

# HETEROGENEOUS AGENT MODELS

---

QUANTITATIVE ECONOMICS 2023

Piotr Żoch

January 15, 2024

## MOTIVATION

- We introduce a class of models with heterogeneous agents.
- We focus on models with household heterogeneity and incomplete markets: Aiyagari-Bewley-Hugget-Imrohoroglu models.
  - Infinitely lived households face idiosyncratic shocks.
  - Markets are **incomplete** – households cannot trade all assets they would like to trade.
- We will find **general equilibrium** of these models.

## AGENTS

- In all these models there is a continuum of agents/households indexed by  $i \in [0, 1]$ .
- Agents are infinitely lived, have a standard period utility function  $u(c)$ , where  $c$  is consumption, discount future at  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .
- Labor endowment (productivity) is stochastic and follows a stationary Markov process (same process for all  $i$ ), with a finite set of states  $Z$  and transition matrix  $P$ .
- Agents consume and trade a single asset  $a$  that pays (net) return  $r$ . Wage per unit of labor is  $w$ .
- Agents face a borrowing constraint,  $a \geq -\phi$ .

## AGENTS

- State variables: beginning of period assets  $a$  and labor endowment  $z$ .
- Recursive formulation of a problem of an agent with assets  $a$  and labor endowment  $z$  is

$$V(a, z) = \max_{c, a'} \left\{ u(c) + \beta \sum_{z' \in Z} P(z, z') V(a', z') \right\}$$

subject to  $c + a' = zw + (1 + r) a$ ,

$$a' \geq -\phi.$$

- The solution consists of the value function  $V(a, z)$  and the policy functions  $a'(a, z), c(a, z)$ .
- Note: everything (e.g.  $V$ ) depends on  $(r, w)$ , we suppress it in notation.

## DISTRIBUTION

- Suppose that there a finite grid of asset levels  $(a_1, \dots, a_N)$  and define the unconditional distribution  $\lambda_t(a, z) := \mathbb{P}(a_t = a, z_t = z)$ .
- Given the policy function  $a'(a, z)$  and  $P$  we have

$$\lambda_{t+1}(a', z') = \sum_{a, z} \lambda_t(a, z) \cdot P(z, z') \cdot \mathcal{I}(a' = a'(a, z)),$$

where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the indicator function.

- What if assets are not restricted to a grid? Similar logic, but a more messy formula (+ measure theory).
- We will need to discretize the state space and action space anyway.

## AGGREGATION

- Given a distribution  $\lambda_t$  and policy functions  $a'(a, z)$  and  $c(a, z)$  we can calculate aggregate variables.
- We have asset demand and consumption

$$A'_t = \int a'(a, z) \cdot d\lambda_t(a, z),$$

$$C_t = \int c(a, z) \cdot d\lambda_t(a, z).$$

- I switched notation again, for a finite grid we have  
 $A'_t = \sum_{a,z} a'(a, z) \cdot \lambda_t(a, z)$  and  $C_t = \sum_{a,z} c(a, z) \cdot \lambda_t(a, z)$ .

## THE REST OF THE ECONOMY

- How are  $r$  and  $w$  determined?
- What/who supplies goods?
- What/who supplies assets?
- We will start with the **Hugget** model:
  - Endowment economy:  $w$  exogenous,  $wz$  is the amount of goods received by an agent.
  - Nothing/nobody else in the economy. Supply of assets is zero.
- Market clearing conditions:
  - **Goods market:**  $C_t = w \int z_i di$ .
  - **Asset market:**  $A'_t = 0$ .

## HUGGET MODEL

- Assets are loans from/to agents.
- Asset market clears if the total amount of loans (negative  $a$ ) is equal to the total amount of savings (positive  $a$ ).
- We will be looking for a **stationary equilibrium**: the distribution of agents and prices (here only  $r$ ) are constant over time.
- This was already anticipated by how we wrote the Bellman equation – no time subscripts anywhere.



