## Verification and Validation for 4ZP6: DieSpy

Team #9

Jackson Cassidy Wyatt Habinski Christian Majid Paul Puscas

April 4, 2025

## **Revision History**

Date	Version	Notes
February 7, 2025	0	
April 4, 2025	1	Added test results to components

## **Project Description**

DieSpy is a machine learning-based mobile application that detects and analyzes dice rolls in real time using a mobile device's camera. Its primary goal is to automate dice recognition for tabletop gaming, eliminating manual counting while enhancing user experience with group collaboration features. The system includes a camera module for capturing frames, an ML-based dice detection engine, and a statistical manager for tracking roll outcomes. Additionally, it leverages network connectivity agents and party managers to support multi-user interaction in a collaborative environment

SRS Document Link

Design Document Link

## Component Test Plan

As most screen components have very similar ui performance metrics and tests, to save space, we will refer to the following as **Default UI Tests**:

- Screen load time: time to render screen
- UI Responsiveness: frame rate, smooth animations
- **Button responsiveness**: button click delay

Component	Login Screen
Unit Tests	- Input response: All screen inputs (Buttons, TextFields, etc) respond correctly
Performance Test/Metrics	- Default UI tests - Input responsiveness: keyboard delay
Results	<ul> <li>All input fields responded correctly during testing. No crashes or freezes observed.</li> <li>Button presses are registered without delay.</li> <li>Keyboard showed/hid as expected on focus/blur.</li> <li>Measured keyboard response time &lt; 100ms — within acceptable thresholds for mobile UX.</li> </ul>

Component	Authentication Manager
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>- Authentication handling: Valid and invalid login attempts</li> <li>- Account creation: Valid registration, username uniqueness</li> <li>- Input validation: special character, case sensitivity, empty fields,</li> <li>- UI navigation: redirects to correct screen</li> <li>- Error Handling: displays correct error message</li> <li>- Persistence Handling: Credentials successfully stored / saved</li> </ul>
Performance Test/Metrics	- <b>Login Authentication</b> : Overarching test to determine if valid logins will be accepted, and invalid ones rejected with no side effects.

	- Input sanitization: Check that every possible utf-character will be coded properly and supported - Ul navigation: Any invalid authentication will be kept at the login screen, any valid authentication will advance to the Party Action screen
Results	<ul> <li>Valid login attempts redirected correctly to the Home screen.</li> <li>Invalid credentials displayed appropriate error messages with no crashes.</li> <li>Input validation handled edge cases including special characters, empty fields, and case sensitivity.</li> <li>Credentials persisted successfully and were retrieved on relaunch.</li> <li>Login performance remained stable across all tested conditions, with no noticeable delays or side effects.</li> <li>Input sanitization handled all tested UTF characters without breaking UI or backend logic.</li> </ul>

Component	Firebase Manager
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>- Data Retrieval: Confirmed successful fetch of documents using valid IDs.</li> <li>- Data Creation: Verified creation of new documents with correct data mapping.</li> <li>- Data Update: Ensured fields were updated without overwriting unrelated fields.</li> <li>- Data Deletion: Confirmed that deleted documents were removed permanently.</li> <li>- Query Handling: Validated that documents were correctly returned based on field value filters</li> <li>- Error Handling: Tested non-existent document access and verified safe exception handling.</li> </ul>
Performance Test/Metrics	<ul> <li>- Latency Check: Measured average round-trip time for read/write operations (≤ 150ms).</li> <li>- Concurrency Handling: Simulated simultaneous reads/writes with no data corruption.</li> </ul>
Results	<ul> <li>Queries returned expected results with minimal latency.</li> <li>Data integrity was maintained under all tested conditions.</li> <li>Error handling prevented app crashes during network failures or invalid queries.</li> <li>Components supported real-time updates</li> </ul>

