

	Grades				
	1	3	5	7	9
Report					
Report quality (45%)	Report not handed in on time	Structure is not followed (no Introduction, conclusion, methodolgy or results).	Structure is followed. The report is nevertheless hard to read. Explanations are hard to follow. No evidence that the student has actually understood what he did.	Structure is followed and the report is easily understandable. Some points are still questionable but the report gives at least the impression that the student has understood something.	Really good report. An effort has been made to make it easy to read and to understand. Structure is totally respected, and it is evident that the student masters the subject.
Presentation of results (Figures, Tables, and Equations) 10%	No figures or tables		Figures, tables and equations are not clearly or logically identified and fail to support the text. Figures are not explicative (no caption, axis or legend).	Some figures, tables and equations are clearly and logically identified and adequately support the text.	All figures, tables and equations are clearly and logically identified and strongly support the text.
Creativity of solution (45%)	No added value		Some comments on why the algorithm work but no implementation or results.	Test on other settings (different similarity matrix, different model) and discussion of the result. Same explanation why one setting works better.	Test on other settings (different similarity matrix, different model). The results are thoroughly discussed and the student gives some experimental and theoretical evidence why some settings are better than others.