-dimethylphthalic anhydrides. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2*. 1975(4): 282-284.

Hawkins M.D. 1975b. Hydrolysis of 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl hydrogen 3,6-dimethylphthalate. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2*. 1975(4): 285-287.

Amide Hydrolysis

EXAMPLES:

• Carbetamide (EFSA, 2006a)

• Pronamide (Larson and Weber, 1994)

• Propanil (EFSA, 2008b)

$$CI$$
 CH_3
 CH_3
 CH_3
 CH_3
 CI
 NH_2

FORMYLUREA EXAMPLES: (Urea group adjacent to an amide group)

• Diflubenzuron (EFSA, 2006b)

• Flufenoxuron (EFSA, 2008a)

• Lufenuron (EFSA, 2007b)

• Novaluron (EFSA, 2008c)

• Teflubenzuron (EFSA, 2007c)

• Triflumuron (EFSA, 2007a)

REFERENCES:

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2006a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State France for the existing active substance Carbetamide of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part 4, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2006b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Sweden for the existing active substance Diflubenzuron of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.2 and B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2007a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Italy for the existing active substance Triflumuron of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part 4, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2007b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Portugal for the existing active substance Lufenuron of the third stage (part B) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part 4, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2007c. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State the United Kingdom for the existing active substance Teflubenzuron of the third stage (part B) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part 4, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2008a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State France for the existing active substance Flufenoxuron of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.2 and B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2008b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Italy for the existing active substance Propanil of the review programme referred to in Article 8(1) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part 4, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2008c. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State the United Kingdom for the existing active substance Novaluron of the review programme referred to in Article 8(1) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part 4, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

Larson, R.A. and E.J. Weber. *Reaction Mechanisms in Environmental Organic Chemistry*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Inc., 1994.

Lactam Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

To distinguish this scheme from the Amide scheme, the scheme includes a reactivity rule which specifies that atom 1 is a ring atom. Additionally, to distinguish this scheme from hydrolysis of cyclic imides, the scheme includes a reactivity rule which specifies that atom 1 is not part of an imide structural fragment.

The lactam hydrolysis pathway is unlikely to be a significant transformation process under environmentally relevant conditions. In abiotic hydrolysis studies at 25°C, the products of the lactam hydrolysis pathway are generally not observed. However, at high temperature (> 50°C) and/or elevated concentrations of OH^- , significant formation of the products of the lactam hydrolysis pathway has been observed (e.g., Abbas *et al*, 1996; Blackburn and Plackett, 1972; Bowden and Bromley, 1990). Additionally, studies comparing the rates of hydrolysis of lactam rings of various sizes indicate that the four-member β -lactam ring is more susceptible to hydrolysis than larger ring sizes (Bowden and Bromley, 1990; Imming *et al*, 2000; Wan *et al*, 1980).

EXAMPLES:

• 1-(4-Nitrophenyl)-2-azetidinone (Blackburn and Plackett, 1972)

• 1-(3-Nitrophenyl)-2-pyrrolidinone (Bowden and Bromley, 1990)

• Strychnine (Abbas et al, 1996)

REFERENCES:

Abbas, K.A., P.Hurst and J.T. Edward. 1996. Reexamination of the Kirkwood-Westheimer theory of electrostatic effects. V. Effect of charged substituents on the rates of alkaline hydrolysis of substituted strychnines. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*. 75: 441-448.

Blackburn, G.M. and J.D. Plackett. 1972. Strain effects in acyl transfer reactions. Part 1. The kinetics of hydrolysis of some N-aryl-lactams. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2*. 1972(10): 1366-1371.

Bowden, K. and K. Bromley. 1990. Reactions of carbonyl compounds in basic solutions. Part 14. The alkaline hydrolysis of substituted N-Methylformanilides, N-Methylacetanilides, 1-Phenylazetidin-2-ones, 1-Phenyl-2-pyrrolidones, and 1-Phenyl-2-piperidones. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2*. 1990(12): 2103-2109.

Imming, P., B. Klar and D. Dix. 2000. Hydrolytic stability versus ring size in lactams: Implications for the development of lactam antibiotics and other serine protease inhibitors. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*. 43(22): 4328-4331.

Wan, P., T.A. Modro and K. Yates. 1980. The kinetics and mechanism of acid catalysed hydrolysis of lactams. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*. 58: 2423-2432.

