

Using pyMOR for Reduced Basis PDE-Constrained Optimization

pyMOR School

T. Keil, L. Mechelli, M. Ohlberger, F. Schindler, S. Volkwein

October 9, 2019













Outline

Mathematical background

Model Order Reduction

Live demo







Acknowledgement

▶ DFG project

Localized Reduced Basis Methods for PDE-constrained Parameter Optimization

Collaboration

- University of Konstanz: L. **Mechelli**, S. **Volkwein** (Optimization)
- ▶ University of Münster: T. **Keil**, F. **Schindler**, M. **Ohlberger** (Model Reduction)









Quadratic PDE-Constrained Parameter Optimization

• Quadratic objective functional $\mathcal{J}: V \times \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{J}(u,\mu) = \Theta(\mu) + j_{\mu}(u) + k_{\mu}(u,u)$$

PDE-Constrained Optimization

Find the minimizer

$$\bar{\mu}$$
: = argmin _{$\mu \in \mathcal{P}$} $\hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu)$: = $\mathcal{J}(u_{\mu}, \mu)$, (P.a)

subject to $u_u \in V$ being the primal state solution of

$$a_{II}(u_{II}, v) = l_{II}(v) \quad \forall v \in V,$$
 (P.b)

Assumptions:

- real-valued Hilbert space V (e.g. $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$).
- ▶ compact Banach space \mathcal{P} (e.g. $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{R}^p$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}$)
- \triangleright parameter functional $\Theta \in \mathcal{P}'$.
- $lack a_\mu, k_\mu \colon V imes V o \mathbb{R}$ continuous (and coercive) bilinear forms.
- $l_{ii}, j_{ii}: V \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous linear functionals
- $ightharpoonup a_{ii}, l_{ii}, j_{ii}, k_{ii}$ parameter separable.

$$a_{\mu}(u, v) = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \theta^{q}(\mu) a^{q}(u, v)$$







Quadratic PDE-Constrained Parameter Optimization

• Quadratic objective functional $\mathcal{J}: V \times \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{J}(u,\mu) = \Theta(\mu) + j_{\mu}(u) + k_{\mu}(u,u)$$

PDE-Constrained Optimization

Find the minimizer

$$\bar{\mu} \! := \operatorname{argmin}_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} \hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu) \! := \mathcal{J}(u_{\mu}, \mu), \qquad \text{(P.a)}$$

subject to $u_u \in V$ being the primal state solution of

$$a_{II}(u_{II}, v) = l_{II}(v) \quad \forall v \in V,$$
 (P.b)

Challenges for optimization:

- efficient evaluation of $\hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu)$, gradient $\nabla \hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu)$ or even Hessian $\mathcal{H}\hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu)$.
- \Rightarrow Efficient evaluation of the primal state equation \blacktriangleright high dimensional parameter space \mathcal{P} .
- multipools (large pools context (large) action)
- multiscale/large scale context (localization).

Efficient optimization method using (localized) MOR techniques.







Trust region methods

▶ Some optimization methods: Gradient descent, Projected Newton Method, BFGS.

Trust region

Approximate

$$\bar{\mu} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} \hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu)$$

by solving optimization subproblems

$$\mu_{k+1} \colon= \mu_k + s_k,$$

$$\min_{s\in\mathcal{P}}m_k(s)$$
,

$$s.t.\|s\|_{\mathcal{P}} \leq \delta_k,$$

starting with some m_0 , δ_0 .

Requirements:

- ▶ a model function $m_k \approx \hat{\mathcal{J}}$, smooth and $\|\nabla m_k\| < \infty$.
- bound on $|m_k(\mu) \hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu)|$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$.

How to choose m_k ?

first idea:

$$m_k(s) := \hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu_k + s) \tag{3}$$







Trust region methods

▶ Some optimization methods: Gradient descent, Projected Newton Method, BFGS.

Trust region

Approximate

$$\bar{\mu} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} \hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu)$$

by solving optimization subproblems

$$\mu_{k+1} \colon= \mu_k + s_k,$$

$$\min_{s\in\mathcal{P}}m_k(s)$$
,

$$s.t.\|s\|_{\mathcal{P}} \leq \delta_k$$

starting with some m_0 , δ_0 .

Requirements:

- ▶ a model function $m_k \approx \hat{\mathcal{J}}$, smooth and $\|\nabla m_k\| < \infty$.
- bound on $|m_k(\mu) \hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu)|$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$.

