

DATE _____
PAGE _____

Infinitely Many Sol^{ns} of → Linearly
System of Eq^{ns} Dependent 

1 february, 2021

Redundancy :

Phase - I → While solving phase - I,
after pivot step, we have $w = 0$
but some artificial variables
remains in the basic variable Solⁿ.

$$w = \text{sum (Art. variables)}$$

for an artificial variable, (A_k) which is in the basic solⁿ.

1) $w = 0$

w is sum of A.U.

$\Rightarrow A_k = 0$ } Degenerate

$\Rightarrow b_k = 0$ } Case

We can change this Variable
with other & the objective

for removing A_k , f^n remains same.

we can take any
variable with non-
zero value in that
row.

both can enter, it should
be non-zero value

A_1	$\frac{-5}{3}$	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	0
Z					



2) If the whole row is 0, we can't enter ~~a~~
any other variable, \therefore there is
 redundancy & the eqns are linearly dependent

e.g.

A	0	0	0	0	0	0
Z						

Red.
 or L.D.

Any 2 eqns are LD

$\& \therefore$ this row becomes
 0.

In this case, we
 will remove the

entire row from the
 simplex table & we can use the others which
 are in canonical form to optimize Z.

Here $w=0$, No possibility for entering other
 variables

So we neglect / remove this row in the
 tableau.

En.
 Case-I

$$\text{Max } Z = 2n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - n_4$$

subject to

$$n_1 - 2n_2 + 3n_3 + n_4 = 6$$

$$-n_1 + n_2 + 2n_3 + \frac{2}{3}n_4 = 4$$

$$n_i \geq 0$$

Equal signs \therefore Introduce A.U.

$$\text{Min } Z = 2n_1 - n_2 - 3n_3 - n_4$$

$$\rightarrow n_1 - 2n_2 + 3n_3 + n_4 + A_1 = 6$$

$$\rightarrow -n_1 + n_2 - 2n_3 + \frac{2}{3}n_4 + A_2 = 4$$

\hookrightarrow New Objective fn

$$w = A_1 + A_2$$

Subject to

$$n_i \geq 0$$

$$A_1, A_2 \geq 0$$

	n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4	A_1	A_2	b
A_1	1	-2	3	1	1	0	$6 \xrightarrow{\text{cont}} 6/3 = 2$
A_2	-1	1	2	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	1	$4 \xrightarrow{\text{cont}} 4/2 = 2$
w	0	1	$-5 \uparrow$	$-5/3$	0	0	-10
n_3	$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{2}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	0	2
A_2	$-\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{7}{3}$	0	0	$\frac{5}{3}$	0	0
w	$\frac{5}{3}$	$-\frac{7}{3} \uparrow$	0	0	$\frac{5}{3}$	0	0
n_3	$-\frac{1}{7}$	0	1	$\frac{1}{3} \uparrow$			$2 \xrightarrow{\text{End}}$
n_2	$-\frac{5}{7}$	1	0	0			0
Z	$10/7$	0	0	$-\frac{4}{3}$			-2
n_4	$-\frac{3}{7}$	0	3	1			6
n_2	$-\frac{5}{7}$	1	0	0			0
Z	$6/7$	0	4	0			6

w can also take this
(only non-zero) for $(0, 0, 0, 6)$

$$Z_{\min} = -6$$

So, enter other variable

still in basic
Solv^n

$$w=0$$

But A_2



If there is no objective fn as in system of eqns we take our Phase-II model like

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + n_4 + A_1 &= 6 \\ -n_1 + n_2 + 2n_3 + \frac{2}{3}n_4 + A_2 &= 4 \\ w = A_1 + A_2 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right\}$$

when $w=0$, our objective fn has attained min. value & after removing A.U., we get our solution.

