Probabilistic PCA on Tensors

(arXiv:2510.19516)

Piotr Zwiernik

Universitat Pompeu Fabra Barcelona

Statistics, Probability and Machine Learning Research Group

joint work with Yaoming Zhen (CUHK, Shenzhen)

OCAMI Workshop 2025

Tensor Basics

Basic terminology

Matrix: $\mathbf{M} = [\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}_1\mathbf{i}_2}] \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}_1 \times \mathbf{n}_2}$.

Tensor: $\mathbf{T} = [\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}_1\mathbf{i}_2\cdots\mathbf{i}_\mathbf{r}}] \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}_1 \times \mathbf{n}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{n}_\mathbf{r}}$, $\quad \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \ldots$

Basic terminology

Matrix: $\mathbf{M} = [\mathbf{M}_{i_1 i_2}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$.

Tensor: $\mathbf{T} = [\mathbf{T}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times \cdots \times n_r}$, $\quad \mathbf{r} = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$

Rank one matrix: $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{u}_2^\top$, $\qquad \mathbf{u}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, $\mathbf{u}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$.

$$\mathbf{M}_{i_1 i_2} = (\mathbf{u}_1)_{i_1} (\mathbf{u}_2)_{i_2}$$

Rank one tensor: $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{u}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{u}_r$, $\quad \mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ for $\mathbf{i} = 1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}$

$$\mathbf{T}_{i_1 \cdots i_r} = (\mathbf{u}_1)_{i_1} \cdots (\mathbf{u}_r)_{i_r}$$

Multilinear action on tensors

Matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n_1} \times \mathbf{m_1}}$ acts on $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{m_1}}$: $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n_1}}$.

Multilinear action on tensors

Matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times m_1}$ acts on $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$: $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$.

Matrices $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times m_1}$, $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times m_2}$ act on $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times m_2}$

$$\mathbf{M} \;\mapsto\; (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{M} := \mathbf{A}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{B}^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$$

Note: $\quad [\mathbf{A}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{B}^\top]_{i_1 i_2} \;=\; \sum_{j_1, j_2} \mathbf{A}_{i_1 j_1} \mathbf{B}_{i_2 j_2} \mathbf{M}_{j_1 j_2}.$

Multilinear action on tensors

Matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \mathbf{m_1}}$ acts on $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{m_1}}$: $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{Av} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$.

Matrices $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \mathbf{m_1}}$, $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times \mathbf{m_2}}$ act on $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{m_1} \times \mathbf{m_2}}$

$$\mathbf{M} \mapsto (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{M} := \mathbf{AMB}^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$$

Note: $$[\mathbf{AMB}^\top]_{i_1 i_2} = \sum_{\mathbf{j_1}, \mathbf{j_2}} \mathbf{A}_{i_1 \mathbf{j_1}} \mathbf{B}_{i_2 \mathbf{j_2}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{j_1 j_2}}.$$

Matrices $\mathbf{A}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \mathbf{m_1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{n_r \times \mathbf{m_r}}$ act on $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{m_r}}$

$$\mathbf{T} \mapsto (\mathbf{A}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r) \cdot \mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_r}$$

where $$[(\mathbf{A}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r) \cdot \mathbf{T}]_{i_1 \cdots i_r} = \sum_{\mathbf{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{j_r}} (\mathbf{A}_1)_{i_1 \mathbf{j_1}} \cdots (\mathbf{A}_r)_{i_r \mathbf{j_r}} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{j_1} \cdots \mathbf{j_r}}.$$

Tucker decomposition

Singular Value Decomposition: For every matrix $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n_1} \times \mathbf{n_2}}$ s.t. $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{M}) \leqslant \mathbf{s}$ there exist $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n_1} \times \mathbf{s}}$ and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n_2} \times \mathbf{s}}$ such that and

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^\top = (\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathbf{D}$$

with $\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{V} = \mathbb{I}_\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{D} = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_\mathbf{1}, \ldots, \sigma_\mathbf{s}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{s}}$.

