

Generative models for classification

Suppose Y can take on K distinct class values. Let π_k represent the overall (prior) probability that a randomly chosen observation comes from the k^{th} class. Let $f_k(X) := \Pr(X|Y = k)$ denote the density function of X for an observation that comes from the k^{th} class. Then, the Bayes' theorem states {

$$p_k(x) = \Pr(Y = k|X = x) = \frac{\pi_k f_k(x)}{\sum_{l=1}^K \pi_l f_l(x)}.$$

}

Back:

Classification –

Linear discrimination analysis for $p = 1$

It is assumed that $f_k(x) := \Pr(X = x|Y = k)$ is normal. In the one-dimensional setting, the normal density takes the form {

$$f_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_k^2}(x - \mu_k)^2\right),$$

} where μ_k and σ_k^2 are the {mean and variance parameters for the k^{th} class.}

Back:

Classification–

Linear discrimination analysis for $p = 1$

The Bayes Classifier involves {assigning an observation $X = x$ to the class for which $p_k(x)$ is largest}. Assuming $f_k(x)$ is normal and that there is a shared variance term across all K classes, this is equivalent to assigning the observation to the class for which {

$$\delta_k(x) = x \cdot \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma^2} - \frac{\mu_k^2}{\sigma^2} + \log \pi_k,$$

} is largest.

Back:

Classification

itsl-404

Linear discrimination analysis for $p = 1$

The linear discriminant analysis (LDA) method approximates the Bayes classifier by using estimates for $\hat{\pi}_k$, $\hat{\mu}_k$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$, given by,

{

$$\hat{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i:y_i=k} x_i$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n - K} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i:y_i=k} (x_i - \hat{\mu}_k)^2$$

$$\hat{\pi}_k = \frac{n_k}{n},$$

}

where n is the total number of training observations and n_k is the number in the k^{th} class.

Back:

Classification

Linear discrimination analysis for $p = 1$

The LDA classifier results from assuming that the observations {within each class come from a normal distribution} with a {class specific mean and common variance σ^2 }, and plugging estimates for those parameters into the {Bayes classifier}.

Back:

Classification and diagnostic testing

False positive rate	$\{FP / N\}$	$\{\text{Type I error, } 1 - \text{Specificity}\}$
True positive rate	$\{TP / P\}$	$\{1 - \text{Type II Error, power, sensitivity, recall}\}$
Positive predictive value	$\{TP / \hat{P}\}$	$\{\text{Precision, } 1 - \text{false discovery proportion}\}$
Negative predictive value	$\{TN / \hat{N}\}$	

Back:

Classification

Quadratic discriminant analysis

What is the difference between QDA and LDA?

QDA assumes that an observation from the k^{th} class is of the form $\{X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \Sigma_k)\}$, where $\{\Sigma_k$ is a covariance matrix for the k^{th} class $\}$. LDA assumes that all observations $\{\text{share a common covariance matrix } \Sigma\}$.

Back:

Naive Bayes

The naive Bayes classifier makes the assumption that, {
Within the k^{th} class, the p predictors are independent.

} Mathematically, this assumption means, {

$$f_k(x) = f_{k1}(x_1) \times f_{k2}(x_2) \times \cdots \times f_{kp}(x_p),$$

} where $\{f_{kj}$ is the density function of the j^{th} predictor among observations in the k^{th} class}.

Back:

Naive Bayes

With the naive Bayes assumption (that the p covariates are independent within each class), and using Bayes theorem, we get posterior probability, {

$$\Pr(Y = k|X = x) = \frac{\pi_k \times f_{k1}(x_1) \times \cdots \times f_{kp}(x_p)}{\sum_{l=1}^K \pi_l \times f_{l1}(x_1) \times \cdots \times f_{lp}(x_p)},$$

} for $k = 1, \dots, K$.

Back:

itsl-410

Naive Bayes

Three options to estimate the one-dimensional density function f_{kj} using training data x_{1j}, \dots, x_{pj} are,

- X_j quantitative: $\{$
 - Can assume $X_j|Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{jk}, \sigma_{jk}^2)$ (this is QDA with an additional assumption that the class-specific covariance matrix is diagonal);
 - Non-parametric estimate using the histogram or kernel density function. $\}$
- X_j qualitative: $\{\text{count the proportion of training observations for the } j^{\text{th}} \text{ predictor corresponding to each class}\}.$

Back:

Classification

itsl-411

KNN vs LDA and QDA

- KNN is {non-parametric} and therefore we expect it to dominate LDA and logistic regression when {the decision boundary is highly non-linear, provided n is large and p is small}.
- Where the decision boundary is non-linear but n is modest or p is not very small, then {QDA may be preferred to KNN}. This is because {QDA can prove a non-linear decision boundary while taking advantage of a parametric form}.
- Unlike logistic regression, KNN does not {tell us which predictors are important}.

Back:

Classification