Ex- Case-II

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 + 2n_2 + n_4 &= 20 \\ 2n_1 + n_2 + n_3 &= 10 \\ -n_1 + 4n_2 - 2n_3 + 3n_4 &= 40 \\ n_i &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{const.}$$

Introduce A.U. A_1, A_2, A_3 ,

$$w = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$$

$$\min Z \text{ (given)} = n_1 + 4n_2 + 3n_3 + 0n_4$$

$$\text{So, } w = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$$

$$20 = n_1 + 2n_2 + n_4 + A_1$$

$$10 = 2n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + A_2$$

$$40 = -n_1 + 4n_2 - 2n_3 + 3n_4 + A_3$$

$$n_i \geq 0, A_i \geq 0$$



DATE _____

PAGE _____

	n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4	A_1	A_2	A_3	b
A_1	1	(2)↑	0	1	1	0	1	$20 = 20/1 = 10$
A_2	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	$10 = 10/1 = 10$
A_3	-1	4	-2	3	0	0	0	$40 = 40/4 = 10$
Z	1	4	3	0	0	0	0	0
w	-2	(-7)↑	1	-4	0	0	0	-70
n_2	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	10
A_2	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	(-1/2)↑	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	0
A_3	-3	0	-2	1	-2	0	1	0
Z	-1	0	3	-2	-2	0	0	-40
w	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0
n_2	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	10
n_4	-3	0	-2	1	1	-2	0	0
A_3	0	0	0	0	-3	2	1	0
Z	-7	0	-1	0	0	-4	0	-40
w	0	0	0	0	4	-1	0	0

→ The row has become 0

& ∵ we can't enter

any other variable

feasible

Solⁿ, but A.U.

So, Neglect this row &

still in Basic

remove this row

so, exit them

for Phase-II

& enter other

variables

Phase-II

$$\text{Min } Z = -7n_1 - n_3 \text{ subject to }$$

$$10 = 2n_1 + n_2 + n_3$$

$$0 = -3n_1 - 2n_3 + n_4$$

Phase-II

	n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4	b
n_2	2	1	1	0	10 → exit
n_4	-3	0	-2	1	0
Z	(7)	0	-1	0	-40
n_1	1	1/2	1/2	0	5
n_4	0	3/2	7/2	1	15
Z	0	7/2	5/2	0	-5

and so $Z = -5$ at $(5, 0, 0, 15)$

Duality

from Pg-9 of copy or Ex. 2.2.1 (Pg 26)

Blending Model Nutrition (Model-I)

	A	B	C	Cost
Feed 1	3	7	3	10
Feed 2	2	2	6	4
Min Req.	60	84	72	

Let $n_1 = \# \text{ of feed 1}$

$n_2 = \dots \dots \dots 2$

$$\text{Min } Z = 10n_1 + 4n_2$$

subject to

$$3n_1 + 2n_2 \geq 60$$

$$7n_1 + 2n_2 \geq 84$$

$$3n_1 + 6n_2 \geq 72$$

$$n_i \geq 0$$



After solving thru simplex, we have

$$\text{Min } Z = 144 \text{ at } (6, 2)$$

↓ ↓
 # of # of
 feed 1 feed 2

Model - 2

So, let's say the farmer goes to the market & sees a shopkeeper with a new tablet on powder of feed 1 & feed 2.

Now, the shopkeeper wants to minimize his profit but at the same time the quantity & the cost of farmer should be same.
So, the shopkeeper wants to fix the price of the products to minimize its profit.

Variables :- $y_1, y_2, y_3 \rightarrow$ Price for A, B, C Nutrients

Objective Mom. Profit

$$\text{Mom. } Z = 60y_1 + 84y_2 + 72y_3$$

Min Prof of B C
 A

subject to

Constraints :

$$3y_1 + 7y_2 + 3y_3 \leq 10$$

$$2y_1 + 2y_2 + 6y_3 \leq 4$$

$$y_i \geq 0$$

We have changed this model according to our needs

This model is dependent on above model

∴ Model - II is dual of I

Dependent on each other.



So, when will farmer come to this shop?
Only when the cost of farmer ($\text{Min } Z = 144$)
is equal to shopkeeper's profit.

∴ In Model-II

$$60y_1 + 84y_2 + 72y_3 = 144$$

- ∴ One is Minimiza " (cost of Farmer) &
other " Maximiza " (Profit of Shopkeeper)
∴ When they are equal, only then
both cond. will be satisfied.

