Forensic Duplication

FORENSIC DUPLICATES AS ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE

 U.S. Federal Rules of Evidence (FRE) §1002 states that the item or information presented in court must be the original

FORENSIC DUPLICATES AS ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE

- Best evidence rule: Copying can introduce errors
- The examination can destroy evidence inadvertently.
- The original computer system might only be available for capturing
- Originals themselves cannot be obtained due to business needs

FORENSIC DUPLICATES AS ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE

- Relevant Exceptions
 - FRE §1001-3, Definitions and Duplicates:
 - "If data are stored by computer or similar device, any printout or other output readable by sight, shown to reflect the data accurately, is an original."
 - FRE §1003, Admissibility of Duplicates:
 - "A duplicate is admissible to the same extent as an original unless
 - a genuine question is raised as to the authenticity of the original or
 - in the circumstances it would be unfair to admit the duplicate in lieu of the original."

Duplicate

- Forensic Duplicate: File that contains every bit of information from the source in a raw bit stream format
 - Unix dd command and dfcldd command
 - Open-source Open Data Duplicator
- Qualified Duplicate: Same as above, but allows embedded metadata or certain types of compression
 - SafeBack and EnCase

What Is a Forensic Duplicate?

- Produce identical byte stream from duplicate as from the original
- A forensic duplicate is a file that contains every bit of information from the source, in a raw bit stream format
 - A 5GB hard drive would result in a 5GB forensic duplicate
- A forensic duplicate may be compressed after the duplication process
- Two tools that create a forensic duplicate
 - Unix dd command and dfcldd command
 - Open-source Open Data Duplicator

What Is a Qualified Forensic Duplicate?

- File that contains every bit of information from the source
- Stored in an altered forms
 - in-band hashes
 - empty sector compression
- Sector(in-band hashes)
 - Tools read some number of sectors from the source, generate a hash and store the sectors followed by hash to output file
 - Even if sector group fails even then restoration can continue
 - This is not possible when storing a file

What Is a Qualified Forensic Duplicate?

- Empty sector compression
 - Minimizing the size of the output file
 - If the tool comes across 500 sectors, all filled with zeros, it will make a special entry in the output file that the restoration program will recognize
- Tools that create qualified forensic duplicate output files are SafeBack and EnCase

Definitions

Restored Image:

 A forensic duplicate or qualified forensic duplicate restored to another storage medium

 Difficult to do if second hard drive does not have the same geometry as the previous one

Definitions

Mirror Image

 Created from hardware that does a bit-tobit copy from one hard drive to another (copy even the OS)

FORENSIC DUPLICATION TOOL REQUIREMENTS

- The tool must have the ability to image every bit of data on the storage medium
 - The tool must create a forensic duplicate or mirror image
 - The tool must handle read errors
 - The tool must not make any changes to the source medium
 - The tool must have the ability to be held up to scientific and peer review

Creating a Forensics Duplicate of a Hard Drive

Software tools:

- Unix dd
 - Tested and proven
 - Runs on Unix/Linux/Mac OS X which can recognize almost any hardware
 - Free

Creating a Forensics Duplicate of a Hard Drive

Software tools: Encase

- Expensive
- Full Suite of Forensics Tools
- Great Market Penetration
- Based on Windows
- Software Tools: Safeback
 - Specialized Imaging Tool
 - Uses DOS

- Approach for an initial response need to be planned
- Such that
 - Obtain all the information
 - Without affecting any potential evidence
 - Because commands used will be with administrator rights on the victim system
- The best way to meet this goal is to prepare a complete response toolkit

- Creating a response toolkit is
 - important
 - Monotonous
 - laborious step
 - By spending the time to collect the trusted files and burn them onto
- Helps to
 - respond quickly
 - Professionally
 - successfully

- Gathering the Tools
 - Use trusted commands
 - Maintain a CD
- Preparing the Toolkit

Preparing the Toolkit

- Ensure that toolkit will function exactly as intended
- Do not alter the target system
- Steps to prepare toolkits for initial response:
 - Label the response toolkit media
 - Check for dependencies with Filemon (Process Monitor)
 - Create a checksum for the response toolkit
 - Write-protect any toolkit floppies

- Label the response toolkit media
 - A first step in evidence collection
 - Document the collection itself
 - Label response toolkit CD-ROM or floppy disks
 - Helps to identify this part of investigation
 - For example
 - Case number
 - Time and date
 - Name of the investigator who created the response media
 - Name of the investigator using the response media
 - Whether or not the response media (usually a floppy disk) contains output files or evidence from the victim system

Check for dependencies with Filemon

- It is important to determine which DLLs and files your response tools depend on
- We use Filemon to determine all the files accessed and affected by each of the utilities in our toolkit
- It is good to know which tools change access times on files on the target system

- Create a checksum for the response toolkit
 - One of the files on response kit floppy (and CD and USB drive) is a text file with a checksum of all the commands on it

Write-protect any toolkit floppies

- If you use floppy disks, be sure to write protect the floppy after it is created
- If you store evidentiary files on the response floppy during an incident, you need to writeprotect it after you accumulate data and begin the chain of custody
- The chain of custody tags should be filled out for each response floppy or CD, whether or not it contains evidence files