## CSC 449 Advanced Topics in Artificial Intelligence

Deep Reinforcement Learning Exam 2 Fall, 2022

A / \	7.4		_	
Name: Name L	- Kmund ID#: _	7469562	Score:	85

Your solutions to these problems should be uploaded to D2L as a single pdf file by the deadline. You may turn in the solution up to two days late, with a penalty of 10% per day, and you should only upload one version of your solutions.

This exam is individual and open book. You may consult any reference work. If you make specific use of a reference outside those on the course web page in solving a problem, include a citation to that reference.

You may discuss the course material in general with other students, but you must work on the solutions to the problems on your own.

It is difficult to write questions in which every possibility is taken into account. As a result, there may sometimes be "trick" answers that are simple and avoid addressing the intended problem. Such trick answers will not receive credit. As an example, suppose we said, use the chain rule to compute  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$  with  $z = \frac{7}{y}$  and  $y = x^2$ . A trick answer would be to say that the partial derivative is not well defined because y might equal 0. A correct answer might note this, but would then give the correct partial derivative when  $y \neq 0$ .

(40 pts) Consider the following pseudo-code for a faulty SARSA algorithm: **procedure** SARSA( number of episodes  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ learning rate  $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{\log(n+1)}$ Initialize matrices Q(s,a) and n(s,a) to  $0, \forall s,a$ for episode  $k \in 1,2,3,...,n$  do (1,t+1)There is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ be greater

Should be logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ there is a logarithmic discount factor  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ . Assuming we want our t +1 SARSA to be on-policy. Initialize  $s_1$  Choose  $a_1$  from a uniform distribution over the actions while Episode k is not finished do actions from a seriform Take action  $a_t$ : observe reward  $r_t$  and next state  $s_{t+1}$ distribution Choose  $a_{t+1}$  from  $s_{t+1}$  using  $\mu_t$ : an  $\varepsilon$ -greedy policy with respect to Qif The current state is terminal then Compute target value an Af-policy SAKSA  $y_t = 0$ Instead as should be missed 3 errors E-greedy  $y_t = r_t + \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a)$ with respect to Q end if  $n(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow n(s_t, a_t) + 1$ Update O function:  $Q(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) \leftarrow Q(s_t, a_t) - \alpha_{n(s_t, a_t)} (y_t - Q(s_t, a_t))$ end while end for end procedure Find all of the mistakes in the algorithm. Explain why they are mistakes, and correct them. The algorithm above resembles a SARSIA). Assuming that it is, the eligibility trace update is missing with lambda:

n(5+ 10+) (- ) n(5+, a+)

2. (60 pts) Your friend found a variant of SARSA which is defined through a sequence of policies  $\pi_t$  (where  $t \ge 1$ ), and consists of just changing (in the previous algorithm **after corrections**) the way the target is computed. The target becomes

$$y_t = r_t + \lambda \sum_{a} \pi_t(a|s_{t+1}) Q(S_{t+1}, a),$$

where  $\pi_t(a|s)$  is the probability that a is selected in state s under policy  $\pi_t$ .

- a) What sequence of policies  $(\pi_t)$  should you choose so that the corresponding variant of SARSA is on-policy? This variant is called Expected SARSA.
- b) Consider an off-policy variant of SARSA corresponding to a stationary policy  $\pi = \pi_t \forall t$ . Under this algorithm, do the Q values converge? If so, what are the limiting Q values? Justify your answer.

a) To ensure on-policy SARSA, the action that is selected by the should be the highest value action in the state.

So the sequence of policies (The) should be greedy in the sense that the highest - value action is always selected.

b) Given a stationary policy, the answer to "Do the Gralues converged is it depends. If the stationary policy does Not brive us to the terminal state, the Orvalues will not converge and approach - ON. If the stationary policy DOES reach the terminal state, the Q-values will converge. The Qualues that limit the convergence of the other Q-values is the terminal state. The terminal state is a constant, and all other Q-values values are dependent upon the terminal state's value. Note: Because the policy is stationary, there will be Q-values that are never updated because their states are never visited by the policy. Their Q-values remain the same as