So after solving Model-II

Eq^{ns} will become (After adding slack
Variables):

$$60y_1 + 84y_2 + 72y_3 = Z$$

$$3y_1 + 7y_2 + 3y_3 + y_4 = 10$$

$$2y_1 + 2y_2 + 6y_3 + y_5 = 4$$

$$y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \geq 0, y_3 \geq 0, y_4 \geq 0, y_5 \geq 0$$

$$i = 1, \dots, 5$$

Now, we need to find the sol of above
model and when $Z = 144$ we will
get the min. profit for the
shopkeeper.

	n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4	n_5	b
n_4	3	7	3	1	0	$10 \cdot 10/7 \rightarrow$
n_5	2	2	6	0	1	$4 \cdot 4/2 = 2$
\bar{z}	60	84	72	0	0	0
n_2	3/7	1	3/7	1/7	0	$10/7 = 10/3$
n_5	8/7	0	3+1/7	-2/7	1	$8/7 = 8/36 \rightarrow$
\bar{z}	24	0	36	-12	0	-120
n_2	1/3	1	0	1/6	-1/12	$4/3 = 4$
n_3	4/9	0	1	-1/12	7/36	$2/9 = 1$ out
\bar{z}	16	0	0	0	-10	-128
n_2	0	1	-3/2	+1/4	-1/8	1
n_4	1	0	-9/2 - 9/4	3/8	1	
	0	0	-72	6	-21	-144

~~Soln of Model I~~

No Entering Variable

Max. \bar{z} at $(1, 1, 0)$ So, $y_1 = 1$; $y_2 = 1$; $y_3 = 0$

Initially giving
 Optimum soln remains same C at free but
 but the model is after sometime
 changed completely. he can increase
 this to increase

Observations (when writing dual) His profit

- 1) Objective fn changes from minimum to maximum
- 2) Greater than ($>$) changes to less than (\leq)
- 3) No. of variables & no. of constraints are interchanged.



y) When we write the initial const. of both Models in matrix form, then they are transpose of each other.

Coefficient Matrix Transpose

5) Coefficient of Objective fn & RHS

Values of constraints are also switched

6) Min of I Model = Max. of II Model

Whenever we are solving dual model then the slack Variable value will be the solution for the first Model

e.g. In this case we can see (6, 21)

at (n_4, n_5) which is soln of Model-I

So, optimum Basic soln (for Model-I) is the coefficient of slack variable in Z fn when Max. is reached.

∴ No need to solve 2 models

Solve Only dual

Definition

Max form :

$$\text{Max } Z = c_1 n_1 + \dots + c_m n_m$$

subject to

$$a_{11} n_1 + \dots + a_{1m} n_m \leq b_1$$

$$a_{21} n_1 + \dots + a_{2m} n_m \leq b_2$$

$$a_{31} n_1 + \dots + a_{3m} n_m \leq b_3$$

$$n_i \geq 0$$

When f^n
is max

constants
should
have

\leq
sign,

Otherwise
we need to
make it \leq

Multiply by
negative

Min form:

$$\text{Min } Z = c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n$$

$$\text{subject to } a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n \geq b_1$$

}

$$a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n \geq b_m$$

$$x_i \geq 0$$

}

If the constraints are

not according to the

needed signs, we need

to convert it so that

we can write dual.

When the sign

is to be min.

then the constraint

sign should be

\geq

Changing to Dual

1) Min form

$$\text{Min } Z = b_1y_1 + b_2y_2 + \dots + b_my_m$$

For m constraints, we will have
m variables in dual

Subject to:

$$a_{11}y_1 + \dots + a_{1m}y_m \geq c_1$$

1

1

1

1

$$a_{m1}y_1 + \dots + a_{mn}y_n \geq c_n$$

$$y_i \geq 0$$



DATE _____

PAGE _____

2) Min form

Min $Z = b_1 y_1 + b_2 y_2 + \dots + b_m y_m$
 subject to:

$$a_{11}y_1 + a_{12}y_2 + \dots + a_{1m}y_m \leq c_1$$

$$a_{n1}y_1 + a_{n2}y_2 + \dots + a_{nm}y_n \leq c_n$$

* If sign comes, then we convert that
 $\text{in } L = a_1 > 0$

Ex:

$$\text{Min } Z = n_1 + 3n_2 + 5n_3$$

$$\text{subject to } n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 \leq 10$$

$$4n_1 + 5n_2 + 7n_3 \leq 15$$

$$n_i \geq 0$$

Dual:

$$\text{Min } Z = 10y_1 + 15y_2$$

subject to

~~$$2y_1 + 4y_2 \geq 10$$~~

$$2y_1 + 5y_2 \geq 15$$

$$3y_1 + y_2 \geq 5$$

$$y_i \geq 0$$