

Categorical Data Analysis Exercise Solutions

Set-up

```
library(tidyverse) # for tidyverse
library(here) # for file paths
library(survey) # for survey analysis
library(srvyr) # for tidy survey analysis

anes <- read_rds(here("Data", "anes_2020.rds")) |>
  mutate(Weight=Weight/sum(Weight)*231592693)
# adjust weight to sum to citizen pop, 18+ in Nov 2020 per ANES methodology documentation
anes_des <- anes |>
  as_survey_design(weights = Weight,
                    strata = Stratum,
                    ids = VarUnit,
                    nest = TRUE)
```

1. How many women have a graduate degree? Hint: the variables `Gender` and `Education` will be useful.

```
#Option 1:
femgd <- anes_des |>
  filter(Gender=="Female", Education=="Graduate") |>
  survey_count(name="n")
#Option 2:
femgd <- anes_des |>
  filter(Gender=="Female", Education=="Graduate") |>
  summarize(
    N=survey_total(), .groups="drop"
  )
femgd
```

```
# A tibble: 1 x 2
      N      N_se
  <dbl>   <dbl>
1 15108636. 839898.
```

There are 15,108,636 women with a graduate degree.

2. What percentage of people identify as “Strong democrat”? Hint: The variable `PartyID` indicates what party people identify with.

```
(psd <- anes_des |>
  group_by(PartyID) |>
  summarize(
    p=survey_mean()
  ) |>
  filter(PartyID=="Strong democrat"))
```

```
# A tibble: 1 x 3
  PartyID           p    p_se
  <fct>         <dbl>   <dbl>
1 Strong democrat 0.219 0.00646
```

21.9% of people identify as a strong democrat.

3. What percentage of people who voted in the 2020 election identify as “Strong republican”? Hint: The variable `VotedPres2020` indicates whether someone voted in 2020.

```
(psr <- anes_des |>
  filter(VotedPres2020=="Yes") |>
  group_by(PartyID) |>
  summarize(
    p=survey_mean()
  ) |>
  filter(PartyID=="Strong republican"))
```

```
# A tibble: 1 x 3
  PartyID           p    p_se
  <fct>         <dbl>   <dbl>
1 Strong republican 0.224 0.00790
```

22.4% of people identify as a strong republican among those who voted in 2020.

4. What percentage of people voted in both the 2016 election and in the 2020 election? Include the logit confidence interval. Hint: The variable `VotedPres2016` indicates whether someone voted in 2016.

```
(pvb <- anes_des |>
  filter(!is.na(VotedPres2016), !is.na(VotedPres2020)) |>
  group_by(interact(VotedPres2016, VotedPres2020)) |>
  summarize(
    p=survey_prop(var="ci", method="logit"),
  ) |>
  filter(VotedPres2016=="Yes", VotedPres2020=="Yes"))
```

When ``proportion`` is unspecified, ``survey_prop()`` now defaults to ``proportion = TRUE``.
i This should improve confidence interval coverage.
This message is displayed once per session.

```
# A tibble: 1 x 5
  VotedPres2016 VotedPres2020      p p_low p_upp
  <fct>         <fct>      <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 Yes          Yes          0.626  0.607  0.644
```

62.6% (60.7-64.4%) voted in both the 2016 and 2020 elections.

5. What is the design effect for the proportion of people who voted early? Hint: The variable `EarlyVote2020` indicates whether someone voted early in 2020.

```
(pdeff <- anes_des |>
  filter(!is.na(EarlyVote2020)) |>
  group_by(EarlyVote2020) |>
  summarize(
    p=survey_mean(deff=TRUE)
  ) |>
  filter(EarlyVote2020=="Yes"))
```

```
# A tibble: 1 x 4
  EarlyVote2020      p    p_se p_deff
  <fct>         <dbl>  <dbl> <dbl>
1 Yes          0.0525 0.00420  2.27
```

The design effect is 2.27.

