## Algebras associated to set-theoretical solutions of YBE

## 1 Generalities

Given  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  we set  $[n, m] = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \le k \le m\}$ , and if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we will also write [n] = [1, n]. We work over  $\mathbb{C}$ , so all vector spaces, tensor products, etc. are over this field.

We denote by ISyb the category of all non-degenerate and involutive set theoretical solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation, and by YB the category of all finite dimensional solutions over the complex numbers.

**1.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given  $i, j \in [n]$  we denote by  $e_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$  the i-th element of the canonical basis, and by  $E_j^i \in \mathsf{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$  the matrix having a 1 as its (i,j)-th entry, all other entries equal to zero. We identify  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\mathsf{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$  through the linear correspondence  $e_i \otimes e_j \mapsto E_j^i$ .

Define the map  $i: \operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}))$  where for each  $A, B, C \in \operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$  we have  $i(A \otimes B)(C) = AC^tB$ . If  $F \in \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}))$  and  $F(E_j^i) = \sum_{k,l} \alpha_{i,k}^{j,l} E_l^k$  then setting  $\overline{F} = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha_{i,k}^{j,l} E_j^k \otimes E_l^i$  we obtain  $i(\overline{F}) = F$ . Thus i is an epimorphism, and since the dimensions of domain and codomain are the same it is an isomorphism, with inverse  $F \mapsto \overline{F}$ .

Denote by  $\tau \in \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}))$  the transposition map. Then we have

$$\overline{F \circ \tau} = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha_{j,k}^{i,l} E_j^k \otimes E_l^i = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha_{i,k}^{j,l} E_i^k \otimes E_l^j;$$

$$\overline{\tau \circ F} = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha_{i,k}^{j,l} E_j^l \otimes E_k^i = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha_{i,l}^{j,k} E_j^k \otimes E_l^i.$$

Denote by  $\langle -, - \rangle$  the only inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\{e_i \otimes e_j \mid i, j \in [n]\}$  forms an orthogonal basis. This is the pullback of the usual inner product on  $\mathsf{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$  for which the basis  $\{E_j^i \mid i, j \in [n]\}$  is an orthogonal basis. We denote by  $F^{t_1}$  the only map such that  $\langle F^{t_1}(E_j^k), E_l^i \rangle = \langle F(E_j^i), E_l^k \rangle$ . In other words  $F^{t_1}(E_j^k) = \sum_{i,l} \alpha_{i,k}^{j,l} E_l^i$ . We define  $F^{t_2}$  analogously, so  $F^{t_2}(E_l^i) = \sum_{k,j} \alpha_{i,k}^{j,l} E_j^k$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{split} \overline{F^{t_1}} &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha_{k,i}^{j,l} E_j^k \otimes E_l^i = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha_{i,k}^{j,l} E_j^i \otimes E_l^k \\ \overline{F^{t_2}} &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha_{i,k}^{l,j} E_j^k \otimes E_l^i = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha_{i,k}^{j,l} E_i^k \otimes E_j^i. \end{split}$$

**1.2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let ([n], S) be an symmetric set, and for each  $i, j \in [n]$  put  $S(i, j) = (g_i(j), f_j(i))$ . Then S induces a classical solution to the YBE by setting  $S(e_i \otimes e_j) = e_{g_i(j)} \otimes e_{f_i(i)}$ . Using the notation from the previous paragraph

$$\overline{S} = \sum_{i,j} E_j^{g_i(j)} \otimes E_{f_j(i)}^i; \qquad \overline{\tau \circ S} = \sum_{i,j} E_j^{f_j(i)} \otimes E_{g_i(j)}^i;$$

$$\overline{S^{t_1}} = \sum_{i,j} E_j^i \otimes E_{f_j(i)}^{g_i(j)}; \qquad \overline{S^{t_2}} = \sum_{i,j} E_{f_j(i)}^{g_i(j)} \otimes E_j^i.$$

**1.3.** In [ESS99, §3.2] Etingof, Schedler and Soloviev introduced the *retraction* of an involutive solution (X, r). Writing  $i \equiv j$  if and only if  $g_i = g_j$  for  $i, j \in X$ , they show that  $i \equiv j$  also implies that  $f_i = f_j$ . Setting  $Y = X / \equiv$  and writing [i] for the class of i in Y, they also show that  $s([i], [j]) = ([g_i(j)], [f_j(i)])$  is an involutive solution with underlying set Y.

## References

[ESS99] P. Etingof, T. Schedler, and A. Soloviev, *Set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), no. 2, 169–209.