Carbamate Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

$$\begin{bmatrix} [C;N];2\\ 1\\ H \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} [C;N];4\\ 3\\ \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} [C;N];4\\ H\\ \end{bmatrix} \qquad H \qquad H \qquad \begin{bmatrix} [C;N];4\\ 3\\ \end{bmatrix}$$

As is shown in the examples below, a number of N-alkyl and N-aryl carbamates have been observed to undergo the hydrolysis scheme shown above. The N,N-disubstituted carbamates (a.k.a. secondary carbamates) are resistant to hydrolysis (Aly and El-Dib, 1971; Christenson, 1964; EFSA, 2004c; Larson and Weber, 1994; Wolfe et al. 1978a).

EXAMPLES:

• 4-nitrophenyl N-methylcarbamate (Bender and Homer, 1965)

• Carbaryl (a.k.a. Sevin) (Aly and El-Dib, 1971; EFSA, 2005a; Wolfe et al, 1978b)

$$H_3C$$
 NH
 OH
 H_2N
 CH_3
 \bullet

• Propoxur (a.k.a. Baygon) (Aly and El-Dib, 1971)

• Carbofuran (EFSA, 2004a; lesce et al, 2006)

Methiocarb (EFSA, 2005b)

$$H_3C$$
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 H_2C
 CH_3
 CH_3

• Methomyl (EFSA, 2004b)

$$H_3C$$
 N
 CH_3
 H_2N
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 CH_3
 CH_3

Carbendazim (EFSA, 2009)

Carbetamide (EFSA, 2006)

REFERENCES:

Aly, O.M. and M.A. El-Dib. 1971. Studies on the Persistence of Some Carbamate Insecticides in the Aquatic Environment. *Water Research*. *5*: 1191-1205.

Bender, M.L. and R.B. Homer. 1965. The mechanism of the alkaline hydrolysis of p-nitrophenyl N-methylcarbamate. *Journal of Organic Chemistry*. 30(11): 3975-3978.

Christenson, I. 1964. Alkaline hydrolysis of some carbamic acid esters. *Acta Chemica Scandinavica*. 18(4): 904-922.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2004a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Belgium for the existing active substance Carbofuran of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part7, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2004b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State United Kingdom for the existing active substance Methomyl of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.6. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2004c. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State United Kingdom for the existing active substance Pirimicarb of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2005a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Spain for the existing active substance Carbaryl of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2005b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State United Kingdom for the existing active substance Methiocarb of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2006. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State France for the existing active substance Carbetamide of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part 4, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2009. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Germany for the existing active substance Carbendazim of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

lesce, M.R., M. della Greca, F. Cermola, M. Rubino, M. Isidori and L. Pascarella. 2006. Transformation and Ecotoxicity of Carbamic Pesticides in Water. *Environmental Science & Pollution Research* 13(2): 105-109.

Larson, R.A. and E.J. Weber. *Reaction Mechanisms in Environmental Organic Chemistry*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Inc., 1994.

Wolfe, N.L., R.G. Zepp, and D.F. Paris. 1978a. Use of Structure-Reactivity Relationships to Estimate Hydrolytic Persistence of Carbamate Pesticides. *Water Research*. 12: 561-563.

Wolfe, N.L., R.G. Zepp, and D.F. Paris. 1978b. Carbaryl, Propham and Chlorpropham: A Comparison of the Rates of Hydrolysis and Photolysis with the Rate of Biolysis. *Water Research*. 12: 565-571.

Urea Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

To distinguish this scheme from the Cyclic Urea scheme, the scheme includes a reactivity rule which specifies that atom 7 is a chain atom. Additionally, a selectivity rule is included for this scheme to eliminate duplication of products. Specifically, to distinguish between the nitrogen atoms labelled 1 and 4, atom 1 is identified as the less sterically hindered atom.

The urea hydrolysis pathway is unlikely to be a significant transformation process under environmentally relevant conditions. In abiotic hydrolysis studies at 25°C, the products of the urea hydrolysis pathway are generally either minor products (<3%) or not observed at all. For example, in molecules with a urea group adjacent to an amide group, hydrolysis is observed to follow the amide pathway (formylurea examples). However, at high temperature (> 50°C), significant formation of the products of the urea hydrolysis pathway has been observed (EFSA, 2006a; EFSA, 2006b; EFSA, 2014). Additionally, the urea hydrolysis pathway may occur through an enzyme-mediated process.

