## Lamport's Algorithm

- Requests for CS are executed in the increasing order of timestamps and time is determined by logical clocks.
- Every site S<sub>i</sub> keeps a queue, request\_queue<sub>i</sub>, which contains mutual exclusion requests ordered by their timestamps.
- This algorithm requires communication channels to deliver messages the FIFO order.

## The Algorithm

### Requesting the critical section:

- When a site  $S_i$  wants to enter the CS, it broadcasts a REQUEST( $ts_i$ , i) message to all other sites and places the request on  $request\_queue_i$ . (( $ts_i$ , i) denotes the timestamp of the request.)
- When a site  $S_i$  receives the REQUEST( $ts_i$ , i) message from site  $S_i$ , places site  $S_i$ 's request on  $request\_queue_j$  and it returns a timestamped REPLY message to  $S_i$ .

**Executing the critical section:** Site  $S_i$  enters the CS when the following two conditions hold:

- L1:  $S_i$  has received a message with timestamp larger than  $(ts_i, i)$  from all other sites.
- L2:  $S_i$ 's request is at the top of  $request\_queue_i$ .

## The Algorithm

### Releasing the critical section:

- Site  $S_i$ , upon exiting the CS, removes its request from the top of its request queue and broadcasts a timestamped RELEASE message to all other sites.
- When a site  $S_j$  receives a RELEASE message from site  $S_i$ , it removes  $S_i$ 's request from its request queue.

When a site removes a request from its request queue, its own request may come at the top of the queue, enabling it to enter the CS.

#### correctness

# Theorem: Lamport's algorithm achieves mutual exclusion. Proof:

- Proof is by contradiction. Suppose two sites  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  are executing the CS concurrently. For this to happen conditions L1 and L2 must hold at both the sites *concurrently*.
- This implies that at some instant in time, say t, both S<sub>i</sub> and S<sub>j</sub> have their own requests at the top of their request\_queues and condition L1 holds at them. Without loss of generality, assume that S<sub>i</sub>'s request has smaller timestamp than the request of S<sub>j</sub>.
- From condition L1 and FIFO property of the communication channels, it is clear that at instant t the request of  $S_i$  must be present in  $request\_queue_j$  when  $S_j$  was executing its CS. This implies that  $S_j$ 's own request is at the top of its own  $request\_queue$  when a smaller timestamp request,  $S_i$ 's request, is present in the  $request\_queue_j$  a contradiction!

#### correctness

# Theorem: Lamport's algorithm is fair. Proof:

- The proof is by contradiction. Suppose a site  $S_i$ 's request has a smaller timestamp than the request of another site  $S_j$  and  $S_j$  is able to execute the CS before  $S_i$ .
- For  $S_j$  to execute the CS, it has to satisfy the conditions L1 and L2. This implies that at some instant in time say t,  $S_j$  has its own request at the top of its queue and it has also received a message with timestamp larger than the timestamp of its request from all other sites.
- But  $request\_queue$  at a site is ordered by timestamp, and according to our assumption  $S_i$  has lower timestamp. So  $S_i$ 's request must be placed ahead of the  $S_i$ 's request in the  $request\_queue_i$ . This is a contradiction!

### Performance

- For each CS execution, Lamport's algorithm requires (N-1) REQUEST messages, (N-1) REPLY messages, and (N-1) RELEASE messages.
- Thus, Lamport's algorithm requires 3(N-1) messages per CS invocation.
- Synchronization delay in the algorithm is T.

## An optimization

- In Lamport's algorithm, REPLY messages can be omitted in certain situations. For example, if site  $S_i$  receives a REQUEST message from site  $S_i$  after it has sent its own REQUEST message with timestamp higher than the timestamp of site  $S_i$ 's request, then site  $S_i$  need not send a REPLY message to site  $S_i$ .
- This is because when site  $S_i$  receives site  $S_j$ 's request with timestamp higher than its own, it can conclude that site  $S_j$  does not have any smaller timestamp request which is still pending.
- With this optimization, Lamport's algorithm requires between 3(N-1) and 2(N-1) messages per CS execution.