Component	Cache Manager
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>- Data Storage: Verified that userlds, usernames, and turnIndex values are stored correctly.</li> <li>- Data Retrieval: Confirmed that cached data can be accessed accurately across app components.</li> <li>- Reset Behavior: Tested proper clearing of cached data when user leaves or switches parties.</li> <li>- Edge Cases: Ensured behavior is consistent when no data is cached or cache is</li> </ul>

	accessed before initialization.
Performance Test/Metrics	<ul> <li>Access Time: Measured instant access to cached values</li> <li>Consistency: Compare cache against Firestore data to confirm synchronization accuracy.</li> </ul>
Results	<ul> <li>Successfully reduced redundant Firestore calls</li> <li>Maintained consistent data for the current session</li> <li>Cleared and reset cleanly with no stale data carried over between parties or sessions</li> </ul>

Component	Dice Simulation Manager
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>Roll Accuracy: Confirmed that dice values are randomized correctly and fall between 1–6 for each die.</li> <li>Dice Count Handling: Tested edge cases like 0 dice, single dice, and maximum supported dice count.</li> <li>User Interaction: Verified correct updates when the simulate button is pressed and results are displayed.</li> <li>UI State Sync: Ensured simulated rolls are shown in the log interface and reflect accurate data.</li> </ul>
Performance Test/Metrics	<ul> <li>Roll Generation Speed: All simulated rolls generated and displayed in reasonable time</li> <li>Concurrency Handling: Simultaneous simulate actions prevented through UI lockout. No lag or duplication observed.</li> <li>Result Display Latency: Minimal delay between user input and result rendering</li> </ul>
Results	- Simulated rolls are randomized and reflect proper dice logic Works seamlessly with the log system and UI without any noticeable delay or bugs

Component	Profile Screen
Unit Tests	- <b>Displays Correct User Information:</b> Verify that user information retrieved from the profilemanager is accurate - <b>Input response:</b> All screen inputs (Buttons, TextFields, etc) respond correctly
Performance Test/Metrics	- Default UI tests
Results	All UI elements functioned as intended 100% of the time

Component	Settings Screen
Unit Tests	- Real Time Changes: Settings changed are reflected immediately - Input response: All screen inputs (Buttons, TextFields, etc) respond correctly
Performance Test/Metrics	- Default UI tests - Input responsiveness: keyboard delay
Results	All UI elements functioned as intended 100% of the time

Component	Profile Manager
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>Profile Data Retrieval: Ensure user game data is fetched</li> <li>Profile Update: Validate new data appears on profile immediately</li> <li>Error Handling: Simulate failures like network errors or database unavailability.</li> </ul>
Performance Test/Metrics	<ul> <li>- Profile Load Time: Time taken to fetch user data: &lt; 2 seconds.</li> <li>- Database Query Efficiency: Queries are optimized for fetching/updating data</li> <li>- Profile Update Time: User profile updated before they can open it</li> </ul>
Results	- Profile Data Retrieval: User data correctly fetched 100% of the time - Profile Update: User data updated on the database within 1 second - Error Handling: All errors are correctly logged with proper fallbacks.

Component	Home Screen
Unit Tests	- Input Response: All screen inputs (Buttons, TextFields, etc) respond correctly
Performance Test/Metrics	- Default UI tests
Results	All UI elements functioned as intended 100% of the time

Component	Create Party Screen
Unit Tests	- Input Response: All screen inputs (Buttons, TextFields, etc) respond correctly
Performance Test/Metrics	- Default UI tests - Input responsiveness: keyboard delay
Results	All UI elements functioned as intended 100% of the time

Component	Join Party Screen
Unit Tests	- Input Response: All screen inputs (Buttons, TextFields, etc) respond correctly
Performance	- Default UI tests

Test/Metrics	- Input responsiveness: keyboard delay
Results	All UI elements functioned as intended 100% of the time

Component	Party Screen
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>- Parties Updates: Refreshing screen as new parties update</li> <li>- Invalid Party Code: Error for users trying to join with an incorrect code</li> <li>- Correctly Displays Data: Display correct number of members in parties in real time</li> <li>- Input response: All screen inputs (Buttons, TextFields, etc) respond correctly</li> </ul>
Performance Test/Metrics	- Data Update Time: Must be less than 100ms for accurate info - Default UI tests
Results	All UI elements functioned as intended 100% of the time

Component	Member Screen
Unit Tests	- Correctly Displays Data: Display correct the provided information - Input response: All screen inputs (Buttons, TextFields, etc) respond correctly
Performance Test/Metrics	- Default UI tests - Input responsiveness: keyboard delay
Results	All UI elements functioned as intended 100% of the time

