[10 pts] Given a collection of n nuts and a collection of n bolts, arranged in an increasing order of size, give an O(n) time algorithm to check if there is a nut and a bolt that have the same size. The sizes of the nuts and bolts are stored in the sorted arrays NUTS[1..n] and BOLTS[1..n], respectively. Your algorithm can stop as soon as it finds a single match (i.e, you do not need to report all matches).

Solution: Since we have two sorted array, we want to keep track both of them with two iterators, i for NUTS[1..n] and j for BOLTS[1..n].

```
\begin{array}{l} i,j\leftarrow 1;\\ \mathbf{while}\ i< n\ and\ j< n\ \mathbf{do}\\ & | \ \mathbf{if}\ NUTS[i] = BOLTS[j]\ \mathbf{then}\\ & | \ \mathbf{return}\ \mathbf{true};\ /*\ \mathbf{Once}\ \mathbf{we}\ \mathbf{find}\ \mathbf{two}\ \mathbf{identical}\ \mathbf{number}\ \mathbf{in}\ \mathbf{both}\ \mathbf{array}\ \mathbf{we}\ \mathbf{stop}\ \mathbf{looping}\\ & | \ */\ \mathbf{else}\ \mathbf{if}\ NUTS[i] > BOLTS[j]\ \mathbf{then}\\ & | \ j\leftarrow j+1;\ /*\ \mathbf{We}\ \mathbf{want}\ \mathbf{smaller}\ \mathbf{number}\ \mathbf{array}\ \mathbf{increase}\ \mathbf{their}\ \mathbf{index}\ \mathbf{and}\ \mathbf{compare}\\ & | \ \mathbf{again}\ \mathbf{with}\ \mathbf{greater}\ \mathbf{one}\\ & | \ i\leftarrow i+1;\\ & \mathbf{end}\\ \end{array}
```

We go through index in both array only once, so the worse case is $O(2n) \approx O(n)$. Noticed that if $NUTS[1..n] \cap BOLTS[1..n] = \emptyset$, then their range is not overlap and there is no reason to keep searching, thus we can add initial statement.

```
\begin{array}{l} i,j\leftarrow 1;\\ \mathbf{while}\ i< n\ and\ j< n\ \mathbf{do}\\ & | \ \mathbf{if}\ NUTS[n] < BOLTS[1]\ or\ NUTS[1] > BOLTS[n]\ \mathbf{then}\\ & | \ \mathrm{return};\\ \mathbf{end}\\ & | \ \mathbf{if}\ NUTS[i] == BOLTS[j]\ \mathbf{then}\\ & | \ \mathrm{return}\ \mathrm{true};\\ & | \ \mathbf{else}\ \mathbf{if}\ NUTS[i] > BOLTS[j]\ \mathbf{then}\\ & | \ j\leftarrow j+1;\\ & | \ \mathbf{else}\\ & | \ i\leftarrow i+1;\\ & | \ \mathbf{end}\\ \end{array}
```

[15 pts] Let A[1..n] be an array of distinct positive integers, and let t be a positive integer.

- 1. [5 pts] Assuming that A is sorted, show that in O(n) time it can be decided if A contains two distinct elements x and y such that x + y = t.
- 2. [10 pts] Use part (a) to show that the following problem, referred to as the 3-Sum problem, can be solved in $O(n^2)$ time:

(3-SUM) Given an array A[1..n] of distinct positive integers that is not (necessarily) sorted, and a positive integer t, determine whether or not there are three distinct elements x, y, z in A such that x + y + z = t.

Solution:

1. One solution is to set two x and y be the minimum (A[1]) and maximum (A[n]) of array A[1..n], and then assume that the value of t is sum of x and y, if the sum is greater than t we decrease the index of the y, and similarly if the sum is less than t we increase the index of the x.

```
\begin{array}{l} i \leftarrow 1 \hspace{0.5em} j \leftarrow n; \\ \textbf{while} \hspace{0.5em} i < j \hspace{0.5em} \textbf{do} \\ & | \hspace{0.5em} \textbf{if} \hspace{0.5em} A[i] + A[j] = t \hspace{0.5em} \textbf{then} \\ & | \hspace{0.5em} \textbf{return true}; \\ & \textbf{else} \hspace{0.5em} \textbf{if} \hspace{0.5em} A[i] + A[j] > t \hspace{0.5em} \textbf{then} \\ & | \hspace{0.5em} j \leftarrow j-1; \\ & \textbf{else} \\ & | \hspace{0.5em} i \leftarrow i+1; \\ & \textbf{end} \\ \end{array}
```

The worst case is value of i reach j which takes n-1 times, thus time complexity is O(n).