Tucker decomposition

Singular Value Decomposition: For every matrix $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n_1} \times \mathbf{n_2}}$ s.t. $\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{M}) \leqslant \mathbf{s}$ there exist $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n_1} \times \mathbf{s}}$ and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n_2} \times \mathbf{s}}$ such that and

$$\mathbf{M} \;=\; \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^\top \;=\; (\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathbf{D}$$

with $\mathbf{U}^\top\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}^\top\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{I}_\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{D} = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_\mathbf{1}, \ldots, \sigma_\mathbf{s}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{s}}$.

A similar statement is true for only very special tensors. Nevertheless...

Tucker decomposition: For $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{n_r}}$ and $\mathbf{m_1} \leqslant \mathbf{n_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{m_r} \leqslant \mathbf{n_r}$ approximate:

$$\mathbf{T} \;\approx\; (\mathbf{A_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{A_r}) \cdot \mathbf{S},$$

where $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{m_r}}$ is called the core tensor ("multivariate SVD").

Tensor PPCA

PPCA: Probabilistic Principle Component Analysis

PPCA (Tipping & Bishop, 1999): For a random vector $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}}$:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mu + \mathbf{AZ} + \mathbf{E}, \qquad \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}},\ \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{m}},\ \mathbf{m} \leqslant \mathbf{n},$$
$$\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{m}}), \qquad \mathbf{E} \sim \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{n}}), \qquad \mathbf{Z} \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathbf{E}.$$

Geometry: samples concentrate around the $\mu + \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{A})$; $\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{m}$.

Note: We can assume $\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A}$ is diagonal (column orthogonal).

- $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{UDV}^\top$, $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{m}\times\mathbf{m}}$ orthogonal, and $\mathbf{V}^\top\mathbf{Z} \stackrel{\mathbf{d}}{=} \mathbf{Z}$; thus $\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{m}}$ w.l.o.g.

Tensor PPCA

Suppose now $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{V} := \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{n_r}}$ is a tensor.

Tensor PCA model: $\mathbf{X}$ admits stochastic representation

$$\mathbf{X} \;=\; \mu + (\mathbf{A_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{A_r}) \cdot \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{E},$$

where $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{U} := \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{m_r}}$ standard normal, $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{V}$ isotropic, $\mathbf{Z} \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathbf{E}$.

Dimension reduction assuming: $\mu = \mathbf{0}$ or $\mu = (\mathbf{A_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{A_r}) \cdot \nu$.

Natural questions: identifiability, estimation, learning $\mathbf{m_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{m_r}$.

The distribution of $\mathbf{X}$ is Gaussian

Recall: $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_r) \cdot \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{E}$ (we assumed zero mean)

Inner product on $\mathbb{V}$: $\langle \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T} \rangle := \sum_{\mathbf{i}_1, \dots, \mathbf{i}_r} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}_1 \cdots \mathbf{i}_r} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}_1 \dots \mathbf{i}_r}$, $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\mathrm{F}} = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T} \rangle}$.

$$\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T} \rangle = \langle (\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_r) \cdot \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{T} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{T} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{Z}, (\mathbf{A}_1^\top, \dots, \mathbf{A}_r^\top) \cdot \mathbf{T} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{T} \rangle$$

The distribution of $\mathbf{X}$ is Gaussian

Recall: $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{A}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r) \cdot \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{E}$ (we assumed zero mean)

Inner product on $\mathbb{V}$: $\langle \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T} \rangle := \sum_{\mathbf{i}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{i}_r} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}_1\cdots\mathbf{i}_r} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}_1\ldots\mathbf{i}_r}$, $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\mathrm{F}} = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T} \rangle}$.

$$\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T} \rangle = \langle (\mathbf{A}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r) \cdot \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{T} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{T} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{Z}, (\mathbf{A}_1^\top, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r^\top) \cdot \mathbf{T} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{T} \rangle$$

We conclude: $\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T} \rangle \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \|(\mathbf{A}_1^\top, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r^\top) \cdot \mathbf{T}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 + \|\mathbf{T}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2)$.

