



Communications in Algebra

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lagb20>

Twisted hopf comodule algebras

M. Beattie^a, C-Y Chen^b & J. J. Zhang^c

^a Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Mount Allison University
Sackville, New Brunswick, E0A 3C0, Canada

^b Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai, 200234, China

^c Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Box 354350, Seattle, WA,
98195, U.S.A

Published online: 27 Jun 2007.

To cite this article: M. Beattie, C-Y Chen & J. J. Zhang (1996) Twisted hopf comodule algebras, Communications in Algebra, 24:5, 1759-1775, DOI: [10.1080/00927879608825669](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879608825669)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00927879608825669>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

TWISTED HOPF COMODULE ALGEBRAS

M. Beattie

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Mount Allison University
Sackville, New Brunswick, Canada, E0A 3C0

C-Y Chen

Department of Mathematics
Shanghai Normal University
Shanghai, 200234, China

J. J. Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Box 354350
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195 U.S.A

Abstract

For k a commutative ring, H a k -bialgebra and A a right H -comodule k -algebra, we define a new multiplication on the H -comodule A to obtain a “twisted algebra” A^τ , $\tau \in \text{Hom}(H, \text{End}(A))$. If τ is convolution invertible, the categories of relative right Hopf modules over A and A^τ are isomorphic. Similarly a convolution invertible left twisting gives an isomorphism of the categories of relative left Hopf modules. We show that crossed products are invertible twistings of the tensor product, and obtain, as a corollary, a duality theorem for crossed products.

§0. Introduction

Let k be a commutative ring, H a k -bialgebra and A a right H -comodule k -algebra with comodule structure map $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$. For τ an element of the convolution algebra $\text{Hom}(H, \text{End}(A))$, we “twist” the multiplication on A by τ to obtain A^τ , and similarly we define M^τ for $M \in \mathcal{M}_A^H$, the category of relative right Hopf modules. We give necessary and sufficient conditions on τ for A^τ to be an H -comodule algebra and for F_τ , defined

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 16W30.

Key words and phrases. Hopf algebra, convolution algebra, relative Hopf module.

by $F_\tau(M) = M^\tau$, $F_\tau(f) = f$, to be a functor from \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$. In this case we call τ a twisting from \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$, and A^τ a twisted algebra of A or a twisting of A . If τ is convolution invertible, then $F_\tau : \mathcal{M}_A^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$ is a category isomorphism.

In the second section, we consider categories of left Hopf modules ${}_A\mathcal{M}^H$, define a left hand version of twistings, and prove that if τ is a convolution invertible twisting of \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$ and H is a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode then ${}_A\mathcal{M}^H$ and ${}_{A^\tau}\mathcal{M}^H$ are also isomorphic.

In the last section we prove that crossed products $B \#_\sigma H$ (with invertible cocycle σ) are precisely the (invertible) twistings of $B \otimes H$, thus obtaining a new description of cleft extensions. Furthermore, we show that if A^τ is an invertible twisting of A , then, for k a field, if $H^{*rat} \neq 0$, $A \# H^{*rat} \cong A^\tau \# H^{*rat}$ as algebras. Combining these results, we obtain the duality statement: If k is a field, H a Hopf algebra with $H^{*rat} \neq 0$, then for any crossed product $B \#_\sigma H$ with invertible cocycle, $(B \#_\sigma H) \# H^{*rat} \cong B \otimes (H \# H^{*rat})$.

§1. Twistings of the category of right (H, A) Hopf modules.

Unless indicated otherwise, all maps are k -linear, \otimes means \otimes_k , etc. Throughout, H will be a bialgebra with comultiplication Δ and counit ϵ . The definitions of H -comodule algebra, H -module algebra, and any other basic notation and definitions can be found in [M] or [S]. We use Sweedler's summation notation throughout. If H is a Hopf algebra, S will denote the antipode, and if S is bijective, \bar{S} will denote its composition inverse. Throughout, ρ is reserved for comodule structure maps and these are written ρ_M , ρ_A , etc., or just ρ if the comodule is clear from the context. If M is a right (left) H -comodule we write $\sum m_0 \otimes m_1$ ($\sum m_{-1} \otimes m_0$) for $\rho(m)$. For a right H -comodule M , $M^{coH} = \{m \in M : \rho(m) = m \otimes 1\}$ denotes the coinvariants of M .

For H a bialgebra, H^{op} will denote the bialgebra H with opposite algebra structure. If H is a Hopf algebra and S is bijective, then H^{op} is also a Hopf algebra but with the antipode \bar{S} . If H is finite (i.e. finitely generated projective over k) or if H is commutative or cocommutative, then S is bijective.

If (A, ρ_A) is a right H -comodule algebra, then A^{op} will denote the usual opposite algebra; A^{op} is a right H^{op} -comodule algebra. We denote by \mathcal{M}_A^H the category of relative right Hopf modules, i.e. the category of right A -modules, right H -comodules M such that for $m \in M$, $a \in A$,

$$\rho_M(ma) = \sum (ma)_0 \otimes (ma)_1 = \sum m_0 a_0 \otimes m_1 a_1 = \rho_M(m) \rho_A(a),$$

with morphisms being A -module H -comodule maps. Similarly ${}_A\mathcal{M}^H$ denotes the category

of left A -modules, right H -comodules M such that $\rho_M(am) = \rho_A(a)\rho_M(m)$ for all $a \in A$, $m \in M$.

For (A, ρ_A) a right H -comodule algebra, let τ be an element of the convolution algebra $\text{Hom}(H, \text{End}(A))$. We will assume throughout that τ satisfies the normality conditions:

$$\tau(1) = \text{id}_A \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(h)(1) = \epsilon(h) \quad (\text{N})$$

for all $h \in H$. Note that if τ satisfies (N) and τ has convolution inverse λ , then λ satisfies (N) also.

For all $h \in H$ and $a \in A$, we denote $\tau(h)(a) \in A$ by $h \cdot_\tau a$. We define a new (possibly nonassociative) multiplication $*_\tau$ on A by

$$a *_\tau b = \sum a_0(a_1 \cdot_\tau b)$$

where the multiplication on the right hand side is the usual multiplication on A . We denote this algebra $(A, *_\tau)$ by A^τ . The condition (N) ensures that A^τ has the same multiplicative identity as A . Given $M \in \mathcal{M}_A^H$, we define a map $M \otimes A^\tau \rightarrow M$ by

$$m \otimes a \mapsto m *_\tau a = \sum m_0(m_1 \cdot_\tau a)$$

where the right hand side is the original A -module action on M . Note that by (N), $m *_\tau 1 = m$ for all $m \in M$. Let M^τ denote the H -comodule M together with this map. We will often omit τ and write $*$ and \cdot instead of $*_\tau$ and \cdot_τ respectively.