Component	Party Manager
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>Turn Management: Verified that turn cycling works correctly and updates persist across sessions.</li> <li>Data Synchronization: Ensured that party-related data remain in sync between users</li> <li>Real-Time Updates: Validated that all changes to the party state are immediately reflected in the UI.</li> <li>Error Handling: Confirmed proper behavior when party data is missing or incorrect, with no app crashes.</li> </ul>
Performance Test/Metrics	<ul> <li>Update Latency: Measured responsiveness of real-time updates, ensuring changes are reflected within milliseconds.</li> <li>Multi-User Consistency: Simulated concurrent user interactions to confirm consistent behavior across devices.</li> <li>Stability Under Load: Tested under rapid changes to party state to evaluate robustness and performance.</li> </ul>
Results	- Real-time syncing of party state was consistent and reliable

- Turn updates and member changes reflected accurately across all devices
- No crashes or major performance issues were observed during stress testing

Component	Network Manager
Unit Tests	- Recieve Party Name: Can read BLE messages, parse by correct UUID, and discard duplicate messages - Broadcast Party Name: Can advertise the party name on the correct UUID -Stop Broadcasting: Can stop broadcasting the BLE message
Performance Test/Metrics	- Receive Party Name: Correctly distinguish duplicate and unique parties (2 players in one party, another player in another party) - Broadcast Party Name: Advertise a signal that is detectable by an OTS bluetooth detector such as LightBlue - Uptime: Can have 99% uptime - Parsing: Can correctly parse a JSON message by header, and catch incorrectly formatted messages.
Results	<ul> <li>- Recieve Party Name: Could properly receive and distinguish 2 duplicate and one unique parties</li> <li>- Broadcast Party Name: Correctly advertised party name with observed 100% uptime</li> <li>-Stop Broadcasting: Correctly stopped broadcasting when needed 100% of the time.</li> </ul>

Component	Chat Screen
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>Typing Messages: Can interact with the screen to type a message, and send it (to the manager).</li> <li>Displaying Messages: When passed a message from the manager, properly renders and displays it on screen</li> </ul>
Performance Test/Metrics	- Default UI tests - Input responsiveness: keyboard delay
Results	All UI elements functioned as intended 100% of the time

Component	Chat Manager
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>- Properly Syncing Messages: Ensure that in a conversation with &gt; 3 users, all messages are consistent.</li> <li>- Loading chats from previous sessions: Ensure that upon restarting a lobby, previous chats are loaded</li> <li>- Saving Chats: Ensure that chats are regularly saved and backed up every 30 seconds, so that they save upon closing</li> </ul>

Performance Test/Metrics	<ul> <li>Chat Write Time: &lt;1ms per entry</li> <li>Chat Retrieval Speed: Fetching chat logs should be quick, rendering as scrolling if need &lt;1ms</li> <li>Storage Efficiency: Ensure chat histories do not consume excessive storage</li> <li>Time Syncing: Ensure that all messages are sent within &lt;10ms of each other, and have the same timestamp.</li> </ul>
Results	<ul> <li>- Properly Syncing Messages: All messages were sent within 1 second, with as many as 4 players</li> <li>- Loading chats from previous sessions: Chats were loaded correctly 100% of the time</li> <li>- Saving Chats: Chats are updated upon every new chat message sent.</li> </ul>

Component	Logs Screen
Unit Tests	- Logs Updates: Refreshing screen as new logs are added - Correctly Displays Data: Display correct logs in correct order in real time
Performance Test/Metrics	- Default UI tests
Results	All UI elements functioned as intended 100% of the time

Component	Logs Manager
Unit Tests	- Retaining Logs: Retains last 100 logs - Log Deletion: App removes all traces of logs from phone to reclaim space - Error Handling: Error handling of failed logs writes and corrects them
Performance Test/Metrics	<ul> <li>Log Write Time: &lt;10ms per entry</li> <li>Log Retrieval Speed: Fetching logs should be quick, rendering as scrolling if need &lt;50ms</li> <li>Storage Efficiency: Ensure logs do not consume excessive storage</li> </ul>
Results	- Retaining Logs: Returns all available logs from the cloud database 100% of the time - Log Deletion: Logs deleted whenever party is deleted 100% of the time - Error Handling: Invalid logs are discarded 100% of the time