EXAMPLES:

Hexythiazox (EFSA, 2006a)

Isoproturon (EFSA, 2014; Penning et al, 2008)

• Pencycuron (EFSA, 2006b)

REFERENCES:

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2006a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the rapporteur Member State Finland for the existing active substance Hexythiazox of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2006b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State the Netherlands for the existing active substance Pencycuron of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2014. Renewal Assessment Report: Isoproturon, Volume 3, Annex B.8, Environmental fate and behavior. RMS: Germany, Co-RMS: Czech Republic. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

Penning, H., C.J. Cramer and M. Elsner. 2008. Rate-dependent carbon and nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation in hydrolysis of isoproturon. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 42(21): 7764-7771.

Cyclic Urea Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

This scheme is similar to the Urea scheme, except that the scheme includes a reactivity rule which specifies that atom 7 is a ring atom.

EXAMPLES:

•

REFERENCES:

Sulfonylurea Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

$$[H;C;N];3 \ L \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & & &$$

EXAMPLES:

• Metsulfuron-methyl (EFSA, 2013)

• Halosulfuron-methyl (EFSA, 2011)

$$H_3C$$
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3

• Triflusulfuron-Methyl (EFSA, 2007a)

• Rimsulfuron (EFSA, 2005)

• Chlorsulfuron (EFSA, 2007b)

• Nicosulfuron (EFSA, 2006c)

• Thiencarbazone-Methyl (EFSA, 2012).

• Azimsulfuron (Boschin et al, 2007; EFSA, 2009)

$$H_3C$$
 NH_2
 NH_2
 NH_2
 NH_3
 NH_4
 NH_4

• Bensulfuron Methyl (EFSA, 2006b)

• Amidosulfuran (EFSA, 2006a)

• Tribenuron-methyl (EFSA, 2004)

REFERENCES:

Boschin, G., A. D'Agostina, C. Antonioni, D. Locati and A. Arnoldi. 2007. Hydrolytic degradation of azimsulfuron, a sulfonylurea herbicide. *Chemosphere*. 68: 1312-1317.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2004. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the rapporteur Member State Sweden for the existing active substance Tribenuron (based on the variant tribenuron-methyl) of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2005. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Germany for the existing active substance Rimsulfuron of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2006a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Austria for the existing active substance Amidosulfuran of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2006b. Monograph prepared by the Member State Italy in the context of the inclusion of the following active substance Bensulfuron Methyl in Annex I of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2006c. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State The United Kingdom for the existing active substance Nicosulfuron of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2007a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State France for the existing active substance Triflusulfuron-Methyl of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2007b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Greece for the existing active substance Chlorsulfuron of the third stage (part B) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, part 4, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2009. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Sweden and the co-rapporteur Member State Slovenia for the existing active substance Azimsulfuron upon submission in the framework of renewal of the inclusion of a first group of active substances in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2011. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Italy for the new active substance Halosulfuron-Methyl of the review programme referred to in Article 11(1) of Commission Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2012. Report and Proposed Decision of the United Kingdom made to the European Commission for Thiencarbazone-Methyl under Regulation 1107/2009 (Article 80 transitional measures), Volume 3, Annex B, B.8, part A. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2013. Renewal Assessment Report (RAR): Draft Re-Assessment Report Review provided by the Member State Slovenia and the co-rapporteur Member State Sweden for

the existing active substance Metsulfuron-methyl of Annex I inclusion under Regulation (EC) 1107/2009, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

Thiocarbamate Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

EXAMPLES:

• Diallate (U.S. EPA, 1992, p. 88)


$$H_3C$$
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 H_3C
 CH_3
 CH_3

REFERENCES:

U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 1992. Environmental fate constants for organic chemicals under consideration for EPA's Hazardous Waste Identification Rule. EPA/601/R-92/006.