## RCE IN HUGGET MODEL

### Definition (Stationary recursive competitive equilibrium (RCE))

A **stationary recursive competitive equilibrium** is a rate of return  $r$ , a value function  $V(a, z)$ , policy functions  $a'(a, z)$  and  $c(a, z)$ , and a distribution  $\lambda(a, z)$  such that

1. Given  $r$ , the value function  $V(a, z)$  satisfies the Bellman equation, and associated policy functions  $a'(a, z)$ ,  $c(a, z)$ , solve the agent's maximization problem;
2. The probability distribution  $\lambda(a, z)$  is the stationary distribution of the Markov process  $(a_t, z_t)$  induced by  $P$  and  $a'(a, z)$ ;
3. Markets clears:

$$\int a'(a, z) \cdot d\lambda(a, z) = 0, \quad \int c(a, z) \cdot d\lambda(a, z) = w \int z d\lambda(a, z).$$

## COMMENTS

- We now need to find  $r$  such that aggregate asset demand resulting from optimal decisions of agents is zero.
- Alternatively, we can find  $r$  such that the goods market clears.
- Recall: the Walras law says that if there are  $N$  markets and  $N - 1$  clear, the  $N$ -th market also clears.

## COMMENTS

- The usual procedure is:
  1. Guess  $r$ .
  2. Solve the Bellman equation for  $V(a, z)$  and  $a'(a, z)$ .
  3. Find the stationary distribution  $\lambda(a, z)$ .
  4. Calculate aggregate asset demand  $A'$ .
  5. If  $A' > 0$ , decrease  $r$ , if  $A' < 0$ , increase  $r$ .
- This combines several things:
  - solving the Bellman equation
  - finding the stationary distribution
  - (new) finding the equilibrium price  $r$ .

## COMPUTATION

- When we solve the model on a computer we discretize the state space and have a finite grid of points for assets:  $(a_1, \dots, a_N)$  and for productivity  $(z_1, \dots, z_M)$ .
- We usually want to allow maximizers of the RHS of the Bellman equation to not necessarily belong to the grid.
- We cannot use the formula

$$\lambda(a', z') = \sum_{a, z} \lambda(a, z) \cdot P(z, z') \cdot \mathcal{I}(a' = a'(a, z)),$$

because  $a'(a, z)$  might not belong to the grid.

- How to find the stationary distribution  $\lambda(a, z)$  in this case?

## COMPUTATION

- When we solve the model on a computer we discretize the state space and have a finite grid of points for assets:  $(a_1, \dots, a_N)$  and for productivity  $(z_1, \dots, z_M)$ .
- We usually want to allow maximizers of the RHS of the Bellman equation to not necessarily belong to the grid.
- We cannot use the formula

$$\lambda(a', z') = \sum_{a, z} \lambda(a, z) \cdot P(z, z') \cdot \mathcal{I}(a' = a'(a, z)),$$

because  $a'(a, z)$  might not belong to the grid.

- How to find the stationary distribution  $\lambda(a, z)$  in this case?

## YOUNG (2010)

- Let

$$q(a, z, a_n) = \mathbb{I}(a'(a, z) \in [a_{n-1}, a_n]) \frac{a'(a, z) - a_{n-1}}{a_n - a_{n-1}} \\ + \mathbb{I}(a'(a, z) \in [a_n, a_{n+1}]) \frac{a_{n+1} - a'(a, z)}{a_{n+1} - a_n}$$

be the distribution of agents with assets  $a_n$  and  $a_{n+1}$ .

- Then

$$\lambda(a', z') = \sum_{a, z} \lambda(a, z) \cdot P(z, z') \cdot q(a, z, a').$$

## YOUNG (2010)

- This the same as saying that agent with assets  $a$  and productivity  $z$  will choose  $a_n$  with probability  $q(a, z, a_n, )$ .
- Given there is a continuum of agents, this is the same as saying that the fraction  $q(a, z, a_n, )$  of agents with assets  $a$  and productivity  $z$  will choose  $a_n$ .
- This approach (due to Young (2010)) is useful because it makes aggregates unbiased.

## AGGREGATION AND EQUILIBRIUM

- Once we have the distribution  $\lambda(a, z)$  we can calculate aggregates.
- For example:

$$A' = \sum_{a,z} a'(a, z) \cdot \lambda(a, z).$$

- We can repeat the same procedure for various  $r$  to find the equilibrium  $r$ , such that  $A' = 0$ .
- Better: write a function that takes  $r$  as an input and returns  $A'$  as an output. Then use a root finding algorithm to find the equilibrium  $r$ .



