

08 Asian Development Bank (AsDB)

TOTAL

84.9%

SECTION A

COMMITMENT TO
AID TRANSPARENCY:

8.58/10

SECTION B

PUBLICATION
ORGANISATION LEVEL:

24.89/25

SECTION C

PUBLICATION
ACTIVITY LEVEL:

51.43/65

Overview

Created to promote regional cooperation in the Asia region, the Asian Development Bank (AsDB) original mandate was the financing of infrastructure projects. It provides non-concessional loans, equity investments, loan guarantees and concessional loans through the Asian Development Fund to lower and middle-income governments and the private sector. It now also engages in projects more directly orientated towards social development and poverty alleviation.

Achievements and progress

- The AsDB ranks in the 'very good' category for the second consecutive year consolidating the progress made in 2014.
- The AsDB publishes a full organisation file to the IATI Registry scoring nearly full points for this category of indicators.
- Its publication at the activity-level is also very good with all basic and classification indicators published. The AsDB is also among the top five performers for activity-level related documents and performance indicators.

Challenges and room for improvement

- It publishes at a quarterly frequency.
- Four indicators are not published to the IATI registry. Contracts and tenders are published in a non-IATI format while activity budgets are only published sometimes in a PDF format and the budget identifier is not published at all.
- The AsDB does not publish results data and does not publish sectors using the standard codelist.

Recommendations

- The AsDB should aim to publish to the IATI Registry on a monthly basis.
- The AsDB should publish all fields to the IATI Standard starting with all finance and performance indicators, at the activity-level.
- It should design a strategy for internal and external use of its data particularly at country level. It should share good practice with other development finance institutions (DFIs) to help them publish to IATI or improve their existing publications.

Have they met the Busan commitment on aid transparency?

☒ yes ☐ partly ☐ no

Donor Profile

2016 Index:
VERY GOOD 84.9%

2014 Index:
VERY GOOD 83.8%

2013 Index:
FAIR 57.6%

First published to IATI:
NOVEMBER 2011

Frequency of publication	Quarterly
Indicators published to IATI	32/36
Activity budgets	Sometimes – PDF
Total budget	3 years – IATI
Disaggregated budgets	3 years – IATI

This table highlights the organisation's performance in providing timely, comprehensive and forward-looking aid data in a comparable and open format.

- Frequency of publication is measured by the IATI Dashboard.
- Comprehensiveness is measured against the indicators used in the Index at the organisation and activity levels and their publication in the open and comparable IATI Standard.
- Forward-looking budgets for the organisation and its development activities are measured by indicators 9, 10 and 33.