How to choose m_k ?

first idea:

$$m_k(s) := \widehat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu_k + s) + \nabla \widehat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu_k + s)\mu_k + \dots$$
 (3)







First-optimize-then-discretize

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{primal state} & a_{\mu}(\textbf{u}_{\mu}, \textbf{v}) = l_{\mu}(\textbf{v}) \\ \\ \text{primal residual} & r_{\mu}^{\text{pr}}(u_{\mu})[\textbf{v}] = l_{\mu}(\textbf{v}) - a_{\mu}(u_{\mu}, \textbf{v}) \\ \\ \text{primal sensitivity} & a_{\mu}(\textbf{d}_{\mu i}\textbf{u}_{\mu}, \textbf{v}) = \partial_{\mu}r_{\mu}^{\text{pr}}(u_{\mu})[\textbf{v}] \cdot \textbf{e}_{i} \\ \\ \text{dual state} & a_{\mu}(\textbf{q}, \textbf{p}_{\mu}) = \partial_{u}\mathcal{J}(u_{\mu}, \mu)[\textbf{q}] = j_{\mu}(\textbf{q}) + 2k_{\mu}(\textbf{q}, u_{\mu}) \\ \\ \text{dual residual} & r_{\mu}^{\text{du}}(u_{\mu}, p_{\mu})[\textbf{q}] = j_{\mu}(\textbf{q}) + 2k_{\mu}(\textbf{q}, u_{\mu}) - a_{\mu}(\textbf{q}, p_{\mu}) \\ \\ \text{dual sensitivity} & a_{\mu}(\textbf{q}, \textbf{d}_{\mu i}p_{\mu}) = \partial_{\mu}r_{\mu}^{\text{du}}(u_{\mu}, p_{\mu})[\textbf{q}] \cdot \textbf{e}_{i} + 2k_{\mu}(\textbf{q}, d_{\mu i}u_{\mu}) \\ \\ \text{reduced gradient} & \nabla_{\mu}\hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu) = \nabla_{\mu}\mathcal{L}(u_{\mu}, \mu, p_{\mu}) \quad (\mathcal{L} \text{ from Lagrangian ansatz (all-at-once)}) \\ \\ \text{reduced Hessian} & (\mathcal{H}_{\mu}\hat{\mathcal{J}}(\mu))_{i,l} = d_{\mu_{l}}(\nabla_{\mu}\mathcal{L}(u_{\mu}, \mu, p_{\mu}))_{i} \end{array}$$

Aim: Use MOR for the model function, e.g.

$$m_k(s) := \hat{\mathcal{J}}_N^k(\mu_k + s).$$







Model Order Reduction with Reduced Basis Methods

Discretization

- computational grid τ_h , approximate space $V_h \approx V$ (e.g. CG FE)
- ▶ approximations $j_{h,\mu}$, $k_{h,\mu}$, $l_{h,\mu}$, $a_{h,\mu}$, \mathcal{J}_h and $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_h$
- ▶ negligible discretization error (e.g. $||u_{\mu} u_{h,\mu}||$).

Model Order Reduction

- problem adapted primal and dual RB spaces V_N^{pr} , $V_N^{\text{du}} \subset V_h$.
- ▶ problem adapted sensitivity RB spaces $V_N^{\text{pr},d_{\mu_i}}, V_N^{\text{du},d_{\mu_i}} \subset V_h$, for all i.
- ► Galerkin projections of $j_{h,\mu}$, $k_{h,\mu}$, $l_{h,\mu}$, $a_{h,\mu}$ onto $V_N^{\text{pr/du}}$
- ▶ RB reduced solutions $u_{N,u}$, $p_{N,u}$ and $d_{u_i}u_{N,u}$ and $d_{u_i}p_{N,u}$.
- ▶ RB reduced cost functional $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_N(\mu) = \mathcal{J}(u_{N,\mu}, \mu)$ and Hessian $\mathcal{H}\hat{\mathcal{J}}_N(\mu)$.