The distribution of $\mathbf{X}$ is Gaussian

Recall: $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{A}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r) \cdot \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{E}$ (we assumed zero mean)

Inner product on $\mathbb{V}$: $\langle \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T} \rangle := \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_r} \mathbf{S}_{i_1 \cdots i_r} \mathbf{T}_{i_1 \ldots i_r}$, $\|\mathbf{T}\|_F = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T} \rangle}$.

$$\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T} \rangle = \langle (\mathbf{A}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r) \cdot \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{T} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{T} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{Z}, (\mathbf{A}_1^\top, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r^\top) \cdot \mathbf{T} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{T} \rangle$$

We conclude: $\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T} \rangle \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \|(\mathbf{A}_1^\top, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r^\top) \cdot \mathbf{T}\|_F^2 + \|\mathbf{T}\|_F^2)$.

Covariance operator: $\mathbf{\Sigma} : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ is the linear function

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}(\mathbf{T}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{T} + (\mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_1^\top, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_r \mathbf{A}_r^\top) \cdot \mathbf{T}$$

Now $\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T} \rangle \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \langle \mathbf{\Sigma}(\mathbf{T}), \mathbf{T} \rangle)$. (generalizes multivariate normal)

First results

Spectrum of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$

Recall: $\mathbf{\Sigma} : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ satisfies $\mathbf{\Sigma}(\mathbf{T}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{T} + (\mathbf{A_1 A_1^\top}, \ldots, \mathbf{A_r A_r^\top}) \cdot \mathbf{T}$

Let $\mathbf{u_i^{(k)}}, \lambda_\mathbf{i}^{(\mathbf{k})}$ be the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $\mathbf{B_k} = \mathbf{A_k A_k^\top}$.

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}(\mathbf{u_{i_1}^{(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{u_{i_r}^{(r)}}) = (\sigma^2 + \lambda_{\mathbf{i_1}}^{(1)} \cdots \lambda_{\mathbf{i_r}}^{(\mathbf{r})}) \cdot \mathbf{u_{i_1}^{(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{u_{i_r}^{(r)}}$$

- at most $\mathbf{m_1} \cdots \mathbf{m_r}$ eigenvalues greater than σ^2 $\quad (\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{B_k}) \leqslant \mathbf{m_k})$,
- remaining $\mathbf{n_1} \cdots \mathbf{n_r} - \mathbf{m_1} \cdots \mathbf{m_r}$ eigenvalues are all equal to σ^2.

$\mathbf{\Sigma}$ has rich structure both in eigenvalues and eigenvectors!

Model identifiability

Recall: $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{A_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{A_r}) \cdot \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{E}$

Assumption 1: Each $\mathbf{A_k}$ is column orthogonal.

Assumption 2: $\|\mathbf{B_1}\|_F = \ldots = \|\mathbf{B_r}\|_F$, where $\mathbf{B_k} := \mathbf{A_k}\mathbf{A_k}^\top$.

Assumption 3: Either $\mathbf{n_k} > \mathbf{m_k}$ for at least one $\mathbf{k}$ or at least two of the $\mathbf{B_k}$ are not scalar matrices.

Theorem: Under Assumptions 1-3 the parameters $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{A_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{A_r})$ and σ^2 are identifiable.

Existence of the MLE

Observation: The MLE for standard PPCA model exists (with probability one) if and only if the sample size N satisfies $N > m$.

Theorem: In TPCA suppose $\mathbf{n_k} > \mathbf{m_k}$ for some $\mathbf{k}$. Then the MLE exists with probability $\mathbf{1}$ even for $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{1}$.

To find the MLE we perform the EM algorithm.

This is a computationally expensive procedure.

Next, we study a **computationally efficient estimator**.

Alternative estimator

Pair reshaping

Recall: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$, $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_r}$; slightly abusing notation $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_r \times n_1 \times \cdots \times n_r}$

The **pair-reshaping** operator $\textsc{pair}(\cdot)$ that maps $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n_1^2 \times \cdots \times n_r^2}$:

$$\textsc{pair}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \textsc{vec}(\mathbf{B}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \textsc{vec}(\mathbf{B}_r) \; + \; \sigma^2 \, \textsc{vec}(\mathbb{I}_{n_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \textsc{vec}(\mathbb{I}_{n_r}).$$

Pair reshaping

Recall: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$, $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{n}_r}$; slightly abusing notation $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{n}_r \times \mathbf{n}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{n}_r}$

The **pair-reshaping** operator $\textsc{pair}(\cdot)$ that maps $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}_1^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{n}_r^2}$:

$$\textsc{pair}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \textsc{vec}(\mathbf{B}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \textsc{vec}(\mathbf{B}_r) \; + \; \sigma^2 \, \textsc{vec}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{n}_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \textsc{vec}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{n}_r}).$$

In the Frobenius norm, the first term massively dominates.