THEOREM 1.1. (i) Let τ , A and M^τ be as above. Then for all $M \in \mathcal{M}_A^H$, M^τ lies in \mathcal{M}_A^H if and only if for all $a, b \in A$, $h \in H$,

$$\sum (1 \otimes h_1)\rho(h_2 \cdot a) = \sum (h_2 \cdot a)_0 \otimes h_1(h_2 \cdot a)_1 = \sum h_1 \cdot a_0 \otimes h_2 a_1, \text{ and} \quad (1.1.1)$$

$$h \cdot_\tau (a *_\tau b) = h \cdot \left\{ \sum a_0(a_1 \cdot b) \right\} = \sum (h_1 \cdot a_0)((h_2 a_1) \cdot b). \quad (1.1.2)$$

In particular, if (1.1.1) and (1.1.2) hold, then A^τ is a right H -comodule algebra.

(ii) If (1.1.1) and (1.1.2) hold, then we can define a functor F_τ from \mathcal{M}_A^H to \mathcal{M}_A^H by $F_\tau(M) = M^\tau$ for all $M \in \mathcal{M}_A^H$ and $F_\tau(f) = f$ for all morphisms f in \mathcal{M}_A^H .

(iii) If τ as above has convolution inverse λ , then λ satisfies (1.1.1) and (1.1.2) for A^τ and $(A^\tau)^\lambda = A$. In this case the functor $F_\tau : \mathcal{M}_A^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A^H$ is a category isomorphism with inverse $F_\lambda : \mathcal{M}_A^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A^H$.

PROOF. First suppose τ satisfies (1.1.1) and (1.1.2). Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_A^H$. For $m \in M$, $a, b \in A$,

$$(m * a) * b = \sum [m_0(m_1 \cdot a)] * b \quad \text{by the definition of } *$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum m_0(m_2 \cdot a)_0 \{[m_1(m_2 \cdot a)_1] \cdot b\} \quad \text{since } M \in \mathcal{M}_A^H \\
&= \sum m_0(m_1 \cdot a_0) [(m_2 a_1) \cdot b] \quad \text{by (1.1.1)} \\
&= \sum m_0 \{m_1 \cdot (a * b)\} \quad \text{by (1.1.2)} \\
&= m * (a * b),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus M^τ is a right A^τ -module. To see that $M^\tau \in \mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$, note that for $m \in M$, $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum (m * a)_0 \otimes (m * a)_1 &= \sum (m_0(m_1 \cdot a))_0 \otimes (m_0(m_1 \cdot a))_1 \\
&= \sum m_0(m_2 \cdot a)_0 \otimes m_1(m_2 \cdot a)_1 \quad \text{since } M \in \mathcal{M}_A^H \\
&= \sum m_0(m_1 \cdot a_0) \otimes m_2 a_1 \quad \text{by (1.1.1)} \\
&= \sum m_0 * a_0 \otimes m_1 a_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely suppose that for all $M \in \mathcal{M}_A^H$, $M^\tau \in \mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$. We show that then (1.1.1) and (1.1.2) hold. Let $M = A \otimes H$ with $\rho_M = id_A \otimes \Delta$ and right A -module structure given by

$$(b \otimes h)a = \sum ba_0 \otimes ha_1.$$

Then M^τ is a right A^τ -module by

$$(b \otimes h) * a = \sum (b \otimes h_1)(\tau(h_2)(a)) = \sum b(h_2 \cdot a)_0 \otimes h_1(h_2 \cdot a)_1.$$

Note that $(id_A \otimes \epsilon)[(b \otimes h) * a] = b(h \cdot a)$. If $b = 1$, we obtain (1.1.1) by observing that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum (h_2 \cdot a)_0 \otimes h_1(h_2 \cdot a)_1 &= (id_A \otimes \epsilon \otimes id_H)(id_A \otimes \Delta)((1 \otimes h) * a) \\
&= (id_A \otimes \epsilon \otimes id_H)\left(\sum (1 \otimes h_1) * a_0 \otimes h_2 a_1\right) \\
&= \sum h_1 \cdot a_0 \otimes h_2 a_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, to verify (1.1.2), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
h \cdot (a * b) &= (id_A \otimes \epsilon)[(1 \otimes h) * (a * b)] \\
&= (id_A \otimes \epsilon)[((1 \otimes h) * a) * b] \\
&= (id_A \otimes \epsilon)\left[\sum (h_1 \cdot a_0 \otimes h_2 a_1) * b\right] \\
&= (id_A \otimes \epsilon)\left[\sum (h_1 \cdot a_0 \otimes h_2 a_1)(h_3 a_2 \cdot b)\right] \\
&= \sum (h_1 \cdot a_0)(h_2 a_1 \cdot b),
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof of (i) is complete.

Next let $f : M \longrightarrow N$ be a morphism in \mathcal{M}_A^H . To see that F_τ is a functor from \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$, it suffices to check that f is also a morphism in $\mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$. For $m \in M^\tau$ and $a \in A^\tau$, using the fact that f is an H -comodule A -module map, we have

$$f(m \ast a) = f(\sum m_0(m_1 \cdot a)) = \sum f(m_0)(m_1 \cdot a) = \sum f(m)_0(f(m)_1 \cdot a) = f(m) \ast a.$$

Hence f is an A^τ -module homomorphism and (ii) is proved.

Now suppose τ has convolution inverse λ . We already noted that λ satisfies (N). First we show that if (1.1.1) holds for τ , A , it holds for λ , A^τ . For $h \in H$, $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum h_1 \cdot_\lambda a_0 \otimes h_2 a_1 &= \sum h_1 \cdot_\lambda (\tau(h_3)\lambda(h_4)a)_0 \otimes h_2(\tau(h_3)\lambda(h_4)a)_1 \\ &= \sum (\lambda(h_1)\tau(h_2)(\lambda(h_4)a)_0 \otimes h_3(\lambda(h_4)a)_1) \quad \text{by (1.1.1) for } \tau \\ &= \sum (\lambda(h_2)a)_0 \otimes h_1(\lambda(h_2)a)_1 \\ &= \sum (1 \otimes h_1)\rho(h_2 \cdot_\lambda a), \end{aligned}$$

so (1.1.1) holds for λ . The right-hand side of (1.1.2) for λ and A^τ is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (h_1 \cdot_\lambda a_0) \ast_\tau ((h_2 a_1) \cdot_\lambda b) &= \sum \lambda(h_1)\tau(h_2)\{\lambda(h_3)(a_0) \ast_\tau \lambda(h_4 a_1)(b)\} \\ &= \sum \lambda(h_1)\{\tau(h_2)[\lambda(h_4)(a_0)]_0 \tau(h_3(\lambda(h_4)(a_0))_1)\lambda(h_5 a_1)(b)\} \quad \text{by (1.1.2) for } \tau, A \\ &= \sum \lambda(h_1)\{(\tau(h_2)\lambda(h_3)(a_0))(\tau(h_4 a_1)\lambda(h_5 a_2)(b))\} \quad \text{by (1.1.1) for } \lambda \\ &= \lambda(h)(ab). \end{aligned}$$

