References

[1] M. Amoia and C. Gardent. Adjective based inference. In *Proceedings of the Workshop KRAQ'06 on Knowledge and Reasoning for Language Processing*, pages 20–27. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2006.

[2] Collin F. Baker and Christiane Fellbaum. Wordnet and framenet as complementary resources for annotation, 2009.

[3] Chris Barker. The dynamics of vagueness. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 25(1):1–36, 2002.

[4] C. Chiarcos, J. McCrae, P. Cimiano, and C. Fellbaum. Towards open data for linguistics: Linguistic linked data. In *New Trends of Research in Ontologies and Lexical Resources*. Springer, New York, in press.

[5] Herbert H Clark. *Using language*, volume 4. Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 1996.

[6] P. Clark, C. Fellbaum, and J. Hobbs. Using and extending WordNet to support question-answering. *Proc. 4th GWC*, 2008.

[7] P. Clark, C. Fellbaum, J.R. Hobbs, P. Harrison, W.R. Murray, and J. Thompson. Augmenting WordNet for deep understanding of text. *Proceedings of STEP*, pages 45–57, 2008.

[8] P. Clark, W.R. Murray, J. Thompson, P. Harrison, J. Hobbs, and C. Fellbaum. On the role of lexical and world knowledge in RTE3. In *Proceedings of the ACL-PASCAL Workshop on Textual Entailment and Paraphrasing*, pages 54–59. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2007.

[9] C. Condoravdi, V. de Paiva, and A. Zaenen (eds.). *Linguistic Issues in Language Technology: Special Issue on Perspectives on Semantic Representations for Textual Inference*. CSLI Publication, 2014.

[10] I. Dagan, O. Glickman, and B. Magnini. The pascal recognising textual entailment challenge. *Machine Learning Challenges. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 3944:177–190, 2006.

[11] G. de Melo, C. Baker, N. Ide, R. Passonneau, and C. Fellbaum. Empirical comparisons of MASC word sense annotations. In *Proceedings of LREC, Istanbul, Turkey*, 2012.

[12] R.M.W. Dixon. *A new approach to English grammar on semantic principles*. Oxford University Press, 1991.

[13] Christiane Fellbaum. Wordnet. In *Theory and Application of Ontology: Computer Applications*, pages 231 – 243. Springer New York, 2012.

[14] Christiane Fellbaum. Wordnet. In *The Encyclopedia of Applied Linguistics*. Wiley/Blackwell, to appear 2013.

[15] Christiane Fellbaum and Collin Baker. Representing verb meaning in complementary resources. *Linguistics*, in press.

[16] Christiane Fellbaum and Collin F. Baker. Can WordNet and FrameNet be made interoperable? In *Proceedings of The First International Conference on Global Interoperability for Language Resources*, page 6774, 2008.

[17] Christiane Fellbaum and Piek Vossen. Challenges for a multilingual WordNet. *Language Resources and Evaluation*, 46(2):313–326, 2012.

[18] Michael C Frank and Noah D Goodman. Predicting pragmatic reasoning in language games. *Science*, 336(6084):998–998, 2012.

[19] Heinz J. Giegerich. Associative adjectives in english and the lexiconsyntax interface. *Journal of Linguistics*, 41(3):571–591, 2005.

[20] Noah D Goodman and Andreas Stuhlmüller. Knowledge and implicature: Modeling language understanding as social cognition. *Topics in cognitive science*, 5(1):173–184, 2013.

[21] H.P. Grice. Logic and conversation. pages 64–75, 1975.

[22] Joy E Hanna, Michael K Tanenhaus, and John C Trueswell. The effects of common ground and perspective on domains of referential interpretation. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 49(1):43–61, 2003.

[23] Vasileios Hatzivassiloglou and Kathleen R. McKeown. Predicting the semantic orientation of adjectives. In *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 174–181, 1997.

[24] Marti Hearst. Automatic acquisition of hyponyms from large text corpora. In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Computational Linguistics*. 1992.

[25] Julia Hirschberg. *A Theory of Scalar Implicature*. Garland Press, 1991.

[26] Jerry R Hobbs, Mark E Stickel, Douglas E Appelt, and Paul Martin. Interpretation as abduction. *Artificial Intelligence*, 63(1):69–142, 1993.