So $\textsc{pair}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ is **approximately rank one**. In addition:

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathbf{B}_k}{\|\mathbf{B}_k\|_F}, \frac{\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{n}_k}}{\|\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{n}_k}\|_F} \right\rangle = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}_k)}{\|\mathbf{B}_k\|_F \sqrt{\mathbf{n}_k}} \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{m}_k}{\mathbf{n}_k}}$$

Finding a rank one approximation to a given tensor is a very classical problem in tensors and is solved using the power method.

Power method for matrices

For a general matrix $\mathbf{M}$, the best rank-1 approximation $\mathbf{M} \approx \sigma_1 \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{v}_1^\top$ is obtained from its principal left/right singular vectors.

Alternating power iteration: $\mathbf{u}_{t+1} = \frac{\mathbf{M}\,\mathbf{v}_t}{\|\mathbf{M}\,\mathbf{v}_t\|}, \quad \mathbf{v}_{t+1} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^\top \mathbf{u}_{t+1}}{\|\mathbf{M}^\top \mathbf{u}_{t+1}\|}.$

In Tucker notation: $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{v} = (\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{M}, \quad \mathbf{M}^\top \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbb{I}) \cdot \mathbf{M}$.

Our tensor algorithm uses exactly this idea: a rank-1 power method extended from $\mathbf{2}$ modes to $\mathbf{r}$ modes; e.g. $\mathbf{u}_1 \leftarrow (\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{u}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_r) \cdot \mathbf{T} / \| \cdot \|$.

Rank-1 power iteration

Recall: $\textsc{pair}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \textsc{vec}(\mathbf{B_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \textsc{vec}(\mathbf{B_r}) \; + \; \sigma^{\mathbf{2}}\, \textsc{vec}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{n_1}}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \textsc{vec}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{n_r}})$.

$$\textsc{pair}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \mathbf{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{b_r} \; + \; \text{small perturbation}$$

By Assumption 2, the norms of $\mathbf{b_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b_r}$ are all equal; say $\omega^{\mathbf{1/r}}$.

$$\textsc{pair}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \omega\, \mathbf{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{b_r} \; + \; \text{small perturbation}, \qquad \|\mathbf{b_1}\| = \cdots = \|\mathbf{b_r}\| = \mathbf{1}$$

Rank-1 power iteration

Recall: $\textsc{pair}(\mathbf{\Sigma}) = \textsc{vec}(\mathbf{B_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \textsc{vec}(\mathbf{B_r}) \; + \; \sigma^2 \, \textsc{vec}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{n_1}}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \textsc{vec}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{n_r}})$.

$$\textsc{pair}(\mathbf{\Sigma}) = \mathbf{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{b_r} \; + \; \text{small perturbation}$$

By Assumption 2, the norms of $\mathbf{b_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b_r}$ are all equal; say $\omega^{\mathbf{1/r}}$.

$$\textsc{pair}(\mathbf{\Sigma}) = \omega \, \mathbf{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{b_r} \; + \; \text{small perturbation}, \qquad \|\mathbf{b_1}\| = \cdots = \|\mathbf{b_r}\| = \mathbf{1}$$

Let $\textsc{pair}(\mathbf{S_N})$ be a sample estimator of $\textsc{pair}(\mathbf{\Sigma})$. Our procedure finds:

$$\textsc{pair}(\mathbf{S_N}) \;\; \approx \;\; \hat{\omega} \, \hat{\mathbf{b}}_\mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathbf{b}}_\mathbf{r}$$

Additional regularization: Each $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_\mathbf{i}$ is a vectorization of a low-rank matrix.