But the left-hand side of (1.1.2) for λ is $h \cdot_\lambda (\sum a_0 \ast_\tau (a_1 \cdot_\lambda b)) = h \cdot_\lambda (ab)$ and thus (1.1.2) holds. It is straightforward to show that for $M \in \mathcal{M}_A^H$, $F_\lambda(M^\tau) = M$ and for $N \in \mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$, $F_\tau(N^\lambda) = N$. Therefore F_λ is the inverse of F_τ and $(A^\tau)^\lambda = A$. ■

DEFINITION 1.2. For τ , A satisfying (1.1.1) and (1.1.2) as above, we call τ a *twisting* of \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$, and A^τ a *twisted algebra* of A or a *twisting* of A . If τ is convolution invertible, then we call the twisting invertible.

REMARK 1.3. If we do not assume the normality conditions (N), the algebra A^τ may not have a multiplicative identity, and even if A^τ does have an identity, it may not equal the identity of A . For example, let A be a right H -comodule algebra and let $u \in A^{coH}$, i.e. $\rho(u) = u \otimes 1$. Then we define $\tau \in \text{Hom}(H, \text{End}(A))$ by $\tau(h)(a) = \epsilon(h)ua$ for all $h \in H$, $a \in A$. It is easy to check that τ is a twisting of \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$, and that the multiplication of

A^τ is defined by $a * b = aub$. If u is not invertible in A , A^τ has no multiplicative identity. If u is invertible, then u^{-1} is the identity of A^τ , and the convolution inverse λ of τ is defined by $\lambda(h) : a \mapsto \epsilon(h)u^{-1}a$. The map $a \mapsto au^{-1}$ is an algebra isomorphism from A to A^τ .

If τ is an invertible twisting of \mathcal{M}_A^H not satisfying (N) but A^τ has a multiplicative identity, then it can be shown that there is a $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(H, \text{End}(A))$ satisfying (N) such that σ is an invertible twisting of \mathcal{M}_A^H , and $A^\tau \cong A^\sigma$. ■

REMARK 1.4. (i) If H is a Hopf algebra, then (1.1.1) becomes

$$\rho(h \cdot a) = \sum h_2 \cdot a_0 \otimes S(h_1)h_3a_1. \quad (1.4.1)$$

Also if $\tau : H \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ is a ring homomorphism, i.e. τ induces an H -module structure on A , then τ has convolution inverse $\tau \cdot S$.

(ii) For H a bialgebra, if A is a left H -module algebra via τ , then (1.1.2) clearly holds. Conversely if A is a left H -module via τ , τ is convolution invertible, and (1.1.2) holds, A is an H -module algebra. For if τ has convolution inverse λ , then

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot (ab) &= h \cdot \left[\sum a_0(\tau(a_1)\lambda(a_2)(b)) \right] \\ &= h \cdot \left[\sum a_0 *_{\tau} \lambda(a_1)(b) \right] \\ &= \sum (h_1 \cdot a_0)(\tau(h_2a_1)\lambda(a_2)(b)) \quad \text{by (1.1.2)} \\ &= \sum (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot b) \quad \text{since } \tau \text{ is a ring homomorphism.} \end{aligned}$$

■

The motivation for the definition of twistings above was the work of the third author [Z] for H a group or semigroup algebra, in particular for $H = k\mathbb{Z}$. Of course, then H is cocommutative, so that computations are less technical. Note that Definition 1.2 gives a more general definition of twisting than that in [Z].

EXAMPLE 1.5. Note that if H is a cocommutative Hopf algebra, then (1.4.1) is the requirement that τ be a comodule map. However if H is only a bialgebra, then Definition 1.2 is more general than the definition of twistings in [Z] where the maps τ are required to be comodule maps. If G is a noncancellative semigroup and $H = kG$, the two definitions may differ.

For example, let S be the two element semigroup $\{1, f\}$ where 1 is the identity and $f^2 = f$. Let $R = k[x]$, the polynomial ring, and I the ideal generated by $x - x^2$. Let $A = R/I$, and let \bar{x} be the image of x in A . Then A is S -graded by $A_1 = k$ and $A_f = k\bar{x}$.

Now define $\tau \in \text{Hom}(kS, \text{End}(A))$ by $\tau(1) = \text{id}_A$, $\tau(f)(k + l\overline{x}) = l + k$. Clearly $\tau(f)$ is not a kS -comodule map. However (1.1.1) and (1.1.2) hold. The verification for $h = 1$ is clear. If $h = f$, then for all $a \in A$, (1.1.1) holds since

$$(\tau(f)(a))_1 \otimes f + (\tau(f)(a))_f \otimes f = \tau(f)(a) \otimes f = \tau(f)(a_1) \otimes f + \tau(f)(a_f) \otimes f.$$

Also, note that A is a left kS -module algebra and thus (1.1.2) holds also. ■

REMARK 1.6. Condition (1.4.1) certainly reminds us of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules [RT, §2], and if H is commutative and τ induces a module structure, then τ is a twisting if and only if (A, τ, ρ) is a left-right Yetter Drinfel'd structure.

If we do not assume H commutative, then “Yetter-Drinfel'd-like” conditions give functors from \mathcal{M}_A^H to a category of relative Hopf modules over H^{op} . Let A, τ be as above. Then for all M in \mathcal{M}_A^H , M^τ lies in $\mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^{H^{\text{op}}}$ if and only if for all $h \in H$, $a, b \in A$,

$$\sum (1 \otimes h_1) \rho(h_2 \cdot a) = \sum h_1 \cdot a_0 \otimes a_1 h_2, \tag{1.6.1}$$

$$h \cdot \{ \sum a_0(a_1 \cdot b) \} = \sum (h_1 \cdot a_0)((a_1 h_2) \cdot b). \tag{1.6.2}$$

If H is a Hopf algebra, (1.6.1) is equivalent to

$$\rho(h \cdot a) = \sum h_2 \cdot a_0 \otimes S(h_1) a_1 h_3.$$

The proof follows as in Theorem 1.1 (i) and the analogous statements to 1.1 (ii), (iii) follow too. Thus if τ induces a right H -module algebra structure on A then (1.6.1) holds if and only if (A, τ, ρ_A) is a right-right Yetter-Drinfel'd structure. ■

EXAMPLE 1.7. (i) A trivial twisting is given by $\tau = \epsilon$, so that $h \cdot a = \epsilon(h)a$ for all $a \in A$. Then $A^\tau = A^\epsilon = A$ and $F_\epsilon : \mathcal{M}_A^H \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_A^H$ is the identity.