## DISCUSSION

- Suppose we want to use a bracketing method to find the equilibrium  $r$ .
- What are the appropriate bounds? Probably  $r < -1$  does not make sense (nobody would save). The upper bound is more tricky.
- We can show that  $r < \beta^{-1} - 1$  in equilibrium for the Hugget model (just see what happens if  $r > \beta^{-1} - 1$ ).

## DISCUSSION

- Here you need to solve the Bellman equation for each  $r$ : possibly many times.
- This can be costly - try to optimize the code.
  - Use HPI or OPI.
  - Use EGM.
  - Get the transition matrix for  $(a, z)$  and use it to find the stationary distribution (do not simulate anything!)
  - Check out Simon Mongey's slides – general notation but similar models.

## AIYAGARI MODEL

- In Aiyagari model there is a representative firm that hires labor and rents capital from households.
- The firm has a constant returns to scale production function  $F(K, L)$ , where  $K$  is capital and  $L$  is labor.
- The firm is competitive, so it takes  $r$  and  $w$  as given.
- The firm's problem is

$$\max_{K, L} F(K, L) - (r + \delta) K - wL.$$

## AIYAGARI MODEL

- Assets accumulated by households are capital and loans to other agents.
- Labor market clearing:  $L = \int z \cdot d\lambda(a, z)$ .
- Asset market clearing:  $K = \int a \cdot d\lambda(a, z)$ .
- Goods market clearing:  $F(K, L) = C + \delta K$ .

## AIYAGARI MODEL

- Notice that here we have

$$r = F_K(K, L) - \delta, \quad w = F_L(K, L).$$

- Because of the constant returns to scale

$$r = F_K\left(\frac{K}{L}, 1\right) - \delta, \quad w = F_L\left(\frac{K}{L}, 1\right).$$

- We can solve for  $K/L$  as a function of  $r$ . This also allows us to solve for  $w$  as a function of  $r$ .
- We know  $L$  (it is exogenous) so we have  $K(r)$

## AIYAGARI MODEL

- This suggests a following strategy:
  - Guess  $r$ . Repeat all the steps from the Hugget model to find  $A'$ .
  - Calculate  $K$ .
  - Check if  $A' = K$ . If yes, we found the equilibrium  $r$ . If not, adjust  $r$  and repeat.
- Better to directly find the root of  $A'(r) - K(r)$ .

## ADDING GOVERNMENT

- In many applications we are interested in the effects of some government policies.
- Example: how does an increase in taxation affect the wealth distribution?
- Example: how does an increase in government debt crowd out capital accumulation?
- We will now consider a simple extension of the Aiyagari model with government.

## ADDING GOVERNMENT

- The intertemporal budget constraint of the government is

$$B_{t+1} = (1 + r) B_t + T_t - G_t.$$

where  $B_t$  is the government debt,  $T_t$  is tax revenue net of transfers and  $G_t$  is government purchases of goods.

- The government collects taxes on labor and capital income. Linear tax system with rates  $\tau^w, \tau^r$ . Tax revenue net of transfers is

$$T = \int \tau^w w z_i d i + \int \tau^r r a_i - d.$$



## ADDING GOVERNMENT

- In a stationary equilibrium the government budget constraint becomes

$$rB = T - G.$$

- Asset market clearing:  $K + B = \int a \cdot d\lambda(a, z).$
- Goods market clearing:  $F(K, L) = C + \delta K + G.$
- Key difference: assets available in the economy are  $K + B$ , not just  $K$ .

## ADDING GOVERNMENT

- We also need to modify the household problem.
- Recursive formulation of a problem of an agent with assets  $a$  and labor endowment  $z$  is

$$V(a, z) = \max_{c, a'} \left\{ u(c) + \beta \sum_{z' \in Z} P(z, z') V(a', z') \right\}$$

subject to  $c + a' = (1 - \tau^w) zw + (1 + (1 - \tau^r) r) a + d,$

$$a' \geq -\phi.$$

- Note: dependence on government policies, we suppress it in notation.