

2018 WL 3496422

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.
United States District Court, N.D. Texas, Dallas Division.

NERIUM SKINCARE, INC., on behalf
of itself and in a derivative capacity
for Nerium International, LLC, and
Nerium Biotechnology, Inc., Plaintiffs,
v.
NERIUM INTERNATIONAL, LLC, Jeff A.
Olson, and Jo Products, LLC, Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:16-CV-1217-B

Signed 07/20/2018

Attorneys and Law Firms

Jonathan R. Mureen, Andrew D. Graham, Brian Matthew Gillett, D. Patrick Long, Michael S. Forshey, Alexander J. Toney, Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP, Baxter W. Banowsky, Scott D. Levine, Banowsky & Levine PC, Stephanie Noelle Sivinski, Haynes and Boone LLP, Dallas, TX, Jason Wayne Whitney, William B. Nash, Haynes and Boone LLP, San Antonio, TX, Martin Max Ellison, Haynes and Boone LLP, Costa Mesa, CA, for Plaintiffs.

Monica Wiseman Latin, Kenneth Edwin Carroll, Parker Lee Graham, Carrington Coleman Sloman & Blumenthal LLP, Christopher W. Patton, Christopher J. Schwegmann, Jared D. Eisenberg, Lynn Pinker Cox & Hurst LLP, Paul V. Storm, Robert J. Ward, Foley & Lardner LLP, Sarah M. Paxson, Gardere Wynne & Sewell LLP, Mark E. Turk, Lynn Tillotson Pinker & Cox LLP, Dallas, TX, for Defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

JANE J. BOYLE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

*1 On June 28, 2017, the Court appointed, pursuant to [Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53](#), retired United States Magistrate Judge Jeff Kaplan as the Special Master in this case. Doc. 281, Order Appointing Special Master. On July 3, 2018, Judge Kaplan issued a report and recommendation

on the parties' motions to exclude the **testimony** of certain **expert witnesses**. Doc. 521, Notice of R&R.

Having reviewed Judge Kaplan's Report and Recommendation, the parties' objections to the report, and responses to those objections, the Court **ADOPTS** Judge Kaplan's report **except as follows**.

First, the plaintiffs moved to exclude Lawrence Ranallo's testimony. They argued that the Court should exclude Ranallo's testimony in part because Ranallo did not disclose that Price Waterhouse Coopers (PWC) employees helped him to prepare his expert report. But the plaintiffs did not make this argument until their reply in support of their motion to exclude. Because the plaintiffs raised the argument only in their reply, Judge Kaplan declined to rule on it. Now, the plaintiffs say they raised the argument as soon as they could, and they contend that Judge Kaplan should have considered their argument or that the argument is properly before the Court anyways. The Court declines at this time to decide whether to exclude Ranallo's testimony based on his alleged failure to disclose PWC's work on his expert report but will rule on the admissibility of Ranallo's testimony at the pretrial conference. The Court **directs the defendants to supplement** their response to the plaintiffs' objection to Judge Kaplan's report and recommendation with briefing responding to the plaintiffs' argument that the Court should exclude Ranallo's testimony because of his failure to disclose the work that PWC performed on his report. **The defendants should file this briefing by 5:00pm on Wednesday, July 25.**

Second, the defendants moved to exclude the testimony of Lori Jones, Herjit Pannu, and Robert Newman. Judge Kaplan recommended that the Court deny the defendants' motion but ordered the plaintiffs to supplement their **disclosures** of these **experts'** opinions and allowed the defendants to depose Lori Jones again. Even after the supplemental disclosures and deposition, the defendants maintain that the Court should prevent Jones, Pannu, and Newman from offering expert opinions at trial. The Court continues to consider the briefing on this argument, and though the Court declines to adopt Judge Kaplan's report and recommendation on Jones, Pannu, and Newman at this time, the Court will decide whether to exclude these witnesses' testimony at the pretrial conference.

Aside from those two exceptions: the court adopts in full Judge Kaplan's report and recommendation. The Court denies the motions to exclude the testimony of:

1. Erica Bramer

2. Clifford Wright

SO ORDERED.

3. Todd Burchett

All Citations

4. Trevor Scofield

Not Reported in Fed. Supp., 2018 WL 3496422

End of Document

© 2025 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S.
Government Works.