(ii) If A is an H -dimodule algebra as in Long [L], H commutative and cocommutative, then the H -action on A is an invertible twisting. Then the H -opposite algebra \overline{A} , defined by $\overline{ab} = \overline{(a_1 \cdot b)(a_0)}$ is just $(A^{\text{op}})^\tau$. The generalization of H -dimodule algebra for H not necessarily commutative or cocommutative are the QYB (quantum Yang-Baxter) H -module algebras of [CVOZ].

(iii) We give a simple example of a twisting τ which is a module action but where A is not an H -dimodule algebra. Let G be a finite (not necessarily abelian) group, $H = (kG)^* = \text{Hom}(kG, k)$ with basis $p_g, p_g(h) = \delta_{g,h}$, and A a right H -comodule algebra. Then A is a left kG -module algebra and for $a \in A$, $\rho(a) = \sum_{t \in G} t(a) \otimes p_t$. Suppose A is also a G -graded

k -algebra with $g(A_h) \subseteq A_{ghg^{-1}}$. Define $\tau : (kG)^* \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ by $\tau(p_t)(a) = a_t$. Then A^τ has multiplication $a * b = \sum_{t \in G} t(a)b_t$. The map τ has convolution inverse λ , $\lambda(p_t)(a) = a_{t^{-1}}$.

(iv) Let k be a field of characteristic 0; the Weyl algebra \mathcal{A}_1 is a twisted algebra of the commutative polynomial algebra $k[X, Y]$. Let $H = k[X]$ with the usual Hopf algebra structure, let $A = k[X, Y]$ with H -comodule algebra structure defined by $\rho(f(X, Y)) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \delta^i(f(X, Y)) \otimes X^i$, $\delta = \partial/\partial X$. Define $\tau : H \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ by $\tau(X) = \partial/\partial Y$. Then

$$X * Y = XY + \tau(X)(Y) = XY + 1 \text{ and } Y * X = YX = XY$$

so $k[X, Y]^\tau \cong k[X, Y]/\langle XY - YX - 1 \rangle$, the first Weyl algebra. In fact, we will see in the third section that since $\mathcal{A}_n \cong \mathcal{U}(L) \# \mathcal{U}(L')$ for L, L' n -dimensional abelian Lie algebras, $\mathcal{A}_n = k[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]^\tau$, and the twisting τ is convolution invertible. ■

§2 Left hand twistings

Next, we examine a left hand version of twistings. For A a right H -comodule algebra, let ν be an element of the convolution algebra $\text{Hom}(H, \text{End}(A))$ satisfying (N). For all $h \in H$ and $a \in A$, we denote $\nu(h)(a) \in A$ by $a \cdot_\nu h$ or $a \cdot h$ if ν is clear. For any element $\nu \in \text{Hom}(H, \text{End}(A))$, we define a new (possibly nonassociative) multiplication $*_\nu$, (or $*$ if ν is clear) on A by

$$a *_\nu b = \sum (a \cdot_\nu b_1)b_0$$

where multiplication on the right hand side is the usual multiplication on A . We denote this algebra $(A, *)$ by ${}^\nu A$. Given an object M of ${}_A \mathcal{M}^H$, we define a map $A \otimes M \rightarrow M$ by

$$a \otimes m \mapsto a * m = \sum (a \cdot m_1)m_0$$

where the multiplication on the right hand side is the usual A -action on M . Let ${}^\nu M$ denote the H -comodule M together with this map. Since ν satisfies (N), ${}^\nu A$ has the same identity as A and $1 * m = m$ for all $m \in {}^\nu M$.

Recall that ${}_A \mathcal{M}^H \cong \mathcal{M}_{A^{\text{op}}}^{H^{\text{op}}}$, where every left A -module M is a right A^{op} -module in the usual way by $m \cdot a^{\text{op}} = am$ for $a \in A, m \in M$. It is straightforward to check that

$$(A^\nu)^{\text{op}} = {}^\nu(A^{\text{op}}), \text{ and, } (A^{\text{op}})^\nu = ({}^\nu A)^{\text{op}}$$

as rings and as right H^{op} -comodules.

Necessary and sufficient conditions for ${}^\nu M$ to lie in ${}^\nu {}_A \mathcal{M}^H$ for all M in ${}_A \mathcal{M}^H$ now follow directly from Theorem 1.1.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let A, ν be as above. Then for all $M \in {}_A \mathcal{M}^H$, ${}^\nu M$ lies in ${}^\nu {}_A \mathcal{M}^H$ if and only if for all $a, b \in A, h \in H$,

$$\sum \rho(a \cdot h_2)(1 \otimes h_1) = \sum (a \cdot h_2)_0 \otimes (a \cdot h_2)_1 h_1 = \sum a_0 \cdot h_1 \otimes a_1 h_2, \quad \text{and} \quad (2.1.1)$$

$$(a \cdot_\nu b) \cdot_\nu h = \{ \sum (a \cdot b_1) b_0 \} \cdot h = \sum (a \cdot (b_1 h_2))(b_0 \cdot h_1). \quad (2.1.2)$$

If (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) hold, then ${}^\tau A$ is an H -comodule algebra, and we can define a functor F_ν from ${}_A \mathcal{M}^H$ to ${}_\nu A \mathcal{M}^H$ by $F_\nu(M) = {}^\nu M$, $F_\nu(f) = f$. If, moreover, ν has convolution inverse μ , then μ satisfies (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) for ${}^\nu A$ and F_ν is a category isomorphism.