Nitrile Hydrolysis

SCHEME:



EXAMPLES:

• Acetonitrile (Peskoff and Meyer, 1913; U.S. EPA, 1987)

$$H_3C \longrightarrow N$$

• Benzonitrile (Wiberg, 1955)

• 1,10-phenanthroline-2-carbonitrile (Breslow et al, 1967)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & &$$

• Trichloroacetonitrile (Glezer et al, 1999)

$$CI \longrightarrow N$$
 $CI \longrightarrow CI$ NH_2

• Dichloroacetonitrile (Reckow et al, 2001)

• Dicyanamide (Hill et al, 1984)

$$N = NH$$
 $N = NH$
 NH_2

• Fipronil (EFSA, 2005a)

• Flonicamid (EFSA, 2005b)

REFERENCES:

Breslow, R., R. Fairweather and J. Keana. 1967. Metal-catalyzed hydration of phenanthroline nitrile. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 89: 2135-2138.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2005a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State France for the existing active substance Fipronil of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2005b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State France for the existing active substance Flonicamid of the third stage (part A) of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

Glezer, V., B. Harris, N. Tal, B. Iosefzon and O. Lev. 1999. Hydrolysis of haloacetonitriles: Linear free energy relationship, kinetics and products. Water Research. 33(8): 1938-1948.

Hill, S.V., A. Williams and J.L. Longridge. 1984. Acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of cyanamides: estimates of carbodi-imide basicity and tautomeric equilibrium constant between carbodi-imide and cyanamide. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2*. 1984(6): 1009-1013.

Peskoff, N. and J. Meyer. 1913. Zur kenntnis der folgereaktion. III. Die hydrolyse von saureamiden und nitrile. *Zeitschrift für Physikalische Chemie*. 82: 129.

Reckow, D.A., T.L. Platt, A.L. MacNeill and J.N. McClellan. 2001. Formation and degradation of DCAN in drinking waters. AQUA. 50(1): 1-13.

U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 1987. Measurement of hydrolysis rate constants for evaluation of hazardous waste land disposal: Volume 2. Data on 54 chemicals. EPA/600/3-87/019.

Wiberg, K.B. 1955. The mechanisms of hydrogen peroxide reactions. II. A comparison of the reactivity of hydroxyl ion and hydroperoxide ion toward benzonitrile. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 77: 2519-2522.

N-S Cleavage

SCHEME:

EXAMPLES:

• Benfuracarb (EFSA, 2004a; lesce et al, 2006)

• Captan (EFSA, 2005a; Wolfe et al, 1976)

• Carbosulfan (de Melo Plese et al, 2005; EFSA, 2004b; lesce et al, 2006; Umetsu et al, 1980)

$$H_3$$
C CH_3 H_3 C CH_3 H_3 C CH_3

• Folpet (EFSA, 2005b)

• Thiodicarb (EFSA, 2005c)

REFERENCES:

De Melo Plese, L.P., L.C. Paraiba, L.L. Foloni and L.R. Pimentel Trevizan. 2005. Kinetics of carbosulfan hydrolysis to carbofuran and the subsequent degradation of this last compound in irrigated rice fields. *Chemosphere*. 60: 149-156.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2004a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Belgium for the existing active substance Benfuracarb of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2004b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Belgium for the existing active substance Carbosulfan of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2005a. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Italy for the existing active substance Captan of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2005b. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State Italy for the existing active substance Folpet of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2005c. Draft Assessment Report (DAR): Initial risk assessment provided by the Member State the United Kingdom for the existing active substance Thiodicarb of the second stage of the review programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC, Volume 3, Annex B, B.8. Available from http://dar.efsa.europa.eu/dar-web/provision.

lesce, M.R., M. della Greca, F. Cermola, M. Rubino, M. Isidori and L. Pascarella. 2006. Transformation and Ecotoxicity of Carbamic Pesticides in Water. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 13(2): 105-109.

Umetsu, N., E. Kuwano and T.R. Fukuto. 1980. Nature of N-S bond cleavage of 2,3-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-7-benzofuranyl (di-n-butylaminosulfenyl) (methyl)carbamate. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health B.* 15(1): 1-23.

Wolfe, N.L., R.G. Zepp, J.C. Doster and R.G. Hollis. 1976. Captan hydrolysis. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 24(5): 1041-1045.

