

DELIRES TP2: Plug & Play ADMM

Souhaib Attaiki

February 2019

First example: Missing Pixels

Question 1 In order to test the importance of the initialization, we ran four experiences using the shepperd initialization, initialization with zeros, initialization with degraded image, and a random initialization. We found that the shepperd initialization gives a good visual results, on the contrary with other methods that give very noisy images.

Question 2 To measure the effect of the initial value of ρ on standard and adaptive PnP, we tried several values of ρ_0 that vary from 0.01 to 1. It was found that adaptive PnP ADMM is more sensitive to the initial value of ρ , because its SNR results varied from 31.6 to 20.2, while the standard PnP ADMM results varied from 31.1 to 23.6.

Add a new inverse problem: Deblurring

Question 4 We have, using the Plancherel theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \operatorname{argmin}_x F(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|x - z\|_2^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_x \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|A(x) - \tilde{x}\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|x - z\|_2^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\hat{x}} \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\hat{x} \cdot \hat{h} - \hat{\tilde{x}}\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\hat{x} - \hat{z}\|_2^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\hat{x}} \sum_i \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} |\hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{h}_i - \hat{\tilde{x}}_i|^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} |\hat{x}_i - \hat{z}_i|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By solving the problem, we find:

$$\hat{x}_i^* = \frac{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \hat{h}_i \hat{\tilde{x}}_i + \rho \hat{z}_i}{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} |\hat{h}_i|^2 + \rho}$$

Regarding the implementation results, I can't put the photos because I couldn't configure Matlab on my personal computer, and I left my results on the Telecom computer.

Add a new regularizer: Transform domain soft thresholding (TDT)

Question 6 We denote

$$f(v) = \frac{1}{2}(v - w)^2 + \lambda|v|$$

And we have

$$\partial f(v) = \lambda \partial(|\cdot|)(v) + v - w$$

Since f is sub-differential, so using the optimality conditions, we have $0 \in \partial f(\text{prox}_{\lambda|\cdot|}(w))$, so

$$\partial(|\cdot|)(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

which gives us

$$\partial f(x) = \begin{cases} x - w - \lambda & \text{if } x < 0 \\ [x - w - \lambda, x - w + \lambda] & \text{if } x = 0 \\ x - w + \lambda & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

so:

$$\text{prox}_{\lambda|\cdot|}(w) = \begin{cases} \omega + \lambda & \text{if } \omega < -\lambda \\ 0 & -\lambda \leq \omega \leq \lambda \\ \omega - \lambda & \text{if } \omega > \lambda \end{cases}$$

what had to be demonstrated!

Question 7 By denoting $w = Wx$, and using the orthogonality of W , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|W^{-1}w - z\|^2 &= \langle W^{-1}w - z, W^{-1}w - z \rangle \\ &= \langle W^t(w - Wz), W^t(w - Wz) \rangle \\ &= \langle (w - Wz), WW^t(w - Wz) \rangle \\ &= \langle (w - Wz), (w - Wz) \rangle \\ &= \|w - Wz\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma &= W^{-1} \underset{w}{\text{argmin}} \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|W^{-1}w - z\|^2 + \lambda \|w\|_1 \\ &= W^{-1} \underset{w}{\text{argmin}} \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|w - Wz\|^2 + \lambda \|w\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

Question 8 We have:

$$\frac{1}{2\sigma} \|w - Wz\|^2 + \lambda \|w\|_1 = \sum_i \frac{1}{2\sigma} (w_i - (Wz)_i)^2 + \lambda |w_i|$$

So using previous questions:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma(z) &= W^{-1} \operatorname{argmin}_w \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|w - Wz\|^2 + \lambda \|w\|_1 \\ &= (\operatorname{prox}_{\lambda \|\cdot\|_1} ((Wz)_i)) \\ &:= P \end{aligned}$$

so: $D_\sigma(z) = W^{-1}P$.

Verify conditions for convergence

Question 9

Question 10

Question 11