PROOF. Note that under the standard isomorphism between ${}_\nu A \mathcal{M}^H$ and $\mathcal{M}_{(A^{\text{op}})^\nu}^{H^{\text{op}}}$, ${}^\nu M$ corresponds to M^ν . Thus for all $M \in {}_A \mathcal{M}^H$, ${}^\nu M \in {}_\nu A \mathcal{M}^H$ if and only if ν is a twisting of $\mathcal{M}_{(A^{\text{op}})^\nu}^{H^{\text{op}}}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{(A^{\text{op}})^\nu}^{H^{\text{op}}}$. But it is straightforward to check that $\nu \in \text{Hom}(H, \text{End}(A))$ satisfies (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) if and only if $\nu \in \text{Hom}(H^{\text{op}}, \text{End}(A^{\text{op}}))$ satisfies (1.1.1) and (1.1.2). The rest of the proposition is immediate. \blacksquare

EXAMPLE 2.2. For H a cocommutative Hopf algebra, B a right H -comodule algebra, L a right H -module algebra, let $B \# L$ be the right smash product [B]. Recall that $B \# L = B \otimes L$ as k -modules but multiplication in $B \# L$ is given by

$$(b \# l)(c \# m) = \sum bc_0 \# (l \cdot c_1)m.$$

The right H -coaction of $B \otimes L$ is $\rho(b \otimes l) = \sum (b_0 \otimes l) \otimes b_1$. Let ν be the right action of H on L , i.e. $\nu(h)(b \otimes l) = b \otimes l \cdot h$. Conditions (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) are easily checked and ν has convolution inverse $\nu^{-1}(h)(b \otimes l) = b \otimes l \cdot S(h)$. \blacksquare

Now we show that if H has bijective antipode, then $\mathcal{M}_A^H \cong \mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$ via an invertible twisting if and only if there is also an invertible twisting from ${}_A \mathcal{M}^H$ to ${}_{A^\tau} \mathcal{M}^H$.

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose H is a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. Then there is an invertible twisting τ from \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$ if and only if there is an invertible left hand twisting ν from ${}_A \mathcal{M}^H$ to ${}_\nu A \mathcal{M}^H$ with ${}^\nu A \cong A^\tau$ as H -comodule algebras.

PROOF. Suppose τ is an invertible twisting from \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$ with convolution inverse λ . Define $\nu : H \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ by

$$\nu(h)(a) = \sum \tau(\bar{S}(a_2 h)) \lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0).$$

Since the normality conditions (N) hold for τ , they hold for ν also. We need to verify (2.1.1). Note that, by (1.4.1),

$$\rho\left(\sum \lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0)\right) = \sum \lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0) \otimes a_2. \quad (2.3.1)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(\nu(h)(a)) &= \rho\left(\sum \tau(\bar{S}(a_2h))\lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0)\right) \\
 &= \sum \tau(\bar{S}(a_4h_2))\lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0) \otimes a_5h_3\bar{S}(a_3h_1)a_2 \quad \text{by (2.3.1) and (1.4.1) for } \tau \\
 &= \sum \tau(\bar{S}(a_2h_2))\lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0) \otimes a_3h_3\bar{S}(h_1) \\
 &= \sum \nu(h_2)(a_0) \otimes a_1h_3\bar{S}(h_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we define $f : {}^\nu A \longrightarrow A^\tau$ by $f(a) = \sum \lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0)$. By (2.3.1), f is an H -comodule map. It is easy to see that the inverse of f is $f^{-1} : A^\tau \longrightarrow {}^\nu A$ defined by $f^{-1}(a) = \sum \tau(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0)$. Let us show that f is an algebra map.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(a *_\tau b) &= \sum \lambda(\bar{S}(a_1b_1))(a_0 *_\nu b_0) \\
 &= \sum \lambda(\bar{S}(a_1b_2))\nu(b_1)(a_0)b_0 \\
 &= \sum \lambda(\bar{S}(a_3b_5))(\nu(b_2)(a_0)) *_\tau \lambda(\bar{S}(a_2b_4)a_1b_3\bar{S}(b_1))b_0 \quad \text{by (1.1.2) for } \lambda \\
 &= \sum \lambda(\bar{S}(a_1b_3))(\nu(b_2)(a_0)) *_\tau \lambda(\bar{S}(b_1))b_0 \\
 &= \sum \lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0) *_\tau \lambda(\bar{S}(b_1))b_0 \quad \text{by the definition of } \nu \\
 &= f(a) *_\tau f(b).
 \end{aligned}$$

To prove τ is a twisting, it remains to check (2.1.2). By the above computation for f ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu(h)(a *_\nu b) &= \sum \tau(\bar{S}(a_2b_2h))[\lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0) *_\tau \lambda(\bar{S}(b_1))b_0] \\
 &= \sum [\tau(\bar{S}(a_4b_3h_2))\lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0)][\tau(\bar{S}(a_3b_2h_1)a_2)\lambda(\bar{S}(b_1))(b_0)] \quad \text{by (1.1.2) for } \tau \\
 &= \sum [\tau(\bar{S}(a_2b_3h_2))\lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0)][\tau(\bar{S}(b_2h_1))\lambda(\bar{S}(b_1))(b_0)] \\
 &= \sum \nu(b_1h_2)(a)\nu(h_1)(b_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally we show that ν is convolution invertible. Define $\mu : H \longrightarrow \text{End}(A)$ by

$$\mu(h)(b) = \sum \tau(\bar{S}(b_2h_3\bar{S}(h_1)))\lambda(\bar{S}(b_1h_2))(b_0),$$

for all $h \in H$, $b \in A$. Then, using (2.1.1) for ν , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum \mu(h_1)\nu(h_2)(a) &= \sum \tau(\bar{S}(a_2h_8\bar{S}(h_4)h_3\bar{S}(h_1)))\lambda(\bar{S}(a_1h_7\bar{S}(h_5)h_2))(\nu(h_6)(a_0)) \\
 &= \sum \tau(\bar{S}(a_4h_4\bar{S}(h_1)))\lambda(\bar{S}(a_3h_3))\tau(\bar{S}(a_2h_2))\lambda(\bar{S}(a_1))(a_0) \\
 &= \epsilon(h)a.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, direct computation shows that $\sum \nu(h_1)\mu(h_2)(a) = \epsilon(h)a$.

Thus ${}^\nu A \cong A^\tau$ and ${}_A \mathcal{M}^H \cong {}_{A^\tau} \mathcal{M}^H$. Conversely, suppose ν is an invertible twisting from ${}_A \mathcal{M}^H$ to ${}_{A^\tau} \mathcal{M}^H$, with convolution inverse μ .

Define

$$\tau(h)(a) = \sum \nu(S(ha_2))\mu(S(a_1))(a_0).$$

Similar computations show that τ is a twisting from \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_A^{H\tau}$ and that $f : A^\tau \rightarrow {}^\nu A$ defined by $f(a) = \sum \mu(S(a_1))(a_0)$ is an H -comodule algebra isomorphism. ■

QUESTION: For A, B right H -comodule algebras, H a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, if \mathcal{M}_A^H and \mathcal{M}_B^H are isomorphic (or equivalent), then are ${}_A\mathcal{M}^H$ and ${}_B\mathcal{M}^H$?