Imide Hydrolysis

SCHEME:

EXAMPLES:

• Iprodione (Belafdal et al, 1986)

• N-phenylnaphthalimide (Donskikh et al, 1987)

• N-(o-carboxyphenyl)-phthalimide

• Vinclozolin (Mercadier et al, 1998; Szeto et al, 1989)

REFERENCES:

Belafdal, O., M. Bergon and J.P. Calmon. 1986. Mechanism of hydantoin ring opening in iprodione in aqueous media. *Pesticide Science*. 17: 335-342.

Donskikh, A.I., O.I. Tomina, G.M. Tseitlin, Z.F. Saikina and J.E. Doroshenko. 1987. Hydrolytic stability of imides of different structures. *Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering*. 33(1): 61-67.


Katritzky, A.R., J. Yao, M. Qi, Y. Chou, D.J. Sikora and S. Davis. 1998. Ring opening reactions of succinimides. *Heterocycles*. 48(12): 2677-2691.

Mercadier, C., D. Vega and J. Bastide. 1998. Chemical and biological transformation of the fungicide vinclozolin. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 46(9): 3817-3822.

Szeto, S.Y., N.E. Burlinson, J.E. Rahe and P.C. Oloffs. 1989. Kinetics of the dicarboximide fungicide vinclozolin. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 37(2): 523-529.

Acid Halide Hydrolysis


SCHEME:



Hydrolysis of an acid halide yields a carboxylic acid through nucleophilic acyl substitution (McMurry, 2011, p. 830).

EXAMPLES:

• Formyl chloride (Dowideit et al (1996), Prager et al, 2001)



• Acetyl chloride (Prager et al, 2001)

$$H_3C$$
 CI H_3C OH

• Isopropyl chloroformate (Queen, 1967)


• S-Methyl chloridothiocarbonate (Queen et al, 1970)

$$H_3C$$
 S
 CI
 H_3C
 S
 OH

• Phosgene (Prager et al, 2001)

• Benzoyl chloride (Song and Jencks, 1989)

• 3-Chlorobenzoyl fluoride (Song and Jencks, 1989)



REFERENCES:

Dowideit, P., R. Mertens and C. von Sonntag. 1996. Non-hydrolytic decay of formyl chloride into CO and HCl in aqueous solution. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 118: 11288-11292.

McMurry, J.E. 2011. Organic Chemistry, 8th ed. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.

Prager, L., P. Dowideit, H. Langguth, H.-P. Schuchmann and C. von Sonntag. 2001. Hydrolytic removal of the chlorinated products from the oxidative free-radical-induced degradation of chloroethylenes: Acid chlorides and chlorinated acetic acids. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2*. 2001: 1641-1647.


Queen, A. 1967. Kinetics of the hydrolysis of acyl chlorides in pure water. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*. 45: 1619-1629.

Queen, A., T.A. Nour, M.N. Paddon-Row. 1970. Kinetics of the hydrolysis of thiochloroformate esters in pure water. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*. 48: 522-527.

Song, B.D. and W.P. Jencks. 1989. Mechanism of solvolysis of substituted benzoyl halides. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 111: 8470-8479.

Dehydration of Geminal Diols

SCHEME:



This scheme is not a hydrolysis reaction; however, it is necessary to include the scheme for dehydration of geminal diols in the Abiotic Hydrolysis Reaction Library to correctly predict the observed products in many hydrolysis studies. The reaction is actually an equilibrium between a geminal diol and a carbonyl compound; however, the carbonyl compound is dominant, except in the case of simple aldehydes (e.g., formaldehyde) (McMurry, 2011, p. 731).

EXAMPLES:

• 1,1,1-trichloroethane (Gerkens and Franklin, 1989; Miyamoto and Urano, 1996; U.S. EPA, 1992)

$$H_{3}C \xrightarrow{CI} CI \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} H_{3}C & & & \\$$

REFERENCES:

Gerkens, R.R. and J.A. Franklin. 1989. The rate of degradation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane in water by hydrolysis and dehydrochlorination. *Chemosphere*. 19(12): 1929-1937.

McMurry, J.E. 2011. Organic Chemistry, 8th ed. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.

Miyamoto, K. and K. Urano. 1996. Reaction rates and intermediates and chlorinated organic compounds in water and soil. *Chemosphere*. 32(12): 2399-2408.

U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 1992. Environmental fate constants for organic chemicals under consideration for EPA's Hazardous Waste Identification Rule. EPA/601/R-92/006.