[27] Laurence Horn. *A natural history of negation*. The University of Chicago Press, 1989.

[28] L.R. Horn. Pick a theory, not just any theory. *Negation and Polarity. Syntactic and Semantic Perspectives*, pages 147–192, 2000.

[29] Nancy Ide and Harry Bunt. Anatomy of annotation schemes: Mapping to GrAF. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Linguistic Annotation Workshop (LAW IV)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2010.

[30] Nancy Ide and Keith Suderman. Bridging the gaps: Interoperability for GrAF, GATE, and UIMA. In *Linguistic Annotation Workshop*, pages 27–34, 2009.

[31] H. Kamp and B. Partee. Prototype theory and compositionality. *Cognition*, pages 57–129, 1995.

[32] L. Karttunen. Three ways of not being lucky. In *Semantics and Linguistic Theory: Proceedings of SALT 24*.

[33] Lauri Karttunen. Implicative verbs. *Language*, 47:340–358, 1971.

[34] Lauri Karttunen. You will be lucky to break even. In Tracy Holloway King and Valeria dePaiva, editors, *From Quirky Case to Representing Space: Papers in Honor of Annie Zaenen*, pages 167–180. CSLI Publications, Stanford, CA, 2012.

[35] Lauri Karttunen, Cleo Condoravdi, Miriam Connor, Stuart Melton, Kenny Moran, Marianne Naval, Stanley Peters, Tania Rojas-Esponda, and Annie Zaenen. Double meaning: A systematic empirical study. Paper presented at the 20th International Congress of Linguists, July 2013.

[36] Lauri Karttunen, Annie Zaenen, Stanley Peters, and Cleo Condoravdi. The chameleon nature of evaluative adjectives. Empirical Issues in Syntax and Semantics, vol 10, 2014.

[37] Christopher Kennedy. Polar opposition and the ontology of degrees. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 24(1):33–70, 2001.

[38] Paul Kiparsky and Carol Kiparsky. Fact. In M. Bierwisch and K. E. Heidolph, editors, *Progress in Linguistics*, pages 143–173. Mouton, Hague, 1970.

[39] Daniel Lassiter. Gradable epistemic modals, probability, and scale structure. In Nan Li and David Lutz, editors, *Semantics & Linguistic Theory (SALT) 20*, pages 197–215. CLC Publications, 2010.

[40] Daniel Lassiter. Adjectival modification and gradation. In Shalom Lappin and Chris Fox, editors, *Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory*. Blackwell, 2014.

[41] Amnon Lotan. A syntax-based rule-base for textual entailment and a semantic truth value annotator. Master's thesis, Tel Aviv University, 2012.

[42] John Lyons. *Semantics*. Cambridge University Press, 1977.

[43] Janyce M. Learning subjective adjectives from corpora. In *In AAAI*, pages 735–740, 2000.

[44] Christopher D Manning and H Schütze. *Foundations of statistical natural language processing*, volume 999. MIT Press, 1999.

[45] Ilka Mindt. *Adjective Complementation: An Empirical Analysis of Adjectives Followed by that clauses*, volume 42 of *Studies in Corpus Linguistics*. John Benjamins, 2011.

[46] Robert Munro, Steven Bethard, Victor Kuperman, Vicky Tzuyin Lai, Robin Melnick, Christopher Potts, Tyler Schnoebelen, and Harry Tily. Crowdsourcing and language studies: the new generation of linguistic data. 2010.

[47] Kevin P Murphy. *Machine learning: a probabilistic perspective*. The MIT Press, 2012.

[48] Rowan Nairn, Cleo Condoravdi, and Lauri Karttunen. Computing relative polarity for textual inference. In *ICoS-5*, pages 67–76, 2006.

[49] Sonya Nikolova, Jordan Boyd-Graber, and Christiane Fellbaum. Collecting semantic similarity ratings to connect concepts in assistive communication tools. In *Modeling, Learning and Processing of Text Technological Data Structures*, pages 81–93. Springer, 2012.

[50] Neal R. Norrick. *Factive Adjectives and the Theory of Factivity*. Niemeyer, 1978.

[51] Steven T Piantadosi, Harry Tily, and Edward Gibson. The communicative function of ambiguity in language. *Cognition*, 122(3):280–291, 2012.