2. By adding extra variable z, meaning we want to go through part (a) process for all elements in A[1..n] such that x + y + z = t and $x \neq y \neq z$.

```
\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{for}\ k\leftarrow 1\ \mathbf{to}\ n\ \mathbf{do} \\ i\leftarrow 1\ j\leftarrow n; \\ \mathbf{while}\ i< j\ and\ i\neq k\ and\ j\neq k\ \mathbf{do} \\ & \mathbf{if}\ A[i]+A[j]+A[k]=t\ \mathbf{then} \\ & |\ return\ true; \\ & \mathbf{else}\ \mathbf{if}\ A[i]+A[j]+A[k]>t\ \mathbf{then} \\ & |\ j\leftarrow j-1; \\ & \mathbf{else} \\ & |\ i\leftarrow i+1; \\ & \mathbf{end} \\ & \mathbf{end} \\ \end{array}
```

By adding a for loop for part (a), our time complexity is $O(n^2)$ in this case.

[10 pts] Let A[1..n] be an array of positive integers (A is not sorted). Pinocchio claims that there exists an O(n)-time algorithm that decides if there are two integers in A whose sum is 1000. Is Pinocchio right, or will his nose grow? If you say Pinocchio is right, explain how it can be done in O(n) time; otherwise, argue why it is impossible.

Solution: Yes, by using hash table to search specific value, it only takes O(n) time complexity. Assume A[1..n] store in hash table, then we could implement a two sum algorithm as following.

```
\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{for} \ i \leftarrow 1 \ \mathbf{to} \ n \ \mathbf{do} \\ x \leftarrow 1000 - A[i]; \\ \mathbf{if} \ x \ exist \ in \ A[1..i] \ \mathbf{then} \\ | \ \ \mathrm{return \ true}; \\ \mathbf{end} \\ \mathbf{end} \end{array}
```

As mentioned before, we can use hash table search method (A.contains(x) in some languages) to find whether x exist in array, thus it takes O(n) time complexity.

[10 pts] Let A[1..n] be an array of points in the plane, where A[i] contains the coordinates (x_i, y_i) of a point p_i , for i = 1, ..., n. Give an O(nlgn) time algorithm that determines whether any two points in A are identical (that is, have the same x and y coordinates).

Solution: Assume by using heapsort (time complexity of O(nlogn)) to sort A[1..2] as $A_{sorted}[1..n]$. Then we compare two adjacent points to find if there are two identical coordinates.

```
\begin{array}{l} i \leftarrow 1; \\ \textbf{while} \ i < n \text{-} 1 \ \textbf{do} \\ & | \ \textbf{if} \ A_{sorted}[i].x = A_{sorted}[i+1].x \ and \ A_{sorted}[i].y = A_{sorted}[i+1].y \ \textbf{then} \\ & | \ \text{return true}; \\ & \textbf{else} \\ & | \ i \leftarrow i+1; \\ & \textbf{end} \\ \end{array}
```

The total time complexity of cost is $O(nlog n) + O(n) \approx O(nlog n)$.

Pengju Zhang Assignment 1 CSC-421

Problem 5

[15 pts] Show how to determine in $O(n^2 lgn)$ time whether any three points in a set of n points are collinear.

Solution: Assume the initial value in A[1..n] and we first want to compute slope of all possibilities.

```
A_{slope}; /* \text{ Initialize an empty array } A_{slope} \text{ for storing slopes } \\ i \leftarrow 1; \\ \textbf{while } i < n \text{ do} \\ \mid j \leftarrow i+1; \\ \textbf{while } j < n \text{ do} \\ \mid slope \leftarrow (A[i].y - A[j].y)/(A[i].x - A[j].x); \\ \mid A_{slope}.add(slope); \\ \mid j \leftarrow j+1; \\ \textbf{end} \\ \mid i \leftarrow i+1; \\ \textbf{end} \\ \mid i \leftarrow i+1; \\ \textbf{end}
```

Next step by using nested loop for checking two identical slope in A_{slope} , iff this happens, three points of set are collinear. Totally the time complexity is $O(n^2) + O(n^2) \approx O(n^2) < O(n^2 lgn)$.