§3. Crossed products and duality theorems

In this section we prove that crossed products are twistings of the tensor product and obtain some duality theorems. First, we show that if A^τ is an invertible twisting of A , and if L is a right H -module subalgebra of H^* and a rational left H^* -module, then $A\#L \cong A^\tau\#L$ as k -algebras.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. Let L be a right H -module subalgebra of H^* , possibly without 1, which is also a rational left H^* -module under the action $h^* \curvearrowright l = h^*l$. Also suppose the evaluation map ev from H to $\text{Hom}(H^*, k)$, $ev(h)(h^*) = \langle h^*, h \rangle$ is injective. Let ν be a twisting from ${}_A\mathcal{M}^H$ to ${}^\nu{}_A\mathcal{M}^H$. Then there is a k -algebra map ϕ from ${}^\nu A\#L$ to $A\#L$, and if ν is convolution invertible, ϕ is an isomorphism.*

PROOF. Since L is a rational left H^* -module, L is a right H -comodule and for $l \in L, m \in H^*$,

$$ml = \sum l_0\langle m, l_1 \rangle. \tag{3.1.1}$$

Since $\epsilon_H l = l, \sum l_0\epsilon(l_1) = l$. As in Example 2.2, recall that the (right) smash product $A\#L$ has multiplication

$$(a\#l)(b\#m) = \sum ab_0\#(l \curvearrowright b_1)m,$$

where \curvearrowright denotes the right H -module algebra structure of $L \subset H^*$, $(l \curvearrowright h)(g) = \langle l, hg \rangle$.

Define $\phi : {}^\nu A\#L \rightarrow A\#L$ by

$$\phi(a\#l) = \sum \nu(l_1)(a)\#l_0.$$

Note that by (3.1.1) and the injectivity of ev , for $l \in L, h \in H$,

$$\sum \langle l_0, h \rangle l_1 = \sum h_1\langle l, h_2 \rangle. \tag{3.1.2}$$

For $a, b \in A, l, m \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a\#l)\phi(b\#m) &= \sum (\nu(l_1)(a)\#l_0)(\nu(m_1)(b)\#m_0) \\ &= \sum \nu(l_1)(a)\nu(m_2)(b_0)\#(l_0 \curvearrowright b_1m_3\overline{S}(m_1))m_0 \quad \text{by (2.1.1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum \nu(l_1)(a)\nu(m_3)(b_0)\#(l_0, b_1 m_4 \bar{S}(m_2)m_1)m_0 \quad \text{by (3.1.1)} \\
&= \sum \nu(l_1(l_0, b_1 m_2))(a)\nu(m_1)(b_0)\#m_0 \\
&= \sum \nu(b_1 m_2(l, b_2 m_3))(a)\nu(m_1)(b_0)\#m_0 \quad \text{by (3.1.2)} \\
&= \sum \nu(m_1)(a * b_0)\#(l, b_1 m_2)m_0 \quad \text{by (2.1.2)} \\
&= \phi[\sum a * b_0\#(l, b_1 m_1)m_0] \\
&= \phi[\sum a * b_0\#(l - b_1)m] \quad \text{by (3.1.1)} \\
&= \phi[(a\#l)(b\#m)].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, if ν is convolution invertible, then ϕ has inverse $\phi^{-1}(a\#l) = \sum \nu^{-1}(l_1)(a)\#l_0$. ■

Recall [S, 2.1.3(d)] that since H^* is a left H^* -module via the (convolution) multiplication in H^* , H^* contains a unique maximal rational submodule denoted $H^{*\text{rat}}$.

COROLLARY 3.2. Let k be a field and suppose $H^{*\text{rat}} \neq 0$. Then, if τ is an invertible twisting from \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_{A^*}^H$, $A\#H^{*\text{rat}} \cong A^\tau\#H^{*\text{rat}}$.

PROOF. By [CC, §3], S is bijective and $L = H^{*\text{rat}}$ satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 3.1. By Theorem 2.3, there exists an invertible left hand twisting ν from ${}_A\mathcal{M}^H$ to ${}_{\nu A}\mathcal{M}^H$ with $\nu A \cong A^\tau$ as H -comodule algebras. The statement then follows directly from Theorem 3.1. ■

COROLLARY 3.3. Suppose H is finitely generated projective over the commutative ring k . Then if τ is an invertible twisting from \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_{A^*}^H$, $A\#H^* \cong A^\tau\#H^*$.

PROOF. This follows from Theorems 2.3 and 3.1 with $L = H^*$. ■

Note that for L as in Corollaries 3.2 or 3.3, i.e. $L = H^*$, H finite, or $L = H^{*\text{rat}}$, then the functor F_ν from ${}_A\mathcal{M}^H$ to ${}_{\nu A}\mathcal{M}^H$ is just the composition of isomorphisms

$${}_A\mathcal{M}^H \cong {}_{A\#L}\mathcal{M} \cong {}_{\nu A\#L}\mathcal{M} \cong {}_{\nu A}\mathcal{M}^H$$

where the middle isomorphism F_ϕ is defined by $F_\phi(M) = M$ with left $\nu A\#H^*$ structure given by $x \cdot m = \phi(x)m$ for $x \in \nu A\#H^*$, $m \in M$, and the isomorphisms ${}_B\mathcal{M}^H \cong {}_{B\#L}\mathcal{M}$, $B = A$ or νA , are the usual maps for $L = H^*$, finite, and can be found in [CC, 2.3] for $L = H^{*\text{rat}}$. In the latter case, ${}_{B\#L}\mathcal{M}$ denotes the category of rational or unitary modules.

Next we discuss an important example of a twisted algebra where the twisting τ does not induce an H -module algebra structure on A . Let H be a Hopf algebra acting weakly on an algebra A on the left, and σ in $\text{Hom}(H \otimes H, A)$, a cocycle with respect to the weak action.

Then we can form the crossed product $A \#_{\sigma} H$ with $\rho_{A \#_{\sigma} H} = 1 \otimes \Delta$. (See [M, 7.1] for details of the definition.) We show that $A \#_{\sigma} H$ is a twisting of the tensor product $A \otimes H$, and is invertible if σ is convolution invertible.