[52] James Pustejovsky and Amber Stubbs. *Natural language annotation for machine learning*. O'Reilly, 2012.

[53] V. Raskin and S. Nirenburg. Lexical semantics of adjectives. *New Mexico State University, Computing Research Laboratory Technical Report, MCCS-95-288*, 1995.

[54] Victor Raskin and Sergei Nirenburg. Lexical semantics of adjectives: A microtheory of adjectival meaning, 1995.

[55] Roser Saurí. *A Factuality Profiler for Eventualities in Text.* PhD thesis, Brandeis University, 2008.

[56] Roser Saurí and James Pustejovsky. Factbank 1.0. `http://www.ldc.upenn.edu/Catalog/catalogEntry.jsp?catalogId=LDC2009T23`, September 2009.

[57] V. Sheinman, C. Fellbaum, I. Julien, P. Schulam, and T. Tokunaga. Large, huge or gigantic? identifying and encoding intensity relations among adjectives in wordnet. *Lexical Resources and Evaluation*, 2013.

[58] V. Sheinman and T. Tokunaga. Adjscales: Visualizing differences between adjectives for language learners. *IEICE TRANSACTIONS on Information and Systems*, 92(8):1542–1550, 2009.

[59] Rion Snow, Daniel Jurafsky, and Andrew Y Ng. Learning syntactic patterns for automatic hypernym discovery. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 17*, 2004.

[60] Rion Snow, Daniel Jurafsky, and Andrew Y Ng. Semantic taxonomy induction from heterogenous evidence. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Computational Linguistics and the 44th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 801–808. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2006.

[61] Rion Snow, Brendan O'Connor, Daniel Jurafsky, and Andrew Y. Ng. Cheap and fast - but is it good? Evaluating non-expert annotations for natural language tasks. In *Proceedings of EMNLP*, 2008.

[62] A. Stern and I. Dagan. The biutee research platform for transformation-based textual entailment recognition. *Linguistic Issues in Language Technology*, 9(1), 2014.

[63] An Van linden and Kristin Davidse. The clausal complementation of deontic-evaluative adjectives in extraposition constructions: a synchronic-diachronic approach. *Folia Linguistica: Acta Societatis Linguisticae Europaeae*, 43:171–211, 2009.

[64] Marc Verhagen, Robert Gaizauskas, Frank Schilder, Mark Hepple, Graham Katz, and James Pustejovsky. Semeval-2007 task 15: Tempeval temporal relation identification. In *Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop on Semantic Evaluations (SemEval- 2007)*, page 7580, Prague, Czech Republic, 2007. Association for Computational Linguistics.

[65] Marc Verhagen and James Pustejovsky. Interoperability of syntactic and semantic annotation schemes. In *Interoperability of Language Resources*, 2007.

[66] Marc Verhagen, Amber Stubbs, and James Pustejovsky. Combining independent syntactic and semantic annotation schemes. In *Proceedings of the Linguistic Annotation Workshop*, pages 109–112, Prague, Czech Republic, June 2007. Association for Computational Linguistics.

[67] Larry Wasserman. *All of statistics: a concise course in statistical inference*. Springer, 2004.

[68] Robert Wilkinson. Factive complements and action complements. In *CLS 6*, pages 425–444, 1970.

[69] Gbolahan K Williams and Sarabjot Singh Anand. Predicting the polarity strength of adjectives using wordnet. In *ICWSM*, 2009.

[70] Theresa Wilson, Janyce Wiebe, and Paul Hoffmann. Recognizing contextual polarity: An exploration of features for phrase-level sentiment analysis. *Computational linguistics*, 35(3):399–433, 2009.

[71] Annie Zaenen and Lauri Karttunen. Veridicity annotation in the lexicon? A look at factive adjectives. In *The Ninth Joint ACL – ISO Workshop on Interoperable Semantic Annotation*, 2013.

[72] Annie Zaenen, Lauri Karttunen, Cleo Condoravdi, and Stanley Peters. A polarity lexicon of adjectives. `http://www.stanford.edu/group/csli_faust/Lexical_Resources/Polarity-Lexicon-of-Adjectives/`. Lexical resource compiled at the Center for the Study of Language and Information, Stanford University, 2012.