

# First Order Predicate Calculus

---

- FOPC is a flexible, well-understood, and computationally tractable approach to the representation of knowledge.
- It provides a strong computational basis for the verifiability, inference, and expressiveness requirement.
- The most attractive feature of FOPC:
  - It makes very few specific commitments as to how things ought to be represented.
  - The represented world consists of objects, properties of objects, and relations among objects.

# First Order Predicate Calculus

<i>Formula</i>	$\rightarrow$	<i>AtomicFormula</i>
		<i>Formula Connective Formula</i>
		<i>Quantifier Variable,... Formula</i>
		$\neg$ <i>Formula</i>
		( <i>Formula</i> )
<i>AtomicFormula</i> $\rightarrow$ <i>Predicate(Term,...)</i>		
<i>Term</i>	$\rightarrow$	<i>Function(Term,...)</i>
		<i>Constant</i>
		<i>Variable</i>
<i>Connective</i>	$\rightarrow$	$\wedge \mid \vee \mid \Rightarrow$
<i>Quantifier</i>	$\rightarrow$	$\forall \mid \exists$
<i>Constant</i>	$\rightarrow$	<i>A</i>   <i>VegetarianFood</i>   <i>Maharani...</i>
<i>Variable</i>	$\rightarrow$	<i>x</i>   <i>y</i>   ...
<i>Predicate</i>	$\rightarrow$	<i>Serves</i>   <i>Near</i>   ...
<i>Function</i>	$\rightarrow$	<i>LocationOf</i>   <i>CuisineOf</i>   ...

# Elements of FOPC

---

- **Term** – the FOPC device for representing objects.
- Three basic building blocks: constants, functions, variables
  - **Constants**
    - Specific objects in the world being described.
    - Depicted as single capitalized letters *A*, *B*, or proper nouns such as *Maharani*, *Ram*
  - **Functions**
    - Concepts that are expressed in English as genitives,
      - *the location of Maharani*, or *Maharani's location*
      - *LocationOf (Maharani)*
    - Refer to unique objects, though appearing similarly as predicates

# Elements of FOPC

---

- Three basic building blocks: constants, functions, variables
  - **Variables**
    - Depicted as single lower-case letters
    - Ability to make assertions and draw inferences about objects without having to make reference to any particular named object

# Elements of FOPC

---

- **Predicates** – Relations that hold among objects.
  - Predicates are symbols refer to, or name, the relations that hold among some fixed number of objects in a given domain
    - *Serves(Maharani, VegetarianFood)* – a two-place predicate
    - *Restaurant(Maharani)* – a one-place predicate
  - Complex formula, through the use of **logical connectives**
  - (14.17) *I only have five dollars and I don't have a lot of time.*
    - *Have(Speaker, FiveDollars)  $\wedge$   $\neg$ Have(Speaker, LotOfTime)*

# The Semantics of FOPC

---

- How various objects, properties, and relations presented on a FOPC acquire their meanings?  
by virtue of their correspondence to objects, properties, and relations out in the external world being modeled by the knowledge base
- FOPC sentences can therefore be assigned a value of *True* or *False*
- The interpretations of formulas involving logical connectives is based on the meaning of the components in the formulas combined with the meaning of connectives they contain.

$P$	$Q$	$\neg P$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \vee Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$
<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>
<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>
<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>
<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>

# Variables and Quantifiers

---

- Variables are used in two ways in FOPC:
  - To refer to particular anonymous objects and
  - To refer generically to all objects in a collection
- The two uses are made possible through the use of **quantifiers**.
  - The two operators are the existential quantifier -  $\exists$  (“*there exists*”) and the universal quantifier -  $\forall$  (“*for all*”)
  - The need for existential quantifier is due to the presence of an indefinite noun phrase

(14.19) *a restaurant that serves Mexican food near ICSI*  
 $\exists x \text{ Restaurant}(x)$   
     $\wedge \text{Serves}(x, \text{MexicanFood})$   
     $\wedge \text{Near}(\text{LocationOf}(x), \text{LocationOf}(\text{ICSI}))$

# Variables and Quantifiers

---

- For this sentence to be true there must be at least one object such that if substituted for  $x$ , the resulting sentence would be true
- If *Gateway* is a Mexican restaurant near ICSI, then:
  - Substituting for  $x$  results in:

*Restaurant(Gateway)*  
 $\wedge$  *Serves (Gateway, MexicanFood)*  
 $\wedge$  *Near (LocationOf(Gateway), LocationOf(ICSI))*

- The sentence will be *true* if all of its three atomic formulas are *true*.



# Variables and Quantifiers

---

- $\forall$  operator states that for the formula to be true, the substitution of any object in the knowledge base for the universally quantified variable should result in a true formula.

- Consider the following example:

- (14.20) All vegetarian restaurants serve vegetarian food.

$$\forall x \text{ VegetarianRestaurant}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Serves}(x, \text{VegetarianFood})$$

- **Case 1:** Set of objects consisting of vegetarian restaurants:

*VegetarianRestaurant(Maharani)*

$$\Rightarrow \text{Serves}(\text{Maharani}, \text{VegetarianFood})$$

- If consequent is true or both antecedent and the consequent have the value *True*, then the sentence itself is *True*.

# Variables and Quantifiers

---

- Consider the following example:

- (14.20) All vegetarian restaurants serve vegetarian food.

$$\forall x \text{VegetarianRestaurant}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Serves}(x, \text{VegetarianFood})$$

- **Case 2:** Set of a objects that are not vegetarian restaurants:

*VegetarianRestaurant(Gateway)*

$$\Rightarrow \text{Serves}(\text{Gateway}, \text{VegetarianFood})$$

- Since the antecedent of the implication is *False*, the sentence is always *True* satisfying the  $\forall$  constraint.
- There is no restrictions on objects that can be substituted for  $x$  by this kind of reasoning.

# Inference

---

- Inference
  - The ability to add valid new propositions to a knowledge base, or
  - To determine the truth of propositions **not explicitly** contained within a knowledge base.
- **Modus ponens** – inference method provided by FOPC.
- If the left-hand side of an implication rule is present in the knowledge base, then the right-hand side of the rule can be inferred.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \alpha \\ \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \end{array} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{l} \textit{VegetarianRestaurant}(\textit{Rudys}) \\ \forall x \textit{VegetarianRestaurant}(x) \Rightarrow \textit{Serves}(x, \textit{VegetarianFood}) \end{array}}{\beta} \quad \textit{Serves}(\textit{Rudys}, \textit{VegetarianFood})}{\beta}$$

- The formula *VegetarianRestaurant(Rudys)* matches the antecedent thus using modus ponens concludes *Serves(Rudys, VegetarianFood)*

# Inference

---

- Modus ponens used in two ways:
- Forward chaining:
  - As soon as new fact is added to **kb** all applicable implication rules are found and applied, each resulting in addition of new facts to the **kb**.
  - All inference is preformed in advance, hence facts will be present always.
- Backward chaining:
  - Modus ponens run in reverse.
    - Check if the query formula is present in the kb
    - If not, search for applicable implication rule (consequent matches the query) present in kb
    - Query is proved if any of the antecedent is shown to be true

# Inference

---

- Backward chaining:
  - **Prolog** programming language is a backward chaining system.

*VegetarianRestaurant(Rudys)*

$\forall x \text{ } \textit{VegetarianRestaurant}(x) \Rightarrow \textit{Serves}(x, \textit{VegetarianFood})$

? *Serves(Rudys, VegetarianFood).*

True.

after substituting the constant (*Rudys*) for variable (*x*), prove the antecedent of the rule