Recall that there is a correspondence between H -cleft extensions of A and crossed products $A \#_{\sigma} H$ with σ invertible. (See [BM], [DT], or [M, §7].) Given a cleft extension $A \subset B$ with $\phi : H \rightarrow B$ a convolution invertible H -comodule map, define a weak H -action on A and an invertible cocycle $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow A$ by

$$h \cdot a = \sum \phi(h_1) a \phi^{-1}(h_2), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sigma(h, g) = \sum \phi(h_1) \phi(g_1) \phi^{-1}(h_2 g_2);$$

then $B \cong A \#_{\sigma} H$ as H -comodule algebras. Conversely, if σ is convolution invertible, the crossed product $A \#_{\sigma} H$ is cleft via

$$\phi : H \rightarrow A \#_{\sigma} H, \quad \phi(h) = 1 \# h, \quad \phi^{-1}(h) = \sum \sigma^{-1}(S h_2, h_3) \# S h_1.$$

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $A \#_{\sigma} H$ be a crossed product as in [M, §7] but with σ not necessarily invertible. Then there is a twisting τ , $\tau \in \text{Hom}(H, \text{End}(A \otimes H))$, with $A \#_{\sigma} H = (A \otimes H)^{\tau}$, and, if σ is convolution invertible, so is τ . Conversely, if $(A \otimes H)^{\tau}$ is a twisting of $A \otimes H$, then $(A \otimes H)^{\tau} = A \#_{\sigma} H$ for some weak action of H on A , some σ , and if τ is an invertible twisting, σ is convolution invertible, or, equivalently, $(A \otimes H)^{\tau}$ is cleft.*

PROOF. Let $\tau : H \rightarrow \text{End}(A \otimes H)$ be defined by

$$\tau(h)(b \otimes g) = \sum (h_2 \cdot b) \sigma(h_3, g_1) \otimes S(h_1) h_4 g_2.$$

Since $\sigma(h, 1) = \sigma(1, h) = \epsilon(h)$ for all $h \in H$, (N) holds.

Now $(A \otimes H)^{\tau} = A \#_{\sigma} H$ since

$$\begin{aligned} (a \otimes h) * (b \otimes g) &= \sum (a \otimes h_1) [\tau(h_2)(b \otimes g)] \\ &= \sum (a \otimes h_1) ((h_3 \cdot b) \sigma(h_4, g_1) \otimes S(h_2) h_5 g_2) \\ &= \sum a (h_1 \cdot b) \sigma(h_2, g_1) \otimes h_3 g_2 \\ &= (a \#_{\sigma} h) (b \#_{\sigma} g). \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to verify that (1.4.1) and (1.1.2) hold, the first by direct computation and the second by the associativity of $(A \otimes H)^{\tau}$ using the fact that

$$(1 \otimes h) * [(b \otimes g) * (c \otimes f)] = [(1 \otimes h) * (b \otimes g)] * (c \otimes f).$$

Now, suppose σ is convolution invertible, so that $A \#_{\sigma} H = (A \otimes H)^{\tau}$ is a cleft extension. Then, by direct computation, or by the paragraph preceding the theorem, for $\phi : H \rightarrow A \#_{\sigma} H$ given by $\phi(h) = 1 \# h$, $\phi^{-1}(h) = \sum \sigma^{-1}(Sh_2, h_3) \# Sh_1$, note that

$$\tau(h)(b \otimes g) = \sum \phi(h_2) b \phi(g_1) \phi^{-1}(h_3 g_2) \otimes S(h_1) h_4 g_3.$$

Then a tedious computation shows that τ has convolution inverse λ ,

$$\lambda(f)(c \otimes m) = \sum \phi^{-1}(f_3) c \phi(f_4 m_1) \phi^{-1}(S(f_2) f_5 m_2) \otimes S(f_1) f_6 m_3.$$

Now let $\tau \in \text{Hom}(H, \text{End}(A \otimes H))$ be a twisting from $\mathcal{M}_{A \otimes H}^H$ to $\mathcal{M}_{(A \otimes H)^{\tau}}^H$; we show that $(A \otimes H)^{\tau}$ is a crossed product. Define a map from $H \otimes A$ to A by $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a = (I \otimes \epsilon) \tau(h)(a \otimes 1)$; by (N) and (1.1.2), this map defines a weak action of H on A . Now define $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow A$ by $\sigma(h, g) = (I \otimes \epsilon) \tau(h)(1 \otimes g)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(h)(a \otimes g) &= (I \otimes \epsilon \otimes I) \rho(\tau(h)(a \otimes g)) \\ &= \sum (I \otimes \epsilon) [\tau(h_2)((a \otimes 1) *_{\tau} (1 \otimes g_1))] \otimes S(h_1) h_3 g_2 \quad \text{by (1.1.1)} \\ &= \sum (I \otimes \epsilon) [\tau(h_2)(a \otimes 1) \tau(h_3)(1 \otimes g_1)] \otimes S(h_1) h_4 g_2 \quad \text{by (1.1.2)} \\ &= \sum h_2 \cdot a \sigma(h_3, g_1) \otimes S(h_1) h_4 g_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(A \otimes H)^{\tau} = A \#_{\sigma} H$ and by the associativity of $(A \otimes H)^{\tau}$, the cocycle and twisted module conditions [M, 7.13, 7.14] hold and $A \#_{\sigma} H$ is a crossed product. If, furthermore, τ is invertible with convolution inverse λ , then define σ^{-1} by

$$\sigma^{-1}(h, g) = (I \otimes \epsilon) \sum \tau(h_1 g_1) \lambda(g_2) (1 \otimes S g_3).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \sigma(h_1, g_1) \sigma^{-1}(h_2, g_2) &= (I \otimes \epsilon) \sum (\tau(h_1)(1 \otimes g_1)) (\tau(h_2 g_2) \lambda(g_3) (1 \otimes S g_4)) \\ &= (I \otimes \epsilon) [\tau(h)(1 \otimes g_1) *_{\tau} \lambda(g_2) (1 \otimes S g_3)] \quad \text{by (1.1.2)} \\ &= (I \otimes \epsilon) \tau(h)(1 \otimes \epsilon(g)) \\ &= \epsilon(h) \epsilon(g). \end{aligned}$$

Checking the other convolution product requires a bit more work.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \sigma^{-1}(h_1, g_1) \sigma(h_2, g_2) &= (I \otimes \epsilon) \sum (\tau(h_1 g_1) \lambda(g_2) (1 \otimes S g_3)) (\tau(h_2)(1 \otimes g_4)) \\ &= (I \otimes \epsilon) [\tau(h_1 g_1) (\lambda(g_2) (1 \otimes S g_3) *_{\tau} (1 \otimes g_4))] \quad \text{by (1.1.2)} \\ &= (I \otimes \epsilon) \sum \tau(h_1 g_1) (\lambda(g_2) (1 \otimes S g_5) *_{\tau} \lambda(g_3 S g_4) (1 \otimes g_6)) \\ &= (I \otimes \epsilon) \sum \tau(h_1 g_1) (\lambda(g_2) ((1 \otimes S g_3) (1 \otimes g_4))) \quad \text{by (1.1.2) for } \lambda \\ &= \epsilon(h) \epsilon(g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus σ is invertible. ■

From Hopf Galois theory [M,§8], if B is a cleft extension of its coinvariants A and is faithfully flat as a left or right A -module, then the category \mathcal{M}_B^H is equivalent to the category of modules \mathcal{M}_A , so that \mathcal{M}_B^H and $\mathcal{M}_{A\otimes H}^H$ are equivalent since each is equivalent to \mathcal{M}_A . The preceding theorem tells us that $\mathcal{M}_B^H \cong \mathcal{M}_{A\otimes H}^H$ and no flatness assumption is needed for this isomorphism of categories of relative Hopf modules.