Challenge: Offline-Online decomposition for e.g. $\nabla \hat{\mathcal{J}}_N(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{H}\hat{\mathcal{J}}_N(\mu)$)





Reduced quantities

Note: $p_{N,\mu}$ and $d_{\mu_i}u_{N,\mu}$ and $d_{\mu_i}p_{N,\mu}$ are no Galerkin projections.

reduced primal state

$$a_{\mu}(\mathbf{u}_{N,\mu}, \mathbf{v}) = l_{\mu}(\mathbf{v})$$

reduced dual state

$$a_{\mu}(q, \textcolor{red}{p_{N,\mu}}) = \partial_{u} \mathcal{J}(u_{N,\mu}, \mu)[q]$$

reduced primal sensitivities

$$a_{\mu}(\mathbf{d}_{\mu_{i}}\mathbf{u}_{N,\mu},\mathbf{v}) = \partial_{\mu}r_{\mu}^{\mathrm{pr}}(\mathbf{u}_{N,\mu})[\mathbf{v}] \cdot e_{i}$$

reduced dual sensitivities

$$a_{\mu}(q, \mathbf{d}_{\mu_i} p_{N,\mu}) = \partial_{\mu} r_{\mu}^{\mathrm{du}}(u_{N,\mu}, p_{N,\mu})[q] \cdot e_i + 2k_{\mu}(q, d_{\mu_i} u_{N,\mu})$$







A posteriori error estimation

$$\begin{split} \|u_{h,\mu} - u_{N,\mu}\|_h & \leq \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) & \approx \|r_{h,\mu}^{\mathrm{pr}}(u_{N,\mu})\|_h \\ \|p_{h,\mu} - p_{N,\mu}\|_h & \leq \Delta_{\mathrm{du}}(\mu) & \approx \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) + \|r_{h,\mu}^{\mathrm{du}}(u_{N,\mu}, p_{N,\mu})\|_h \\ \|\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_h(\mu) - \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_N(\mu)\| & \leq \Delta_{\widehat{\mathcal{J}}}(\mu) & \approx \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) \left(\|u_{N,\mu}\|_h + \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) \right) \\ \|(\nabla_{\mu}\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_h(\mu) - \nabla_{\mu}\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_N(\mu))_i\| & \leq \Delta_{\nabla_{\mu}\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_i}(\mu) & \approx \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) \|u_{N,\mu}\|_h + \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) \|p_{N,\mu}\|_h + \Delta_{\mathrm{du}}(\mu) \|u_{N,\mu}\|_h \\ & + \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) \Delta_{\mathrm{du}}(\mu) + \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}^2(\mu) \\ \|d_{\mu_i}u_{h,\mu} - d_{\mu_i}u_{N,\mu}\|_h & \leq \Delta_{d_{\mu_i}\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) & \approx \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) + \|r_{h,\mu}^{\mathrm{pr},d_{\mu_i}}(u_{N,\mu}, d_{\mu_i}u_{N,\mu}) \|_h \\ \|d_{\mu_i}p_{h,\mu} - d_{\mu_i}p_{N,\mu}\| & \leq \Delta_{d_{\mu_i}\mathrm{du}}(\mu) & \approx \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) + \|r_{h,\mu}^{\mathrm{pr},d_{\mu_i}}(u_{N,\mu}, d_{\mu_i}u_{N,\mu}, d_{\mu_i}\mu_{N,\mu}, d_{\mu_i}p_{N,\mu}) \|_h \\ \|(\mathcal{H}_{\mu}\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_h(\mu) - \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_N(\mu))_{i,l}\| & \leq \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}(\mu) & \approx \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) + \Delta_{d_{\mu_i}\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) + \Delta_{d_{\mu_i}\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) + \Delta_{\mathrm{du}}(\mu) \\ & + \Delta_{d_{\mu_i}\mathrm{du}}(\mu) + \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu)\Delta_{\mathrm{du}}(\mu) + \Delta_{d_{\mu_i}\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) + \Delta_{d_{\mu_i}\mathrm{pr}}(\mu)\Delta_{\mathrm{pr}}(\mu) + \Delta_{d_{\mu_i}\mathrm{pr}}(\mu)\Delta_{\mathrm{du}}(\mu) \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$





Further details and future work

How to construct RB spaces?

- Add every parameter that is picked in the optimization algorithm.
- construct a space around a starting parameter ("global greedy" vs. "greedy in a box")
- Use only two unique bases for primal and dual RB spaces.
- Use all estimators for the greedy algorithm.

Localization:

- Replace discretization by localized discretization (e.g. DG FEM).
- No global FEM solves required.
- Localized error estimations.
- Adaptive online enrichment (p-like refinement).





live demo