6. Were people who lean democrat more likely to vote early in the 2020 election? Use a logistic regression.

```
anes_des |>
  filter(!is.na(PartyID), !is.na(EarlyVote2020)) |>
  group_by(PartyID, EarlyVote2020) |>
  summarise(
    p=survey_mean(),
    .groups="drop"
  ) |>
  filter(EarlyVote2020=="Yes")
```

A tibble: 7 x 4

	PartyID	EarlyVote2020	p	p_se
	<fct>	<fct>	<dbl>	<dbl>
1	Strong democrat	Yes	0.0807	0.0101
2	Not very strong democrat	Yes	0.0368	0.00802
3	Independent-democrat	Yes	0.0549	0.00991
4	Independent	Yes	0.0485	0.0131
5	Independent-republican	Yes	0.0352	0.00806
6	Not very strong republican	Yes	0.0210	0.00529
7	Strong republican	Yes	0.0502	0.00852

```
(pid_vote <- anes_des |>
  svyglm(design=_,
    formula=(EarlyVote2020=="Yes")~PartyID,
    family=quasibinomial(),
    na.action=na.omit))
```

Stratified 1 - level Cluster Sampling design (with replacement)

With (101) clusters.

Called via srvyr

Sampling variables:

- ids: VarUnit
- strata: Stratum
- weights: Weight

Call: svyglm(formula = (EarlyVote2020 == "Yes") ~ PartyID, design = anes_des, family = quasibinomial(), na.action = na.omit)

Coefficients:

(Intercept)	PartyIDNot very strong democrat
-2.4329	-0.8332
PartyIDIndependent-democrat	PartyIDIndependent
-0.4138	-0.5440
PartyIDIndependent-republican	PartyIDNot very strong republican
-0.8787	-1.4115
PartyIDStrong republican	
-0.5065	

Degrees of Freedom: 6389 Total (i.e. Null); 45 Residual

(1063 observations deleted due to missingness)

Null Deviance: 2343

Residual Deviance: 2300 AIC: NA

```
summary(pid_vote)
```

Call:

```
svyglm(formula = (EarlyVote2020 == "Yes") ~ PartyID, design = anes_des,
       family = quasibinomial(), na.action = na.omit)
```

Survey design:

Called via srvyr

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-2.4329	0.1360	-17.885	< 2e-16 ***
PartyIDNot very strong democrat	-0.8332	0.2498	-3.336	0.00171 **
PartyIDIndependent-democrat	-0.4138	0.2501	-1.655	0.10496
PartyIDIndependent	-0.5440	0.3192	-1.704	0.09525 .
PartyIDIndependent-republican	-0.8787	0.2599	-3.381	0.00150 **
PartyIDNot very strong republican	-1.4115	0.2845	-4.962	1.04e-05 ***
PartyIDStrong republican	-0.5065	0.2090	-2.423	0.01946 *

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 0.8978931)

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6

Strong Democrats are more likely to vote early.

Bonus

1. What percentage of people lean republican? These are individuals that are strong republicans, not very strong republicans and are independent-republicans. Include an appropriate confidence interval. Hint: to get the correct confidence interval, create a new variable BEFORE calculating the estimate.

```
#Solution 1: Using forcats package
```

```
anes_des |>
  filter(!is.na(PartyID)) |>
  mutate(PartyID3=fct_collapse(PartyID,
                                LeanDem=c("Strong democrat",
                                           "Not very strong democrat",
                                           "Independent-democrat"),
                                LeanRep=c("Strong republican",
                                           "Not very strong republican",
                                           "Independent-republican"),
                                other_level="Other")) |>
  group_by(PartyID3) |>
  summarize(p=survey_prop(vartype="ci", proportion = TRUE))
```

```
# A tibble: 3 x 4
```

	PartyID3	p	p_low	p_upp
	<fct>	<dbl>	<dbl>	<dbl>
1	LeanDem	0.448	0.430	0.465
2	LeanRep	0.414	0.397	0.431
3	Other	0.139	0.127	0.151

```
#Solution 2: Using case_when
```

```
anes_des |>
  filter(!is.na(PartyID)) |>
  mutate(PartyID3=case_when(PartyID %in% c("Strong democrat",
                                           "Not very strong democrat",
                                           "Independent-democrat")~"LeanDem",
                             PartyID %in% c("Strong republican",
                                           "Not very strong republican",
                                           "Independent-republican")~"LeanRep",
                             TRUE~"Other")) |>
  group_by(PartyID3) |>
  summarize(p=survey_prop(vartype="ci", proportion = TRUE))
```

```
# A tibble: 3 x 4
  PartyID3      p p_low p_upp
  <chr>      <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 LeanDem  0.448 0.430 0.465
2 LeanRep  0.414 0.397 0.431
3 Other    0.139 0.127 0.151
```