The equivalences $G_{A\otimes H}$ and $G_{A\#_\sigma H}$ from \mathcal{M}_A to $\mathcal{M}_{A\otimes H}^H$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A\#_\sigma H}^H$ are given by $N \longrightarrow N \otimes_A (A \otimes H)$, and $N \longrightarrow N \otimes_A (A \#_\sigma H)$ respectively; it is easy to verify that the diagram below commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_A}} & \mathcal{M}_A \\ G_{A\otimes H} \downarrow & & \downarrow G_{A\#_\sigma H} \\ \mathcal{M}_{A\otimes H}^H & \xrightarrow{F_\tau} & \mathcal{M}_{A\#_\sigma H}^H \end{array}$$

Similarly we note that if A is H -Galois and faithfully flat over $A^{\text{co}H}$, then if τ is an invertible twisting of \mathcal{M}_A^H to $\mathcal{M}_{A^\tau}^H$, A^τ is also H -Galois by a diagram similar to that above and [M, 8.5.6].

COROLLARY 3.5. For B any cleft extension of A , $\mathcal{M}_B^H \cong \mathcal{M}_{A\otimes H}^H$, and, if H has bijective antipode, ${}_B\mathcal{M}^H \cong {}_{A\otimes H}\mathcal{M}^H$ also.

PROOF. This follows directly from Theorems 3.4 and 2.3. ■

The twisting in Theorem 3.4 combines with Theorem 3.1 to yield the following duality results.

COROLLARY 3.6. Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k such that $H^{\text{rat}} \neq 0$. For $A\#_\sigma H$ a crossed product with σ invertible,

$$(A\#_\sigma H)\#H^{\text{rat}} \cong (A\otimes H)\#H^{\text{rat}} \cong A\otimes (H\#H^{\text{rat}}).$$

PROOF. The first isomorphism follows from Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.2, and the second is just $(a\otimes h)\#h^* \rightarrow a\otimes (h\#h^*)$. ■

Note that if $H^{\text{rat}} \neq 0$, $H\#H^{\text{rat}}$ is a central simple k -algebra [CC,3.8] and is a dense ring of linear transformations on H [CC,3.9].

COROLLARY 3.7. [BM, 2.2]. Suppose H is finitely generated projective over the commutative ring k and $A\#_\sigma H$ is a crossed product with σ invertible. Then

$$(A\#_\sigma H)\#H^* \cong (A\otimes H)\#H^* \cong A\otimes (H\#H^*) \cong A\otimes \text{End}_k(H).$$

PROOF. The statement follows directly from Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.3. ■

In fact, crossed products $A \#_{\sigma} B$ are defined for any A with weak left H -action and B any left H -comodule algebra. If H is cocommutative, then $A \#_{\sigma} B$ is a right H -comodule algebra with $\rho_{A \#_{\sigma} B} = 1 \otimes \rho_B$.

PROPOSITION 3.8. Suppose H is cocommutative, and let $A \#_{\sigma} B$ be a crossed product. Then there is a twisting τ from $\mathcal{M}_{A \otimes B}^H$ to $\mathcal{M}_{A \#_{\sigma} B}^H$, and, if σ is convolution invertible, τ is also, and then $\mathcal{M}_{A \otimes B}^H \cong \mathcal{M}_{A \#_{\sigma} B}^H$.

PROOF. As in Theorem 3.4, we define τ by

$$\tau(h)(a \otimes b) = \sum (h_1 \cdot a) \sigma(h_2, b_1) \otimes b_0.$$

Since τ is an H -comodule map, (1.1.1) is clear and the verification of (1.1.2) is a straightforward application of [M, (7.1.3) and (7.1.4)]. As in 3.4, $(A \otimes B)^{\tau} = A \#_{\sigma} B$.

If σ is convolution invertible, then τ has convolution inverse λ defined by

$$\lambda(h)(a \otimes b) = \sum \sigma^{-1}(Sh_1, h_2)[Sh_3 \cdot (a\sigma^{-1}(h_4, b_1))]\sigma(Sh_5, h_6) \otimes b_0.$$

Thus the categories $\mathcal{M}_{A \#_{\sigma} B}^H$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A \otimes B}^H$ are isomorphic. ■

COROLLARY 3.9. For $A \#_{\sigma} B$ a crossed product with H cocommutative and σ invertible, then

$$(A \#_{\sigma} B) \# L \cong (A \otimes B) \# L \cong A \otimes (B \# L)$$

as k -algebras, for H and L satisfying the conditions of 3.1, i.e. H maps injectively to $\text{Hom}(H^*, k)$, L is a right H -module subalgebra of H^* and is also a rational left H^* -module.

PROOF. This follows from Propositions 3.8 and Theorem 3.1. ■

Acknowledgements

The work of M. Beattie was partially supported by NSERC, that of J. Zhang by NSF. Thanks to colleagues who read earlier versions of this paper for their helpful comments.

References

- [B] M. Beattie, Strongly inner actions, coactions and duality theorems, Tsukuba J. Math. 16 (1992), 279–293.

- [BM] R. J. Blattner and S. Montgomery, Crossed products and Galois extensions of Hopf algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* 137 (1989), 37-54.
- [CVOZ] S. Caenepeel, F. Van Oystaeyen and Y. H. Zhang, Quantum Yang-Baxter module algebras, *K-Theory* 8 (1994), 231-255.
- [CC] Cai C. and Chen H., Coactions, smash products, and Hopf modules, *J. Alg.* 167 (1994), 85-99.
- [DT] Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi, Cleft comodule algebras for a bialgebra, *Comm. Alg.* 14(5), (1986), 801-817.
- [L] F. W. Long, The Brauer group of dimodule algebras, *J. Alg.* 30 (1974), 559-601.
- [M] S. Montgomery, Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics* 82, AMS, Providence, R.I., 1993.
- [RT] D. E. Radford and J. Towber, Yetter-Drinfel'd categories associated to an arbitrary bialgebra, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 87 (1993), 259-279.
- [S] M. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [Z] J. J. Zhang, Twisted graded algebras and equivalences of graded categories, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, to appear.

Received: September 1995