Probabilistic guarantees

The right norm

Recall, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ given by $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\mathbf{T}) \;=\; \sigma^2\mathbf{T} + (\mathbf{A_1}\mathbf{A_1}^\top, \ldots, \mathbf{A_r}\mathbf{A_r}^\top) \cdot \mathbf{T}$.

"Standard" operator norm of $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$:

$$\|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathrm{op}} \;=\; \max_{\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\mathrm{F}}=1} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{T})\|_{\mathrm{F}} \;=\; \max_{\|\mathbf{S}\|_{\mathrm{F}}=\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\mathrm{F}}=1} \langle \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{T}), \mathbf{S} \rangle.$$

We work with a weaker norm.

$$\mathbb{B} \;:=\; \{\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{u_r} : \|\mathbf{u_1}\| = \cdots = \|\mathbf{u_r}\| = \mathbf{1}\} \;\subseteq\; \mathbb{V}$$

$$\|\mathbf{F}\| \;:=\; \max_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{B}} \langle \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v} \rangle.$$

Concentration

With $\mathbf{N}$ samples, with high probability,

$$\|\mathbf{S_N} - \mathbf{\Sigma}\| \lesssim \underbrace{\sigma^2\,\phi_1\Big(\frac{\sum n_k}{N}\Big)}_{\text{noise}} + \underbrace{\|A\|^2\,\phi_2\Big(\frac{\sum m_k}{N}\Big)}_{\text{signal}} + \underbrace{\sigma\|A\|\,\phi_3\Big(\frac{\sum n_k+m_k}{N}\Big)}_{\text{cross}}.$$

Here:

- $\phi_\mathbf{i}$ are dimension-dependent rates specified in the paper.
- $\|\mathbf{A}\| := \|\mathbf{A_1}\| \cdots \|\mathbf{A_r}\|$

$\frac{\|\mathbf{S_N}-\mathbf{\Sigma}\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\|^2} \to \mathbf{0}$ in probability as long as $\max\{\frac{\mathbf{n}_{\max}}{\|\mathbf{A}\|^2}, \mathbf{m}_{\max}, \frac{\mathbf{n}_{\max}}{\|\mathbf{A}\|}\}\frac{\log \mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N}} \to \mathbf{0}$.

One-step consistency

Recall: $\textsc{pair}(\mathbf{S_N}) \;\approx\; \omega\, \mathbf{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{b_r}$, $\|\mathbf{b_k}\| = \mathbf{1}$. Use power iteration.

ψ = the RHS in the previous slide (small); $\omega = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \|\mathbf{B_k}\|_{\mathrm{F}}$ (large).

$\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\mathbf{t})}$ = the angle between $\mathbf{b_k}$ and $\mathbf{b_k^{(t)}}$ for $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \ldots$

$\mathbf{c} := \cos^2(\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\mathbf{0})}) \in (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$. (we need it to be bounded away from $\mathbf{0}$)

Theorem: Under mild assumptions: $\sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\mathbf{1})} \leqslant \frac{\psi}{\omega} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{m_1 \cdots m_r}}}{\mathbf{c}^{(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1})/\mathbf{2}}}$.

Moreover, $\frac{|\omega - \hat{\omega}^{(1)}|}{\omega} \leqslant \left(\frac{\psi}{\omega}\right)^{\mathbf{2}} \frac{\mathbf{16\, r\, m_1 \cdots m_r}}{\mathbf{c^{r-1}}}$.

Discussion

Multilinear SVD give **deterministic** low-rank practical approximations.

But they lack:

- a probabilistic model for the noise or likelihood for inference,
- uncertainty quantification,
- principled model selection for the ranks $\mathbf{m_k}$.

Our contribution: a probabilistic multilinear model with identifiability, MLE existence, efficient estimation, and probabilistic guarantees.

Discussion

Multilinear SVD give **deterministic** low-rank practical approximations.

But they lack:

- a probabilistic model for the noise or likelihood for inference,
- uncertainty quantification,
- principled model selection for the ranks $\mathbf{m_k}$.

Our contribution: a probabilistic multilinear model with identifiability, MLE existence, efficient estimation, and probabilistic guarantees.

Thank you!

The paper, `arXiv:2510.19516`.

`https://pzwiernik.github.io/slides/tpca-ocami-2025.pdf`