Component	Dice Stats Manager
Unit Tests	- Calculates Sums correctly: Given a list of dice, properly aggregates the sum of each dice

Performance Test/Metrics	- Accuracy: All calculations should be mathematically correct
Results	- Calculates Sums correctly: Aggregated the dice properly 100% of the time

Component	Dice Detection Screen
Unit Tests	- Frequent Screen Updates: Screen updates within 3 frames of the camera focusing on the dice
Performance Test/Metrics	- Bounding Boxes: Drawn accurately around the dice (dependant on model accuracy) - Default UI tests
Results	All UI elements functioned as intended 100% of the time

Component	Camera X
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>Camera Initialization: Ensure the camera starts correctly.</li> <li>Analysis Use Case: Validate image frames are processed correctly for dice detection.</li> <li>Lifecycle Handling: Test if the camera stops/restarts correctly when the app lifecycle changes.</li> <li>High Resolution: Camera output should be of high resolution</li> </ul>
Performance Test/Metrics	<ul> <li>- Frame Processing Time: Goal: &lt;16ms for 60 FPS (or as fast as phone can handle)</li> <li>- FPS (Frames Per Second): Camera can processes at least 30 FPS smoothly, and syncs with overlay</li> <li>- CPU &amp; Memory Usage: The app should have the same cpu and memory usage as the native camera</li> <li>- Latency: Camera should operate as fast as native camera</li> <li>- No Motion Blurring: Camera should not blur when moving</li> </ul>
Results	<ul> <li>Camera Initialization: Camera starts 100% of the time when permissions are given</li> <li>Analysis Use Case: Averages dice location over 10 frames using discance, returns average.</li> <li>Lifecycle Handling: Camera stops when not in use 100% of the time.</li> <li>High Resolution: Camera matches phone resolution 100% of the time</li> </ul>

Component	Dice Detection Manager
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>Bitmap creation works accurately: Is able to properly convert image to bitmap to send to the YOLO model</li> <li>Bounding box creation works accurately: is able to properly draw the bounding</li> </ul>

	boxes given detected dice
Performance Test/Metrics	•
Results	- Bitmap creation works accurately: Generates bitmaps properly within 100 ms - Bounding box creation works accurately: Able to convert detected dice to bounding boxes 100% of the time

Component	Dice Detection Agent
Unit Tests	<ul> <li>- Model Loading: Ensure the tensorflow model loads properly</li> <li>- Image Recognition: Ensure model runs inference on input images</li> <li>- Class Labels: Ensure agent detects all classes (faces) correctly</li> </ul>
Performance Test/Metrics	<ul> <li>Bounding Box Accuracy:</li> <li>mAP50: Mean average precision with an intersection over union threshold of 0.5</li> <li>Target &gt; 0.9</li> <li>mAP50-95: Mean average precision with intersection over union thresholds ranging from 0.5-0.95 – Target &gt; 0.75</li> <li>Classification Accuracy:</li> <li>Overall Average Accuracy: Target &gt; 0.95</li> <li>Peak F1-Score (from F1-Confidence Curve): Balance of Precision and Recall across confidence thresholds – Target &gt; 0.9</li> </ul>
Results	- Results were obtained using the ultralytics YOLO library using the val() function. More info about this, and more detailed testing results can be found in ml/README.md in our github repo.  - mAP50: achieved 0.983  - mAP50-95: achieved 0.811  - Overall Average Accuracy: achieved 0.946 (target was 0.95)  - Note: accuracy was calculated using the confusion matrix that can be found in the readme mentioned above  - Peak F1-Score: achieved 0.96  We chose Accuracy and F1-Score as metrics because F1-Score balances precision and recall, precision to measure how often the model is correct when it predicts a specific class, and recall to measure how often the model detects and classifies a die correctly. We chose accuracy because we have balanced classes, false positives and false negatives are of equal importance in this task, and overall "correctness" is a good representation of the performance goal of our model.

We chose mAP50 and mAP50-95 because very precise object location is not a priority, and these balance class detection with bounding box accuracy, which
suits our goals better.