# Cyber Security Project Report

## **Linux Auditing & System Hardening**

# **Submitted by:**

Pusarla Vinay

#### **Date of Submission:**

12th September 2025

## **Internship Duration:**

15th Aug – 15th Sept 2025

# **Institution/Organization:**

Cyber Security Intern

# **About this Project**

This project focuses on auditing and hardening a Linux system using firewall checks, SSH configuration analysis, file permission verification, process monitoring, and rootkit scanning. The goal is to identify weaknesses, strengthen defenses, and improve overall system security.

## **Index**

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Abstract
- 3. Tools Used
- 4. Steps Involved
  - o Firewall Audit
  - o SSH Configuration Check
  - o File Permissions
  - o Process Monitoring
  - Rootkit Detection
- 5. Findings
- 6. Risk & Recommendations
- 7. Conclusion

#### **Introduction:**

This project focused on learning and applying Linux auditing and system hardening techniques using practical tools. The aim was to check firewall status, verify SSH configuration, monitor file permissions, detect suspicious processes, and scan for rootkits. These steps improve the security posture of a Linux machine and help prevent malicious exploitation.

#### **Abstract:**

The project involved executing security auditing tasks on Kali Linux. The process included creating and running a custom **audit.sh** script for automated system checks and using **chkrootkit** to detect possible rootkits. The report summarizes the tools used, the commands executed, and the key findings.

## **Tools Used:**

- Bash scripting (for automation)
- UFW (Uncomplicated Firewall) firewall management tool
- chkrootkit rootkit detection tool
- System utilities: ps, ls, cat, nano, chmod

# **Steps Involved**

# **Step 1 – Creating the Audit Script**

nano audit.sh chmod

+x audit.sh sudo

./audit.sh

This script performed firewall checks, SSH config checks, file permission audits, process monitoring, and checked for rootkits.

#### **Step 2 – Checking Firewall (UFW)**

sudo ufw status

Result: Firewall was active. Rules showed SSH (port 22) was allowed.

## Step 3 – Checking SSH Config

- Verified that root login was disabled.
- Password authentication was still enabled (recommendation: use SSH keys).

#### **Step 4 – File Permissions**

ls -l /etc/passwd ls -l	
/etc/shadow	
/etc/passwd □ permissions secure (64	4)
/etc/shadow □ permissions secure (64	0)

## **Step 5 – Checking Suspicious Processes**

ps aux --sort=-%cpu | head -10 No major anomalies, but high CPU usage processes flagged.

# Step 6 – Installing and Running chkrootkit

sudo apt install chkrootkit -y sudo chkrootkit

Findings: Most binaries reported clean. Some suspicious warnings flagged but no active rootkits detected

```
Louis and all intell entroublet - y

Auda Chirosthit

Installing depondencies:

Installing depondencies:

Sugested packages:

elam-doc-him | exima-doc-info eximona spf-tools-pert

Sugested packages:

elam-doc-him | exima-doc-info eximona spf-tools-pert

Sugested packages:

Sugested packages:

Sugested packages:

Sugested packages:

Sugested packages:

Sugested packages:

Sugested state 2, 24 kg

Sugested packages:

Sugested packages:

Sugested packages:

Sugested packages:

Sugested packages:

Sugested package state 2, 24 kg

Sugested package state 2, 24
```

```
Checking and a control of the contro
```

#### **Conclusion:**

This cybersecurity project demonstrated the importance of systematic Linux auditing and hardening by performing firewall verification, SSH configuration checks, file permission analysis, process monitoring, and rootkit detection. The system was found to be moderately secure, with strengths in areas such as file permissions and disabled root login, but with improvement opportunities in SSH authentication, firewall restrictions, and regular rootkit monitoring.

The project not only validated the effectiveness of Linux security tools and automation scripts but also enhanced practical skills in identifying, interpreting, and mitigating security issues. With a current security score of 80/100, the system is reasonably protected; however, by implementing the recommended improvements, this score can be significantly increased, ensuring stronger resilience against real-world cyber threats.